

4*H*-pyranylidene-based small push-pull chromophores: synthesis, structure, electronic properties and photovoltaic evaluation.

Víctor Tejeda-Orusco,^a Martin Blais,^b Clément Cabanetos,^b Philippe Blanchard,^{b,*} Raquel Andreu,^{a,*} Santiago Franco,^a Jesús Orduna,^a Beatriz E. Diosdado.^a

^a Departamento de Química Orgánica, ICMA, Universidad de Zaragoza-CSIC, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain.

^b MOLTECH-Anjou, UMR 6200, UNIV Angers, CNRS, SFR Matrix, 2 bd Lavoisier, 49045 ANGERS Cedex, France.

Corresponding author: randreu@unizar.es; philippe.blanchard@univ-angers.fr.

Dedicated to Dr. Gemma Ceprià for her friendship, enthusiasm and support while she was with us.

Abstract

The synthesis and electrochemical and optical characterizations of four new 4*H*-pyranylidene-based push-pull molecules are reported herein as well as their evaluation as donor materials for organic photovoltaics. Studied systems exhibit good absorption properties and appropriate LUMO levels for a photoinduced electron transfer to C₆₀. Bilayer organic solar cells fabricated from these new donors and C₆₀ as **the** acceptor gave photocurrent however with low power conversion efficiencies. As shown by X-ray diffraction and theoretical calculations, titled molecules present a twisted structure which may prevent the formation of suitable π - π contacts between adjacent molecules hence explaining their low photovoltaic performance.

Keywords: Push-pull systems, 4*H*-pyranylidene, small conjugated molecules, organic photovoltaics.

1. Introduction

Small π -conjugated molecules alternating electron-donating (D) and electron-accepting (A) units with various combinations are of considerable interest as active donor materials[1] as well as non-fullerene acceptors[2] for organic photovoltaics (OPV). In these structures, the most commonly used electron donor blocks include *e. g.* nitrogen derivatives based on arylamine[3] or carbazole, and thiophene-based conjugated systems derived from oligothiophenes or fused 3,4-dihydro-2*H*-cyclopenta[2,1-*b*:3,4-*b'*]dithiophene, silolo[3,2-*b*:4,5-*b'*]dithiophene, benzo[1,2-*b*:4,5-*b'*]dithiophene and s-indaceno[1,2-*b*:5,6-*b'*]dithiophene.[1,2]

On the other hand, the oxygen-containing γ -pyranylidene unit represents a versatile building block that has been extensively introduced in conjugated systems for applications in the fields of photonics and organic electronics. Its proaromatic character[4] promotes an intramolecular charge transfer (ICT) process in D-A systems through a gain in aromaticity. Interestingly, condensation between pyran-4-one and various CH₂-activated compounds such as malononitrile, dicyanovinylindan-3-one, indanedione or (thio)barbituric acid is very often used to prepare electron-withdrawing γ -pyranylidene blocks[5] whereas less electron-donating γ -pyranylidene blocks have been reported.[4] Thus, the synthetic versatility provided by this building block allowed the preparation and characterization of a variety of structures, affording materials with interesting properties, such as second-order nonlinear optical (NLO) activity[4,6] or two photon absorption,[7] organic light-emitting diodes,[8] good performance as dyes in Dye Sensitized Solar Cells (DSSCs)[9] or for the aggregation-induced emission enhancement phenomena.[10]

Regarding the field of small molecules acting as donor materials for organic solar cells (OSCs), the 4*H*-pyranylidene unit has been used as π -spacer in combination with acceptor groups, such as dicyanovinyl (DCV)[11] or (thio)barbituric acids.[12] However, to the best of our knowledge, the γ -pyranylidene moiety has never been used as electron-donating group D in small conjugated D-A molecules for photovoltaic application, although an heteroquinoid merocyanine dye derived from 2,6-diphenyl-4*H*-pyranylidene has been recently considered.[13] It is worth noting that a parent dipyran-based ladder-type building block has been recently used as central donating group D in A-D-A conjugated molecules for efficient non-fullerene acceptors in OPV.[14]

On the other hand, the system 2,2',6,6'-tetraphenyldipyranylidene has been used as hole-transporting material for hybride perovskite solar cells,[15] and as an efficient hole-collecting interfacial layer in organic solar cells based on poly(3-hexylthiophene):phenyl-C₆₁-butyric acid methylester (P3HT:PCBM).[16]

Within this context, and having in mind our experience with the synthesis and characterization of push-pull systems featuring the 4*H*-pyranylidene fragment for optical applications and DSSCs,[4;6a,c,d;9b,c,e] we present here the synthesis and the electronic properties of four novel small push-pull conjugated molecules. (Fig. 1) In these systems, the exocyclic C=C double bond of a 4*H*-pyranylidene proaromatic electron-donating unit is functionalized by two thiophene rings end-capped at their 5-positions by one (compounds **1**) or two identical (compounds **2**) electron-withdrawing unit(s), namely a DCV (derivatives **a**) or a 4-phenyl-2-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile (derivatives **b**) unit.

The latter has never been used before in the field of OPV, but gave rise to the preparation of chromophores with an effective polarization and upgraded second-order NLO responses.[17]

The electronic properties of these compounds are discussed with emphasis on structure-property relationships with the help of theoretical calculations. Moreover, the potential of these derivatives as donor materials in OPV is reported throughout this article.





Fig. 1. Molecular structures of the target compounds.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Synthesis

Target D- π -A systems **1a-b** and **2a-b** were prepared by Knoevenagel condensation between the 4*H*-pyranylidene-containing aldehydes **1-CHO**[9e] or **2-CHO**[9e] and malononitrile (**3**) or 4-phenyl-2-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile (**4**)[18] (Scheme 1). The conditions used for the condensation were in each case adapted to the nature of the CH₂-activated reagent. While basic conditions (Al₂O₃) were used for the reaction with malononitrile,[19] the condensation with 4-phenyl-2-oxo-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile (**4**) was directly performed in refluxing ethanol.[17] Yields ranged from 26 to 86%.



Scheme 1: Synthesis of 4*H*-pyranylidene-based push–pull molecules.

2.2. Structural characterization by X-ray diffraction.

Single crystals of compound **1a** obtained by slow diffusion of hexane into a solution of the corresponding donor in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature, were analysed by X-ray diffraction. The X-ray molecular structure of **1a** is represented in Fig. 2. In order to distinguish the two thiophene rings of **1a** for the discussion, they will be named as S1 and S2 following the labelling shown in Fig. 2. Thiophene S1 is disordered (77% S1C and 23% C1S) due to the free rotation around the C14–C15 single bond.




Fig. 2. Molecular structure of compound **1a**.

The DCV group of compound **1a** adopts a *cis* conformation (along the C22–C23 bond) relative to the sulfur atom of the vicinal thiophene S2, as previously found in its analogous compound without the additional thiophene ring (S1) (Compound **5**, Fig. 3 (R = H)),^[20] and in other compounds featuring thiophene moieties end-capped with DCV groups.^[21]


The extended conjugated π -system in **1a**, involving the pyran, thiophene S2 and DCV units, is essentially planar, with an angle of 6.8° between the mean planes of the pyran ring and that of the thiophene S2, and an angle of 9.6° between the planes of thiophene S2 and the DCV unit. However, in contrast to the completely planar conjugated pathway shown by the X-ray structure of compound **5**, the presence of the additional thiophene S1 slightly distorts the planarity of the extended π -system.


In addition, the S1 thiophene ring is out of the plane of the aforementioned planar π -system, the value of the dihedral angle C3–C14–C15–S1C being of 100.7° . Thus, thiophene ring S1 is almost perpendicular to the conjugated system (Fig. S-12), with angles of 77.2° between the mean planes of thiophene S1 and the pyran rings, and 82.7° between the two thiophene moieties S1 and S2.

Comparison of the bond lengths of compounds **1a** and **5** together with the standard[22] C=C and C–C bonds in thiophenes derivatives and 2,2',6,6'-tetraphenylbipyranlydene[23] (a 4*H*-pyranlydene derivative taken as model quinoidal compound) (Fig. 3), allows us to highlight the following features about the ICT in these compounds: (i) comparison of the standard C=C and C–C bonds in an unsubstituted aromatic thiophene with data for systems **1a** and **5** reveals the π -electron delocalization along the thiophene ring in these compounds; (ii) the C–O bond is shorter in compound **1a** than in **5**, leading to a more zwitterionic contribution to the ground state in **1a**; (iii) the C₄–C_{exo} bond for compound **5** is even shorter than the C₄–C_{exo} one in the model quinoidal derivative. The two latter features reveal that whereas for compound **5**, the thiophene moiety seems to be the main donor involved in the ICT process towards the acceptor unit,[20] the transfer of charge arises from the pyran ring for compound **1a**, in agreement with other 4*H*-pyranlydene– π –A systems previously reported.[4,24]

Molecules are stacked along the *c* axis, with a head-to-tail arrangement (see Fig. S-13 to S-15), as generally observed for strongly dipolar compounds.[25] Distance between the planes of two neighbouring molecules is close to 9 Å, likely due to the presence of the cumbersome thiophene S1 rings, almost perpendicular to the conjugated π -pathway. This fact prevents suitable π - π stacking interactions.

CCDC-1962297 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for compound **1a** in this article. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.



R	Compd	C-O (average)	C ₄ -C _{exo}	a	b	c	d	e
	1a	1.367	1.382	1.392	1.383	1.382	1.409	1.365
H	5	1.378	1.357	1.389	1.388	1.404	1.413	1.337

All bond lengths in Å

Fig. 3. Selected bond lengths (Å) for compounds **1a**, **5**, thiophene and 2,2',6,6'-tetraphenylbipyranylidene.

2.3. Optical properties

The optical properties of titled compounds have been investigated by UV/Vis spectroscopy in dichloromethane solution. Table 1 lists the main optical properties of compounds **1a-b** and **2a-b**, together with those of reference compound **5**[20] for comparison.

Table 1: UV-vis absorption data in CH₂Cl₂.

Compound	λ_{abs} , nm	ϵ , M ⁻¹ cm ⁻¹
1a	562	35811
1b	645	38406
2a	547	33026
2b	626	40131
5 [18]	568	46400

Albeit small, all titled chromophores exhibit intense and broad bands in the visible region (Fig. 4), which can be assigned to an ICT process between the donor and the acceptor moieties.

Comparison of optical properties of compounds that only differ in the acceptor group shows a significant bathochromic shift for **b** derivatives, in agreement with the more π -extended system and the higher electron-withdrawing ability of the furanone moiety. Moreover, **b** systems present higher molar extinction coefficients (ϵ) than those of DCV analogues **a**.

Compared to **5**, the additional thiophene moiety, nearly orthogonal to the extended π -system (see section 2.2), leads to a slight hypsochromic shift for **1a**. This point might be a consequence of the slight deviation from planarity (see section 2.2) imposed to the conjugated system by the additional thiophene ring, in contrast to the completely planar π system shown by compound **5**.^[20]

The introduction of a second acceptor group at the terminal *alpha* position of the twisted thiophene ring in molecules **2** induces a further blue-shifted absorptions when compared to their analogues **1**.




Fig. 4. Absorption spectra of compounds in CH_2Cl_2 : **1a** (blue), **1b** (green), **2a** (black), **2b** (red).

2.4. Electrochemical study

The electrochemical properties of the four molecules have been analyzed by cyclic voltammetry in dichloromethane solution in the presence of Bu₄NPF₆ as the supporting electrolyte. Data are summarized in Table 2, together with those of reference compound **5** in the same conditions.

Table 2: Electrochemical data^a and E_{HOMO} and E_{LUMO} values theoretically calculated.^b

Compound	$E_{\text{ox1}}^{1/2}$ (V)	$E_{\text{ox2}}^{1/2}$ (V)	E_{red} (V)	E_{HOMO} (eV)	E_{LUMO} (eV)
1a	+0.73	+1.04	−1.18	−6.46	−2.27
1b	+0.64	+0.92	−0.87	−6.25	−2.56
2a	+0.88	+1.29	−1.16	−6.56	−2.31
2b	+0.68	+0.95	−0.82	−6.30	−2.58
5 [18]	+0.82 ^c		−1.23	−6.42	−2.25

^a 5×10^{-4} M in CH₂Cl₂ versus Ag/AgCl (3 M KCl), glassy carbon working electrode, Pt counter electrode, 20 °C, 0.1 M NBu₄PF₆, 100 mV s^{−1} scan rate. For these conditions: $E_{\text{ox}}^{1/2}$ ferrocene = +0.45 V. ^b Calculated at the CPCM-M06-2X/6-311+G(2d,p)//M06-2X/6-31G* level in CH₂Cl₂. ^c Irreversible process.

The cyclic voltammograms (CVs) of compounds **1** and **2** show one irreversible reduction peak (involving the acceptor unit) and two reversible oxidation waves (Fig. 5 and S-10). It is important to note that compared to compound **5**, the introduction of a thiophene ring in the exocyclic carbon of the 4*H*-pyranylidene fragment changes appreciably the oxidation behavior of titled compounds **1** and **2** (Table 2).

In this way, compound **5**[20] displays (See Fig. S-9) a single irreversible oxidation peak at 0.82 V corresponding to the formation of the pyrylium radical cation followed by a rapid dimerization reaction leading to a dipyrylium salt. The reverse scan exhibits an irreversible cathodic peak at 0.46 V, assigned to the reduction of the dipyrylium cation leading to **5** after a

C–C cleavage reaction. Both observations are consistent with results previously described for other methylenepyrans derivatives.[9d,26]

For compounds **1a-b** and **2a-b**, with an additional thienyl ring in the ethylene bridge, two reversible oxidation processes are observed, which can be ascribed to the successive monoelectronic oxidations of the pyranylidene moiety, as previously observed for push-pull platinum complexes with this electron-donating group[27] and for their starting organic material.

Compounds **1a-b** with one acceptor unit, show lower E_{ox} values than for the corresponding analogues **2a-b** with two acceptor units; this fact may be related to the better planarization of the π -conjugated system of mono-functionalized compounds **1**, leading to a better stabilization of the radical-cation. This feature is more remarkable for derivatives **a** than for compounds **b**. On the other hand, this structural variation does not seem to have such an impact on $|E_{red}|$ values for DCV derivatives whereas for **b** series, a lower $|E_{red}|$ is found in compound **2b**.

For a given series (**1** or **2**), there is a shift of the $|E_{red}|$ values towards less cathodic potentials when changing the acceptor from dicyanomethylene to the 2(5H)-furanone group, indicating the superior electron-accepting ability of the latter. UV-vis study also supports the comparatively weaker electron-withdrawing ability of the DCV group. On the other hand, there is a shift of the E_{ox} values (first and second potentials) towards less anodic potentials when passing from dicyanomethylene (**a**) to 2(5H)-furanone derivatives (**b**), this can be explained by the more π -extended furanone system more prone to stabilize the radical cation and dicationic species (Fig. 5). In addition, the potential difference value $\Delta E_{ox}^{1/2}(1-2) = E_{ox}^{1/2}(2) - E_{ox}^{1/2}(1)$ is lower for derivatives **b**, in agreement with less coulombic repulsion in the dicationic state due the more π -extended furanone derivatives **b**.




Fig. 5: Cyclic voltammograms of compounds **2a** (black) and **2b** (red). For conditions, see Table 2.

2.5. Theoretical calculations

In order to get further insight into the geometry and electronic properties of the studied compounds, theoretical calculations using DFT (Density Functional Theory) and the CPCM (Conductor-like Polarizable Continuum Model) solvation method were performed.

Concerning the geometry of the studied dyes, (see Fig. S-16 to S-19) calculations show that the push-pull π -system of compounds **1** is almost planar. Taking compound **1a** as model, it can be inferred that in the calculated structure, the conjugated π -pathway deviates more from planarity than in the X-ray structure. Thus, the calculated angle between the pyranilidene unit and the thiophene ring is 18° . Indeed, the additional thiophene ring appears also almost perpendicular to the push-pull conjugated system.


The distortion from planarity shown by theoretical calculations (and also by X-Ray diffraction for **1a**) is in agreement with that found in related *4H*-pyranilidene derivatives recently described as dyes for DSSCs.[9e] In that case, the twisted structures prevent the

formation of aggregates which is beneficial for DSSCs and, therefore, give rise to an increase of the efficiency of the corresponding cells.

Compounds **2** have a C2 symmetry axis and taking compound **2a** as model, the angle found between the main planes of the pyranilidene ring and either the two vicinal thiophene rings is 45 °.

Calculations at the ground state geometry **allowed orbital energies** (data are collected in Table 2) and topologies **to be obtained**. The calculated values of the HOMO and LUMO levels are consistent with electrochemical results (Table 2). Thus, E_{HOMO} (E_{LUMO}) values for systems **b** are higher (lower) than those encountered for their **a** analogues, in agreement with the less anodic potentials measured for **b** derivatives and with the superior electron-withdrawing ability of the furanone group. On the other hand, passing from compounds **1** to **2** leads to a decrease in both the HOMO and LUMO levels.

Moreover, according to the calculations, electron densities related to frontier orbitals (see topologies for compounds **1a** and **2a** in Fig. 6 and for the rest in the Supporting Information) are mainly localized on the 4*H*-pyranilidene unit and the thienyl ring for the HOMO, and on the thiophene-acceptor block in the case of the LUMO. For systems **1**, the additional thiophene unit does not have a significant contribution neither to the HOMO nor to the LUMO.






Fig. 6. Illustration of the HOMO (bottom) and LUMO (top) of compounds **1a** (left) and **2a** (right).

Moreover, according to time dependent DFT calculations (TD-DFT), the first excited state is mainly associated to a one electron HOMO to LUMO transition, and there is a large HOMO-LUMO spatial overlap that accounts for the high molar extinction coefficients (ϵ) (Table 1).

A more complex picture is obtained for compounds **2**. The presence of two acceptor moieties, inducing higher symmetry, gives rise to two virtual orbitals (LUMO and LUMO +1) that are close in energy, and therefore, the lowest energy absorption band encompasses two electronic excitations from HOMO to LUMO and from HOMO to LUMO+1 respectively.

Taking compound **2a** as model compound, DFT calculations predict the lowest energy absorption at 509 nm with 0.48 as oscillator strength (f) (Fig. 7). This absorption is mainly contributed (94%) from a HOMO to LUMO one electron transition and overlaps to a more intense absorption at 499 nm ($f = 1.30$) mainly contributed (94%) from a HOMO to LUMO+1 transition.




Fig. 7. Calculated UV-vis spectrum for compound **2a** in CH_2Cl_2 .

2.6. Electronic properties of thin-films and photovoltaic properties

Thin-films on glass or indium tin oxide (ITO) substrates were prepared by spin-casting solutions of titled molecules in chloroform and then analyzed by UV-vis spectroscopy and photoelectron spectroscopy in air (PESA), respectively.

The absorption maxima of the ICT band for thin-films of **1a**, **1b**, **2a** and **2b** are observed at 549 nm, 646 nm, 551 nm and 646 nm, respectively (Fig. 8). Surprisingly, the passage from the solution to the solid state leads to different behaviors. Whereas a bathochromic shift of 20 nm is observed for thin-films of **2b** and the optical properties of thin-films of **1b** and **2a** are weakly affected, thin-films of compound **1a** are subjected to a hypsochromic shift of 13 nm compared to solution. In addition, contrary to solutions, there is nearly no difference of absorption maximum of the ICT band between derivatives **1** and **2** suggesting that the impact of the symmetry of molecules **2** on the optical properties in the solid state is really weak. The determination of the onset of absorption at low energy of thin-films of **1a**, **1b**, **2a** and **2b** gives

an estimation of the optical bandgap E_g^{opt} of 1.86 eV, 1.49 eV, 1.84 eV and 1.51 eV, respectively.




Fig. 8. Normalized UV-vis spectra of spin-casted thin-films on glass.

PESA experiments allowed us to estimate the ionization potentials, assimilated to HOMO levels, giving values of -5.48 eV, -5.21 eV, -5.73 eV and -5.30 eV respectively for thin-films of **1a**, **1b**, **2a** and **2b** (see SI). These values determined in the solid state follow the trend observed by CV in solution and theoretical calculations. In agreement with its highest positive oxidation potential ($E_{\text{ox1}}^{1/2} = +0.88$ V vs Ag/AgCl), the deepest HOMO level for the series has been measured for compound **2a**. However, the passage from **1a** to **2a** leads to a more pronounced stabilization of the HOMO level in the solid that in the case of **1b** and **2b**; the origin of this effect is not yet understood but is probably related to the molecular organization in the thin-films. Fig. 9 shows the energetic diagram with the HOMO and LUMO levels for each compound in the solid state, the LUMO level being estimated using the following equation $\text{LUMO} = \text{HOMO} + E_g^{\text{opt}}$. Again, the relative positions of the LUMO levels of

compounds **1a**, **1b** and **2b** in the solid state are consistent with CV data and theoretical calculations. On the other hand, due to the very deep HOMO level of **2a**, its LUMO level becomes the more stabilized. The energetic diagram shows that all molecules exhibit higher LUMO energy levels than that of C₆₀, which is favorable for photo-induced electron transfer from the titled molecules to C₆₀.

Molecule **2a** presents the highest HOMO (donor)–LUMO (C₆₀) difference which is expected to give rise to a high open-circuit voltage (V_{oc}) for the corresponding OSCs.




Fig. 9. Energy levels of titled compounds determined in the solid-state by UV-vis spectroscopy and PESA.

Investigations of the photovoltaic performance of the four molecules as donor material were carried out in planar bilayer OSCs with C₆₀ as acceptor. The structure of the bilayer OSCs is as follows: ITO/PEDOT:PSS/Donor/C₆₀/Al. More details on the fabrication of the devices can be found in the experimental part. A thermal treatment of the bilayer OSCs at 100 °C for 10 min systematically led to improved performance. The photovoltaic characteristics of the best bilayer OSCs made from the four pyranilidene derivatives are gathered in Table 3.

Table 3. Photovoltaic parameters of bilayer OSCs with titled donors and C₆₀ under AM1.5 conditions (100 mW/cm²).^a

Donor	V _{oc} (V)	J _{sc} (mA/cm ²)	FF (%)	PCE (%)
1a ^b	0.28	0.21	22	0.01
1b ^b	0.36	0.90	21	0.07
2a ^c	0.57	1.69	34	0.33
2b ^b	0.39	1.54	28	0.17

^a After thermal annealing at 100 °C for 10 min. ^b Speed rate for deposition of the donor: 6000 rpm, C₆₀ thickness: 25 nm. ^c Speed rate for deposition of the donor: 4000 rpm, C₆₀ thickness: 30 nm.

Table 3 shows that all titled molecules exhibit photovoltaic properties when used as electron-donor material in bilayer OSCs. However, although these molecules exhibit good absorption properties in the visible spectrum and LUMO levels higher than the one of C₆₀ (*ca.* – 4.2 eV), as expected for photo-induced electron transfer, their performance are relatively poor. Our data suggest also that symmetrical extended molecules (**2a** and **2b**) show slightly better photovoltaic performance. The best ones were obtained with the symmetrical compound **2a** leading to a power conversion efficiency (*PCE*) of 0.33 % associated with an open-circuit voltage (*V*_{oc}) of 0.57 V, a short-circuit current density (*J*_{sc}) of 1.69 mA/cm² and a fill factor (*FF*) of 34 %. The current density *vs* voltage curves of the best devices for all compounds recorded under illumination are presented in Fig. 10. Interestingly, compound **2a** leads to the highest *V*_{oc} value in agreement with its deepest HOMO level and the largest LUMO (C₆₀)–HOMO (Donor) difference. On the other hand, the PV performance of compound **1a** are negligible which is consistent with the absence of π - π intermolecular interactions in its crystal structure, hence probably preventing hole transport that is needed after exciton dissociation at the donor/acceptor interface. In the case of **1b**, **2a** and **2b** showing better PV performance, the more extended π -conjugated systems may participate to the development of π - π intermolecular interactions.




Fig. 10. Current density vs voltage curves of the best bilayer OSC after thermal treatment at 100 °C for 10 min, under white light at 100 mW/cm².

3. Experimental section

3.1. General experimental methods

See Supporting Information.

3.2. Device preparation and characterization

See Supporting Information.

3.3. Computational details

See Supporting Information.

3.4. Starting materials

Aldehydes **1-CHO**[9e] and **2-CHO**[9e] and acceptor **4**[18] was prepared as previously described.

3.5. Synthesis and characterizations

((5-((2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4*H*-pyran-4-ylidene)(thiophen-2-yl)methyl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile (1a)

A mixture of aldehyde **1-CHO** (95.5 mg; 0.24 mmol), malononitrile (33.2 mg; 0.50 mmol) and basic alumina (125 mg) in anhydrous toluene (2.8 mL) was refluxed under argon with exclusion of light for one hour (TLC monitoring). Then, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel), using CH₂Cl₂/hexane 6:4 as eluent to give a crystalline violet solid (88.4 mg; 83%).

Mp (°C) 165–168. IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 3070 (Csp²-H), 2971 (Csp³-H), 2213 (C≡N), 1658, 1562 and 1534 (C=C). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ (ppm) 1.14 (s, 9 H), 1.29 (s, 9 H), 5.90 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.83 (d, J = 4.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.86 (d, J = 2.2, 1 H), 6.92 (dd, J_1 = 3.5 Hz, J_2 = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.10 (dd, J_1 = 5.2 Hz, J_2 = 3.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.41 (dd, J_1 = 5.2 Hz, J_2 = 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.53 (d, J = 4.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (d, J = 0.4 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ (ppm) 28.0, 28.2, 36.4, 36.7, 72.2, 101.8, 103.8, 107.3, 115.2, 116.1, 126.9, 127.3, 127.9, 128.9, 132.1, 137.6, 140.0, 142.8, 149.9, 159.6, 167.0, 168.6. HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z 446.1478 [M]⁺ (calculated for C₂₆H₂₆N₂OS₂: 446.1481); m/z 469.1390 [M+Na]⁺ (calculated for C₂₆H₂₆N₂NaOS₂: 469.1379)

5-((5-((2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4*H*-pyran-4-ylidene)(thiophen-2-yl)methyl)thiophen-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-4-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile (1b)

Compound **4** (48.3 mg; 0.26 mmol) was added to a solution of aldehyde **1-CHO** (93.13 mg, 0.23 mmol) in absolute ethanol (5.5 mL). The mixture was refluxed under argon with exclusion of light for 48 hours (TLC monitoring). After cooling, the resulting solid was filtered, washed with cold hexane, cold ethanol and finally with a cold mixture of pentane/CH₂Cl₂ 9.5:0.5. A dark green solid was collected (112 mg; 86%).

Mp (°C) 160–162. IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 3038 (Csp²-H), 2967 (Csp³-H), 2219 (C≡N), 1744 (C=O), 1651, 1597 and 1533 (C=C Ar.). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ (ppm) 1.12 (s, 9 H),

1.25 (s, 9 H), 5.91 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (d, $J = 2.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.71 (br s, 1 H), 6.90 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1 H), 6.92 (dd, $J_1 = 3.4$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.3$ Hz, 1 H), 7.0 (dd, $J_1 = 5.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 3.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.37 (dd, $J_1 = 5.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 1.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (d, $J = 4.2$ Hz, 1 H), 7.63–7.61 (m, 5 H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): δ (ppm) 28.1, 28.2, 36.3, 36.5, 93.8, 101.7, 103.2, 113.2, 116.0, 126.6, 127.2, 128.7, 129.4, 130.0, 132.4, 134.0, 135.4, 136.8, 143.6, 161.6, 166.1, 167.3. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z 565.1719 [M]⁺ (calculated for $\text{C}_{34}\text{H}_{11}\text{NO}_3\text{S}_2$: 565.1740).

(((2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4*H*-pyran-4-ylidene)methylene)bis(thiophene-5,2-diyl))bis(methanylylidene))dimalononitrile (2a)

A mixture of aldehyde **2-CHO** (105 mg; 0.25 mmol), malononitrile (66 mg; 1.00 mmol) and basic alumina (250 mg) in anhydrous toluene (4 mL) was heated at reflux under argon with exclusion of light for 48 hours (TLC monitoring). Then, the solvent was evaporated under vacuum and the crude product was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, using CH_2Cl_2 /hexane 9:1 as eluent to afford a maroon solid (33.9 mg; 26%).

Mp (°C) 206–207. IR (KBr): ν (cm^{-1}) 2958 ($\text{Csp}^3\text{-H}$), 2218 ($\text{C}\equiv\text{N}$), 1659, 1571 and 1530 ($\text{C}=\text{C}$ Ar.). ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): δ (ppm) 1.23 (s, 9 H), 6.46 (s, 1 H), 7.00 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 1 H), 7.66 (dd, $J_1 = 4.2$ Hz, $J_2 = 0.4$ Hz, 1 H), 7.78 (br s, 1 H). ^{13}C -NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2): δ (ppm) 27.9, 30.1, 75.6, 102.7, 105.4, 114.3, 115.1, 129.2, 134.2, 138.9, 139.9, 150.5, 156.7, 168.9. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z 523.1599 [M+H]⁺ (calculated for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_4\text{OS}_2$: 523.1621); m/z 545.1432 [M+Na]⁺ (calculated for $\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_4\text{NaOS}_2$: 545.1440).

5,5'-(((2,6-di-*tert*-butyl-4*H*-pyran-4-ylidene)methylene)bis(thiophene-5,2-diyl))bis(methanylylidene))bis(2-oxo-4-phenyl-2,5-dihydrofuran-3-carbonitrile) (2b)

Compound **4** (84.7 mg; 0.44 mmol) was added to a solution of aldehyde **2-CHO** (84.6 mg, 0.20 mmol) in absolute ethanol (5 mL). The mixture was refluxed under argon with exclusion of light for 3 days (TLC monitoring). After cooling, the resulting solid was isolated by

filtration, washed with cold pentane, cold ethanol and finally with a cold mixture of pentane/CH₂Cl₂ 9:1. A dark green solid was obtained (109 mg; 72%).

Mp (°C) 215 (dec.). IR (KBr): ν (cm⁻¹) 3064 (Csp²-H), 2964 (Csp³-H), 2224 (C≡N), 1761 (C=O), 1655, 1613 and 1553 (C=C Ar.). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ (ppm) 1.22 (s, 9 H), 6.40 (s, 1 H), 6.79 (s, 1 H), 7.05 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.46 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.65–7.64 (m, 5 H). ¹³C-NMR (100 MHz, CD₂Cl₂): δ (ppm) 28.1, 36.5, 97.1, 102.8, 107.0, 112.9, 115.6, 128.4, 129.2, 129.4, 130.1, 132.6, 135.7, 136.5, 136.6, 143.7, 155.8, 162.3, 164.7, 167.7. HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z 783.1960 [M+Na]⁺ (calculated for C₄₆H₃₆N₂NaO₅S₂: 783.1958).

4. Conclusions

Four new push-pull systems containing a 4*H*-pyranylidene moiety as electron-donating block have been designed and synthesized: V-shaped chromophores **2** present a blue-shifted absorption in solution and higher E_{ox} values compared to their linear analogues **1**. On the other hand, furanone derivatives **b** show a lower electrochemical gap and higher λ_{max} and ϵ values than for their analogues **a** with the DCV moiety. The analysis of the crystalline structure of compound **1a** by X-ray diffraction has revealed the absence of strong intermolecular interactions due to a thienyl unit out of the plane of the push-pull conjugated segment.

Thin-films of the four molecules have been analyzed by UV-vis spectroscopy and PESA allowing us to draw the corresponding energetic diagram suggesting a potential interest in OPV. The four small molecules have been then evaluated as donor materials in bilayer OSCs in combination with C₆₀. Our preliminary results show a clear photovoltaic effect, however with low power conversion efficiencies. The best *PCE* value of 0.33 % was obtained for a bilayer OSC based on **2a**, associated to low J_{sc} and *FF* values, 1.69 mA/cm² and 34 %

respectively. These results suggest limited hole transport properties for **2a** as well as for the other titled compounds due to weak π - π intermolecular interactions.

Acknowledgments. Financial support from MINECO (CTQ2014-52331R), Gobierno de Aragón-FEDER-Fondo Social Europeo 2014–2020 (E14_17R) and University of Zaragoza (UZ2019-CIE-01) is gratefully acknowledged. The plateau CARMA of the SFR Matrix is acknowledged for the fabrication and characterization of organic solar cells. Martin Blais thanks the University of Angers for his contract as Engineer.

References

- [1] a) Roncali, J. Molecular Bulk Heterojunctions: An Emerging Approach to Organic Solar Cells. *Acc Chem Res* 2009;42:1719–30; b) Würthner F, Meerholz K. Systems Chemistry Approach in Organic Photovoltaics. *Chem Eur J* 2010;16:9366–73; c) Li, Y, Guo Q, Li Z, Pei J, Tian W. Solution processable D–A small molecules for bulk-heterojunction solar cells. *Energy Environ Sci* 2010;3:1427–36; d) Walker B, Kim C, Nguyen T-Q. Small Molecule Solution-Processed Bulk Heterojunction Solar Cells. *Chem Mater* 2011;23:470–82; e) Lin Y, Li Y, Zhan X. Small molecule semiconductors for high-efficiency organic photovoltaics. *Chem Soc Rev* 2012;41:4245–72; f) Mishra A, Bäuerle P. Small Molecule Organic Semiconductors on the Move: Promises for Future Solar Energy Technology. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2012;51:2020–37.
- [2] a) McAfee SM, Topple JM, Hill IG, Welch GC. Key components to the recent performance increases of solution processed non-fullerene small molecule acceptors. *J Mater Chem A* 2015;3:16393–408; b) Li W, Yao H, Zhang H, Li S, Hou J. Potential of Nonfullerene Small Molecules with High Photovoltaic Performance. *Chem Asian J* 2017;12:2160–71; c) Li S, Zhang Z, Shi M, Li C-Z, Chen H. Molecular electron acceptors for efficient fullerene-free

organic solar cells. *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 2017;19:3440–58; d) Chen W, Zhang Q. Recent progress in non-fullerene small molecule acceptors in organic solar cells (OSCs). *J Mater Chem C* 2017;5:1275–1302; e) Zhan J, Tan HS, Guo X, Facchetti A, Yan H. Material insights and challenges for non-fullerene organic solar cells based on small molecular acceptors. *Nat Energy* 2018;3:720–31.

[3] a) Roncali J, Leriche P, Blanchard P. Molecular Materials for Organic Photovoltaics: Small is Beautiful. *Adv Mater* 2014;26:3821–38; b) Malyskyi V, Simon J-J, Patrone L, Raimundo J-M. Thiophene-based push–pull chromophores for small molecule organic solar cells (SMOSCs). *RSC Adv* 2015;5:354–97; c) Wang J, Liu K, Ma L, Zhan X. Triarylamine: Versatile Platform for Organic, Dye-Sensitized, and Perovskite Solar Cells. *Chem Rev* 2016;116:14675–725; d) Blanchard P, Malacrida C, Cabanetos C, Roncali J, Ludwigs S. Triphenylamine and some of its derivatives as versatile building blocks for organic electronic applications. *Polym Int* 2019;68:589–606; e) Cabanetos C, Blanchard P, Roncali J. Arylamine Based Photoactive Push-Pull Molecular Systems: A Brief Overview of the Chemistry “Made in Angers”. *Chem Rec* 2019;19:1123–130.

[4] Andreu R, Carrasquer L, Franco S, Garín J, Orduna J, Martínez de Baroja N et al. 4*H*-Pyran-4-ylidenes: strong proaromatic donors for organic nonlinear optical chromophores. *J Org Chem* 2009;74:6647–57.

[5] a) *malononitrile*: Yao Y-S, Xiao J, Wang X-S, Deng Z-B, Zhang B-W. Starburst DCM-Type Red-Light-Emitting Materials for Electroluminescence Applications. *Adv Funct Mater* 2006;16:709–18; b) *dicyanovinylindan-3-one*: Maglione C, Carella A, Carbonara C, Centore R, Fusco S, Velardo A et al. Novel pyran based dyes for application in dye sensitized solar cells. *Dyes Pigm* 2016;133:395–405; c) *indanedione*, *thiobarbituric acid*: Kim DU, Paik S-H, Kim S-H, Tak Y-H, Han Y-S, Kim S-D et al. Electro-optical characteristics of indandione-

pyran derivatives as red emission dopants in electroluminescent device. *Mater Sci Eng C* 2004;24:147–9.

[6] Selected examples for 4*H*-pyranylidene-containing chromophores: a) Andreu R, Galán E, Orduna J, Villacampa B, Alicante R, López Navarrete JT et al. Aromatic/proaromatic donors in 2-dicyanomethylenethiazole merocyanines: from neutral to strongly zwitterionic nonlinear optical chromophores. *Chem Eur J* 2011;17:826–38; b) Galán E, Andreu R, Garín J, Orduna J, Villacampa B, Diosdado BE. Cycloaddition reactions of polyenic Donor- π -Acceptor systems with an electron-rich alkyne: access to new chromophores with second-order optical nonlinearities. *Org Biomol Chem* 2012;10:8684–91; c) Achelle S, Malval J-P, Aloïse S, Barsella A, Spangenberg A, Mager L et al. Synthesis, photophysics and nonlinear optical properties of stilbenoid pyrimidine-based dyes bearing methylenepyran donor groups. *ChemPhysChem* 2013;14:2725–36; d) Marco AB, Martínez de Baroja N, Franco S, Garín J, Orduna J, Villacampa B et al. Dithienopyrrole as a rigid alternative to the bithiophene π -relay in chromophores with second-order nonlinear optical properties. *Chem Asian J* 2015;10:188–97; e) Durand RJ, Gauthier S, Achelle S, Groizard T, Kahlal S, Saillard J-Y et al. Push–pull D- π -Ru- π -A chromophores: synthesis and electrochemical, photophysical and second order nonlinear optical properties. *Dalton Trans* 2018;47:3965–75.

[7] a) Ambrosio A, Orabona E, Maddalena P, Camposeo A, Polo M, Neves AAR et al. Two-photon patterning of a polymer containing Y-shaped azochromophores. *Appl Phys Lett* 2009;94:011115; b) Ambrosio A, Maddalena P, Carella A, Borbone F, Roviello A, Polo M, et al. Two-Photon induced self-structuring of polymeric films based on Y-shape azobenzene chromophore. *J Phys Chem C* 2011;28:13566–70; c) Poronik YM, Hugues V, Blanchard-Desce M, Gryko DT. Octupolar merocyanine dyes: a new class of nonlinear optical chromophores. *Chem Eur J* 2012;18:9258–66.

- [8] a) Yang Y, Peng T, Ye K, Wu Y, Liu Y, Wang Y. High-efficiency and high-quality white organic light-emitting diode employing fluorescent emitters. *Org Electron* 2011;12:29–33; b) Guo Z, Zhu W, Tian H. Dicyanomethylene-4*H*-pyran chromophores for OLED emitters, logic gates and optical chemosensors. *Chem Commun* 2012;48:6073–84.
- [9] Selected examples: a) Bolag A, Nishida J-i, Hara K, Yamashita Y. Dye-sensitized solar cells based on novel diphenylpyran derivatives. *Chem Lett* 2011;40:510–1; b) Franco S, Garín J, Martínez de Baroja N, Pérez-Tejada R, Orduna J, Yu Y et al. New D- π -A-conjugated organic sensitizers based on 4*H*-pyran-4-ylidene donors for highly efficient dye-sensitized solar cells. *Org Lett* 2012;14:752–5; c) Marco AB, Martínez de Baroja N, Andrés-Castán JM, Franco S, Andreu R, Villacampa B et al. Pyranlydene/thienothiophene-based organic sensitizers for Dye-Sensitized Solar Cells. *Dyes Pigm* 2019;161:205–13; d) Gauthier S, Robin-Le Guen F, Wojcik L, Le Poul N, Planchat A, Pellegrin Y et al. Synthesis and properties of novel pyranlydene-based organic sensitizers for dye-sensitized solar cells. *Dyes Pigm* 2019;171:107747; e) Andrés-Castán JM, Andreu R, Villacampa B, Orduna J, Franco S. 4*H*-pyranlydene organic dyes for dye-sensitized solar cells: twisted structures towards enhanced power conversion efficiencies. *Sol Energy* 2019;193:74–84.
- [10] a) Liu Y, Lei Y, Li F, Chen J, Liu M, Huang X et al. Indene-1,3-dionemethylene-4*H*-pyran derivatives containing alkoxy chains of various lengths: aggregation-induced emission enhancement, mechanofluorochromic properties and solvent-induced emission changes. *J Mater Chem C* 2016;4:2862–70; b) Cao Y, Chen L, Xi Y, Li Y, Yan X. Stimuli-responsive 2,6-diarylethene-4*H*-pyran-4-one derivatives: Aggregation induced emission enhancement, mechanochromism and solvatochromism. *Materials Lett* 2018;212:225–30.
- [11] See for example: a) He C, He Q, Yang X, Wu G, Yang C, Bai F et al. Synthesis and Photovoltaic Properties of a Solution-Processable Organic Molecule Containing Triphenylamine and DCM Moieties. *J Phys Chem C* 2007;111:8661–6; b) Zhao G, Wu G, He

C, Bai F-Q, Xi H, Zhang H-X et al. Solution-Processable Multiarmed Organic Molecules Containing Triphenylamine and DCM Moieties: Synthesis and Photovoltaic Properties. *J Phys Chem C* 2009;113:2636–42; c) Li Z, Dong Q, Li Y, Xu B, Deng M, Pei J et al. Design and synthesis of solution processable small molecules towards high photovoltaic performance. *J Mater Chem* 2011;21:2159–68; d) Zhang J, Wu G, He C, Deng D, Li Y. Triphenylamine-containing D–A–D molecules with (dicyanomethylene)pyran as an acceptor unit for bulk-heterojunction organic solar cells. *J Mater Chem* 2011;21:3768–74; e) Li Z, Dong Q, Xu B, Li H, Wen S, Pei J et al. New amorphous small molecules-Synthesis, characterization and their application in bulk heterojunction solar cells. *Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells* 2011;95:2272–80; f) Li Z, Bian J, Wang Y, Jiang F, Liang G, He P et al. Effect of alkyl chain length on the photovoltaic performance of oligothiophene-based small molecules. *Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells* 2014;130:336–46.

[12] a) Siram RBK, Tandy K, Horecha M, Formanek P, Stamm M, Gevorgyan S et al. Synthesis and Self-Assembly of Donor-Acceptor-Donor Based Oligothiophenes and Their Optoelectronic Properties. *J Phys Chem C* 2011;115:14369–76; b) Li Z, Dong Q, Xu B, Cheng W, Yao S, Zhang X et al. Novel solution processable small molecule containing new electron-withdrawing group and oligothiophene for photovoltaic. *Sol Energy Mater Sol Cells* 2012;98:343–50; c) Siram RBK, Stephen M, Ali F, Patil S. Investigation of Phase Separation in Bulk Heterojunction Solar Cells via Supramolecular Chemistry. *J Phys Chem C* 2013;117:9129–36.

[13] Gräßler N, Wolf S, Holzmüller F, Zeika O, Vandewal K, Leo K. Heteroquinoid Merocyanine Dyes with High Thermal Stability as Absorber Materials in Vacuum-Processed Organic Solar Cells. *Eur J Org Chem* 2019;845–51.

[14] a) Wen S, Wu Y, Wang Y, Li Y, Liu L, Jiang H et al. Pyran-Bridged Indacenodithiophene as a Building Block for Constructing Efficient A–D–A-Type

Nonfullerene Acceptors for Polymer Solar Cells. *ChemSusChem* 2018;11:360–6; b) Yang L, Li M, Song J, Zhou Y, Bo Z, Wang H. Molecular Consideration for Small Molecular Acceptors Based on Ladder-Type Dipyran: Influences of O-Functionalization and π -Bridges. *Adv Funct Mater* 2018;28:1705927-1–11.

[15] Shen C, Courté M, Krishna A, Tang S, Fichou D. Quinoidal 2,2',6,6'-Tetraphenyl-Dipyranylidene as a Dopant-Free Hole-Transport Material for Stable and Cost-Effective Perovskite Solar Cells. *Energy Technol* 2017;5:1852–8.

[16] Courté M, Alaaeddine M, Barth V, Tortech L, Fichou D. Structural and electronic properties of 2,2',6,6'-tetraphenyldipyranylidene and its use as a hole-collecting interfacial layer in organic solar cells. *Dyes Pigm* 2017;141:487–92.

[17] Moreno-Yruela C, Garín J, Orduna J, Franco S, Quintero E, López Navarrete JT et al. D- π -A compounds with tunable intramolecular charge transfer achieved by incorporation of butenolide nitriles as acceptor moieties. *J Org Chem* 2015;80:12115–28.

[18] Ford JA Jr, Wilson CV, Young WR. The Preparation of 2(5*H*)-Furanones and Dyes Derived from Them. *J Org Chem* 1967;32:173–7.

[19] Lin L-Y, Chen Y-H, Huang Z-Y, Lin H-W, Chou S-H, Lin F et al. A Low-Energy-Gap Organic Dye for High-Performance Small-Molecule Organic Solar Cells. *J Am Chem Soc* 2011;133:15822–5.

[20] Marco AB, Mayorga Burrezo P, Mosteo L, Franco S, Garín J, Orduna J et al. Polarization, second-order nonlinear optical properties and electrochromism in 4*H*-pyranylidene chromophores with a quinoid/aromatic thiophene ring bridge. *RSC Adv* 2015;5:231–42.

[21] See for example: a) Moore AJ, Chesney A, Bryce MR, Batsanov AS, Kelly JF, Howard JAK et al. Synthesis, Structures and Nonlinear Optical Properties of Novel D- π -A Chromophores: Intramolecular Charge Transfer from 1,3-Dithiole or Ferrocene Moieties to

Polynitrofluorene or Dicyanomethylene Moieties through Conjugated Linkers. *Eur J Org Chem* 2001;2671–87; b) Fitzner R, Reinold E, Mishra A, Mena-Osteritz E, Ziehkle H, Körner C et al. Dicyanovinyl-Substituted Oligothiophenes: Structure–Property Relationships and Application in Vacuum-Processed Small-Molecule Organic Solar Cells. *Adv Funct Mater* 2011;21:897–910; c) Leliège A, Grolleau J, Allain M, Blanchard P, Demeter D, Rousseau T et al. Small D– π –A Systems with *o*-Phenylene-Bridged Accepting Units as Active Materials for Organic Photovoltaics. *Chem Eur J* 2013;19:9948–60; d) Baert F, Cabanetos C, Allain M, Silvestre V, Leriche P, Blanchard P. Thieno[2,3-*b*]indole-Based Small Push–Pull Chromophores: Synthesis, Structure, and Electronic Properties. *Org Lett* 2016;18:1582–5.

[22] Allen FH, Kennard O, Watson DG, Brammer L, Orpen AG, Taylor R. Tables of Bond Lengths determined by X-Ray and Neutron Diffraction. Part 1. Bond Lengths in Organic Compounds. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans 2* 1987;S1–S19.

[23] Chasseau D, Gaultier J, Hauw C, Fugnitto R, Gianis V, Strzelecka H. Tétraphényldipyranylidène (DIP Ph₄). *Acta Crystallogr, Sect B: Struct Crystallogr Cryst Chem* 1982;38:1629–31.

[24] See for example: Marco AB, Andreu R, Franco S, Garín J, Orduna J, Villacampa B et al. Push–pull systems bearing a quinoid/aromatic thieno-[3,2-*b*]thiophene moiety: synthesis, ground state polarization and second-order nonlinear properties. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2013;11:6338–49.

[25] a) Bürckstümmer H, Tulyakova EV, Deppisch M, Lenze MR, Kronenberg NM, Gsänger M. Efficient Solution-Processed Bulk Heterojunction Solar Cells by Antiparallel Supramolecular Arrangement of Dipolar Donor–Acceptor Dyes. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2011;50:11628–32; b) Chiu S-W, Lin L-Y, Lin H-W, Chen Y-H, Huang Z-Y, Lin Y-T et al. A donor–acceptor–acceptor molecule for vacuum-processed organic solar cells with a power conversion efficiency of 6.4%. *Chem Commun* 2012;48:1857–9; c) Leliège A, Le Régent C-

H, Allain M, Blanchard P, Roncali J. Structural modulation of internal charge transfer in small molecular donors for organic solar cells. *Chem Commun* 2012;48:8907–9.

[26] a) Ba F, Cabon N, Le Poul P, Kahlal S, Saillard J-Y, Le Poul N et al. Diferrocenylpyrylium salts and electron rich bispyran from oxidative coupling of ferrocenylpyran. Example of redox systems switched by proton transfer *New J Chem* 2013;37:2066–81; b) Gauthier S, Vologdin N, Achelle S, Barsella A, Caro B, Robin-le Guen F. Methylenepyrans based dipolar and quadrupolar dyes: synthesis, electrochemical and photochemical properties. *Tetrahedron* 2013;69:8392–9; c) Novoa N, Roisnel T, Dorcet V, Hamond J-R, Carrillo D, Manzur C et al. Anisyl and ferrocenyl adducts of methylenepyrans containing β -diketone: Synthesis, spectral, structural, and redox properties. *J Organomet Chem* 2014;762:19–28; d) Gauthier S, Caro B, Robin-Le Guen F, Bhuvanesh N, Gladysz JA, Wojcik L et al. Synthesis, photovoltaic performances and TD-DFT modeling of push–pull diacetylide platinum complexes in TiO_2 based dye-sensitized solar cells. *Dalton Trans* 2014;43:11233–42; e) Le Poul P, Le Poul N, Golhen S, Robin-Le Guen F, Caro B. The synthesis of flexible tetrapyridylethanes from pyridylpyrylium dications. *New J Chem* 2016;40:5666–9; f) Wojcik L, Michaud F, Gauthier S, Cabon N, Le Poul P, Gloaguen F et al. Reversible Redox Switching of Chromophoric Phenylmethylenepyrans by Carbon–Carbon Bond Making/Breaking. *J Org Chem* 2017;82:12395–405; g) Wojcik L, López I, Gauthier S, Cabon N, Le Poul P, Gloaguen F et al. Insights into the radical-radical and radical-substrate dimerization processes for substituted phenylmethylenepyrans. *Electrochimica Acta* 2019;305:304–11.

[27] a) Durand RJ, Gauthier S, Achelle S, Kahlal S, Saillard J-Y, Barsella A et al. Incorporation of a platinum center in the pi-conjugated core of push–pull chromophores for nonlinear optics (NLO). *Dalton Trans* 2017;46:3059–69; b) Gauthier S, Porter A, Achelle S, Roisnel T, Dorcet V, Barsella A et al. Mono- and Diplatinum Polyynediyl Complexes as

Potential Push–Pull Chromophores: Synthesis, Characterization, TD-DFT Modeling, and Photophysical and NLO Properties. *Organometallics* 2018;37:2232–44.