

ScienceDirect

New insights into GPCR coupling and dimerisation from cryo-EM structures



Anastasiia Gusach¹, Javier García-Nafría² and Christopher G. Tate¹

Abstract

Over the past three years (2020–2022) more structures of GPCRs have been determined than in the previous twenty years (2000–2019), primarily of GPCR complexes that are large enough for structure determination by single-particle cryo-EM. This review will present some structural highlights that have advanced our molecular understanding of promiscuous G protein coupling, how a G protein receptor kinase and β -arrestins couple to GPCRs, and GPCR dimerisation. We will also discuss advances in the use of gene fusions, nanobodies, and F_{ab} fragments to facilitate the structure determination of GPCRs in the inactive state that, on their own, are too small for structure determination by single-particle cryo-EM.

Addresses

¹ MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue, Cambridge CB2 2QH, UK

² Institute for Biocomputation and Physics of Complex Systems (BIFI) and Laboratorio de Microscopías Avanzadas (LMA), University of Zaragoza, 50018, Zaragoza, Spain

Corresponding author: Tate, Christopher G (cgt@mrc-lmb.cam.ac.uk) (Gusach A.),

💕 (García-Nafría J.)

Current Opinion in Structural Biology 2023, 80:102574

This review comes from a themed issue on Membranes (2023)

Edited by Simon Newstead and Robert Tampé

For complete overview of the section, please refer the article collection - Membranes (2023)

Available online 22 March 2023

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2023.102574

0959-440X/© 2023 MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Introduction

GPCRs play a pivotal role in intercellular signalling throughout the human body and are the targets of 34% of FDA approved drugs [1]. Only a proportion of all GPCRs have been drugged and there is intense scrutiny of other GPCRs to develop novel therapeutics for the treatment of diseases such as diabetes, cancer and neurodegeneration [2]. Structural biology plays a key role in drug development through either providing a structure suitable for screening *in silico* ultra-large drug libraries $[3 \bullet \bullet]$ or through providing a mechanistic understanding of fundamental molecular processes such as receptor and G protein activation [4,5]. Here we highlight a few of the fundamental molecular insights that underpin complexities in GPCR pharmacology that have been uncovered by the wealth of structures determined by cryo-EM over the past few years.

Structural mechanisms in promiscuous GPCR-G protein coupling

GPCRs signal through heterotrimeric G proteins and the type of α -subunit determines the downstream signalling cascade affected. There are four major families of G proteins in humans, G_s, G_{i/o}, G_{q/11} and G_{12/13} that signal through different pathways. Although some GPCRs are specific and activate a single type of G protein, at least 50% of GPCRs activate two or more G proteins [6–8]. Promiscuous coupling activates different G proteins with varying efficacies and kinetics, generating a fingerprint-like signalling profile within the cell [9], thus enhancing the complexity of GPCR signalling and providing new therapeutic opportunities.

Cryo-EM structures of eleven GPCRs have been determined with each GPCR coupled to two or more distinct G proteins: GCGR, β_1AR , ADGRF1 and 5HT4R coupled to G_s and G_{i/o} [10–13], NK1R coupled to G_s and G_{q/11} [14], CCK_AR coupled to G_q, G_{i1} and G_s [15,16], ADGRL3 coupled to G_s, G_i, G_q and G₁₂ [17••] and four receptors coupled to G_{i/o} and G_{q/11} (GSHR [18,19], CCK_BR [20], GPR139 [21] and MRGPRX2 [22]). Several trends arise from analysing this set of structures [23].

The outward movement of the cytoplasmic end of transmembrane helix TM6 is a hallmark of GPCR activation and is thought to determine the size and shape of the intracellular cleft where the cytoplasmic end of helix α 5 of the G protein α -subunit couples [24]. Structures of many different GPCRs coupled to G proteins suggested initially that the magnitude of TM6 displacement correlated with the type of G protein. A large outward movement of TM6 forms a wide intracellular cleft that is required typically for G_s coupling, whilst smaller movements of TM6 form a narrower cleft

characteristic of G_{i/o}-G_{q/11} coupling [25,26]. However, recent new structures show that this is not always the case when they are the secondary couplers, with G_s sometimes coupling to a narrow cleft and G_i or G_q coupling to a wide cleft. Structures of the same GPCR coupled to either G_s or another G protein suggest that the movement of TM6 is usually the same regardless of the secondary G protein coupled *i.e.* the secondary G protein has to use a similar intracellular cleft for coupling as the primary G protein (Figure 1a-e). For example, the primary coupler to GCGR is G_s and the GCGR-G_s cryo-EM structure shows a wide intracellular cleft; the receptor structure coupled to its secondary coupler G_{i/o} shows an equally wide cleft to when G_s is coupled, and not a narrow cleft as might be expected [10]. Conversely, CCK_AR and NK_1R couple primarily to Gq and adopt a narrow intracellular cleft upon activation, and the secondary G protein G_s also couples to this narrow cleft. In some instances, such as for CCKAR, this forces the G protein to adopt 'non-standard' conformations where the *a*-subunit shows an unwinding of the 'wavy hook' in the α 5 helix C-terminus, which

Figure 1

protrudes outwards from the receptor intracellular cavity (Figure 1e). Primary coupling of $G_{i/o}$ and $G_{q/11}$ results in a similar narrow intracellular cleft, which may explain the high abundance of $G_{i/o}$ - $G_{q/11}$ promiscuous couplings [7].

The intracellular loops (ICLs) of GPCRs are the elements that differ most when coupling to different G proteins. However, there appears to be no correlation with the type of ICL rearrangement and the type of G protein or primary/secondary couplings. ICL3 takes a prominent role in promiscuous G protein coupling in MRGPRX2, 5-HT₄R, ADGRF1, GSHR, GPR139, and CCK_AR where it makes different interactions to different G proteins (Figure 1d.) ICL2 also changes conformation or interactions in most GPCR-G protein complexes (e.g. GCGR and GSHR, Figure 1b), whereas ICL1 differential interactions have only been observed in GCGR. The loop between TM7 and H8 also varies in β_1 AR coupled to either G_s or G_i. Such differences in ICLs contribution to promiscuous G protein coupling were supported by mutagenesis and functional assays,



Structural snapshots of promiscuous GPCR-G protein coupling. Structural superposition of the GCGR coupled to G_s (blue) and G_{i1} (red) showing similarities in TM6 (a) and differences in ICL2 (b) [10]. Structural superposition of the CCK_AR coupled to G_q (green), G_{i1} (red) and G_s (blue) showing similarities in TM6 position (c), differences in the ordering of ICL3 depending on the coupled G protein (d) and differences in the engagement mode of the α -subunit C-terminal 'wavy hook' for G_s vs G_q (e) [15,16].

where alterations in the CCK_AR ICL3 had a major impact on G_q but not G_S or G_i signalling [15]. Similarly, alterations in the GCGR ICL3 and ICL1 showed a greater impact on G_i compared to G_S signalling [10].

GPCR structures coupled to GRK or arrestin

One mechanism in the cell to terminate GPCR-G protein signalling at the plasma membrane is through receptor phosphorylation by GRKs, recruitment of arrestin via the phosphorylated C-terminus/ICL3 and then clathrin-mediated endocytosis mediated by arrestinclathrin/AP2 interactions [27]. Arrestin interacts with GPCRs in two distinct ways. Arrestin binds first to the phosphorylated C-terminus/ICL3 of the receptor, causing a conformation change in arrestin that subsequently facilitates coupling of arrestin to the receptor [28-30]. Arrestin couples to GPCRs using the same intracellular cleft that binds the C-terminal a5 helix of the G protein [31] and results in activation of the intracellular ERK1/2 signalling cascade. It is crucial to understand the molecular differences between coupling of G proteins, GRKs and arrestins, because the therapeutic effect and side effects of drugs may arise through different signalling pathways [32]. There is thus intense interest in developing biased ligands that specifically activate/inhibit only one specific pathway.

Structure determination of a GPCR-GRK complex has been difficult, however, stabilisation of the rhodopsin-GRK1 complex by a combination of crosslinking, binding of two Fabs and lipids resulted in the first low resolution structures [33••]. The receptor was in its active state, with the N-terminus of GRK1 forming an α -helix that binds to the intracellular cleft like G proteins and arrestin (Figure 2a,d). Comparison between the conformation of rhodopsin when coupled to either GRK, arrestin or the G protein transducin shows that they are virtually identical (RMSDs of 0.9-1.0 A) and that the binding sites on rhodopsin overlap significantly (Figure 2h). There are eight residues that interact with all three coupled proteins (Val139^{3.54}, Asn145^{34.53}, Phe146^{34.54}, Gln237^{5.72}, Glu249^{6.32}, Val250^{6.33}, Asn310^{8.47}, Gln312^{8.49}) and a further subset of residues (Figure 2h) that interact only with GRK1 (6 residues), visual arrestin (8 residues) or transducin (2 residues).

Seven structures of GPCRs coupled to arrestins have now been determined. The first high-resolution structure of a GPCR-arrestin complex was a crystal structure of constitutively active mutant of human rhodopsin fused to a preactivated form of mouse arrestin 1 (visual arrestin) [34]. A variety of different strategies were required for cryo-EM structure determination of nonvisual arrestins coupled to activated receptors, including combinations of the following: fusion with the C-terminus of phosphorylated V₂ receptor, arrestin mutants, cross-linking, binding of $F_{ab}30$ to stabilise the active state of arrestin and the use of lipid-mimicking environments. Structures of complexes with arrestin 2 (Arr2; also called β -arrestin1; Figure 2b,c) were determined coupled to NTS₁R [35•,36], β_1 AR [37••], M₂R [38•], V₂R [39•] and 5HT_{2B}R [40••].

G proteins couple to different receptors in a relatively conserved way [25], but in contrast arrestins have shown a wide variation in their binding poses. Significant variations occur in the structure of the finger loop of arrestin inserted into the intracellular cleft of the receptor (Figure 2d) and the angle of interaction between arrestin and the GPCR when viewed both perpendicular to the membrane plane and parallel to the membrane plane (Figure 2e-g).

Two GPCR-arrestin structures ($\beta_1 AR$ [37] and 5HT_{2B}R [40]) have been determined at 3.3 Å resolution where there is good density for the ligand in the orthosteric binding pocket. Comparison with the receptor bound to the same ligand but coupled either to a G protein $(5HT_{2B}R)$ or a G protein-mimetic nanobody (β_1AR) showed similar weakening of interactions between the ligand and H5, explaining the weaker ligand affinity in the arrestin-coupled state compared to the G protein coupled state. There are also other differences between a G protein-coupled receptor and arrestin-coupled receptor, the most obvious one being the difference in outward movement of H6, although in β_1 AR this is less than in the G protein coupled state whereas for 5HT_{2B}R it is greater than in the G protein coupled state. The differences observed between structures could be used in the development of biased agonists.

GPCR dimers

The existence and functional role of obligate class C and class D GPCR dimers are well-established, both structurally and functionally [41,42]. However, for Class A receptors there is no consensus on whether dimerisation is a ubiquitous mechanism in regulating Class A GPCR function. Some class A GPCRs are accepted to form transient dimers and higher order oligomers, although their physiological role is often uncertain [43,44]. Any structural dimer composed of parallel protomers observed in either X-ray crystal structures [45] or cryo-EM has the potential to be physiologically relevant, but careful validation is required by biochemistry and pharmacology to support this.

Humans possess 22 Class C GPCRs and there are now 76 cryo-EM structures, determined between 2019 and 2022, bound to either antagonist, agonist, positive allosteric modulator (PAM), negative allosteric modulator (NAM), regulator of G protein signalling (RGS) protein and/or G protein. Due to space constraints, we will discuss only those receptors where a





Variations in coupling of arrestins and GRK2 to GPCRs. (a) Cryo-EM density (EMDB-23979) of rhodopsin coupled to GRK1 [33]. Density for the F_{ab} required for structure determination has been removed for clarity. (b) Cryo-EM density of β_1AR in a lipid nanodisc coupled to β -arrestin1 (EMDB-10515) [37]. Density for F_{ab} 30 required for structure determination has been removed for clarity. (c) Superposition of β_1AR coupled to mini-G_s (purple; PDB code 7JJO [72]) and β_1AR (grey) coupled to β -arrestin1 (green; PDB code 6TKO [37]). (d) Different conformations of the GRK coupling helix and arrestin finger loop when coupled to different receptors. (e-g) Variation in the angle of arrestin coupled to different receptors (see main text for references): (e) a view perpendicular to the membrane plane; panels (f-g) are views parallel to the membrane plane in positions 1 and 2, respectively, as defined in panel (e). (h)

		Dimer type	Class	PDB	Agonist (Ag), antagonist (Ant), PAM, NAM	G protein family	Stabilising antibodies and fusions	Reference
Apelin		Homo	A	7W0N	Ag	Gi	scFv16 + BRIL	[52••]
				7W0L	Ag	Gs	scFv16 +BRIL	
Ste2		Homo	D	7AD3	Ag	Gpa1	-	[53••]
				7QB9	-	-	-	[54●●]
				7QA8	Ant	-	-	
				7QBC	Ag	-	-	
				7QBI	Ag	-	-	
GABA _B		Hetero	С	7EB2	Ag	Gi	scFv16	[47•]
			С	7CA3	PAM	-	-	[49]
			С	7CA5	-	-	-	
			С	7CUM	Ant + NAM	-	-	
			С	6UO8	Ag + PAM	-	-	[50●]
			С	6UO9	Ag	-	-	
			С	6UOA	Ag	-	-	
			С	6VJM	APO	-	-	
			С	7C7S	Ant	-	-	[46••]
			С	7C7Q	Ag + PAM	G _{i1}	-	
			С	6WIV	-	_	-	[55]
			С	6W2X	Ant + NAM	_	-	[56]
		Homo	С	6W2Y	Ant + NAM	_	-	
Metabotropic	mGlu1	Homo	С	7DGD	-	_	-	[57]
glutamate receptors				7DGE	Ag		Nb43	
	mGlu2		С	7E9G	Ag + PAM	Gi	scFv16 + Nb	[48•]
	mGlu2	Homo	С	7MTQ	Ant	_	-	[51•]
			С	7MTR	Ago-PAM + Ag	_	-	
			С	7MTS	Ago-PAM	Gi		
	mGlu2	Homo	С	7EPA	_	_	-	[58•]
				7EPB	Aq		Nb-RON	
	mGlu7	Homo	С	7EPC	_	_	-	
	mGlu2mGlu7	Hetero	Ċ	7EPD	_	_	_	
	mGlu5	Homo	Ċ	6N52	_			[59]
		Homo		6N51	Aa		Nb43	
	mGlu5-5M	Homo	С	7FD8	Aa	_	_	[60]
		Homo	č	7FD9	Ant			[00]
	mGlu3	Homo	c	7WI8	Ant	_	_	[61]
			Ŭ	7WI6	Ag + NAM	_	_	[0.]
				7WIH	Aq	_	_	
	mGlu4	Homo	С	7E9H	Aq	Gia	scEv16	[48•]

Table 1

fully active G protein-coupled state has been determined (Table 1), namely the GABA_B receptor [46••,47•] and metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) [48•]. The common feature of Class C dimers is that they are maintained dimeric predominantly through interactions in the extracellular Venus fly trap domain (VFT; Figure 3b) that binds agonists. The agonist-induced conformational change in the VFT is transmitted via a linker region to the transmembrane regions, ultimately resulting in a rotation of one helical bundle with respect to the other. In the GABA_B receptor, this changes the dimer interface from

being formed by predominantly H5-H5 to H6-H6 $[46\bullet,47\bullet]$ and in the mGluRs from mainly H4-H4 to H6-H6 $[48\bullet]$. A number of variations between these states have also been described, highlighting the plasticity of these receptors and a number of different solutions for how PAMs can promote the formation of active-like states $[46\bullet,48\bullet,49,50\bullet]$. Extensive pharmacological and biochemical studies have determined that only one protomer in the dimer couples to a G protein and that signalling is transmitted from the VFT of one receptor in the dimer to the G protein coupling site on the adjacent dimer [41]. This is recapitulated in

Snake plots of bovine rhodopsin with amino acid residues within 3.9 Å (inclusive) of either GRK, G protein or arrestin coloured appropriately. PDB codes for the complexes are as follows: rhodopsin-GRK, 7MTB [33••]; rhodopsin-G protein, 6OYA [73]; rhodopsin-arrestin, 5W0P [74]. The panels were made using GPCRdb [75].





Signalling routes in GPCR dimers. (a) Cryo-EM density of the apelin receptor (EMDB-32243) shows that there is sufficient room for only one G protein to couple per dimer, and the C-terminus of the adjacent protomer binds in the G protein-coupling cleft in an auto-inhibitory mechanism [52]. The dimer interface is shown by in the GABAB receptor dimer (EMDB-21534) is from the VFT domain of one protomer through the transmembrane helices of the adjacent protomer that can couple to G protein. The structures of two transmembrane helical bundles are not identical and the G protein coupling site forms only in one protomer [46,47]. The dimer interface is shown by the red box. (c) The Ste2 dimer (EMDB-11720) contains two protomers of identical conformation that are both capable of coupling to G proteins simultaneously, although one G protein is highly mobile, with the exception of the α 5 helix that is ordered where it contacts the receptor [53]. The till of the G protein with respect to the receptor is over 50° different from that observed in G proteins to couple simultaneously. The signalling pathways through the receptor are assumed to follow the paradigm of a monomeric receptor, however it is unclear whether both G proteins can signal to the same extent and there could be crosstalk between protomers across the dimer interface [54]. The dimer interface is shown by the red box.

the asymmetric active-state dimer structures where only a single G protein is coupled per dimer, via a coupling site formed through interactions primarily to ICL2, which is distinct to that found in other GPCR families $[47 \bullet, 48 \bullet, 51 \bullet]$.

In contrast to Class C receptors, the cryo-EM structure of the class D receptor homodimeric GPCR Ste2 (Figure 3c) showed that it couples to two G proteins simultaneously $[53 \bullet \bullet]$. The density for one G protein was well-resolved, but the density for the adjacent G protein was diffuse and molecular dynamics simulations showed that each G protein underwent phases of mobility, with only one G protein being ordered at any one time. The interface between the two protomers is also dynamic [54••], even though it has a very large surface area in the active state (2500 \AA^2) and is composed of interactions between the N-terminus, ECL1 and H1. Cryo-EM structures of five different receptor conformations showed that Ste2 activation upon binding the native agonist α -factor involved an increase in the strength of the interface and a 20 A

movement of the cytoplasmic end of H7 [54••]. The movement of H7 unblocked the G protein coupling site and then formed additional contacts at the dimer interface in a mechanism currently unique to Ste2.

There is currently only one high-resolution structure of a Class A GPCR dimer, the active state of the apelin receptor [52••]. This is different from dimers of Class C and Class D receptors as the interface is extremely small (140 Å²), comprising residues at the extracellular end of H3 (Figure 3a). Only one of the protomers is coupled to a G protein, and there are no contacts between the G protein and the adjacent protomer. Mutation of a key residue at the dimer interface (F101 A^{3.24}) significantly reduced dimer formation and had a profound effect on the pharmacology of the apelin receptor, increasing basal activity and E_{max} significantly.

Inactive GPCR structures by cryo-EM

The inactive state of GPCRs may only consist of 35-40 kDa of ordered protein, which is embedded in a detergent micelle typically ~ 100 kDa in size and makes





Examples of strategies to determine structures of GPCR inactive states. (a) Cryo-EM density of ligand-free Smoothened (EMDB-27062) [69]. (b) Cryo-EM density (EMDB-25648) of the adenosine A_{2A} receptor with a BRIL insertion in ICL3 and bound to an anti-BRIL Fab fragment [69]. (c) Cryo-EM density (EMDB-26589) of the neurotensin receptor NTSR1 engineered to contain the H5-ICL3-H6 region of MOR and bound to the anti-MOR nanobody Nb6 [67]. (d) Cryo-EM density (EMDB-26590) of the histamine H₂ receptor engineered to contain the H5-ICL3-H6 region of MOR, bound to the anti-MOR nanobody Nb6 [67]. (d) Cryo-EM density (EMDB-26590) of the histamine H₂ receptor engineered to contain the H5-ICL3-H6 region of MOR, bound to the anti-MOR nanobody Nb6 and the anti-nanobody F_{ab} (NabFab) [67]. Ligand density in the orthosteric binding pocket is shown above each receptor.

processing of cryo-EM images of these small membrane proteins highly challenging. To circumvent this problem, extra mass needs to be added to the receptor that can extend beyond the detergent micelle and facilitate particle alignment during image processing. An obvious solution is to repurpose successful strategies in engineering GPCRs for X-ray structure determination through either binding an antibody F_{ab} fragment [62], nanobody [63] or insert a small soluble protein such as BRIL in ICL3 [64].

One recent approach was to graft a section of H5-ICL3-H6 from the mu opioid receptor (MOR) into a target GPCR and then bind nanobody Nb6 that specifically recognises this region [65,66]. This resulted in sub-3 Å resolution structures of the inactive states of NTS_1R , H_2R (Figure 4c,d) and somatostatin receptor 2 [67•]. Another approach was to insert BRIL in place of ICL3 in Frizzled5 and then use an anti-BRIL F_{ab}/Nb complex to increase the mass further; the structure was determined by single-particle cryo-EM to 3.7 A resolution, with the low resolution being explained by the flexibility of the GPCR-BRIL fusion points [68•]. This methodology was explored further [69•] to determine the structure of thermostabilised A2AR-BRIL bound to an anti-BRIL Fab to 3.4 Å resolution (Figure 4b) and a Smoothened ICL3 chimera fused to Pyrococcus glycogen synthase (PGS) at 3.7 Å resolution (Figure 4a). A recent innovative strategy to create a three-point linkage between the heterodimer calcineurin and the β_2AR facilitated the structure determination of the receptor either in the ligand-free state or bound to antagonist/agonist with overall resolutions between 3.5 and 3.9 Å [70•].

Conclusions

The incredible advances in all the technology involved in single particle cryo-EM have made the structure determination of GPCR complexes in all conformational states considerably easier than using X-ray crystallography [71]. There are more advances in the cryo-EM pipeline and so the future holds rich promise for improving the throughput of GPCR structure determination, making it the premier tool for structure-based drug design and the determination of novel GPCR structures. A concerted effort over the coming years will undoubtedly determine structures of all human non-olfactory GPCRs.

Declaration of competing interest

CGT is a shareholder and SAB member of Sosei Heptares. None of the other authors have any conflicts to declare.

Data availability

No data was used for the research described in the article.

Acknowledgements

The work in JGN's laboratory is funded by the Ministerio de Ciencia, Innovación y Universidades (PID2020-113359GA-I00), the Spanish Ramón y Cajal program and the Fondo Europeo de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER). The work in CGT's laboratory is supported by the Medical Research Council, as part of United Kingdom Research and Innovation (also known as UK Research and Innovation) [MC_U105197215]. For the purpose of open access, the MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology has applied a CC BY public copyright licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising.

References

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest
- Hauser AS, Attwood MM, Rask-Andersen M, Schiöth HB, Gloriam DE: Trends in GPCR drug discovery: new agents, targets and indications. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2017, 16: 829–842.
- 2. Congreve M, de Graaf C, Swain NA, Tate CG: Impact of GPCR structures on drug discovery. *Cell* 2020, 181:81–91.
- Sadybekov AA, Sadybekov AV, Liu Y, Iliopoulos-Tsoutsouvas C,
 Huang XP, Pickett J, Houser B, Patel N, Tran NK, Tong F, et al.: Synthon-based ligand discovery in virtual libraries of over 11 hillion compounds. Nature 3022 601:452–459.

billion compounds. *Nature* 2022, **601**:452–459. *In silico* screening of GPCRs using an ultra-large virtual library was shown to be an exceedingly effective tool for finding rapidly novel small molecules that bind to the orthosteric binding site. Very often, these initial hits already bound with nanomolar affinity and required little further diversification to obtain potent ligands.

- Flock T, Ravarani CNJ, Sun D, Venkatakrishnan AJ, Kayikci M, Tate CG, Veprintsev DB, Babu MM: Universal allosteric mechanism for Galpha activation by GPCRs. *Nature* 2015, 524: 173–179.
- Venkatakrishnan AJ, Deupi X, Lebon G, Heydenreich FM, Flock T, Miljus T, Balaji S, Bouvier M, Veprintsev DB, Tate CG, *et al.*: Diverse activation pathways in class A GPCRs converge near the G-protein-coupling region. *Nature* 2016, 536:484–487.
- Avet C, Mancini A, Breton B, Le Gouill C, Hauser AS, Normand C, Kobayashi H, Gross F, Hogue M, Lukasheva V, et al.: Effector membrane translocation biosensors reveal G protein and betaarrestin coupling profiles of 100 therapeutically relevant GPCRs. Elife 2022, 11, e74101.
- Hauser AS, Avet C, Normand C, Mancini A, Inoue A, Bouvier M, Gloriam DE: Common coupling map advances GPCR-G protein selectivity. *Elife* 2022, 11, e74107.
- Inoue A, Raimondi F, Kadji FMN, Singh G, Kishi T, Uwamizu A, Ono Y, Shinjo Y, Ishida S, Arang N, *et al.*: Illuminating G-protein-coupling selectivity of GPCRs. *Cell* 2019, 177:1933–1947.
- Masuho I, Ostrovskaya O, Kramer GM, Jones CD, Xie K, Martemyanov KA: Distinct profiles of functional discrimination among G proteins determine the actions of G protein-coupled receptors. Sci Signal 2015, 8:ra123.
- Qiao A, Han S, Li X, Li Z, Zhao P, Dai A, Chang R, Tai L, Tan Q, Chu X, et al.: Structural basis of Gs and Gi recognition by the human glucagon receptor. Science 2020, 367: 1346–1352.
- Alegre KO, Paknejad N, Su M, Lou JS, Huang J, Jordan KD, Eng ET, Meyerson JR, Hite RK, Huang XY: Structural basis and mechanism of activation of two different families of G proteins by the same GPCR. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2021, 28:936–944.
- Huang S, Xu P, Shen DD, Simon IA, Mao C, Tan Y, Zhang H, Harpsoe K, Li H, Zhang Y, *et al.*: GPCRs steer Gi and Gs selectivity via TM5-TM6 switches as revealed by structures of serotonin receptors. *Mol Cell* 2022, 82:2681–2695.
- 13. Qu X, Qiu N, Wang M, Zhang B, Du J, Zhong Z, Xu W, Chu X, Ma L, Yi C, *et al.*: Structural basis of tethered agonism of the

adhesion GPCRs ADGRD1 and ADGRF1. Nature 2022, 604: 779–785.

- Thom C, Ehrenmann J, Vacca S, Waltenspuhl Y, Schoppe J, Medalia O, Pluckthun A: Structures of neurokinin 1 receptor in complex with Gq and Gs proteins reveal substance P binding mode and unique activation features. Sci Adv 2021, 7, eabk2872.
- Liu Q, Yang D, Zhuang Y, Croll TI, Cai X, Dai A, He X, Duan J, Yin W, Ye C, *et al.*: Ligand recognition and G-protein coupling selectivity of cholecystokinin A receptor. *Nat Chem Biol* 2021, 17:1238–1244.
- Mobbs JI, Belousoff MJ, Harikumar KG, Piper SJ, Xu X, Furness SGB, Venugopal H, Christopoulos A, Danev R, Wootten D, et al.: Structures of the human cholecystokinin 1 (CCK1) receptor bound to Gs and Gq mimetic proteins provide insight into mechanisms of G protein selectivity. PLoS Biol 2021, 19, e3001295.
- Qian Y, Ma Z, Liu C, Li X, Zhu X, Wang N, Xu Z, Xia R, Liang J,
 Duan Y, et al.: Structural insights into adhesion GPCR ADGRL3 activation and Gq, Gs, Gi, and G12 coupling. *Mol Cell* 2022, 82:4340–4352.

This is a detailed comparison between the structures of a single receptor coupled to a member of each of the four major G protein families. The structures highlight the key residues at the C-terminus of the G protein that determine coupling specificity and mutations have been made in the receptor that enhance signalling down one pathway over another.

- Qin J, Cai Y, Xu Z, Ming Q, Ji SY, Wu C, Zhang H, Mao C, Shen DD, Hirata K, *et al.*: Molecular mechanism of agonism and inverse agonism in ghrelin receptor. *Nat Commun* 2022, 13:300.
- Wang Y, Guo S, Zhuang Y, Yun Y, Xu P, He X, Guo J, Yin W, Xu HE, Xie X, et al.: Molecular recognition of an acyl-peptide hormone and activation of ghrelin receptor. Nat Commun 2021, 12:5064.
- Zhang X, He C, Wang M, Zhou Q, Yang D, Zhu Y, Feng W, Zhang H, Dai A, Chu X, *et al.*: Structures of the human cholecystokinin receptors bound to agonists and antagonists. *Nat Chem Biol* 2021, 17:1230–1237.
- Zhou Y, Daver H, Trapkov B, Wu L, Wu M, Harpsoe K, Gentry PR, Liu K, Larionova M, Liu J, et al.: Molecular insights into ligand recognition and G protein coupling of the neuromodulatory orphan receptor GPR139. *Cell Res* 2022, 32:210–213.
- Cao C, Kang HJ, Singh I, Chen H, Zhang C, Ye W, Hayes BW, Liu J, Gumpper RH, Bender BJ, et al.: Structure, function and pharmacology of human itch GPCRs. Nature 2021, 600:170–175.
- Carrión-Antolí Á, Mallor-Franco J, Arroyo-Urea S, García-Nafría J: Structural insights into promiscuous GPCR-G protein coupling. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2023, 195:137–152.
- Rose AS, Elgeti M, Zachariae U, Grubmüller H, Hofmann KP, Scheerer P, Hildebrand PW: Position of transmembrane helix 6 determines receptor G protein coupling specificity. J Am Chem Soc 2014, 136:11244–11247.
- 25. Garcia-Nafria J, Tate CG: Cryo-EM structures of GPCRs coupled to Gs, Gi and Go. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2019, 488:1–13.
- Glukhova A, Draper-Joyce CJ, Sunahara RK, Christopoulos A, Wootten D, Sexton PM: Rules of engagement: GPCRs and G proteins. ACS Pharmacol Transl Sci 2018, 1:73–83.
- Rosenbaum DM, Rasmussen SG, Kobilka BK: The structure and function of G-protein-coupled receptors. *Nature* 2009, 459: 356–363.
- Gurevich VV, Benovic JL: Visual arrestin interaction with rhodopsin. Sequential multisite binding ensures strict selectivity toward light-activated phosphorylated rhodopsin. *J Biol Chem* 1993, 268:11628–11638.
- Gurevich VV, Gurevich EV: The molecular acrobatics of arrestin activation. Trends Pharmacol Sci 2004, 25:105–111.
- Ranjan R, Dwivedi H, Baidya M, Kumar M, Shukla AK: Novel structural insights into GPCR-β-arrestin interaction and signaling. *Trends Cell Biol* 2017, 27:851–862.

- 31. Seyedabadi M, Gharghabi M, Gurevich EV, Gurevich VV: Receptor-arrestin interactions: the GPCR Perspective. Biomolecules 2021, 11:218.
- 32 Whalen EJ, Rajagopal S, Lefkowitz RJ: Therapeutic potential of beta-arrestin- and G protein-biased agonists. Trends Mol Med 2011. 17:126-139.
- Chen Q, Plasencia M, Li Z, Mukherjee S, Patra D, Chen C-L, 33
- Klose T, Yao X-Q, Kossiakoff AA, Chang L, et al.: Structures of •• rhodopsin in complex with G-protein-coupled receptor kinase 1. Nature 2021, 595:600-605

First structure of a GRK coupled to a GPCR. The crvo-EM structure was difficult to determine, presumably due to the low affinity in-teractions between the GRK and GPCR, and the flexibility of the complex. The authors had to develop antibodies and cross-linking strategies to be able to get sufficiently good density to build a model.

- Kang Y, Zhou XE, Gao X, He Y, Liu W, Ishchenko A, Barty A, White TA, Yefanov O, Han GW, *et al.*: **Crystal structure of rhodopsin bound to arrestin by femtosecond X-ray laser**. 34. Nature 2015, 523:561-567.
- 35.
- Huang W, Masureel M, Qu Q, Janetzko J, Inoue A, Kato HE, Robertson MJ, Nguyen KC, Glenn JS, Skiniotis G, *et al.*: **Struc**ture of the neurotensin receptor 1 in complex with β -arrestin 1. Nature 2020, 579:303-308

A higher resolution structure of the NTSR1-arrestin-2 complex was determined than the first structure [36•]. The structure gives details of the arrestin residues that form the interface with the receptor and also the role of the specific lipid phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate.

- Yin W, Li Z, Jin M, Yin Y-L, de Waal PW, Pal K, Yin Y, Gao X, He Y, Gao J, *et al.*: A complex structure of arrestin-2 bound to a G protein-coupled receptor. *Cell Res* 2019, **29**:971–983. 36
- Lee Y, Warne T, Nehmé R, Pandey S, Dwivedi-Agnihotri H,
 Chaturvedi M, Edwards PC, García-Nafría J, Leslie AGW, Shukla AK, et al.: Molecular basis of β -arrestin coupling to

formoterol-bound β1-adrenoceptor. Nature 2020, 583:862-866 The first high resolution structure of an arrestin-coupled GPCR bound to a biased ligand, which allowed a direct comparison with the same receptor bound to the same ligand coupled to a G protein mimetic nanobody. Surprisingly, there were discernible differences in the orthosteric binding site that explains the lower affinity of agonist binding in the arrestin-coupled state compared to the G-protin-coupled state.

- 38.
- Staus DP, Hu H, Robertson MJ, Kleinhenz ALW, Wingler LM, Capel WD, Latorraca NR, Lefkowitz RJ, Skiniotis G: **Structure of** the M2 muscarinic receptor- β -arrestin complex in a lipid nanodisc. Nature 2020, 579:297-302.

This structure was the first where the receptor was in a lipid nanodisc and shows a much less tilted arrestin than observed where the receptor is in a detergent micelle(e.g. [35•]). The structure also highlights dif-ferences in the structure of the arrestin finger loop inserted into the receptor compared to other arrestin-GPCR structures.

- Bous J, Fouillen A, Orcel H, Trapani S, Cong X, Fontanel S, Saint-Paul J, Lai-Kee-Him J, Urbach S, Sibille N, et al.: Structure of the 39.
- vasopressin hormone-V2 receptor- β -arrestin1 ternary complex. Biochemistry; 2022.

The low resolution structures and accompanying analysis indicate that V_2R exists in at least two different states when coupled to arrestin. How these affect receptor biochemistry is unclear.

- 40.
- Cao C, Barros-Álvarez X, Zhang S, Kim K, Dämgen MA, Panova O, Suomivuori C-M, Fay JF, Zhong X, Krumm BE, *et al*.: •• Signaling snapshots of a serotonin receptor activated by the prototypical psychedelic LSD. Neuron 2022, 110:3154-3167.

This is a beautiful paper that sets the standard for studying receptor activation, as they compared structures of the same receptor (5HT_{2B}R) bound to the same ligand (LSD) and in three different states, either coupled to arrestin-2, coupled to the G protein Gq or in the transducerfree state. Having the same ligand present in all the receptor states means that any structural differences observed are related to the receptor and its transducer, not the ligand.

- 41. Pin JP, Kniazeff J, Prezeau L, Liu JF, Rondard P: GPCR interaction as a possible way for allosteric control between receptors. Mol Cell Endocrinol 2019, 486:89-95.
- Dumont ME, Konopka JB: Comparison of experimental ap-42. proaches used to determine the structure and function of the class D G protein-coupled yeast alpha-factor receptor. Biomolecules 2022, 12:761.

- 43. Milligan G, Ward RJ, Marsango S: GPCR homo-oligomerization. Curr Opin Cell Biol 2019, 57:40-47.
- Ferre S, Casado V, Devi LA, Filizola M, Jockers R, Lohse MJ, Milligan G, Pin JP, Guitart X: **G protein-coupled receptor olig-**44. omerization revisited: functional and pharmacological perspectives. Pharmacol Rev 2014, 66:413-434.
- Stenkamp RE: Identifying G protein-coupled receptor dimers 45 from crystal packings. Acta Crystallographica Section D Struc-tural Biology 2018, 74:655–670.
- Mao C, Shen C, Li C, Shen D-D, Xu C, Zhang S, Zhou R, Shen Q, Chen L-N, Jiang Z, *et al.*: Cryo-EM structures of inactive and active GABAB receptor. *Cell Res* 2020, **30**:564–573. 46 ••

First structure of a Class C receptor coupled to a G protein. The real surprise was that the G protein coupling site did not conform to the paradigm for Class A, B and F receptors and was formed predominantly by the intracellular loops. However, the position of the G protein binding site and the fact that there was only one G protein coupled per dimer was consistent with all the biochemical data. The higher resolution structure of this complex with additional details was published the following year [47•].

- 47.
- Shen C, Mao C, Xu C, Jin N, Zhang H, Shen D-D, Shen Q, Wang X, Hou T, Chen Z, *et al.*: Structural basis of GABAB receptor-Gi protein coupling. Nature 2021, 594:594-598.

See comments for [46••].

Lin S, Han S, Cai X, Tan Q, Zhou K, Wang D, Wang X, Du J, Yi C, Chu X, et al.: Structures of Gi-bound metabotropic glutamate 48

receptors mGlu2 and mGlu4. Nature 2021, 594:583-588 CryoEM structures of mGlu2 and mGlu4 were determined coupled to Gi, highlightng the asymmetric activation process of these receptors.

- Kim Y, Jeong E, Jeong J-H, Kim Y, Cho Y: Structural basis for activation of the heterodimeric GABAB receptor. J Mol Biol 2020. 432:5966-5984.
- Shaye H, Ishchenko A, Lam JH, Han GW, Xue L, Rondard P 50.
- Pin J-P, Katritch V, Gati C, Cherezov V: Structural basis of the activation of a metabotropic GABA receptor. Nature 2020, 584:298-303.

Four cryo-EM structures of the GABAB receptor heterodimer were determined either in a ligand-free state, or bound to an agonist with or without a PAM. The paper describes in detail the complex series of events from the closure of the VFT upon agonist binding through to an active state that is stabilised by the PAM.

- Seven AB, Barros-Álvarez X, de Lapeyrière M, Papasergi-Scott MM, Robertson MJ, Zhang C, Nwokonko RM, Gao Y, 51.
- Meyerowitz JG, Rocher J-P, et al.: G-protein activation by a metabotropic glutamate receptor. Nature 2021, 595:450-454.

Structures of mGluR2 were determined in different conformational states and also coupled to G_i . The activation process required re-orientation of the heterodimer transmembrane bundles in relation to one another to form an asymmetric interface.

Yue Y, Liu L, Wu L-J, Wu Y, Wang L, Li F, Liu J, Han G-W, Chen B, Lin X, *et al.*: Structural insight into apelin receptor-G 52.

protein stoichiometry. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2022, 29:688–697. First high-resolution structure of a Class A GPCR dimer coupled to a G protein. Functional data support the assignment of the dimer interface and show that the wild type dimer has lower basal activity and lower Emax upon agonist binding compared to a dimer interface mutant that is predominantly monomeric.

- 53. Velazhahan V, Ma N, Pándy-Szekeres G, Kooistra AJ, Lee Y
- Gloriam DE, Vaidehi N, Tate CG: Structure of the class D GPCR .. Ste2 dimer coupled to two G proteins. Nature 2021, 589: 148 - 153

First structure of Class D GPCR that had many surprises. The native ligand a-factor bound in an unusual conformation with the main determinant for agonism at the periphery of the receptor. The receptor existed as a dimer with an extensive interface and two G proteins coupled simultaneously.

Velazhahan V. Ma N. Vaidehi N. Tate CG: Activation mecha-54. nism of the class D fungal GPCR dimer Ste2. Nature 2022, ... 603:743-748

A series of structures showing the Ste2 dimer in the ligand-free state, antagonist-bound state and in two states bound to the agonist a-factor (an inactive-like state and an active-like state) in equilibrium with each other. The mechanism of receptor activation was shown to be different from any other class of GPCR.

- 55. Park J, Fu Z, Frangaj A, Liu J, Mosyak L, Shen T, Slavkovich VN, Ray KM, Taura J, Cao B, *et al.*: **Structure of human GABAB** receptor in an inactive state. Nature 2020, 584:304-309.
- 56. Papasergi-Scott MM, Robertson MJ, Seven AB, Panova O Mathiesen JM, Skiniotis G: Structures of metabotropic GABAB receptor. Nature 2020, 584:310-314.
- 57. Zhang J, Qu L, Wu L, Tang X, Luo F, Xu W, Xu Y, Liu Z-J, Hua T: Structural insights into the activation initiation of full-length mGlu1. Protein & Cell 2021. 12:662-667.
- 58. Du J, Wang D, Fan H, Xu C, Tai L, Lin S, Han S, Tan Q, Wang X, Xu T, et al. Structures of human mGlu2 and mGlu7 homo- and heterodimers. Nature 2021, 594:589-593.

First structure of a metabotropic glutamate receptor heterodimer describing differences with the homodimer.

- Koehl A, Hu H, Feng D, Sun B, Zhang Y, Robertson MJ, Chu M, Kobilka TS, Laeremans T, Steyaert J, et al.: Structural insights into the activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors. Nature 2019, 566:79-84.
- 60. Nasrallah C, Cannone G, Briot J, Rottier K, Berizzi AE, Huang C-Y. Quast RB. Hoh F. Banères J-L. Malhaire F. et al.: Agonists and allosteric modulators promote signaling from different metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 conformations. Cell Rep 2021, 36, 109648.
- 61. Fang W, Yang F, Xu C, Ling S, Lin L, Zhou Y, Sun W, Wang X, Liu P, Rondard P, *et al.*: Structural basis of the activation of metabotropic glutamate receptor 3. Cell Res 2022, 32:695-698.
- 62. Hino T, Arakawa T, Iwanari H, Yurugi-Kobayashi T, Ikeda-Suno C, Nakada-Nakura Y, Kusano-Arai O, Weyand S, Shimamura T, Nomura N, et al.: G-protein-coupled receptor inactivation by an allosteric inverse-agonist antibody. Nature 2012, 482:237-240.
- 63. Rasmussen SG, Choi HJ, Fung JJ, Pardon E, Casarosa P Chae PS, Devree BT, Rosenbaum DM, Thian FS, Kobilka TS. et al.: Structure of a nanobody-stabilized active state of the beta(2) adrenoceptor. Nature 2011, 469:175-180.
- 64. Chun E, Thompson AA, Liu W, Roth CB, Griffith MT, Katrich V, Kunken J, Xu F, Cherezov V, Hanson MA, *et al.*: Fusion partner toolchest for the stabilization and crystallization of G proteincoupled receptors. Structure 2012, 20:967-976.
- Che T, English J, Krumm BE, Kim K, Pardon E, Olsen RHJ, Wang S, Zhang S, Diberto JF, Sciaky N, *et al.*: Nanobody-65. enabled monitoring of kappa opioid receptor states. Nat Commun 2020, 11:1145.
- Che T, Majumdar S, Zaidi SA, Ondachi P, McCorvy JD, Wang S, Mosier PD, Uprety R, Vardy E, Krumm BE, et al.: Structure of the

nanobody-stabilized active state of the kappa opioid receptor. Cell 2018, 172:55-67.e15.

- 67. Robertson MJ, Papasergi-Scott M, He F, Seven AB,
 Meyerowitz G, Panova O, Peroto MC, Che T: Structure determination of inactive-state GPCRs with a universal nanobody. Nat Struct Mol Biol 2022, 29:1188-1195. See text for [68•]

 Tsutsumi N, Mukherjee S, Waghray D, Janda CY, Jude KM,
 Miao Y, Burg JS, Aduri NG, Kossiakoff AA, Gati C, *et al.*: Structure of human Frizzled5 by fiducial- assisted cryo-EM supports a heterodimeric mechanism of canonical Wnt

supports a neurodiment mechanism of canonical with signaling. *Elife* 2020, 9, e58464. This paper showed a method of determining a cryo-EM structure of a GPCR in the ligand free state by inceasing its size through antibody binding. Subsequent papers [67•, 69•, 70•] have introduced other methods to determine cryo-EM structures of GPCRs in an inactive state. It is not yet clear which method is most effective to get consis-tently high-resolution structures of a wide variety of GPCRs, but undoubtedly this important area will see new developments in the future.

69. Zhang K, Wu H, Hoppe N, Manglik A, Cheng Y: Fusion protein strategies for cryo-EM study of G protein-coupled receptors. Nat Commun 2022, 13:4366.

See text for [68•]

70. Xu J, Chen G, Wang H, Cao S, Heng J, Deupi X, Du Y, Kobilka BK: Calcineurin-fusion facilitates cryo-EM structure determination of a family A GPCR. bioRxiv 2022, https://doi.org/ 10.1101/2022.03.27.485993.

See text for [68•]

- 71. Garcia-Nafria J, Tate CG: Cryo-electron microscopy: moving beyond X-ray crystal structures for drug receptors and drug development. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol 2020, 60:51-71.
- 72. Su M, Zhu L, Zhang Y, Paknejad N, Dey R, Huang J, Lee MY, Williams D, Jordan KD, Eng ET, et al.: Structural basis of the activation of heterotrimeric Gs-protein by isoproterenol-intervention of heterotrimeric Gasprotein by isoproterenol-tical out of the second secon bound beta1-adrenergic receptor. Mol Cell 2020, 80:59-71 e54.
- 73. Gao Y, Hu H, Ramachandran S, Erickson JW, Cerione RA, Skiniotis G: Structures of the rhodopsin-transducin complex: insights into G protein activation. Mol Cell 2019, 75:781-790.
- 74. Zhou XE, He Y, de Waal PW, Gao X, Kang Y, Van Eps N, Yin Y, Pal K, Goswami D, White TA, *et al.*: Identification of phosphorylation codes for arrestin recruitment by G proteincoupled receptors. Cell 2017, 170:457-469.
- 75. Isberg V, Vroling B, van der Kant R, Li K, Vriend G, Gloriam D: GPCRDB: an information system for G protein-coupled receptors. Nucleic Acids Res 2014, 42:422-425.