

Elusive chemistry of hydrogen sulfide and mercaptans in wine

Vicente Ferreira (*), Ernesto Franco-Luesma, Eduardo Vela, Ricardo López and Purificación Hernández-Orte

Laboratory for Aroma Analysis and Enology (LAAE), Department of Analytical Chemistry, Universidad de Zaragoza, Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón (IA2) (UNIZAR-CITA), c/ Pedro Cerbuna 12, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain

Associated unit to Instituto de Ciencias de la Vid y el Vino, (Universidad de La Rioja-CSIC-Gobierno de la Rioja)

* To whom correspondence should be addressed

Phone: +34 976 762067

Fax: +34 976 761292

Email: vferre@unizar.es

ABSTRACT

This paper summarizes, discusses and complements recent findings about the fate of H₂S and methanethiol (MeSH) during wine storage. Analytical assays to determine free volatile sulfur compounds (VSCs) and brine-releasable (BR-) VSCs in combination with accelerated reductive (AR) aging and micro-oxygenation (MOX) assays allow characterizing the different categories of species able to produce H₂S and MeSH and the processes of interconversion. Each wine seems to contain a specific total amount of H₂S and MeSH distributed into free, metal-complexed and oxidized forms (di and polysulfides) interconnected through reversible redox equilibria whose external expression is wine redox potential. Oxidation transforms all mercaptans likely into non-volatile disulfides and hydrodisulfides. In anoxia, these molecules are spontaneously and quantitatively reduced back. The concomitant accumulation of major wine thiols would provoke complex dissociation and the release of free H₂S and MeSH. Additionally, total amounts can increase due to the metal-catalyzed desulfhydration of cysteine and methionine.

Key words: reductive off odors, sulfides, disulfides, copper, iron,

1 INTRODUCTION

2 In wine science, reduction refers to a series of off-odors related to an array of sulfur
3 compounds displaying characteristic notes such as rotten eggs, decaying seaweed,
4 putrefaction or cooked cabbage.¹ These off-odors are of great concern in the wine
5 industry, since they can develop after the wine has been bottled when no remedial action
6 is possible.² It has been estimated that they constituted nearly a third of the faults
7 encountered in the wines sent to one of the largest international contests.³(Goode/Harrop)
8 These off-odors are primarily caused by the sulfhydryl compounds (-SH) H₂S and MeSH,
9 and eventually other mercaptans and volatile sulfur compounds (VSCs).¹ They are normal
10 by-products of alcoholic fermentation^{4,5} but they are easily purged out by the stream of
11 CO₂ produced during fermentation and, in most cases, only little subthreshold levels
12 remain not posing any sensory problem. However, in a number of situations related to
13 different causes, such as N-deficient musts,⁵ specific strains of yeasts,⁶ or less frequently,
14 B group vitamin deficiencies, or a too high protein or glutathione level in must^{7,8}
15 suprathreshold levels of these compounds can accumulate. Additionally, the widespread
16 use of reductive winemaking techniques in which the contact of O₂ is minimized
17 throughout the winemaking process has increased the frequency of appearance of this
18 problem.⁹ If these compounds are formed, winemakers decrease their levels by copper
19 fining, aeration or addition of lees.¹⁰⁻¹³ In general, these remedial actions have an
20 immediate impact decreasing the intensity of the sensory problem, but their long term
21 effectiveness is under question. By experience, winemakers know that once a wine shows
22 a tendency to develop reductive off-odors, it seems to become susceptible to suffer this
23 problem again during its shelf-life,¹⁴ particularly when it is stored in a bottle closed with
24 a closure with low permeability to oxygen.¹⁵ This suggests that these treatments affect the

25 odor-active molecules but not to their different precursors. Up to this date, these
26 precursors have not been identified.

27 One reason why the progress in research is being so difficult lies in the complicated
28 chemistry of sulfur. This element can be present in different redox states, has the ability
29 to concatenate making bonds with itself and can form a large number of combinations not
30 only with carbon atoms, but with some metal cations present at low concentrations in
31 wine, such as copper, iron or zinc. Furthermore, small molecules or simple complexes
32 tend to aggregate forming clusters containing S atoms in different redox states.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

33 Additionally, the long time span required to observe the capricious appearance of
34 reductive off-odors during wine aging has not helped. This complicated scenario has just
35 recently begun to be understood when new chemical assays have made it possible to
36 recognize the existence of different species of these molecules interconnected via
37 different chemical equilibria¹⁹⁻²³. Additional recent works revealing key details about the
38 interactions between sulfides, copper, iron and oxygen^{18,22-24} have provided an essential
39 theoretical framework to understand the relationship between the different species.

40 The present paper summarizes the most relevant recent findings and adds new
41 complementary experimental material or data analyses essential for understanding
42 unclear aspects. With all the pieces at hand, a basic theoretical model explaining the
43 underlying chemistry of these deleterious molecules has been formulated.

44

45 **Basic tools for the study of the processes related to reductive off-odors**

46 Three different categories of analytical strategies have to be used in combination in order
47 to get enough information about the species related to reductive problems:

- 48 1. Direct headspace methods analyzing vapors on the undisturbed, undiluted wine
49 sample for measuring exclusively free forms of H₂S and mercaptans.^{20,25} It should

50 be noted that strategies commonly used to gain sensitivity, such as the addition of
51 salt^{1,26} or SPME preconcentration²⁶⁻²⁷ will affect equilibria between free and
52 complexed forms which could bias the results.

53 2. Brine-dilution headspace methods. Two different strategies have been so far
54 proposed. In the first one the vapors emanated from the wine strongly diluted in
55 brine are pre-concentrated in a SPME fiber.^{20,28} The second strategy concentrates
56 the headspace on the brine-diluted wine directly on a gas adsorbing tube.¹⁹ Since
57 no redox reagents are involved, it can be assumed that only species in which sulfur
58 is already as sulfide –complexes with metal cations and the free sulfhydryl
59 fraction- will be detected in this brine releasable (BR) fraction. The complexed
60 fraction can be obtained simply by subtracting the free fraction from the BR-
61 fraction. Alternatively, it is possible to calculate the quotient between free and
62 BR-forms, α , which provides the percentage of sulfide under free form. In
63 previous works, the BR-fraction was improperly referred as the “total”
64 fraction^{20,21,29} because in many commercial red wines it remained relatively stable
65 during reductive aging. Recent works with un-bottled or recently micro-
66 oxygenated wines^{12,23} have revealed, however, that these BR fractions are not
67 stable and that therefore cannot be referred to as “total”.

68 3. Accelerated reductive (AR) assays. Two different types of these assays have been
69 proposed. The first one consists of heating a volume of wine in a completely
70 anoxic environment for some weeks;²¹ the second one involves the addition of a
71 strong reducing agent such as TCEP, eventually together with a Cu(I) chelator,
72 such as BCDA.^{19,22} Then, these reduced wines can be further analyzed by the
73 methods in categories 1 and 2. In the case of the accelerated anoxic strategy, it has
74 been demonstrated that the levels of both free and BR-forms obtained after 12.5

75 days at 50°C correlate well with the levels of these forms measured in the wines
76 after 1 year of anoxic storage.²⁹

77

78 **Changes in free and BR-forms during anoxic storage**

79 **Increases in free forms and in α : release.** The most obvious effect of storing a wine
80 under completely anoxic storage is the increase in the levels of free forms of H₂S and
81 MeSH,^{12,21,23,29} which is the cause of the appearance of reductive off-odors. Such increase
82 is due in part to the increase in α , the percentage of H₂S or MeSH under free forms. This
83 can be clearly seen in Figure 1, which represents the evolution of this parameter during
84 the accelerated anoxic storage of bottled red wines.²¹ Similar results have been observed
85 with other wines and storage conditions^{12,21,23,29} meaning that one of the most relevant
86 processes taking place during anoxic storage of wines is the dissociation of metal-
87 complexed forms to release free sulfhydryl forms. In bottled red wines release accounted
88 for 90% of the observed increase in free H₂S, while in whites and rosé wines it could
89 explain just 24% of the increases of free MeSH.²¹

90 **Increases in BR-forms.** The BR fraction can also suffer relevant changes during aging.
91 This is particularly evident in the case of MeSH, whose BR-levels continuously increase
92 in a fairly linear way during anoxic storage, regardless of the temperature.^{21,29,30} Those
93 increases accounted for 50-75% of the total increments of free MeSH observed in AR-
94 aging and were particularly important in white and rosé wines. At room-temperature,
95 normal bottled wines were found to produce between 3 and 7.5 ng/L of BR-MeSH per
96 day of anoxic storage.²⁹ Wines particularly affected by reductive off-odor problems will
97 likely form higher amounts. These increases in BR-forms were attributed to the “de novo”
98 formation of MeSH, most likely from the metal catalyzed desulfhydration of
99 methionine,³⁰ as will be later confirmed.

100 The evolution of BR-forms of H₂S during anoxic aging is more complex and at least three
101 clearly different patterns can be identified in the literature,^{12,21,23,29} as summarized in
102 Figure 2. The figure shows data from a normal bottled red wine taken from reference²¹
103 and from two other cases taken from reference.¹²

104 The stability pattern followed by W1 seems to be the main evolution pattern followed by
105 normal bottled red wines.²¹ Only in 4 out of 16 cases there was a slight (3-6.7 µg/L)
106 increase in BR-levels after 3 weeks of AR-aging.²¹ In white and rosés, however, levels of
107 BR-H₂S tended to increase continuous and linearly with aging time (4.6-12.6 µg/L after
108 3 weeks of AR-aging).²¹ The evolution pattern followed by W2 in the figure is expected
109 to be followed by wines having suffered some previous oxidation. W2 (R3 in the
110 reference¹²) is a red wine which had been subjected in the cellar to a MOX treatment 2
111 months before the analysis. In this case, BR-H₂S strongly increase during the first 2 weeks
112 of reductive aging, to plateau in the final period. The initial increases are likely due to the
113 reduction of BR-H₂S forms previously oxidized during the MOX treatment.²³ Finally,
114 W2_Cu is this same wine after a copper fining treatment which left a final copper content
115 close to 0.5 mg/L. In this case, BR-H₂S forms continuously increase during the complete
116 aging process reaching values well above those observed for W2, suggesting that
117 increased levels of copper induce a “de novo” formation of BR-forms.

118 Therefore, increases in BR-forms seem to have two different sources:

- 119 1. The reduction of previously oxidized BR-forms²³
- 120 2. The “de novo” production likely from amino acids

121 This second possibility will be specifically addressed in the following section.

122

123 **De novo formation of H₂S and MeSH from metal catalyzed desulfhydration of S-**
124 **amino acids.** The metal catalyzed desulfhydration of S-amino acids has been

125 demonstrated to occur in aqueous solutions at high temperatures.³¹ In wine, the likely
126 involvement of cation metals in the formation of H₂S and MeSH has been previously
127 observed.¹²⁻¹⁴ In another report, increases of BR-MeSH in some samples were correlated
128 to the levels of methionine and aluminum of the wine.³⁰

129 Additional clues about the involvement of S-amino acids and metals on the accumulation
130 are found in Table 1. The table summarizes results from a PLS-modeling carried out on
131 data reported in reference²¹ relating increases of BR-forms to the wine chemical
132 composition. Although the models are complex, they reveal the existence of an evident
133 link between increases of BR-H₂S and BR-MeSH and the corresponding S- containing
134 amino acids, and in some cases, also with metals. The model for red wines (1, Table 1)
135 suggests that the de novo production of H₂S is positively related to the cysteine content
136 of the wine. In the case of whites and rosés the model is more explicit (2, Table 1) and
137 suggests that increases in BR-H₂S are basically the result of the metal catalyzed
138 desulfhydration of cysteine. Similarly, the accumulation of BR-MeSH in red (3, Table 1)
139 and white and rosé wines (4, Table 1) are related to the wine levels of methionine, and in
140 the case of white and rosé wines, also to metals.

141 Finally, results of an experiment specifically carried out to check the ability of metal
142 cations to catalyze the desulfhydration of cysteine and methionine are summarized in
143 Figure 3. Results confirm that copper can significantly induce the formation of BR-forms
144 of these VSCs and that polyphenols are essential for the catalytic reaction (data not
145 shown). The negative effects played by Mn and Al, as suggested in model 2 (Table 1),
146 were also confirmed, while the effects of Fe and Zn on H₂S and MeSH formation were
147 not. The understanding of the specific effects played by metal cations and their different
148 chelators including polyphenols, will have to be addressed in future research.

149

150 **Pools of species precursors of free H₂S and MeSH**

151 Taking into account all the previous elements, it can be concluded that the tendency of a
152 specific wine to release free H₂S and MeSH during reductive aging depends on its content
153 in three different pools of compounds:

- 154 1. BR-forms (free plus metal complexed forms)
- 155 2. Oxidized precursors, such as disulfides or polysulfides
- 156 3. Cysteine, methionine and other S-containing species together with a catalytic
157 system able to induce their desulfhydration. This pool is particularly important in
158 MeSH production

159 The AR-aging assays hardly differentiate between 2 and 3, because reduction and
160 desulfhydration can take place simultaneously during AR-aging. A fine assignment will
161 require the use of chemical reducing agents, such as TCEP,^{19,22} after demonstrating that
162 they not promote desulfhydration, and/or specific HPLC-MS strategies devoted to
163 measure oxidized precursors.²²

164 Leaving aside the catalytic systems able to induce “de novo” formation, it can be
165 considered that each wine contains a fixed pool of H₂S and MeSH, which will be referred
166 as the “**present total amount**” of these molecules, distributed into three differentiable
167 fractions: free; metal bound; and oxidized precursors. The following part of the paper will
168 be devoted to understand how the three fractions are related.

169

170 **Wine redox potential**

171 It is important to differentiate between the thermodynamic redox potential and the
172 measured redox potential since this last one will reflect exclusively the redox pairs which
173 are electrochemically active at the surface of the electrode. In the many cases in which
174 the solution contains redox pairs interconnected by non-reversible or very slow reactions

175 at the electrode surface, the experimentally determined potential will not reflect the true
176 thermodynamic redox potential but a figure related to the potential at which the oxidation
177 and reduction currents in the electrode equilibrate.³² Those currents are generated by the
178 redox pairs active in that electrode and will typically evolve as the slow redox reaction
179 progresses.³³ In practice, this means that redox measurements are effective only in
180 anaerobic solutions³⁴ or in solutions dominated by iron ions.^{33,35}

181 In the case of wine, the redox potential is a standard measurement³⁶ whose experimental
182 value strongly depends on wine pH, O₂ concentration,^{32,37} electrode material and ethanol
183 levels,^{32,38} but not on the wine content in polyphenolic antioxidants.^{39,40} In the absence of
184 O₂, the changes observed in wine redox potential during its oxidation or reduction seem
185 to be related to the changes in the ratios Fe(II)/Fe(III) and cysteine/cystine (or
186 GSH/GSSG), as will be shown.

187 The effect of cysteine on the redox potential of wine models containing or not copper and
188 iron can be appreciated in Figure 4. In the absence of cysteine and in the presence of
189 Fe(II), the redox potential of the system is around 103±2 mV. The addition of Cu(II) has
190 no effect on the potential, while the presence of Cu(I) makes the potential decrease
191 slightly but significantly to 82±3 mV. The addition of cysteine causes a strong decrease
192 in the redox potential, indicating that this amino acid is active in the electrode. The final
193 redox potential depends primarily on the concentration of cysteine and on that of Fe(II),
194 but the presence of either Cu(II) or Cu(I) does not seem to have much effect. The most
195 negative redox potential is attained in the wine model containing only cysteine.

196 The dependence between the redox potential and the molar fraction of reduced forms of
197 a selected number of redox pairs present in wine models is summarized in Figure 5. As
198 can be seen for the three Fe(II)/Fe(III) systems represented in the figure, the redox
199 potential associated to a given redox pair is extremely dependent on the specific

200 compositional parameters of the solution. This means that redox potential cannot be used
201 to compare between wines, but still it will be useful to monitor how the main redox pairs
202 present in the wine evolve during oxidation or reduction. Another remarkable observation
203 is that the pair Fe(II)/Fe(III) determines the potential in the right part of the plot ($E > 50$
204 mV), while the system cysteine/cystine determines redox potential in the left part ($E < 50$
205 mV).

206

207 **The pivotal role of redox potential in the distribution of species of H₂S and MeSH**

208 The most obvious change observed during reductive storage of wine is a decrease in the
209 wine redox potential. This can be seen in Figure 6 which represents the evolution of the
210 average redox potentials of two sets of wines during their anoxic storage at 50°C.²¹

211 In the case of red wines, there is a continuous decrease during the storage (significant at
212 $P < 10^{-7}$), while in whites and rosés the decrease takes place only in the first 12 days of
213 storage (significant at $P < 0.01$), while it increases again in the last sampling point
214 (significant at $P < 0.01$). Similar results have been obtained in the anoxic storage of wines
215 at room temperature²⁹ and in the AR-aging of wines subjected to MOX.²³ Decreases in
216 the redox potential indicate that wines become enriched in the reduced forms of the redox
217 pairs active at the electrode surface. The source of those electrons is not well understood,
218 but it could be related to the presence in wine of many polyphenols with antioxidant
219 properties which may undergo spontaneous condensation reactions even in strict anoxia.⁴¹
220 Redox potential plays an essential role determining the relationship between the three
221 differentiable fractions (free; metal bound; oxidized precursors) forming the “present total
222 amount” of H₂S and MeSH contained in a wine.

223 The relationship between BR-forms (free + metal bound) and redox potential can be seen
224 in the plot in Figure 7. The plot compiles data from wine W2 in reference²³ and represents

225 the BR-H₂S levels of the 12 samples -initial wine + 3 MOX samples + (2 x 4) AR-aging
226 derivatives each- derived from the MOX experiment carried out with this particular wine
227 versus their corresponding measured redox potentials. The three MOX samples are those
228 with redox potentials above -50 mV, while the initial wine and the 8 samples subjected
229 to AR-aging all had negative redox potentials. As discussed in such reference, the figure
230 is completely equivalent to the characteristic sigmoid observed in a redox titration in
231 which a reducing agent (H₂S) is quantitatively oxidized by an oxidant (O₂ mediated by
232 copper and iron, as suggested^{18,24}). It is also most evident the similarity between the
233 sigmoid shown in the plot and the function relating the molar fraction of cysteine to the
234 redox potential shown in Figure 5. The linearization of the sigmoid by means of the logit
235 transformation²³ suggested that it corresponds to a two-electron reversible redox process
236 with a formal potential around -82 ± 2.2 mV. Since reduced samples were obtained both
237 from the initial wine and from the MOX samples the process has to be highly reversible,
238 and is consistent with a “total amount” of H₂S close to 160 µg/L in this particular wine.
239 Such total amount can be present as BR-forms (sulfide) or as oxidized forms, non-
240 detectable by the BR-method, and the proportion of both forms is determined by the redox
241 potential.

242 The distribution of BR-forms into free and metal-complexed forms is also determined by
243 the redox potential. This was seen in in references^{23,29} and is further supported in Figure
244 8, which shows unpublished data from the experiment described in reference.²¹ The plots
245 represent the average α fractions of free H₂S and free MeSH of 16 red wines and 8 whites
246 and rosé wines subjected to AR-aging different times (1.5, 5.5, 12 and 21 days). As was
247 already seen in Figure 6, redox potentials become more negative during AR-aging and,
248 concomitantly, the metal-complexed fraction decreases so that α increases. The close
249 correspondence between α and redox potential suggests that complex formation and

250 dissociation are also highly reversible processes. This is particularly evidenced by the fact
251 that the reversion in the redox potential suffered by whites and rosé wines after 21 days
252 of AR-aging (see Figure 6) is followed by a decrease in α for H₂S, as seen in Figure 8.
253 The α /redox potential plots are less sigmoid in shape than those observed in figures 5 and
254 7, which may be compatible with the partial displacement of the complex equilibria by
255 the addition of a competing complexing agent, such as cysteine. Additionally, as reported
256 in reference,²³ the process of release of free forms by dissociation of metal complexed
257 forms, takes place at potentials significantly slightly more reductive than those at which
258 oxidized precursors are reduced into BR-forms. This observation indicates that in a
259 spontaneous reduction process, the reduction of oxidized precursors takes place before
260 complex cleavage. This in fact could imply that cleavage is the result of the competing
261 action of the wine major thiols (cysteine and glutathione) formed by reduction of their
262 oxidized forms.

263 There are more evidences supporting this hypothesis. First, the amounts of free H₂S
264 released after AR-aging in the wines in the reference²¹ are significantly correlated to $1/C_{Cu}$
265 ($r=0.750$ and $r=0.950$, both significant at $P<0.001$, for reds and for whites and rosés,
266 respectively) and similar observations have been made in the copper-finishing study.¹² Since
267 among wine cations, copper has highest bonding constants with sulfhydryls, wines
268 containing more copper will release a smaller fraction for an equivalent production of
269 competing thiol. Second, some satisfactory models for predicting the amounts of free H₂S
270 and MeSH released from their complexed forms have been derived and are given in Table
271 1 (models 5 to 8). The two models for H₂S suggest that a high release of this molecule
272 will require a high BR-H₂S/Cu ratio and a low redox potential. In the case of MeSH, a
273 high release requires also high levels of complexed MeSH and a high complexed
274 MeSH/Cu ratio. The models support, essentially, that the increases in free forms

275 responsible for the appearance of reductive off-odors are due to the dissociation of metal
 276 complexes induced by a drop in the redox potential. In practice this confirms that the
 277 presence of copper makes more difficult and retards (but does not impede) the release of
 278 free forms.

279

280 **Conclusions, hypotheses and perspectives**

281 All the previous facts lead us to formulate the following set of conclusions and
 282 hypotheses:

- 283 1. Each wine contains a specific present total amount of H₂S and MeSH distributed into
 284 different species. Depending on the wine, such total amount can increase with time
 285 due to the metal-catalyzed desulfhydration of cysteine and methionine (de novo
 286 formation). De novo formation is a poorly known process which constitutes a relevant
 287 and common source of MeSH and is less frequent and important in the case of H₂S
- 288 2. Such total amounts of H₂S and MeSH are distributed into BR-forms and into oxidized
 289 forms interconnected through reversible redox equilibria. These equilibria are of the
 290 type:

291

292


293

294

295

296

297



298

299

300

301


302

Where RSH can be cysteine or glutathione, the major thiols of wine, and RSSH is a hydrodisulfide, RSSR is cystine or oxidized glutathione (or the mixed disulfide) and RSSSR is a trisulfide. RSSH and RSSSR are examples of oxidized forms of H₂S and RSSMe of MeSH. Formation of some of these putative molecules has been recently described in model solutions and even in wine by Kreitman et al.²² The oxidation will follow, most likely, the mechanisms recently described by these same authors.²⁴ The

303 role played by copper should be complex since the reaction takes place via a copper
 304 complex, but some copper complexed forms seem to be quite resistant to
 305 oxidation.^{17,23,42}

306 3. The degree of the displacement of the chemical equilibria schematized in the previous
 307 paragraph depends on the wine redox potential; or more precisely, impacts the wine
 308 redox potential since this parameter seems to depend on the cysteine/cystine (or
 309 GSH/GSSG) ratios. At positive redox potentials (>50 mV), most wine mercaptans
 310 will be as oxidized forms. It should be considered that Fe(III) and the thiol group
 311 cannot be simultaneously present, unless they are strongly protected by complex
 312 formation, as can be deduced from the mechanisms proposed²⁴ and from previous
 313 evidence presented by Rozan et al.³⁸ Results recently presented in reference²³ seem to
 314 confirm this, since the residual low levels of BR-H₂S found in the MOX samples with
 315 higher redox potentials, were directly correlated to the copper levels of the wine

316 4. BR-forms of H₂S and MeSH are further distributed into free (odor active) and into
 317 metal complexed (odorless) forms. Complexes are mainly with Cu(II), Cu(I), Fe(II)
 318 and even Zn(II).




324 The strength of the complexes decreases in the order Cu(II)>Cu(I)>Fe(II)>Zn(II) and
 325 H₂S>MeSH. Copper is a particular case as it has been demonstrated that in Cu(II)-
 326 S(-II) complexes there is a transference of charge between the Cu and S atoms,
 327 becoming temporally Cu(I)-S(-I), as described by Luther et al¹⁶ and Kreitman et al.¹⁸
 328 The displacement of the different complex formation/dissociation equilibria to
 329 release free forms will be most likely caused by the accumulation of large amounts


330 of cysteine and glutathione formed by reduction of the corresponding disulfides
 331 accumulated when the wine has been previously in contact with oxygen:

332 $\text{RSSR} + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \longrightarrow 2\text{RSH}$ (where R is Cys or Glu and the reduction is
 333 possibly induced by the spontaneous condensation of polyphenols)

334



338



341

342 5. Wine redox potential tends spontaneously to become reductive by reasons that are
 343 not understood today. Preliminary data suggest that spontaneous polyphenol
 344 condensation reactions taking place in anoxia, could be a source of electrons which
 345 would first completely reduce Fe(III) to Fe(II) and later all disulfides and
 346 hydrodisulfides and maybe also polysulfides, hydropolysulfides, polysulfanes, to
 347 mercaptans and H₂S. In this sense, the sulfur atom seems to be the ultimate sink for
 348 the electrons that wine polyphenols tend to spontaneously release. It can be
 349 hypothesized that the redox potential will drop until all S(-I) has been reduced to S(-
 350 II) as long as the wine contains polyphenols able to undergo those spontaneous
 351 condensation reactions. Therefore, in the absence of oxygen, wine tends to a state of
 352 equilibrium which depends on its polyphenolic and total thiol content and which is
 353 characterized by a more or less negative redox potential at which the predominant
 354 forms will be free sulfhydryls in equilibrium with their metal complexed forms. Note
 355 that only at this negative redox potential, BR-forms represent the present total content
 356 in H₂S and MeSH of that wine and that α will be maximum, close to 1. It can be also
 357 hypothesized that the maximum value of α will depend on the RSH/Cu ratio of the
 358 wine.


359 6. When the wine comes into contact with oxygen, the following set of reactions will
 360 most likely take place:²²⁻²⁴

361

362

a) Formation of Fe(III)

363




364


365

b) Oxidation of Cu(I) –complexed with S(-II)- to Cu(II)


366



367



368




369


370

c) Formation of Cu(II)-S(-II) dimers


371



372



373




374


375

d) Oxidation of S(-II) by Cu(II)


376



377



378



379

380


H_2O_2 will react with SO_2 , the exogenous antioxidant of wine while is available, or

381

will oxidize ethanol to produce acetaldehyde through the Fenton reaction. And if

382

more oxygen is available, this will work in a continuous cycle as recently suggested.²⁴



383

384

7. Even after having consumed relatively large amounts of O_2 , (around 20 mg/L in ref.

385

²³), the process can be completely reversed recovering quantitatively the initial levels

386

of “total” H_2S if the wine is again stored in anoxic conditions long enough. This

387

suggests that disulfides and hydrodisulfides are quite resistant to oxidation. At

388

present it is not clear when these compounds will be eliminated by reaction with

389

quinones⁴³ or with other reactive oxygen species. It should be also considered that a

390

wine containing in total 400 μM of thiols, is able to consume 100 μM of O_2 (3.2 mg)

391

and will accumulate 200 μM of disulfides which will be a reservoir of oxidant able

392 to slowly consume up to 400 μM of electrons from polyphenols or other sources,
393 implying a deferred oxidation over time

394 8. During bottle aging wine receives a little ingress of oxygen through the closure whose
395 level depends on its specific oxygen transfer rate (OTR). The wine will reach an
396 equilibrium point at which the rate of formation of disulfides becomes similar to the
397 rate of their spontaneous reduction. Such equilibrium will be manifested by a specific
398 redox potential and by the corresponding levels of BR-forms and of α , meaning that
399 the OTR of the closure will influence the intensity of reductive off-odors.

400 The previous theory contains numerous statements which are just mere hypotheses, but it
401 seems to explain quite satisfactorily present evidence and provides a rational framework
402 which will help to design future research. It is also clear that further progress will require
403 a refinement of experimental techniques for characterizing and measuring the species
404 related to H_2S and mercaptans, as well as to characterize and measure the species related
405 to the changes in wine redox potential during anoxic storage.

406

407 **Supporting Information.** The detailed description of the experiments whose
408 unpublished results are presented in Figures 4 and 5 are given as Supporting Information

409

410 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

411 This work has been funded by the Spanish MINECO (projects ALI 2014-59840, RTC-
412 2015-3379 and RTC-2016-4935-2) and partly cofunded by the European Union
413 (FEDER). E.V. has received a grant from the Diputación General de Aragón. Funding
414 from Diputación General de Aragón (T53) and Fondo Social Europeo is acknowledged.

415

416 **REFERENCES**

- 417 1. Siebert, T. E.; Solomon, M. R.; Pollnitz, A. P.; Jeffery, D. W., Selective
418 determination of volatile sulfur compounds in wine by gas chromatography with sulfur
419 chemiluminescence detection. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2010**, *58* (17), 9454-9462.
- 420 2. Goode, J., *Wine Science: The Application of Science in Winemaking*. Mitchell
421 Beazley Publishers Limited ed.; Mitchell Beazley: London, 2014.
- 422 3. Goode, J., Harrop, S., Wine faults and their prevalence: data from the world's
423 largest blind tasting. In *Sulfur compounds: Production and sensory impact on wine. XXes*
424 *Entretiens Scientifiques Lallemand*, vol. 16 (pp. 7-9). Horsens (Denmark): Lallemand,
425 2008.
- 426 4. Schutz, M.; Kunkee, R. E., Formation of hydrogen-sulfide from elemental sulfur
427 during fermentation by wine yeast. *Am. J. Enol. Viticult.* **1977**, *28* (3), 137-144.
- 428 5. Jiranek, V.; Langridge, P.; Henschke, P. A., Regulation of hydrogen-sulfide
429 liberation in wine-producing *Saccharomyces-cerevisiae* strains by assimilable nitrogen.
430 *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **1995**, *61* (2), 461-467.
- 431 6. Linderholm, A.; Dietzel, K.; Hirst, M.; Bisson, L. F., Identification of MET10-
432 932 and characterization as an allele reducing hydrogen sulfide formation in wine strains
433 of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2010**, *76* (23), 7699-7707.
- 434 7. Rauhut, D., Usage and formation of sulphur compounds. In *Biology of*
435 *Microorganisms on Grapes, in Must and in Wine*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 2009 (pp. 181-
436 207).
- 437 8. Waterhouse, A., Sacks, G. L., & Jeffery, D. W. *Understanding wine chemistry*.
438 Chichester, West Sussex: John Wiley & Sons. 2016.
- 439 9. Bekker, M.; Day, M.; Holt, H.; Wilkes, E.; Smith, P., Effect of oxygen exposure
440 during fermentation on volatile sulfur compounds in Shiraz wine and a comparison of
441 strategies for remediation of reductive character. *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* **2016**, *22* (1),
442 24-35.
- 443 10. Clark, A. C.; Grant-Preece, P.; Cleghorn, N.; Scollary, G. R., Copper(II) addition
444 to white wines containing hydrogen sulfide: residual copper concentration and activity.
445 *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* **2015**, *21* (1), 30-39.
- 446 11. Ugliano, M.; Kwiatkowski, M. J.; Travis, B.; Francis, I. L.; Waters, E. J.;
447 Herderich, M. J.; Pretorius, I. S., Post-bottling management of oxygen to reduce off-
448 flavour formation and optimize wine style. *Aust. N.Z. Wine Ind.* **2009**, *24* (5), 24-28.
- 449 12. Vela, E.; Hernández-Orte, P.; Franco-Luesma, E.; Ferreira, V., The effects of
450 copper fining on the wine content in sulfur off-odors and on their evolution during
451 accelerated anoxic storage. *Food Chem.* **2017**, *231*, 212-221.
- 452 13. Viviers, M. Z.; Smith, M. E.; Wilkes, E.; Smith, P., Effects of five metals on the
453 evolution of hydrogen sulfide, methanethiol, and dimethyl sulfide during anaerobic
454 storage of Chardonnay and Shiraz wines. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2013**, *61* (50), 12385-
455 12396.
- 456 14. Ugliano, M.; Kwiatkowski, M.; Vidal, S.; Capone, D.; Siebert, T.; Dieval, J. B.;
457 Aagaard, O.; Waters, E. J., Evolution of 3-mercaptohexanol, hydrogen sulfide, and
458 methyl mercaptan during bottle storage of Sauvignon blanc wines. Effect of glutathione,
459 copper, oxygen exposure, and closure-derived oxygen. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2011**, *59*
460 (6), 2564-2572.
- 461 15. Godden, P., Francis, L., Field, J., Gishen, M., Coulter, A., Valente, P., Hoj, P.,
462 Robinson, E., Wine bottle closures: physical characteristics and effect on composition
463 and sensory properties of a Semillon wine - 1. Performance up to 20 months post-bottling.
464 *Aust. J. Grape Wine Res.* **2001**, *7*(2), 62-105.

- 465 16. Luther, G. W.; Theberge, S. M.; Rozan, T. F.; Rickard, D.; Rowlands, C. C.;
466 Oldroyd, A., Aqueous copper sulfide clusters as intermediates during copper sulfide
467 formation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2002**, *36* (3), 394-402.
- 468 17. Luther, G. W.; Rickard, D. T., Metal sulfide cluster complexes and their
469 biogeochemical importance in the environment. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2005**, *7* (6), 713-733.
- 470 18. Kreitman, G. Y.; Danilewicz, J. C.; Jeffery, D. W.; Elias, R. J., Reaction
471 mechanisms of metals with hydrogen sulfide and thiols in model wine. Part 1: Copper-
472 Catalyzed Oxidation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2016**, *64* (20), 4095-4104.
- 473 19. Chen, Y.; Jastrzembki, J. A.; Sacks, G. L., Copper-Complexed hydrogen sulfide
474 in wine: measurement by gas detection tubes and comparison of release approaches. *Am.*
475 *J. Enol. Viticult.* **2017**, *68* (1), 91-99.
- 476 20. Franco-Luesma, E.; Ferreira, V., Quantitative analysis of free and bonded forms
477 of volatile sulfur compounds in wine. Basic methodologies and evidences showing the
478 existence of reversible cation-complexed forms. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2014**, *1359*, 8-15.
- 479 21. Franco-Luesma, E.; Ferreira, V., Reductive off-odors in wines: Formation and
480 release of H₂S and methanethiol during the accelerated anoxic storage of wines. *Food*
481 *Chem.* **2016**, *199*, 42-50.
- 482 22. Kreitman, G. Y.; Danilewicz, J. C.; Jeffery, D. W.; Elias, R. J., Copper(II)-
483 Mediated hydrogen sulfide and thiol oxidation to disulfides and organic polysulfanes and
484 their reductive cleavage in wine: mechanistic elucidation and potential applications. *J.*
485 *Agric. Food Chem.* **2017**, *65* (12), 2564-2571.
- 486 23. Vela, E.; Franco-Luesma, E.; Hernandez-Orte, P.; Ferreira, V., Micro-
487 oxygenation does not eliminate H₂S and mercaptans from wine; simply shifts redox and
488 complex-related equilibria to reversible oxidized species and complexed forms. *Food*
489 *Chem.* **2017**, In press FOCH 21791
- 490 24. Kreitman, G. Y.; Danilewicz, J. C.; Jeffery, D. W.; Elias, R. J., Reaction
491 mechanisms of metals with hydrogen sulfide and thiols in model wine. Part 2: Iron- and
492 Copper-Catalyzed Oxidation. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2016**, *64* (20), 4105-4113.
- 493 25. Rauhut, D.; Kurbel, H.; Macnamara, K.; Grossmann, M., Headspace GC-SCD
494 Monitoring of Low Volatile Sulfur-Compounds During Fermentation and in Wine.
495 *Analisis* **1998**, *26* (3), 142-145.
- 496 26. Herszage, J.; Ebeler, S. E., Analysis of volatile organic sulfur compounds in wine
497 using headspace solid-phase microextraction gas chromatography with sulfur
498 chemiluminescence detection. *Am. J. Enol. Viticult.* **2011**, *62* (1), 1-8.
- 499 27. Fang, Y.; Qian, M. C., Sensitive quantification of sulfur compounds in wine by
500 headspace solid-phase microextraction technique. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2005**, *1080* (2), 177-
501 185.
- 502 28. Lopez, R.; Lapena, A. C.; Cacho, J.; Ferreira, V., Quantitative determination of
503 wine highly volatile sulfur compounds by using automated headspace solid-phase
504 microextraction and gas chromatography-pulsed flame photometric detection - Critical
505 study and optimization of a new procedure. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2007**, *1143* (1-2), 8-15.
- 506 29. Franco-Luesma, E.; Ferreira, V., Formation and release of H₂S, methanethiol
507 and dimethylsulfide during the anoxic storage of wines at room temperature. *J. Agric.*
508 *Food Chem.* **2016**, *64* (32), 6317-6326.
- 509 30. Ferreira, V.; Bueno, M.; Franco-Luesma, E.; Cullere, L.; Fernandez-Zurbano, P.,
510 Key Changes in Wine Aroma Active Compounds during Bottle Storage of Spanish Red
511 Wines under Different Oxygen Levels. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2014**, *62* (41), 10015-
512 10027.

- 513 31. Gruenwedel, D. W.; Patnaik, R. K., Release of hydrogen sulfide and methyl
514 mercaptan from sulfur-containing amino acids. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **1971**, *19* (4), 775-
515 9.
- 516 32. Tomlinson, J. W.; Kilmartin, P. A., Measurement of the redox potential of wine.
517 *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **1997**, *27* (10), 1125-1134.
- 518 33. Kilmartin, P. Re-evaluation of redox potential measurements in wine.
519 *enoreports.com* [Online], 2010.
- 520 34. Eshel, G.; Banin, A., Feasibility study of long-term continuous field measurement
521 of soil redox potential. *Commun. Soil Sci. Plant Anal.* **2002**, *33* (5-6), 695-709.
- 522 35. Grundl, T. J.; Macalady, D. L., Electrode measurement of redox potential in
523 anaerobic ferric/ferrous chloride systems. *J. Contam. Hydrol.* **1989**, *5* (1), 97-117.
- 524 36. Vivas A.; Glories, Y. B., A.; Zamora, F., Principe et méthode de mesure du
525 potentiel d'oxydoréduction dans les vins. *Bulletin de l'OIV* **1996**, *785-786*, 618-633.
- 526 37. Vivas, A.; Zamora, F.; Glories, Y., Étude des phénomènes d'oxydoreduction dans
527 les vins. Mise au point d'une méthode rapide de mesure du potentiel d'oxydoréduction.
528 *J. Int. Sci. du Vigne Vin* **1992**, *26*, 271-285.
- 529 38. Kilmartin, P. A.; Zou, H. L., The effect of electrode material on the measured
530 redox potential of red and white wines. *Electroanalysis* **2001**, *13* (16), 1347-1350.
- 531 39. Danilewicz, J. C., Fe(II):Fe(III) ratio and redox status of white wines. *Am. J. Enol.*
532 *Viticult.* **2016**, *67* (2), 146-152
- 533 40. Danilewicz, J. C., Review of oxidative processes in wine and value of reduction
534 potentials in enology. *Am. J. Enol. Viticult.* **2012**, *63* (1), 1-10.
- 535 41. Fulcrand, H.; Duenas, M.; Salas, E.; Cheynier, V., Phenolic reactions during
536 winemaking and aging. *Am. J. Enol. Viticult.* **2006**, *57* (3), 289-297.
- 537 42. Rozan, T. F.; Lassman, M. E.; Ridge, D. P.; Luther, G. W., Evidence for iron,
538 copper and zinc complexation as multinuclear sulphide clusters in oxic rivers. *Nature*
539 **2000**, *406* (6798), 879-882.
- 540 43. Nikolantonaki, M.; Waterhouse, A. L., A method to quantify quinone reaction
541 rates with wine relevant nucleophiles: a key to the understanding of oxidative loss of
542 varietal thiols. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* **2012**, *60* (34), 8484-8491.

543 **Figure captions**

544 **Figure 1.** Evolution of the fraction of H₂S and MeSH under free forms (α , in %) during the
545 accelerated anoxic storage of red wines. Built with data from reference.²¹ Data are the mean of 16
546 different wines

547 **Figure 2.** Evolution of BR-H₂S during the accelerated anoxic storage of three young red wines
548 made with tempranillo (W1 from Ribera-Duero from ref,²¹ W2 from Rioja was previously micro-
549 oxygenated). W2_Cu is W2 treated with 0.5 mg/L of CuSO₄¹²

550 **Figure 3.** Effect of metal cations on the formation of BR-H₂S and BR-MeSH during 2 weeks of
551 AR-aging in wine models (11% v/v ethanol, 3 g/L glycerol, 5g/L tartaric acid, pH 3.5, 50 mg/L
552 of gallic acid and of catechin, 20 mg/L of caffeic acid) containing 20 mg/L of L-Cys and L-Met.
553 Metal cation concentration: Cu(II), 0.5 mg/L; Fe(II), 2 mg/L; Al(III), 1 mg/L; Mn(II), 0.8 mg/L;
554 Zn(II), 0.6 mg/L. Experimental details given as SP.

555 **Figure 4.** Effect of the level of Cysteine on the redox potential of wine models (12% ethanol, 5
556 g/L tartaric acid, pH 3.5) containing or not 5 mg/L Fe(II), 0.6 mg/L Cu(II) or 0.6 mg/L Cu(I). All
557 the models were carefully prepared in the anoxic chamber with Ar-bubbled solutions. Potentials
558 were measured after 15 min, except the one containing just Fe(II), which required 2 days of
559 stabilization. Experimental details given as SP.

560 **Figure 5.** Plots relating the ratio [reduced form]/[oxidized + reduced forms] to the redox potential
561 in 4 different wine model systems, three containing different ratios of the Fe(II)/Fe(III) redox
562 pair, and one of the Cysteine/Cystine. All models contained ethanol, 5 g/L tartaric acid with pH
563 3.5 and 5.0 mg/L of total iron. Iron pairs: 14%(v/v) ethanol; 12% ethanol, 1 g/L citric acid; 14%
564 ethanol, 1 g/L citric acid + 1 g/L glutamic acid. Cysteine/Cystine pair: 12% ethanol, 45 mg/L
565 Cys+Cystine, 5.0 mg/L Fe(II). Experimental details given as SP.

566 **Figure 6:** Evolution of the average redox potential of 15 red wines and 8 whites and rosés stored
567 in strict anoxia at 50°C. Error bars are standard errors of the corresponding means. Data taken
568 from the work presented in ref.²¹

569 **Figure 7.** Relationship between the BR-H₂S level of a wine and its redox potential. Data
570 correspond to a red wine made from Syrah subjected to three different MOX treatments. Initial
571 wine and the three MOX samples were further subjected to AR-aging (2 and 7 weeks)

572 **Figure 8.** Plot showing the relationship between the average redox potential of 16 red wines
573 stored at 50°C and the α fraction of H₂S present as free forms (expressed as % of BR-forms).
574 Error bars are the standard error of the means. Numbers indicate the AR-aging time in days

Table 1. PLS models explaining increases in BR-forms of H₂S and MeSH, in their corresponding proportions in free forms (α) and decreases in the redox potential during the anoxic storage of wines. These models have been built from data presented in reference.²¹ Positively correlated compounds are boldfaced. Abbreviations are given in the legend

N°	Wine type/Parameter	EVar	RMSE	Model (regression coefficients)
1	Red wines. De novo formation of H ₂ S	90%*	0.76	-0.31 + 0.224 LPP + 0.227 Isorhamnetin + 0.197 Vitisin A + 0.177 Cysteine + 0.163 Pyranoanthocyanins - 0.182 epigallocatechin (thiolysis) - 0.169 proanthocyanidins
2	Whites and Rosés. Rate of increase of BR-H ₂ S (de novo formation rate)	97%	0.021	3.44 + 0.402 Fe + 0.167 Cu + 0.104 Cysteine - 0.27 Mn - 0.27 Al - 0.225 freeSO ₂
3	Red wines. Rate of increase of BR-MeSH (de novo formation rate)	88%*	0.0059	7.03 + 0.149 Methionine + 0.164 Ethyl caffeate + 0.170 Procyanidin A2 - 0.134 Initial redox potential - 0.125 MP - 0.152 EC4b - 0.12 ECG - 0.152 Procyanidins - 0.152 pH
4	White and rosé wines. Rate of increase of BR-MeSH (de novo formation rate)	91%	0.011	0.11 + 0.016 Methionine + 0.0113 Zn + 0.0142 pH - 0.0199 Mn - 0.010 Initial redox potential
7	Red wines. Increase of free H ₂ S in 21 days	77%	2.94	3.27 + 0.216 BR-H₂S/Cu + 0.155 Zn - 0.233 Final redox potential^a - 0.247 <i>trans</i> -aconitic acid - 0.237 Cu - 0.151 Malvidin-3-O-glucoside - 0.141 A620
8	Whites & Rosés wines. Increase of free H ₂ S exclusively due to release from complexes	93%	1.02	5.64 + 0.332 BR-H₂S/Cu - 0.212 ΔV_{max}^a - 0.427 Folin - 0.272 <i>t</i> -coumaric acid - 0.227 vanillic acid
9	Red wines. Increase of free MeSH exclusively due to its release from complexes	87%	0.179	1.65 + 0.415 MeSH_{complexed}/Cu + 0.457 MeSH_{complexed} - 0.257 SPP
10	White & Rosés wines. Increase of free MeSH exclusively due to its release from complexes	88%	0.127	-0.324 + 0.263 MeSH_{complexed} + 0.239 MeSH_{complexed}/Cu + 0.221 TPI + 0.241 MyrGal - 0.222 Al

*two samples excluded; #one sample excluded

^aSince redox potential becomes more negative during the storage, the minus sign indicates that a higher drop implies higher release

Abbreviations: LPP, large polymeric pigments; MP, mono pigments; EC4b, Epicatechin-4b-benzylthioether; ECG, epicatechin-3-O-gallate; SPP, small polymeric pigments; mDP, mean degree of polymerization; TPI, total polyphenol index; MyrGal, Myricetin-3-galactoside

Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8

