# Accepted Manuscript

Influence of post-weaning feeding management of beef heifers on performance and physiological profiles through rearing and first lactation

J.A. Rodríguez-Sánchez, A. Sanz, J. Ferrer, I. Casasús

PII: S0739-7240(18)30027-4

DOI: 10.1016/j.domaniend.2018.05.001

Reference: DAE 6311

To appear in: *Domestic Animal Endocrinology*

Received Date: 5 August 2017

Revised Date: 7 April 2018

Accepted Date: 2 May 2018

# Influence of post-weaning feeding management of beef heifers on performance and physiological profiles through rearing and first lactation.

J.A. Rodríguez-Sánchez*, A. Sanz, J. Ferrer, I. Casasús

*Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria (CITA) de Aragón ─ Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón (IA2, CITA-Universidad de Zaragoza). Avda. Montañana, 930, 50059 Zaragoza, Spain.*

*Corresponding author: José A. Rodríguez-Sánchez

e-mail: jarss79@gmail.com

## ABSTRACT

The aim of this study was to examine the effects of two post-weaning feeding management approaches (FEED: 0.8 [HIGH] vs. 0.6 [MOD] kg/d target ADG) on the performance of heifers of two beef breeds (BREED: Parda de Montaña [PA] vs. Pirenaica [PI]) calving at 2 yr. Twenty-five heifers previously creep-fed before weaning (6 mo) were assigned to two planes of nutrition from 6 to 15 mo of age. At 15 mo they were inseminated, and then received similar diets until weaning of their first calf (4 mo post-calving). Several parameters were measured to analyze growth and development (BW; ADG; size measures at 6 mo, 15 mo, calving and weaning), performance at puberty and first breeding, and dam and calf performance in the first lactation (calving traits, ADG, milk yield). Metabolic (glucose, cholesterol, NEFA, β-hydroxybutyrate and urea) and endocrine status (IGF-I and leptin) were assessed in plasma samples collected every 3 mo from 6 mo to calving and monthly during lactation. No interaction between BREED and FEED was observed. Heifers from the HIGH feeding treatment had higher post-weaning ADG than those on the LOW diet. At 15 mo they had greater BW, heart girth and external pelvic area, but they did not differ thereafter. All heifers reached puberty at similar BW (55% mature BW) but different age. Heifers from the HIGH treatment tended ($P < 0.09$) to be pubertal earlier, and PA heifers were 1.6 mo younger than PI heifers ($P < 0.05$) at puberty. At the time of conception (452 ± 59 kg) and calving (471 ± 51 kg) BW was above common recommendations in all groups. Calving traits and performance in lactation did not differ between feeding treatments. BREED only influenced birth weight; PA calves being heavier ($P < 0.05$) which resulted in a larger calf/cow BW ratio, but no effect on calving difficulty or subsequent performance. Metabolic substrates and hormones depended mostly on sampling date, which was related to current energy and protein intake. Glucose ($P < 0.001$), cholesterol ($P < 0.001$) and IGF-I ($P < 0.05$) were greater during the post-weaning phase in heifers on the HIGH diet, and persistent physiological effects were observed during lactation. Age at puberty was negatively related with IGF-I ($r = –0.43$, $P < 0.001$), but not with leptin concentrations. In conclusion, regardless of breed, a moderate growth rate ensured adequate heifer development and performance until the first lactation, whereas no advantage was gained from enhanced post-weaning gains.

**Keywords:** beef breeds; lactation performance; metabolic and hormone profiles; pre-breeding feeding strategy; replacement heifer growth

## 1. Introduction

To decrease the cost of rearing beef heifers, it is recommended to advance the first calving to 2 yr, for which they should be bred at 15 mo and be pubertal at least 6 wk before [1]. Age at puberty depends on the diets applied from 4 to 6 mo [2] and after weaning [3]. To achieve this target, post-weaning growth should guarantee that heifers reach 65% of mature BW at breeding [4], although other studies proposed to reduce this threshold to 50-55% [5,6]. Moreover, the heifers should reach 80% of mature BW [7] at first calving, with adequate skeletal development. Recently, Rodríguez-Sánchez, et al. [8] indicated that beef heifers calving at 2 yr should gain at least 1 kg/d either before or after weaning to prevent impaired performance at calving. In mountain areas, where cow-calf pairs are housed and calves are creep-fed [9], it is safer to ensure this gain before than after weaning, when heifers are turned out to pasture.

The effects of accelerated gains of dairy heifers on adult performance have been given wide attention in literature [10], but the optimal growth rates for beef cattle have yet to be defined. Overnutrition during heifer development has been associated with decreased milk production [11], because it hastens puberty and reduces the duration of the first allometric phase of development of the mammary gland [12]. This effect has been widely studied in dairy cows [13], but it is also of major interest in beef cows, since milk yield is one of the main factors that determine calf weaning weight.

Feeding after weaning affects the metabolic and endocrine profiles, and consequently development and reproductive performance [14]. This long-term effect of nutritional interventions in the young calf on physiological outcomes later in life is known as metabolic imprinting [15]. Moreover, since breeds can differ in growth patterns and age at puberty, management should be tailored to avoid under- or over-feeding [16].

Parda de Montaña (PA) and Pirenaica (PI) are two beef breeds widely spread in the mountain areas of Spanish Pyrenees. The first comes from the old Brown Swiss selected for beef production, while the second is an autochthonous hardy breed used for beef production. Although their mature BW is similar [17], other production traits differ. Parda de Montaña calves are heavier at birth and, due to the greater cow milk yield [18], when not supplemented they are heavier at weaning than PI calves [19]. Thereafter, PA is an intermediate-maturing breed and PI a late-maturing one [20].

We hypothesized that accelerated gains from weaning to breeding would improve the performance of beef heifers at first breeding and in their first lactation. Our second hypothesis was that both breeds could be raised under the same heifer feeding program designed to ensure a timely match of their requirements.

This study aimed to assess the effects of two feeding managements after weaning designed to promote different gains (0.8 vs. 0.6 kg/d) from 6 to 15 mo of age in two breeds of beef heifers (PA vs. PI) on performance, until weaning of their first calf. Heifer development, performance and metabolic (glucose, cholesterol, NEFA, β-hydroxybutyrate,, urea) and endocrine status (IGF-I and leptin) were analyzed through their rearing phase, pregnancy and lactation.

## 2. Material and methods

The Animal Ethics Committee of the Centro de Investigación y Tecnología Agroalimentaria (CITA) approved the experimental procedures, which were in compliance with the guidelines of the European Union [21] on the protection of animals used for experimental and other scientific purposes.

### *2.1. Animals, management and diets*

This study was conducted at CITA-Montañana Research Station (post-weaning phase, 41°43' N, 0°48' W, 225 m above sea level, mean annual temperature 15.2 ± 0.2 °C, and mean annual rainfall 318 ± 63 mm) and CITA-La Garcipollera Research Station, in the mountain area of central Pyrenees (gestation and lactation, 42°37' N, 0°30' W, 945 m above sea level, mean annual temperature 10.2 ± 0.2 °C, and mean annual rainfall 1059 ± 68 mm).

Twenty-five 6-mo-old heifers, born from an autumn-calving herd (October 11 ± 10 d) of 65 adult cows of PA and PI breeds, were distributed in a 2 by 2 factorial arrangement: 2 breeds (BREED: PA vs. PI) by 2 feeding treatments to promote different growth rates

(FEED: 0.8 kg/d [HIGH] and 0.6 kg/d [MOD] treatments, respectively) during the post-weaning phase (from weaning at 6 mo to breeding at 15 mo). This resulted in four experimental groups: PA–HIGH (7 heifers), PA–MOD (6), PI–HIGH (6) and PI–MOD (6) (Fig. 1). The experiment started when the calves were weaned at 6.4 ± 0.3 mo and 238 ± 41 kg BW. Treatments were randomly balanced according to calf BW and age. During the previous lactation phase, they were fed on their dam's milk (suckling twice daily for 30 min) and had free access to a starter concentrate (Table 1), resulting in pre-weaning gains of 1.04 ± 0.18 kg/d.

During the post-weaning phase, heifers were maintained indoors in a loose housing system in straw-bedded pens. All pens assigned to heifers of each experimental group in this phase were in the same barn, similar in size and environmental conditions. Fresh and clean water and vitamin-mineral supplements (lick blocks) were supplied ad libitum. To achieve the targeted weight gains, heifers were group-fed alfalfa hay ad libitum and 10 g (HIGH) or 4 g concentrate/kg BW (MOD) (44% corn, 22% barley, 15% corn gluten, 5% rapeseed flour, 5% soybean flour, 3% beet pulp, 3% palm oil, 3% vitamin-mineral supplements; Table 1). Concentrate was provided daily at 0800 and heifers were tied up for a maximum 1 h until they finished the restricted amount assigned to each one. Alfalfa hay was provided ad libitum in metal feeding troughs, refilled twice daily and were long enough for all heifers in the pen to eat at the same time and avoid competition.

When heifers reached 15 mo of age a 60-d breeding season began, during which they were managed as a single group. In this phase they were fed 9 kg/head/d of a dry total mixed ration (46% barley straw, 12% alfalfa hay, 18% barley, 8% sugarcane molasses, 6% soybean meal, 4% cereal by-products, 4% rapeseed, 2% sunflower seed; Table 1). All heifers were synchronized with an Ovsynch + progesterone releasing intravaginal device (PRID) program, in which they simultaneously received 1.55 mg of progesterone in a PRID (CEVA, Barcelona, Spain) and a 10 μg injection of GnRH (Busol; INVESA, Barcelona, Spain) followed 10 d later by 25 mg of prostaglandin $F_{2α}$ (Enzaprost; CEVA). The PRID was removed 12 d later, and 500 IU of pregnant mare serum gonadotrophin (Foligon; Intervet, Salamanca, Spain) were administered followed 48 h later by a second injection of GnRH (10 μg). Eight hours after the final GnRH injection, heifers were inseminated by an expert technician. Three different sires for each breed were selected for their calving ease, and semen from each of the 3 bulls was equally distributed in the feeding treatments per breed.

Heifers were checked twice daily (0700 and 1900 h) from the first AI to the end of the breeding season for detecting estrus of non-pregnant heifers. They were inseminated approximately 12 h after estrus detection. Return to estrus after each AI was considered as a diagnostic indicator of non-pregnancy status. Pregnancy was confirmed by ultrasonography (Aloka SSD-500V; equipped with a linear-array 7.5 MHz transducer; Aloka, Madrid, Spain) 31 d after the end of the breeding season.

The day of the first timed AI was used to determine age and BW at first breeding. First-service fertility rate was determined as number of pregnant heifers at the first AI divided by total number of heifers, and overall fertility rate was determined as the number of pregnant heifers in the breeding season divided by the total number of heifers.

Two heifers failed to get pregnant and were removed from the experiment at the end of the breeding season, which resulted in the following composition of the experimental groups thereafter: PA–HIGH (6 heifers), PA–MOD (6), PI–HIGH (6) and PI–MOD (5).

From the confirmation of pregnancy until a month before the expected calving date for each heifer, they grazed on mountain meadows (4 heifers/hectare) following the traditional management system [17]. These pastures were composed primarily of grasses *(Festuca arundinacea*, *Festuca pratensis* and *Dactylis glomerata*), legumes (*Trifolium repens*) and other species (1191 kg DM/ha, Table 1). In the last month of gestation, heifers were housed and fed 9 kg/animal/d of meadow hay (Table 1).

After calving, primiparous cows reared their calves for 4 mo. During their first lactation, dams received 10 kg/animal/d of the same dry total mixed ration provided during the breeding season. The diet was calculated to meet the requirements for energy and protein of maintenance, growth and milk production of a cow of 490 kg BW and 6 kg daily milk yield. Calves of primiparous cows had free access to suckle their dams and received no other feed during the lactation period. All pens assigned to animals of each feeding treatment for each phase throughout the experiment were in the same barn, similar in size and environmental conditions. Water and vitamin-mineral supplements (lick blocks) were supplied for ad libitum intake throughout the experiment.

*2.2. Measurements and blood sampling*

During the post-weaning phase, concentrate intake was recorded daily by group and monthly adjusted by average group weight. Intake of alfalfa hay was recorded by pen at weekly intervals. Actual daily intake in the indoor phases was calculated as feed provided minus feed refused, and during the grazing season it was estimated on a monthly basis considering that pasture intake had met the requirements of the heifers according to their BW, ADG and month of gestation.

Feed samples were collected at weekly intervals and were pooled on a monthly basis for chemical analyses. Samples were dried at 60 °C until constant weight and mill-ground (1 mm screen) and DM, ash, ether extract and CP (N × 6.25) contents were determined according to the Association of Official Analytical Chemists [22] (Methods 942.05, 920.39, 968.06). Analyses of NDF, ADF and LDF were conducted according to the sequential procedure of van Soest, et al. [23]. All values were corrected for ash-free content.

Heifers were weighed once a week before morning feeding, without prior deprivation of feed and water. Weight at key points (6, 9, 12, 15 mo, puberty onset, first breeding, calving and weaning) was calculated as the average of three consecutive weights. Daily weight gains (post-weaning, weaning to puberty, gestation and lactation phases) were calculated by linear regression of weight against time. Calves were weighed at calving and thereafter weekly until weaning at 4 mo of age to determine their ADG during lactation. At 15 mo, calving and weaning, BCS was assessed by two expert technicians on a 0 to 5 scale, based on the estimation of fat covering loin, ribs and tailhead.

Body development was studied using size measurements at 6 and 15 mo, calving and weaning. Height at withers (from the highest point of the shoulder blade to the ground), rump width (maximum distance between iliac tuberosities) and rump length (from the ischial tuberosity to the iliac tuberosity) were recorded with a height stick. External pelvic area was estimated as product of rump width and rump length. Heart girth (body circumference immediately posterior to front legs) was measured with a flexible tape.

Calving ease was classified into two categories, i.e., assisted or unassisted. Assisted calving included all types of assistance, from manual pull to a caesarean section as described by Johanson and Berger [24]. Ratio of calf/cow BW was estimated to determine fetal-maternal disproportion, as calf birth weight divided by cow weight at calving expressed as a percentage [24].

Primiparous dams were milked monthly during the 4 mo of lactation, using the oxytocin and machine milking technique 6 h after calf removal [25], to determine quantity and composition of the milk produced daily. Milk fat and protein contents were analyzed with an infrared scan (Milkoscan 4000™; Fosselectric Ltd., Hillerod, Denmark). Energy-corrected milk (ECM) yield (adjusted to 3.5% fat and 3.2% protein) was calculated as described in Casasús, et al. [26].

Blood samples were collected weekly to determine the onset of puberty based on plasma progesterone concentration. Additionally, blood samples were collected every 3 mo during the post-weaning phase and gestation and monthly during lactation to determine concentrations of both metabolites and hormones. Blood samples were collected before morning feeding from the coccygeal vein. Samples to determine progesterone, ß-hydroxybutyrate, IGF-I and leptin concentrations were collected into 9 mL heparinized tubes (Vacuette España S.A., Madrid, Spain). Samples to determine plasma glucose, cholesterol, NEFA and urea concentrations were collected into 9 mL tubes containing EDTA (Vacuette España S.A.). Blood samples were centrifuged at 1,500 × g for 20 min at 4 °C immediately after collection, and the plasma was harvested and frozen at −20 °C until analysis.

All measurements and samples taken at 6 mo were conducted before post-weaning diets were applied.

*2.3. Assays*

The concentrations of progesterone in plasma samples were measured using an ELISA kit specific for cattle (Ridgeway Science, Lydney, UK), following the manufacturer's instructions. The onset of puberty was considered to occur when progesterone levels were ≥ 1.0 ng/mL in at least 2 consecutive samples (normal estrus cycle, ≥ 14 d; [27]). Age at puberty was defined as date of collection of the first blood sample that contained ≥ 1.0 ng/mL of plasma progesterone. To ensure the continuation of estrous cycles, blood samples analyzed after the attainment of puberty were confirmed by observation of at least 1 subsequent estrous cycle of normal duration, based on progesterone concentration.

Plasma concentrations of glucose (glucose oxidase/peroxidase method), cholesterol (enzymatic-colorimetric method), ß-hydroxybutyrate (enzymatic-colorimetric method) and urea (kinetic UV test) were determined with an automatic analyzer (GernonStar, RAL/TRANSASIA, Dabhel, India). Protocols and reagents for glucose, cholesterol and urea analyses were provided by the analyzer manufacturer (RAL, Barcelona, Spain), and reagents for ß-hydroxybutyrate were supplied by Randox Laboratories Ltd. (Crumlin Co., Antrim, UK). Samples were run in duplicate.

Mean intra- and inter-assay CV for these metabolites were <5.4% and <5.8%, respectively. Sensitivity was 0.056, 0.026, 0.030, 0.170 mmol/L for glucose, cholesterol, ß-hydroxybutyrate and urea, respectively. Plasma concentrations of NEFA were analyzed with an enzymatic method using a commercial kit (Randox Laboratories Ltd.). Commercial reference plasma samples (bovine precision serum; Randox Laboratories Ltd.) were used to evaluate the accuracy of the analyses. Mean intra- and inter-assay CV were 5.1% and 7.4%, respectively. Sensitivity was 0.060 mmol/L.

Circulating IGF-I concentrations were quantified with a solid-phase enzyme-labeled chemiluminescent immunometric assay (Immulite; Siemens Medical Solutions Diagnostics Limited, Llanberis, Gwynedd, UK). Mean intra- and interassay CV were 3.1 and 12.0%, respectively. Sensitivity was 20 ng/mL.

Plasma leptin concentrations were determined by RIA with a multispecies commercial kit (Multispecies Leptin Ria kit; LINCO Research, St. Charles, MO). Mean intra- and interassay CV were 3.54 and 6.87%, respectively. Sensitivity averaged 1.30 ng/mL.

### *2.4. Statistical analyses*

All data were analyzed as a completely randomized design with the SAS statistical software package (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA). Heifer was considered the experimental unit. Data for BW, ADG, BCS, size measures (height at withers, heart girth and external pelvic area), ECM yield and milk quality, metabolic (glucose, cholesterol, NEFA, ß-hydroxybutyrate and urea) and endocrine (IGF-I and leptin) profiles were analyzed using the SAS MIXED procedure for repeated measures. Covariance structure was selected on the basis of the lowest Akaike information criterion. Therefore, an unstructured covariance matrix (UN) was used for analysis of repeated measures, which included BREED, FEED, sampling date and their interaction as fixed effects and with heifer as the random effect in a univariate linear mixed model.

Age and weight at puberty and at the first AI were tested with ANOVA using the GLM procedure, where BREED, FEED and their interaction were fixed effects. Fertility rate at first AI and at the end of the breeding period were analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure, considering BREED and FEED as fixed effects and AI sire as the random effect, with a logit link and a binomial distribution.

Calf performance (BW at birth and weaning, and ADG) and calf/cow BW ratio were tested with ANOVA using the GLM procedure, with the fixed effects of BREED, FEED, sire used for AI, calf sex and their interaction. Calf sex effect was analyzed using the FREQ procedure ($\chi^2$ test). Calving assistance was analyzed using the GLIMMIX procedure, considering BREED, FEED and calf sex as fixed effects and AI sire as the random effect, with a logit link and a binomial distribution. The model analyzed also the effects of the length of gestation, calving date and calf/cow BW ratio.

Pearson correlation coefficients between all variables at a given time point, and between all time points for a given metabolite or hormone, were calculated using the CORR procedure. Where not stated, correlations were not significant. Means were separated using the LSMEANS procedure. For all tests, level of significance was $P < 0.05$ and tendencies were determined if $P \geq 0.05$ and $P < 0.10$.

Total energy and protein intake were not tested statistically as the data recorded to calculate them were registered on a group basis, and hence only absolute data are presented.

## 3. Results

*3.1. Growth performance*

The interaction between BREED and FEED was not significant ($P > 0.10$) at any date and for any growth trait assessed; therefore, the main effects are presented separately.

Heifer weight at keypoints, ADG and BCS during the post-weaning phase, gestation and lactation are displayed in Table 2. At the start of the study BW did not differ between breeds, and since ADG were similar thereafter, they had similar weight at 15 mo, calving and weaning. The BCS at 15 mo and calving were also similar between breeds, but during lactation PA cows showed a slight loss of BCS whereas PI primiparous maintained it, and therefore BCS at weaning was greater in PI than in PA cows ($P < 0.01$).

Gains during the post-weaning phase differed between both FEED treatments ($P < 0.001$). Consequently heifers from the HIGH treatment were heavier than those from the MOD treatment at 15 mo ($P < 0.05$), and BCS also tended to be greater ($P = 0.06$). This difference was compensated for during gestation, and thereafter weight and BCS at calving and weaning did not differ between feeding strategies, and neither did ADG during lactation.

Size measurements are shown in Table 3. Heifers of both breeds had similar height at withers and heart girth throughout the experiment, both being strongly and positively correlated with BW (r = 0.88 and 0.90, respectively, $P < 0.001$). The external pelvic area tended to be greater in PA heifers at 6 mo ($P = 0.05$) and was significantly greater at 15 mo ($P < 0.01$), but it did not differ at calving. This trait was also correlated with BW (r = 0.90, $P < 0.001$).

No differences were observed between feeding strategies at 6 mo, but at 15 mo height at withers tended to be greater in heifers from the HIGH feeding treatment ($P = 0.09$), and they had greater heart girth ($P < 0.01$), which corresponded with their greater BW at this point. They also had a larger external pelvic area ($P < 0.05$) at this point, but throughout gestation all these differences were offset and values were similar at calving and at weaning.

*3.2. Productive performance*

Heifer performance at puberty and first breeding was not influenced by the interaction between BREED and FEED and therefore results of the main effects are presented in Table 4. All heifers were pubertal before the breeding season, reaching puberty at similar BW (322 ± 38 kg), which was 55.5% of expected mature BW (580 kg for both breeds; [17]).

Age at puberty was different between breeds, PA heifers attaining puberty earlier than PI heifers ($P < 0.05$). Heifers from the HIGH feeding treatment tended to be pubertal 1 mo earlier ($P = 0.09$) than those from the MOD treatment. Consistent with this trend, a strong negative correlation between age at puberty and weaning-to-puberty ADG of heifers ($r = -0.70$, $P < 0.001$) was observed. All heifers were pubertal at least 2 mo before the first AI, except for one PI–MOD heifer who was pubertal only 1 mo before.

As shown in Table 4, BW at the first AI did not differ between breeds, despite PI heifers being 35 kg lighter, most likely because of the low BW reached by PI–MOD heifers (389 ± 51 kg). As expected, BW at the first AI was affected by FEED ($P < 0.05$), heifers from HIGH feeding treatment being 53 kg heavier. Despite this difference, BW in all experimental groups was greater than 65% of the expected mature BW (381 kg). Fertility rate at the first AI and at the end of the breeding season were similar among treatments, and were not influenced by AI sire.

Dam and calf traits in the first lactation are presented in Table 5 according to BREED and FEED, since they were not affected by the interaction between these main effects. Age at first calving was similar in all treatments (25.9 ± 0.9 mo). Assistance at calving was needed in 36% of the primiparous cows, and mostly consisted of the use of a calving jack, caesarean section not being needed in any case. The disproportion between cow and calf BW, i.e. the calf/cow BW ratio, was greater in PA than in PI cows (8.1% vs. 7.1% respectively, $P < 0.05$).

However, differences among treatments in incidence of calving assistance related to BREED, FEED, sire used for AI, gestation length, calving date, calf sex or calf/cow BW ratio were not significant ($P > 0.10$).

The calf sex ratio was similar among treatments ($P > 0.10$), and no differences were observed between sexes in calf weight at birth (36.7 vs. 33.2 kg BW in male and female calves respectively, SEM = 2.33, $P > 0.10$) or at weaning (112.2 vs. 117.9 kg BW, SEM = 7.31, $P > 0.10$), nor in the calf ADG during lactation (0.629 vs. 0.710 kg ADG, SEM = 0.083, $P > 0.10$), neither among sires (data not shown). No other dam productive or reproductive trait analyzed herein was influenced by calf sex. As shown in Table 5, PA calves were 5 kg heavier at birth than PI calves ($P < 0.01$). Thereafter, calf performance was unaffected by BREED or FEED treatments, showing similar gains during the 120 d of lactation (0.669 ± 0.104 kg/d), which resulted in a similar weight at weaning (116.1 ± 12.9 kg).

The average milk yield of primiparous cows during lactation (Table 6) was affected by the interaction between BREED and FEED ($P < 0.001$), and so was the ECM, which was stable during the first three months of lactation and decreased in the last month (Fig. 2). The overall milk production was unexpectedly low (5.05 vs. 5.11 kg/d in PA and PI respectively, $P > 0.10$), especially in PA cows. Milk fat and protein contents were lower in PA than in PI cows (3.49 vs. 4.31% fat, respectively, $P < 0.001$; 3.39 vs. 3.52% protein, respectively, $P < 0.05$). Finally, a FEED effect on the milk protein was observed, this content being greater in HIGH than in MOD treatments (3.53 vs. 3.38%, respectively, $P < 0.05$).

*3.3. Metabolic profiles*

Estimated energy and protein intake depending on the breed and feeding management are presented in Fig. 3. The profiles of different metabolites are shown in Fig. 4 according to BREED and FEED, since there was no interaction between both effects, but all of them were affected by sampling date ($P < 0.001$). For all metabolites, the correlations between concentrations observed at 6 mo, 15 mo, calving and weaning were not significant ($P < 0.10$).

The greatest concentrations of glucose were observed at 6 mo (6.25 ± 0.10 mmol/L) and thereafter they decreased throughout gestation to a nadir observed one week before calving (3.49 ± 0.06 mmol/L). Glucose concentrations did not differ between breeds ($P > 0.10$). Mean values were greater in heifers from HIGH feeding management than in the MOD group (4.37 vs. 4.18 mmol/L, respectively, $P < 0.001$). Glucose was positively correlated with ADG and BCS (r = 0.79 and 0.69, respectively, $P < 0.001$) throughout the study.

Regarding cholesterol, the greatest values were also observed at 6 mo (4.50 ± 0.14 mmol/L) and its nadir was found prior to calving (2.43 ± 0.10 mmol/L). Plasma cholesterol values were lower in PA than in PI heifers (2.91 vs. 3.23 mmol/L, respectively, $P < 0.05$). Besides, an interaction was observed between sampling date and FEED ($P < 0.001$). During the post-weaning phase cholesterol values were greater in the HIGH feeding management, they did not differ during gestation, and during lactation the highest values were observed in dams from the MOD treatment. Moreover, cholesterol and glucose levels were strongly correlated (r = 0.51, $P < 0.001$). During lactation, cholesterol concentration was positively associated to milk yield of dams (r = 0.38, $P < 0.001$).

Plasma NEFA concentrations were affected by BREED (0.20 vs. 0.24 mmol/L in PA and PI, respectively, $P < 0.05$), but not by FEED. They increased as gestation progressed to the greatest values observed at calving (0.45 ± 0.08 mmol/L). They then showed a marked drop during lactation to a nadir at weaning (0.04 ± 0.01 mmol/L), being correlated with cow milk yield in the first month of lactation (r = 0.55, $P < 0.01$).

Concerning ß-hydroxybutyrate, the influence of FEED depended on sampling date ($P < 0.001$), with greater values in the HIGH feeding treatment only during the post-weaning period. In this phase, the concentrations of ß-hydroxybutyrate and NEFA were negatively correlated (r = –0.51, $P < 0.01$). Thereafter they did not differ across BREED or FEED with advancing gestation and lactation.

Plasma urea concentration increased throughout the post-weaning phase to its greatest value at 15 mo (6.5 ± 0.14 mmol/L), decreased during pregnancy to a nadir at the start of lactation (3.8 ± 0.16 mmol/L) and increased again thereafter, regardless of BREED or FEED. Urea concentration at 6 mo was positively related to cholesterol concentration (r = 0.47, $P < 0.05$) and negatively to age at puberty of heifers (r = –0.58, $P < 0.01$).

*3.4. Endocrine profiles*

Profiles of plasma IGF-I and leptin are presented in Fig. 5 according to BREED and FEED. The concentration of both hormones was affected by sampling date ($P < 0.001$).

The greatest level of IGF-I was observed at the start of study (283 ± 13.1 ng/mL), and individual values were associated with the pre-weaning weight gains (r = 0.40, $P < 0.01$). Thereafter, level of IGF-I remained steady during the post-weaning period, decreased through gestation to a nadir at calving (53 ± 6.9 ng/mL), and then they increased as lactation progressed. Values of IGF-I did not differ between breeds but they were affected by FEED, with greater values observed in the HIGH than in the MOD feeding treatment (145 vs. 120 ng/mL, respectively, $P < 0.05$). Heifers with higher concentrations of IGF-I had greater weight gains (r = 0.83) and BCS (r = 0.74) throughout the experiment and attained puberty earlier (r = 0.43) ($P < 0.001$ in all cases). Positive relationships were also found between IGF-I and glucose (r = 0.66), cholesterol (r = 0.29) and urea (r = 0.30) levels during the trial, and a negative relation with concentrations of NEFA (r = –0.21) ($P < 0.001$). At the individual level, IGF-I concentrations were related across sampling times, since correlations were observed between samples obtained at 6 and 15 mo (r = 0.54, $P < 0.001$) and up to weaning of the first calf (r = 0.63, $P < 0.01$).

Circulating leptin increased through the post-weaning phase, and it was fairly stable throughout the first gestation and lactation. Plasma leptin was unaffected by BREED or FEED and it was not related with any other metabolite or performance trait. Further, no relationship was observed among different sampling times.

## 4. Discussion

### *4.1. Growth performance*

The target ADG during the post-weaning phase was achieved in both FEED treatments, and consequently heifers from the HIGH treatment grew faster and at 15 mo they were heavier and tended to be fatter. The similar weight gains observed in heifers of both breeds throughout the study agree with previous results described in works both with heifers and growing bulls [20], likely due to their similar intake capacity [26] and feed conversion efficiency [28]. Considering their similar mature BW (580 kg [17]), at the time of conception all heifers exceeded the minimum recommended BW to avoid future detriment to dam performance, i.e. either 65% of mature BW [4] or the more restrictive 50-55% recommendation [5,6]. After gestation on a common diet, heifer BW and BCS at calving were similar in all treatments and in accordance with those described in PA heifers having their first calving at 2 yr [8], but lighter than those of 2.5 yr-old PA primiparous [17]. Although the proportion of mature BW heifers at conception may have suggested excessive conditioning, their weight at calving was 81% of the expected mature BW in these genotypes, which roughly matched the 80% recommended by the NRC [7] for primiparous beef cows. Throughout lactation all dams maintained their weight, but PA lost BCS whereas PI maintained it. This would confirm the lower ability of lactating PA cattle to maintain BCS compared to PI cows, observed both under grazing [17] or confinement conditions [29]. This fact may be due to a different pattern of energy allocation, so that PA cows direct the energy mainly for milk production whereas PI cows prioritize the accumulation or maintenance of body reserves [18].

Linear body measures are frequently used to complement weight as indicators of growth. Both breeds showed similar height at withers and heart girth throughout the experiment, indicating a similar skeletal development. However, both traits were significantly greater at 15 mo in heifers from the HIGH than those from the MOD treatment, which confirms their different growth pattern. Height at withers at calving was 97% of that described by Álvarez-Rodríguez, et al. [30] (131 cm) for mature dry cows of the same breeds, and therefore skeletal development at first calving could be considered as adequate for all groups. External pelvic area at 15 mo was smaller in PI heifers, which might reflect a later pelvic development, and in those of the MOD group, but these differences were offset during gestation and had no further effects.

*4.2. Productive performance*

All the heifers attained puberty at a similar BW, which confirms that puberty is reached at a critical BW around 55% of mature BW [31], irrespective of growth patterns. Freetly, et al. [31] suggested that this trait was a more robust predictor of age at puberty than absolute weight or age. This was also described by Grings, et al. [32] among beef heifers of three sire breeds differing in potential muscularity and raised on different dietary regimes. Puberty was reached before 300 d of age in 60% of the heifers, which can be considered as a precocious puberty [2], and this proportion was greater in the PA (77%) than in the PI heifers (42%). Weight at puberty did not differ between breeds but PA heifers attained puberty earlier, as observed previously [33], which could be ascribed to the ancient origin of PA in the Brown Swiss dual purpose breed, since dairy breeds reach puberty earlier than beef breeds.

Some studies have indicated that diets promoting greater rates of gain early after weaning can increase the tendency to reach puberty at an earlier age, particularly when heifers are fed high-starch, gluconeogenic diets [2]. In the current study, puberty only tended to be achieved earlier in heifers from the HIGH than those from the MOD treatment, a trend that was confirmed at the individual level, since rates of gain were negatively related to age at puberty. The fact that differences between treatments did not reach significance could be due to the limited number of animals, and also to the fact that all heifers had similar and high pre-weaning gains (1.039 ± 0.176 kg/d), which were greater than those observed in previous work with non creep-fed calves of both breeds [17,26]. These high gains during lactation could have induced an earlier puberty, as suggested by Day and Nogueira [34]. Cardoso, et al. [35] reported that a favorable nutritional status between 4 and 6.5 mo of age induced functional changes in the neuroendocrine reproductive system that persisted after a period of feed intake restriction between 6.5 and 9 mo of age. Furthermore, Rodríguez-Sánchez, et al. [36] described a negative correlation between age at puberty and weight gains before weaning, but not with ADG after weaning. Conversely, Nepomuceno, et al. [37] found that enhanced nutrition during the post-weaning period was an effective method to anticipate puberty, which was not influenced by pre-weaning calf supplementation. Nevertheless, all heifers involved in the experiment were pubertal early enough before the first AI. One of the main objectives of heifer replacement programs is to reach puberty 30 to 45 d before the breeding season [4], because the fertility rate increases after the pubertal estrous [38], which was achieved in the current study.

All primiparous cows calved at 26 mo, which is 10 mo earlier than usual in Spanish beef heifers [39]. Despite the fact that weight at calving complied with NRC recommendations, 36% of the primiparous cows needed assistance at calving. This rate was similar to an incidence of assistance of 38% described both for beef [40] and dairy heifers [24], and was not affected by any of the factors analyzed herein.

Regarding the offspring traits, calf weight at birth was greater in PA heifers, in agreement with previous results [17], but it was not affected by FEED, as described by Rodríguez-Sánchez, et al. [8]. Thereafter, offspring performance was not influenced by BREED or FEED, but calf growth during lactation was lower than that reported for the offspring of mature cows of both breeds [19]. Milk yield was unexpectedly low according to the objective of the diet, especially in PA dams, which had similar production to PI cows although it is usually lower in the latter [26]. In fact, all groups except PI-MOD had lower milk production than expected, perhaps as a response to the high gains reached by these groups before puberty, over 1 kg/d, whilst the PI-MOD gained 0.89 kg/d. Our results would confirm that pre-pubertal gains of around 0.8 kg/d can maximize milk yield, as described in dairy heifers [10], because greater pre-pubertal gains could increase deposition of mammary adipose tissue and impair parenchymal development of the mammary gland [13]. Furthermore, Dervishi, et al. [41] indicated that the lower milk yield of beef primiparous cows, which had been creep-fed as calves, was associated with a different pattern of gene expression in the mammary gland, which suggested a compromised immune status during lactation.

*4.3. Metabolic profiles*

Some differences were observed in the current study in metabolic substrates associated with breed and feeding treatment in the rearing phase, but sampling date had a major effect in all cases, probably because of the strong short-term effect of current energy and/or protein intake. At the individual level, concentrations of the different metabolites were not related over time. Metabolic imprinting was only observed in the case of glucose and cholesterol, where feeding management in the juvenile period originated effects which persisted into lactation.

Glucose concentrations decreased after weaning, because it is the main energy source for the lactating calf but afterwards there is a gradual shift in the sources of physiological fuel [42]. The greater values in the HIGH group were related to their greater concentrate intake in this phase, which resulted in a greater ruminal production of propionate [43], which once absorbed is the main source for glucose synthesis at the liver [44]. These differences were still evident in the first lactation, in agreement with other authors [15,45] who found that post-weaning diets differing in concentrate content resulted in different glucose and insulin profiles, persisting into adulthood. The drop of glucose concentrations throughout gestation to the nadir registered one week before calving could be caused by the reduced intake capacity in late gestation as fetus size increases [26].

Circulating cholesterol was affected by an interaction between feeding treatment and sampling time. In the rearing phase, the greater levels observed in the HIGH treatment were associated with their greater energy intake. In contrast, the greater concentration in the MOD treatment during lactation was mainly due to PI-MOD heifers, which also had the greatest milk yield, indicating a mobilization of fat reserves for milk secretion, as shown by Ruegg, et al. [46].

Plasma NEFA concentrations have been associated with the mobilization of fat tissue in replacement heifers fed different diets [47], but herein they did not differ among feeding treatments in any phase. In the rearing period, the greatest concentrations were observed in newly weaned heifers, probably because weaning is stressful and it increases the level of catecholamines, which stimulate lipolysis [48]. After breeding, values increased with advancing pregnancy and peaked at calving. In lactation, dam milk yield was correlated to plasma NEFA concentrations at calving, which implies that the fat mobilized was invested in milk secretion. The greater values observed in PI heifers throughout the study could reflect their greater reactivity to handling practices [49].

Plasma concentrations of ß-hydroxybutyrate depend both on energy balance and ruminal fermentation pattern, since both internal lipolysis and absorption of butyric acid from the ruminal wall are major sources for ß-hydroxybutyrate [50]. In our study, the fermentation of a diet with greater concentrate content induced a greater ruminal production of butyric acid in the HIGH feeding treatment [43], resulting in greater plasma ß-hydroxybutyrate during the rearing phase. The negative relationship observed between ß-hydroxybutyrate and NEFA concentrations could be explained because, although both metabolites indicate short-term negative energy balance and adipose tissue catabolism, ß-hydroxybutyrate can also come from dietary sources [51].

Increased urea concentrations have been associated with a greater intake of dietary protein but also to the catabolism of body protein in periods of energy shortfall [14]. In the current study, circulating urea matched the pattern of estimated protein intake throughout the experiment, as both are positively correlated [52]. It increased throughout the rearing phase, as observed by Brickell, et al. [14] in dairy heifers. During lactation, plasma urea was below 7 mmol/L, a level indicated by Butler [53] as the upper threshold to avoid impairing subsequent fertility in dairy cattle.

The positive relationship between urea concentration at 6 mo and cholesterol, and the negative correlation with age at puberty confirms that puberty is hastened in heifers with better nutritional status at weaning [3]. Hence, levels of cholesterol and urea registered at 6 mo could be helpful indicators to estimate the capacity of the heifer to attain puberty early. As observed by Abeni, et al. [54] although large differences were not observed between dietary treatments for some metabolites, associations at the individual level reflect a strong within-animal relationship between metabolism and growth.

*4.4. Endocrine profiles*

Animal growth is controlled primarily by the somatotropic axis, formed by growth hormone, insulin and the IGF-I. Under diets formulated for high rates of gain (1.2 kg/day) through the first year of life, Govoni, et al. [55] observed that IGF-I increased particularly from 200 to 300 d of age in Hereford heifers and plateaued afterwards. In the current experiment, however, the greatest values of IGF-I were observed at 6 mo, associated with high gains during lactation, and then they decreased with advancing age. Concentrations of IGF-I reached a nadir at calving, which could be associated with parturition stress [56], and they increased thereafter, as described in other works [57].

There were no differences between genotypes in their plasma IGF-I levels, as reported in previous work for suckling cows [29] and growing bulls [28] of the same breeds, which was associated with their similar growth potential. Heifers from the HIGH treatment showed greater values of IGF-I throughout the study, and at the individual level there was a strong correlation among concentrations in samples collected over time. This would confirm the theory of Reis, et al. [15], who reported that concentrate supplementation in early life had a long-term impact on the mRNA expression of hepatic IGF-I, but they could not find equivalent translation into circulating IGF-I concentrations.

The within-animal relationships observed between IGF-I, weight gains and age at puberty have also been described in dairy heifers [14], confirming that IGF-I is an important metabolic mediator involved in the onset of puberty in beef cattle [58]. Similarly, the correlations of IGF-I with glucose and cholesterol reflect the bond of this growth factor with energy balance.

Circulating leptin increased through the post-weaning phase, which was associated with fat deposition, because leptin is a key metabolic signal synthesized by fat cells in white adipose tissue that communicates information about body energy reserves and nutritional status [59]. There were no differences between breeds, which agrees with their similar BCS.

Leptin was not influenced by FEED, and at the individual level it was not related with gains or with age at puberty. Greater gains during juvenile development can induce adipose tissue accretion and enhance the synthesis and release of leptin, which signals the central nervous system of the availability of enough nutritional reserves to support the pubertal transition [60]. Therefore, the lack of effects observed here implies that nutritional status was adequate in both treatments. Similarly, Cooke, et al. [58] did not find that greater leptin concentrations hastened the puberty attainment of beef heifers, and concluded that it served as a permissive signal that allows puberty to occur, but with a secondary role to that of IGF-I. The peripubertal rise in plasma leptin reported by Garcia, et al. [61] most likely reflects fat deposition but would not be a mandatory condition for the attainment of puberty, which can occur over a wide range of plasma leptin concentrations [62].

In conclusion, both post-weaning growth rates (0.8 and 0.6 kg/d over a 9-mo rearing phase) allowed animals to surpass the threshold weights recommended for beef heifers both at conception (15 mo) and at calving (2 yr) in both breeds. Moreover, a moderate growth rate was sufficient to ensure metabolic and hormone profiles that were adequate for heifer development and performance until the first lactation, whereas no advantage was gained from a higher feeding level**.**

**Acknowledgements**

Appreciation is expressed to the staff of CITA Research Center and Drs. C. Tamanini and N. Govoni from Università degli Studi di Bologna for technical support. Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (INIA RTA 2010-057, RTA 2013-059 and RZP 2015-001), European Regional Development Fund and Research Group Funds of

Government of Aragon (A11) funded this research. The first author received a grant from INIA-Government of Spain.

**References**

[1] Wathes DC, Pollott GE, Johnson KF, Richardson H, Cooke JS. Heifer fertility and carry over consequences for life time production in dairy and beef cattle. Animal. 2014; 8:91-104.

[2] Gasser CL, Behlke EJ, Grum DE, Day ML. Effect of timing of feeding a high-concentrate diet on growth and attainment of puberty in early-weaned heifers. J Anim Sci. 2006; 84:3118-3122.

[3] Roberts AJ, Geary TW, Grings EE, Waterman RC, MacNeil MD. Reproductive performance of heifers offered ad libitum or restricted access to feed for a one hundred forty-day period after weaning. J Anim Sci. 2009; 87:3043-3052.

[4] Gasser CL. Considerations on puberty in replacement beef heifers. J Anim Sci. 2013; 91:1336-1340.

[5] Martin JL, Creighton KW, Musgrave JA, Klopfenstein TJ, Clark RT, Adams DC, Funston RN. Effect of prebreeding body weight or progestin exposure before breeding on beef heifer performance through the second breeding season. J Anim Sci. 2008; 86:451-459.

[6] Endecott RL, Funston RN, Mulliniks JT, Roberts AJ. Implications of beef heifer development systems and lifetime productivity. J Anim Sci. 2013; 91:1329-1335.

[7] NRC. Nutrient Requeriments of Beef Cattle. 7th rev. ed. National Academy Press, Washington, DC (USA). 2000.

[8] Rodríguez-Sánchez JA, Sanz A, Ferrer J, Ripoll G, Casasús I. First calving performance and physiological profiles of 2 yr old beef heifers according to their prebreeding growth. Can J Anim Sci. 2017; 97:488-498.

[9] Blanco M, Villalba D, Ferrer J, Calvo JH, Macarulla JM, Revilla R, Casasús I. Caracterización preliminar de las explotaciones de ganado vacuno de raza Parda de Montaña en Aragón. XIII Jornadas sobre Producción Animal AIDA. 2009; II:388-390.

[10] Zanton GI, Heinrichs AJ. Meta-Analysis to assess effect of prepubertal average daily gain of Holstein heifers on first-lactation production. J Dairy Sci. 2005; 88:3860-3867.

[11] Buskirk DD, Faulkner DB, Hurley WL, Kesler DJ, Ireland FA, Nash TG, Castree JC, Vicini JL. Growth, reproductive performance, mammary development, and milk production of beef heifers as influenced by prepubertal dietary energy and administration of bovine somatotropin. J Anim Sci. 1996; 74:2649-2662.

[12] Lohakare JD, Südekum KH, Pattanaik AK. Nutrition-induced changes of growth from birth to first calving and its impact on mammary development and first-lactation milk yield in dairy heifers: A review. Asian Australas J Anim Sci. 2012; 25:1338-1350.

[13] Sejrsen K, Purup S, Vestergaard M, Foldager J. High body weight gain and reduced bovine mammary growth: physiological basis and implications for milk yield potential. Domest Anim Endocrin. 2000; 19:93-104.

[14] Brickell JS, McGowan MM, Wathes DC. Effect of management factors and blood metabolites during the rearing period on growth in dairy heifers on UK farms. Domest Anim Endocrin. 2009; 36:67-81.

[15] Reis MM, Cooke RF, Cappellozza BI, Marques RS, Guarnieri Filho TA, Rodrigues MC, Bradley JS, Mueller CJ, Keisler DH, Johnson SE, Bohnert DW. Creep-feeding to stimulate metabolic imprinting in nursing beef heifers: Impacts on heifer growth, reproductive and physiological variables. Animal. 2015; 9:1500-1508.

[16] Ferrell CL. Effects of postweaning rate of gain on onset of puberty and productive performance of heifers of different breeds. J Anim Sci. 1982; 55:1272-1283.

[17] Casasús I, Sanz A, Villalba D, Ferrer R, Revilla R. Factors affecting animal performance during the grazing season in a mountain cattle production system. J Anim Sci. 2002; 80:1638-1651.

[18] Sanz A, Casasús I, Villalba D, Revilla R. Effects of suckling frequency and breed on productive performance, follicular dynamics and postpartum interval in beef cows. Anim Reprod Sci. 2003; 79:57-69.

[19] Villalba D, Casasús I, Sanz A, Estany J, Revilla R. Preweaning growth curves in Brown Swiss and Pirenaica calves with emphasis on individual variability. J Anim Sci. 2000; 78:1132-1140.

[20] Piedrafita J, Quintanilla R, Sañudo C, Olleta JL, Campo MM, Panea B, Renand G, Turin F, Jabet S, Osoro K, Oliván MC, Noval G, García P, García MD, Oliver MA, Gispert M, Serra X, Espejo M, García S, López M, Izquierdo M. Carcass quality of 10 beef cattle breeds of the Southwest of Europe in their typical production systems. Livest Prod Sci. 2003; 82:1-13.

[21] European Union. of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes: Text with EEA relevance. http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServ/LexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32010L0063:EN:NOT. Directive 2010/63/EU.

[22] Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Official methods of analysis. 15th ed. Assoc. Off. Anal. Chem., 1990.

[23] van Soest PJ, Robertson JB, Lewis BA. Methods for dietary fiber, neutral detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition. J Dairy Sci. 1991; 74:3583-3597.

[24] Johanson JM, Berger PJ. Birth weight as a predictor of calving ease and perinatal mortality in Holstein cattle. J Dairy Sci. 2003; 86:3745-3755.

[25] Le Du YLP, Macdonald AJ, Peart JN. Comparison of two techniques for estimating the milk production of suckler cows. Livest Prod Sci. 1979; 6:277-281.

[26] Casasús I, Sanz A, Villalba D, Ferrer R, Revilla R. Intake capacity of two breeds of suckler cattle of different milk yield potential and validation of prediction models. Livest Prod Sci. 2004; 89:195-207.

[27] Álvarez-Rodríguez J, Palacio J, Sanz A. Effects of nursing frequency and parity on the metabolic and reproductive function of beef cows. Livest Sci. 2010; 129:111-121.

[28] Blanco M, Villalba D, Ripoll G, Sauerwein H, Casasús I. Effects of early weaning and breed on calf performance and carcass and meat quality in fall-born bull calves. Livest Sci. 2009; 120:103-115.

[29] Álvarez-Rodríguez J, Palacio J, Sanz A. Metabolic and luteal function in winter-calving Spanish beef cows as affected by calf management and breed. J Anim Physiol Anim Nutr (Berl). 2010; 94:385-394.

[30] Álvarez-Rodríguez J, Blanco M, Ripoll G, Sanz A, Casasús I. Body composition in mature Parda de Montaña and Pirenaica suckler cows. Span J Agric Res. 2009; 7:67-74.

[31] Freetly HC, Kuehn LA, Cundiff LV. Growth curves of crossbred cows sired by Hereford, Angus, Belgian Blue, Brahman, Boran, and Tuli bulls, and the fraction of mature body weight and height at puberty. J Anim Sci. 2011; 89:2373-2379.

[32] Grings EE, Staigmiller RB, Short RE, Bellows RA, MacNeil MD. Effects of stair-step nutrition and trace mineral supplementation on attainment of puberty in beef heifers of three sire breeds. J Anim Sci. 1999; 77:810-815.

[33] Revilla R, Olleta JL, San Juan L, Blasco I. Recría y manejo de novillas en zonas de montaña. Bovis. 1992; 46:45-65.

[34] Day ML, Nogueira GP. Management of age at puberty in beef heifers to optimize efficiency of beef production. Anim Front. 2013; 3:6-11.

[35] Cardoso RC, Alves BRC, Prezotto LD, Thorson JF, Tedeschi LO, Keisler DH, Park CS, Amstalden M, Williams GL. Use of a stair-step compensatory gain nutritional regimen to program the onset of puberty in beef heifers. J Anim Sci. 2014; 92:2942-2949.

[36] Rodríguez-Sánchez JA, Sanz A, Tamanini C, Casasús I. Metabolic, endocrine, and reproductive responses of beef heifers submitted to different growth strategies during the lactation and rearing periods. J Anim Sci. 2015; 93:3871-3885.

[37] Nepomuceno DD, Pires AV, Ferraz MVCJ, Biehl MV, Gonçalves JRS, Moreira EM, Day ML. Effect of pre-partum dam supplementation, creep-feeding and post-weaning feedlot on age at puberty in Nellore heifers. Livest Sci. 2017; 195:58-62.

[38] Byerley DJ, Staigmiller RB, Berardinelli JG, Short RE. Pregnancy rates of beef heifers bred either on puberal or third estrus. J Anim Sci. 1987; 65:645-650.

[39] MAPAMA. Estudio del sector español de vacas nodrizas en base SITRAN 2014. http://www.mapama.gob.es/es/ganaderia/temas/produccion-y-mercados-ganaderos/sectores-ganaderos/vacuno-de-carne/informacion-del-sector/. Verified February 2018.

[40] Cortés-Lacruz X, Revilla R, Casasús I, Sanz A, Ferrer J, Banzo P, Villalba D. Evaluación genética de la facilidad de parto en la raza bovina Parda de Montaña usando modelos lineal y umbral. ITEA-Inf Tec Econ Ag. 2017; 113-2:158-175.

[41] Dervishi E, Blanco M, Rodríguez-Sánchez JA, Sanz A, Calvo JH, Casasús I. Milk yield and genomewide expression profiling in the mammary gland of beef primiparous cows in response to the dietary management during the pre- and postweaning periods. J Anim Sci. 2017; 95:4274-4287.

[42] Baldwin RL, McLeod KR, Klotz JL, Heitmann RN. Rumen development, intestinal growth and hepatic metabolism in the pre- and postweaning ruminant. J Dairy Sci. 2004; 87:E55-E65.

[43] Casasús I, Rodríguez-Sánchez JA, Sanz A. Caracterización de la fermentación ruminal y perfiles metabólicos de novillas sometidas a diversos manejos alimenticios durante la lactación y la recría. XVI Jornadas sobre producción animal AIDA. 2015; 1:218-220.

[44] Schoonmaker JP, Cecava MJ, Faulkner DB, Fluharty FL, Zerby HN, Loerch SC. Effect of source of energy and rate of growth on performance, carcass characteristics, ruminal fermentation, and serum glucose and insulin of early-weaned steers. J Anim Sci. 2003; 81:843-855.

[45] Zezeski AL, McCracken VL, Poole RK, Al Naib A, Smith JK, McCann MA, Rhoads ML. Metabolic and reproductive characteristics of replacement beef heifers subjected to an early-weaning regimen involving high-concentrate feeding. Animal. 2017; 11:820-825.

[46] Ruegg PL, Goodger WJ, Holmberg CA, Weaver LD, Huffman EM. Relation among body condition score, milk production, and serum urea nitrogen and cholesterol concentrations in high-producing Holstein dairy cows in early lactation. Am J Vet Res. 1992; 53:5-9.

[47] Moriel P, Cooke RF, Bohnert DW, Vendramini JMB, Arthington JD. Effects of energy supplementation frequency and forage quality on performance, reproductive, and physiological responses of replacement beef heifers. J Anim Sci. 2012; 90:2371-2380.

[48] Blanco M, Joy M, Panea B, Albert P, Ripoll G, Carrasco S, Revilla R, Casasús I. Effects of the forage content of the winter diet on the growth performance and carcass quality of steers finished on mountain pasture with a barley supplement. Anim Prod Sci. 2012; 52:823-831.

[49] Blanco M, Casasús I, Palacio J. Effect of age at weaning on the physiological stress response and temperament of two beef cattle breeds. Animal. 2009; 3:108-117.

[50] Kristensen NB, Sehested J, Jensen SK, Vestergaard M. Effect of milk allowance on concentrate intake, ruminal environment, and ruminal development in milk-fed Holstein calves. J Dairy Sci. 2007; 90:4346-4355.

[51] Agenäs S, Heath MF, Nixon RM, Wilkinson JM, Phillips CJC. Indicators of undernutrition in cattle. Anim Welf. 2006; 15:149-160.

[52] Kelly AK, McGee M, Crews DH, Sweeney T, Boland TM, Kenny DA. Repeatability of feed efficiency, carcass ultrasound, feeding behavior, and blood metabolic variables in finishing heifers divergently selected for residual feed intake. J Anim Sci. 2010; 88:3214-3225.

[53] Butler WR. Review: Effect of protein nutrition on ovarian and uterine physiology in dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci. 1998; 81:2533-2539.

[54] Abeni F, Calamari L, Stefanini L, Pirlo G. Effect of average daily gain on body size, metabolism, and milk production of Italian Holstein heifers raised on two different planes of nutrition and calving at two different ages. Livest Sci. 2012; 149:7-17.

[55] Govoni KE, Hoagland TA, Zinn SA. The ontogeny of the somatotropic axis in Hereford calves from birth to one year of age and its response to administration of exogenous bovine somatotropin. J Anim Sci. 2004; 82:1646-1655.

[56] Kessel S, Stroehl M, Meyer HHD, Hiss S, Sauerwein H, Schwarz FJ, Bruckmaier RM. Individual variability in physiological adaptation to metabolic stress during early lactation in dairy cows kept under equal conditions. J Anim Sci. 2008; 86:2903-2912.

[57] Maillo V, Rizos D, Besenfelder U, Havlicek V, Kelly AK, Garrett M, Lonergan P. Influence of lactation on metabolic characteristics and embryo development in postpartum Holstein dairy cows. J Dairy Sci. 2012; 95:3865-3876.

[58] Cooke RF, Bohnert DW, Francisco CL, Marques RS, Mueller CJ, Keisler DH. Effects of bovine somatotropin administration on growth, physiological, and reproductive responses of replacement beef heifers. J Anim Sci. 2013; 91:2894-2901.

[59] Hausman GJ, Barb CR, Lents CA. Leptin and reproductive function. Biochimie. 2012; 94:2075-2081.

[60] Amstalden M, Cardoso RC, Alves BRC, Williams GL. Hypothalamic neuropeptides and the nutritional programming of puberty in heifers. J Anim Sci. 2014; 92:3211-3222.

[61] Garcia MR, Amstalden M, Williams SW, Stanko RL, Morrison CD, Keisler DH, Nizielski SE, Williams GL. Serum leptin and its adipose gene expression during pubertal development, the estrous cycle, and different seasons in cattle. J Anim Sci. 2002; 80:2158-2167.

[62] Block SS, Smith JM, Ehrhardt RA, Diaz MC, Rhoads RP, Van Amburgh ME, Boisclair YR. Nutritional and developmental regulation of plasma leptin in dairy cattle. J Dairy Sci. 2003; 86:3206-3214.

**Table 1**. Nutrient composition of the feedstuffs provided in the different phases.

| | Starter concentrate[1] | Rearing concentrate[2] | Alfalfa hay[2] | Total mixed ration[3] | Grazed pasture [4] | Meadow hay[5] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| DM, g/kg | 894 | 900 | 851 | 897 | 242 | 883 |
| ME, MJ/kg DM | 15.1 | 15.2 | 9.2 | 9.6 | 10.5 | 11.3 |
| CP, g/kg DM | 166 | 147 | 98 | 103 | 197 | 84 |
| NDF, g/kg DM | 214 | 252 | 462 | 595 | 553 | 646 |

[1]Pre-weaning phase.

[2]Post-weaning phase.

[3]Breeding season (60 d) and Lactation (120 d).

[4]Gestation (from confirmation of pregnancy until a month before the expected calving date).

[5]Last month of gestation.

**Table 1**. Weights, ADG and BCS of heifers throughout the study according to breed (BREED) and feeding management (FEED) applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo).

| | BREED | | FEED | | SEM | *P-value* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Item** | **PA**[1] | **PI**[1] | **HIGH**[1] | **MOD**[1] | | **BREED** | **FEED** |
| *n*[2] | 13 (12) | 12 (11) | 13 (11) | 12 (12) | | | |
| *Weight, kg* | | | | | | | |
| 6 mo | 247 | 229 | 235 | 241 | 17.6 | 0.30 | 0.76 |
| 15 mo | 441 | 410 | 452[x] | 400[y] | 21.3 | 0.15 | 0.02 |
| Calving | 477 | 464 | 480 | 461 | 21.9 | 0.58 | 0.43 |
| Weaning | 479 | 475 | 489 | 465 | 20.2 | 0.84 | 0.28 |
| *ADG, kg/d* | | | | | | | |
| Post-weaning | 0.737 | 0.700 | 0.814[x] | 0.624[y] | 0.034 | 0.27 | <0.001 |
| Gestation | 0.040 | 0.102 | 0.030 | 0.111 | 0.040 | 0.58 | 0.32 |
| Lactation | 0.017 | 0.083 | 0.071 | 0.030 | 0.073 | 0.39 | 0.59 |
| *BCS (0-5)* | | | | | | | |
| 15 mo | 4.1 | 4.2 | 4.3 | 3.9 | 0.22 | 0.76 | 0.06 |
| Calving | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.7 | 0.11 | 0.60 | 0.56 |
| Weaning | 2.6[b] | 2.8[a] | 2.8 | 2.7 | 0.06 | 0.008 | 0.34 |

[a,b]LSMeans within a row with different superscripts differ significantly among breeds ($P < 0.05$).

[x,y]LSMeans within a row with different superscripts differ significantly between feeding managements ($P < 0.05$).

[1]PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG.

[2]n = heifers per treatment in the post-weaning phase (heifers per treatment during gestation and lactation).

**Table 2.** Size measures of heifers throughout the study according to breed (BREED) and feeding management (FEED) applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo).

| | BREED | | FEED | | SEM | *P-value* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Item** | **PA[1]** | **PI[1]** | **HIGH[1]** | **MOD[1]** | | **BREED** | **FEED** |
| *n*[2] | 13 (12) | 12 (11) | 13 (11) | 12 (12) | | | |
| *Height at withers, cm* | | | | | | | |
| 6 mo | 100.9 | 100.3 | 100.8 | 100.4 | 2.24 | 0.81 | 0.87 |
| 15 mo | 121.0 | 120.1 | 122.4 | 118.8 | 2.08 | 0.65 | 0.09 |
| Calving | 128.1 | 127.4 | 128.6 | 126.9 | 1.84 | 0.73 | 0.37 |
| Weaning | 128.1 | 128.0 | 128.1 | 128.0 | 1.85 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *Heart girth, cm* | | | | | | | |
| 6 mo | 136.0 | 132.9 | 133.7 | 135.2 | 3.62 | 0.40 | 0.69 |
| 15 mo | 178.5 | 173.5 | 181.2 [x] | 170.8 [y] | 2.99 | 0.10 | 0.002 |
| Calving | 177.1 | 176.1 | 178.4 | 174.8 | 2.72 | 0.73 | 0.22 |
| Weaning | 177.1 | 175.8 | 178.1 | 174.8 | 2.56 | 0.64 | 0.23 |
| *External pelvic area*, $dm^2$ | | | | | | | |
| 6 mo | 11.4 | 10.1 | 10.6 | 10.9 | 0.67 | 0.05 | 0.62 |
| 15 mo | 21.7 [a] | 19.0 [b] | 21.4 [x] | 19.3 [y] | 0.93 | 0.009 | 0.04 |
| Calving | 24.5 | 24.0 | 24.5 | 24.5 | 1.05 | 0.63 | 0.75 |
| Weaning | 25.3 | 24.6 | 25.1 | 25.1 | 1.03 | 0.47 | 0.76 |

[a,b]LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly between breeds (P < 0.05).

[x,y]LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly between feeding managements (P < 0.05).

[1]PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG.

[2]n = heifers per treatment in the post-weaning phase (heifers per treatment during gestation and lactation)

**Table 3.** Reproductive performance of heifers at breeding according to breed (BREED) and feeding management (FEED) applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo).

| | BREED | | FEED | | SEM | *P-value* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Item** | **PA**[1] | **PI**[1] | **HIGH**[1] | **MOD**[1] | | **BREED** | **FEED** |
| n | 13 | 12 | 13 | 12 | | | |
| Weight at puberty, | 321 | 325 | 321 | 326 | 15.68 | 0.81 | 0.75 |
| Age at puberty, mo | 9.1$^{b}$ | 10.7$^{a}$ | 9.4 | 10.5 | 0.62 | 0.01 | 0.09 |
| ADG 6 mo-puberty | 0.99 | 0.82 | 1.067$^{x}$ | 0.757$^{y}$ | 0.089 | 0.07 | 0.002 |
| % MBW[2] at puberty | 55.4 | 56.0 | 56.1 | 55.3 | 0.03 | 0.81 | 0.75 |
| Weight at first AI, | 458 | 423 | 467$^{x}$ | 414$^{y}$ | 22.08 | 0.12 | 0.02 |
| Age at first AI, mo | 15.7 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 15.8 | 0.14 | 0.55 | 0.99 |
| Fertility at first AI, | 31 | 50 | 31 | 50 | | 0.32 | 0.33 |
| Fertility[3], % | 92 | 92 | 85 | 100 | | 0.91 | 0.18 |

$^{a,b}$LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly between breeds (*P* < 0.05).

$^{x,y}$LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly between feeding managements (*P* < 0.05).

[1]PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG.

[2]MBW = mature BW.

[3]Fertility rate in a 60-d breeding season.

**Table 5.** Performance of primiparous dams in the first calving and lactation according to breed (BREED) and feeding management (FEED) applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo).

| | BREED | | FEED | | SEM | *P-value* | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Item** | **PA[1]** | **PI[1]** | **HIGH[1]** | **MOD[1]** | | **BREED** | **FEED** |
| n | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | | | |
| *Cow* | | | | | | | |
| Age 1st calving, mo | 26.0 | 25.8 | 25.8 | 26.1 | 0.39 | 0.61 | 0.39 |
| Calving assistance, % | 58 | 10 | 18 | 55 | | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| Calf/Cow BW ratio, % | 8.1 [a] | 7.1 [b] | 7.4 | 7.1 | 0.42 | 0.04 | 0.42 |
| *Calf* | | | | | | | |
| Male/Female ratio | 8/4 | 5/6 | 6/5 | 6/6 | | 0.21 | 0.99 |
| Birth BW, kg | 38.0 [a] | 33.0 [b] | 35.4 | 35.6 | 1.68 | 0.01 | 0.90 |
| Weaning BW, kg | 119.0 | 114.5 | 118.7 | 113.8 | 5.28 | 0.36 | 0.41 |
| ADG, kg | 0.675 | 0.675 | 0.694 | 0.655 | 0.041 | 0.99 | 0.39 |

[a,b]LSMeans within a row with different superscripts differ significantly between breeds ($P < 0.05$).

[1]PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG.

**Table 6.** Milking performance in the first lactation of heifers according to breed (BREED) and feeding management (FEED) applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo).

| Item | BREED: PA[1] |  | BREED: PI[1] |  | SEM | *P-value* |  |  |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
|  | FEED: HIGH[1] | FEED: MOD[1] | FEED: HIGH[1] | FEED: MOD[1] |  | BREED | FEED | BREED × FEED |
| n | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |  |
| Yield, kg/d | 5.51 $^{a}$ | 4.60 $^{b}$ | 4.36 $^{b}$ | 5.86 $^{a}$ | 0.58 | 0.84 | 0.33 | <0.001 |
| Protein content, % | 3.44 $^{ab}$ | 3.34 $^{b}$ | 3.62 $^{a}$ | 3.42 $^{b}$ | 0.12 | 0.049 | 0.02 | 0.45 |
| Fat content, % | 3.56 $^{b}$ | 3.42 $^{b}$ | 4.51 $^{a}$ | 4.11 $^{a}$ | 0.35 | <0.001 | 0.15 | 0.50 |

$^{a,b}$LSMeans within a row with different superscripts differ significantly among experimental groups (*P* < 0.05).

[1]PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG.

**List of Figures**

**Figure 1.** Experimental design with the post-weaning diets and the target ADG for each breed (BREED) and feeding management (FEED).

**Figure 2.** Energy Corrected Milk (ECM) yield throughout the first lactation of beef heifers according to breed and feeding management applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo). PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG; $^{a,b}$LSMeans at a given month with different superscripts differ significantly among treatments ($P$ < 0.05).

**Figure 3**. Estimated energy and protein intake by heifers during the experiment according to breed and feeding management applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo). PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG.

**Figure 4.** Plasma concentrations of glucose, cholesterol, NEFA, β-hydroxybutyrate and urea in beef heifers according to breed and feeding management applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo). PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG; $^{a,b}$LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly among breeds ($P$ < 0.05); $^{x,y}$LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly among feeding managements ($P$ < 0.05).

**Figure 5**. Plasma concentrations of IGF-I and leptin in beef heifers according to breed and feeding management applied in the post-weaning period (6 to 15 mo). PA = Parda de Montaña; PI = Pirenaica; HIGH = 0.8 kg/d target ADG; MOD = 0.6 kg/d target ADG; $^{a,b}$LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly among breeds ($P$ < 0.05); $^{x,y}$LSMeans at a given age with different superscripts differ significantly among feeding managements ($P$ < 0.05).


Lactation
Rearing
Gestation
1st Lactation
PA-HIGH (0.8 kg/d)
Alfalfa + 10 g concentrate/kg PV
PI-HIGH (0.8 kg/d)
Milk+ Concentrate
PA-MOD (0.6 kg/d)
Alfalfa + 4 g concentrate/kg PV
PI-MOD (0.6 kg/d)
Birth
(October)
Weaning, 6 mo
(April)
Breeding, 15-17 mo
(January-February)
Calving, 26 mo
(December)
Weaning, 30 mo
(March)
Parda de Montaña (PA)
Pirenaica (PI)

**Figure 2.**


PA-HIGH
PA-MOD
PI-HIGH
PI-MOD
8.0
6.0
4.0
2.0
0.0
ECM, kg
1
2
3
4
Time postpartum (mo)
a
ab
b
b
a
a
b
b

**Figure 2.**




863

864 **Figure 3.**




865
866 **Figure 4.**


PA
PI
IGF-I (ng/mL)
400
300
200
100
0
a
b
a
b
MOD
HIGH
x
y
x
y
Leptin (ng/mL)
5.0
4.0
3.0
2.0
1.0
0.0
6 9 12 15 18 21 24 27 30
Age (mo)
Rearing
AI + Gestation
Lactation

**Figure 5.**

**Highlights**

- Feeding either high or moderate energy diets allowed for adequate body growth before breeding season and calving.
- Puberty was reached at the same weight, but different ages between breeds, but all heifers were pubertal at least 1 month before the breeding season.
- Breed has more influence on first calving performance than the two pre-breeding feeding levels evaluated in the study.
- Neither metabolic profiles nor IGF-1 or leptin levels differed between breeds with feeding management.