From O_2/CO_2 to O_2/H_2O combustion: the effect of large steam addition on anthracite ignition, burnout and NO_x formation

Ana I. Escudero [a], María Aznar [a], Luis I. Díez [a,*],

M. Carmen Mayoral [b] , José M. Andrés [b]

[a] Department of Mechanical Engineering, Joint Institute University of Zaragoza-CIRCE, University of Zaragoza, María de Luna s/n, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

[b] Instituto de Carboquímica-CSIC, Miguel Luesma 4, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

Abstract

Steam-moderated combustion has been proposed to supress flue gas recycling in oxy-fired units, but the influence of replacing CO_2 by H_2O has to be deeply studied. In this paper, oxy-fuel combustion of anthracite with large steam addition has been experimentally characterized, and main results are discussed as concerns the influence upon the ignition temperature, the burnout and the NO_x formation. The tests have been carried out in an electrically-heated entrained flow reactor for a set of O_2/CO_2 and $O_2/H_2O/CO_2$ atmospheres, with steam addition up to 40 % vol. The results show that ignition temperature diminishes when steam is added in low rates (maximum decrease of 16 ºC), but the trend is reversed for the higher steam concentrations (maximum increase of 18 ºC). The effect of steam addition on coal burnout rates is more significant for the 21 % vol. O_2 atmosphere, with a decrease of 2.2–5.3 percentage points, and almost negligible for the 35 % vol. O_2. An outstanding reduction of NO specific emissions is detected when adding H_2O, with decrements ranging 28–45 % compared to the dry conditions. The transition from O_2/CO_2 combustion to O_2/H_2O combustion barely affects the anthracite conversion but significantly diminishes NO_x formation rates.

* Corresponding autor. Tel.: +34 976 762 564. E-mail: luisig@unizar.es

31

32

33 **Nomenclature**

34 ASU   Air separation unit

35 CCUS   Carbon capture, use and storage

36 CPU   Compression and processing unit

37 DTF   Drop tube furnace

38 EFR   Entrained flow reactor

39 T_{bo} Burnout temperature (ºC)

40 T_{ig} Ignition temperature (ºC)

41 T_p Pre-ignition temperature (ºC)

42 XRD   X-ray diffraction

43 [X]   Molar concentration of species X (–)

44 α Ash weight fraction, dry basis (–)

45 β Burnout degree (%)

46 λ Oxygen excess over stoichiometry (–)

47

48

49 **1. Introduction**

50 According to a recent IEA report [1], global energy demand will increase by more
51 than a quarter to 2040. Despite there is a great scope for renewable energies to
52 increase, coal will still playing a major role in bringing affordable, reliable
53 electricity to millions of people in the near and medium term [2]. Coal world
54 consumption increased by 0.7 % during 2018 after two years of decline, while CO$_2$
55 emissions level has recently reached its highest value with 33.4 Gton [3].

Consequently, the deployment and application of all clean energy technologies, including CO_2 capture in coal-fired power plants, is necessary and urgent.

Carbon Capture, Use and Storage (CCUS) technologies are internationally recognised as indispensable ways to meet climate targets. Carbon capture systems in fossil fuel-fired plants have been worldwide proved with 21 large-scale integrated projects in operation or under construction [2]. Among them, oxy-fuel combustion consists on firing fuels in enriched-O_2 environments, free of N_2, producing flue gases mostly composed by CO_2 and suitable for compression and storage. Recycling of about 60 % of the total flue gases [4] is needed to moderate the temperature in the furnaces and get flame stability. Several issues have been researched during the last years in relation to fuel conversion, fluid dynamics, heat transfer and pollutants formation in oxy-fired systems, aiming at determining the optimal O_2/CO_2 composition in comparison to the well-known air-fired performance [5–6].

Main challenges for the development of oxy-fuel combustion plants are related to the reduction of the large energy penalties caused by ASU and CPU stages (air separation unit and compression and processing unit, respectively), with a target of 7-9 % [7]. Besides energy integration and optimization, other solutions can also contribute to increase the efficiency and operating manageability of these plants. One possibility is the full avoidance of recycling flue gases, or alternatively the replacement of CO_2 by H_2O to dilute the oxygen (the so-called oxy-steam combustion). This was initially suggested by Salvador [8], and represents a chance beyond the concept of wet recycling in which a rate of the flue gases returns to the boiler without any previous condensation. Several authors have reported the advantages of the oxy-steam combustion by simulating full-scale concepts [9–12]. These advantages can be summarized in the following items: 1) simpler plant layout, 2) reduction of boilers size, 3) decrease of gas cleaning requirements, 4) decoupling the furnace operation and the flue gas recycling, 4) reduction of the ancillaries consumption, 5) reduction of the air in-leakages.

There are already some published results concerning lab-scale research of coal oxy-combustion with a different extent of steam addition rates. They are mostly focused on the ignition behaviour of a single particle, or a cloud of few particles, in drop tube furnaces (DTF) equipped with cameras: Kops et al. [13] used a high-speed camera to record and characterize the ignition process of three solid fuels

90   (two coals and one biomass) under steam addition up to 10 % vol.; Lei Cai et al. [14]

91   evaluated the influence of steam addition, up to 30 % vol., during oxy-combustion

92   of bituminous coals using a high-speed camera; Chun Zou et al. [15] developed

93   ignition tests of a bituminous coal under 79/21 % H_2O/O_2 atmosphere in a DTF

94   with a high-speed camera and a two-colour pyrometer; Hao Zhou et al. [16]

95   investigated ignition, flame temperature and burnout of an anthracite coal and a

96   biomass in 79/21 % H_2O/O_2 atmosphere by using a CCD camera; Kai Lei et al. [17,

97   18] conducted a similar study for blends of a bituminous coal and different

98   biomasses, under steam fractions up to 79 % vol., by using a high speed camera. A

99   common outcome from these papers is that steam addition reduces ignition

100   temperature and delay times, but with different extents depending on the fuel type

101   and the steam concentration. Only the work by Riaza et al. [19] addressed the

102   question for larger fuel rates, in an entrained flow reactor (EFR), for steam

103   concentrations ranging from 5% vol. to 20 % vol.

104   The number of experimental works addressing the effect of steam addition on

105   NO_x emissions during oxy-fuel combustion is very scarce, and with limited steam

106   rates. Morón et al. [20] characterized NO_x emissions under a 10 % steam

107   atmosphere by oxy-firing coal and biomass in an EFR. Shujun Zhu [21] studied the

108   NO emission in a down-fired combustion chamber in which the pulverized coal was

109   burned under a maximum steam content of 17 % vol. Alvarez et al. [22] determined

110   the NO emissions of a semi-anthracite and a high-volatile bituminous coal under

111   oxy-fuel combustion conditions in an EFR replacing CO_2 by 5, 10 and 20 % of

112   steam. Zhijun Sun et al. [23] developed experiments for an anthracite and a

113   bituminous coal with oxy-atmospheres from 10 % to 40 % H_2O in a DTF. The

114   results from these works do not lead to a common conclusion: in most cases a small

115   addition of steam (5-10 % vol.) yields NO reduction [20, 22, 23], but different trends

116   are reported for concentrations over 10 % vol. H_2O.

117   According to this review, limited oxy-combustion studies with large steam

118   concentrations have been previously reported, aside from those focused on the

119   ignition of single/few particles. In the present work, a simultaneous study of

120   ignition, fuel conversion and pollutants formation is presented and discussed,

121   covering a lack in the already published experiences. This is the first work

122   addressing a comprehensive experimental characterization of oxy-coal combustion

123   with the addition of high steam percentages replacing CO_2 (up to 40 % vol. H_2O) in

124   an entrained flow reactor. Original contributions are included towards the concept

125 of oxy-steam combustion: an extensive set of ignition temperatures, burnout
126 degrees and fuel-NO conversion rates are provided for nine $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$
127 atmospheres, and compared to O_2/N_2 and O_2/CO_2 conditions.

128

129

130 ## 2.   Facility and experimental tests

131 *2.1   Description of the facility*

132 Figure 1 displays a diagram of the lab-scale plant. It mainly consists of a fuel
133 feeding system, a gases-water mixing and supply system, an entrained flow
134 reactor, a collecting probe and a condenser. The reactor is made of a Kanthal alloy,
135 electrically heated by four independent furnaces and able to operate up to $1150^{\circ}C$.
136 The internal diameter is 0.038 m and the height can be varied, by a bottom-
137 movable sampling probe, in the range 0.8 – 1.6 m.

138

139 **Figure 1.-** Diagram of the lab-scale entrained flow reactor.

140 The fuel is supplied by a mechanical screw to the primary gas flow, entering the
141 reactor through a water-cooled injector. The secondary gas flow is preheated by

142 risers inside the electrical heaters and then directed downwards the reactor

143 through a flow straightener. Gases supply from bottles is provided by several mass

144 flow controllers and, if required, one Coriolis flow meter controls the water

145 flowrate. The uncertainties of mass flow measurements are 0.5 % for the gases and

146 0.2 % for the water. An external evaporator/mixer is used to get the prescribed

147 composition for the firing atmosphere.

148 Nitrogen is introduced at the bottom of the reaction section, through round holes

149 in the upper part of the collecting probe, to quench the combustion products. After

150 the reactor, fly solid residues are retained by a cyclone and a filter. When large

151 concentrations of steam are supplied, a condenser is used to retain most of the flue

152 gases moisture. A continuous emission monitoring system is connected on-line to

153 the process, providing the flue gas composition at the cold-end section: NDIR

154 Siemens Ultramat for SO_2 (0–3000 ppm vol.), NO (0–1000 ppm vol.), CO_2 (0–100 %

155 vol.) and CO (0–5000 ppm vol.), and paramagnetic Siemens Oxymat for O_2 (0–25 %

156 vol.). The uncertainties of these measurements are 1% full-scale.

157 The phase composition of the crystalline species of the solid residues gathered

158 after each test was investigated applying XRD, using a Siemens Bruker D8

159 Advance Series 2 diffractometer set to select Cu Kα radiation (the diffraction angle

160 scanned was 20–60° 2 θ using a step size of 0.05° 2 θ). Unburned content in solid

161 residues were obtained in a Hobersal HD-230 muffle furnace.

162 *2.2 Fuel*

163 The coal fired during the experimental campaign was anthracite from northern

164 Spain. This is a difficult fuel, with a very low content of volatiles and a high

165 content of ash. Information about proximate and ultimate analysis is shown in

166 Table 1, along with the low heating value and the ashes composition. The coal was

167 milled and sieved in the range 75–150 μm to proceed with the ignition and

168 combustion tests.

169 Large reserves of anthracite are proved in USA and Asia-Pacific region. In the

170 latter, around two thirds of power generation still relies on coal combustion and

171 anthracite plays a role [3]. Anthracite is a difficult coal to fire, but oxy-fuel

172 combustion widens its possibilities due to the O_2 enrichment in comparison to

173 conventional combustion.

174

Coal analysis		
Proximate analysis (% wt., as fired)		
	Moisture	1.8
	Volatile matter	5.4
	Fixed carbon	66.4
	Ash	26.4
Ultimate analysis (% wt., daf)		
	Carbon	92.9
	Hydrogen	2.1
	Nitrogen	1.2
	Sulphur	1.2
Heating value		
LHV (kJ/kg, as fired)		23 100
Ashes		
Ashes composition (% wt.)		
	Al_2O_3	24.78
	CaO	2.83
	Fe_2O_3	8.26
	K_2O	3.22
	MgO	1.56
	Na_2O	0.70
	SiO_2	47.20
	TiO_2	0.99

175   **Table 1.-** Anthracite analysis, heating value and ashes composition.


176   *2.3   Experimental conditions for ignition tests*

177   Ignition temperatures were experimentally determined by 9 specific trials in the

178   entrained flow reactor. The tests comprised air, a pair of O_2/CO_2 (21 % and

179   35 % O_2) and six $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ atmospheres, with steam ranging from 10 % to

180   40 % vol. (for 21 % and 35 % O_2). The unit was heated from ambient temperature to

181   1000 ºC with a rate of 15 ºC/min. The coal was fed from a temperature of 400 ºC.

182   An indirect method based on the evolution of the O_2 and CO_2 concentrations in

183   flue gases was used to determine the ignition temperature of the anthracite.

184   Similar approaches have been reported previously by other authors for the same

185   type of reactor [24, 25] but for lower steam concentrations. Figure 2 illustrates the

186   evolution of O_2 and CO_2 molar concentrations as a function of the gas temperature

7

in the reactor during an ignition tests (units have been normalized in the range 0–1, in order to show a generic case). Three different zones can be distinguished: 1) pre-ignition, where the first derivative of the concentrations can be neglected, 2) ignition and conversion of the particles, where the concentrations quickly evolve while the gas temperature increases, and 3) steady evolution when burnout is reached, where again the concentrations remain constant.

Figure 2.- Normalized O_2 and CO_2 molar concentration in flue gases during the ignition test.

Based on the approaches reported in references [24, 25], we adopt the following calculation to determine the ignition temperature:

$$\left| \frac{[X(T_{ig})] - [X(T_p)]}{[X(T_{bo})] - [X(T_p)]} \right| = 0,1 \tag{1}$$

where *[X(T)]* stands for the O_2 or CO_2 molar concentration for each temperature. The ignition temperature T_{ig} was obtained by averaging the results obtained from O_2 and CO_2 measurements.

8

2.4   Experimental conditions for combustion tests

206   Four different atmospheres were selected to conduct the combustion
207   experiments: air, 21/79 % O_2/CO_2, 30/70 % O_2/CO_2 and 35/65 % O_2/CO_2 (from here
208   onwards, all concentrations are given in volume basis unless other indicated). The
209   initial reactor temperature was kept at 1000 ºC for all the combustion tests, while
210   reactor height was set to 1.5 m. To seek the effect of steam moderation, water was
211   added to the oxy-firing atmosphere replacing CO_2: 10 %, 25 % and 40 % for a fixed
212   value of oxygen excess ($\lambda = 1.25$). Flow rates were then selected to result in a mean
213   residence time of 3 s. The values are summarised in Table 2 for the 13 combustion
214   tests. The experiments are defined to keep constant the residence time of the coal
215   inside the reactor. Since the tests are carried out under entrained flow conditions,
216   to keep the same residence time means a constant gas volumetric flow rate. Due to
217   the different atmospheres tested, coal mass flow rate is not the same and neither
218   the gas mass flow rate.

Test #	Atmosphere (% vol.)	Coal flow rate (g/min)	O_2 flow rate (g/min)	CO_2 flow rate (g/min)	Water flow rate (g/min)
1	21/79 O_2/N_2	0.60	1.42	4.69 (N_2)	–
2	21/79 O_2/CO_2	0.60	1.42	7.37	–
3	21/69/10 O_2/$CO_2$$H_2O$	0.60	1.42	6.44	0.38
4	21/54/25 O_2/$CO_2$$H_2O$	0.60	1.42	5.04	0.95
5	21/39/40 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.60	1.42	3.64	1.53
6	30/70 O_2/CO_2	0.86	2.02	6.49	–
7	30/60/10 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.86	2.02	5.56	0.38
8	30/45/25 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.86	2.02	4.17	0.95
9	30/30/40 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.86	2.02	2.78	1.52
10	35/65 O_2/CO_2	0.99	2.35	6.00	–
11	35/55/10 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.99	2.35	5.08	0.38
12	35/40/25 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.99	2.35	3.69	0.94
13	35/55/40 O_2/CO_2/H_2O	0.99	2.35	2.31	1.51

219   **Table 2.-** Mass flow rates during the combustion tests ($\lambda = 1,25$).

220   In order to assess the effect of steam addition on NO_x emissions in comparison to
221   the influence of oxygen excess, 6 additional tests were carried out for the three
222   O_2/CO_2 dry atmospheres with $\lambda = 1.35$ and $\lambda = 1.45$. Flow rates were again selected
223   to get a residence time of 3 s inside the reactor, for the mean particle size.

224   Coal burnout degree β was calculated after each combustion test, according to
225   the following definition:

226
$$\beta = \frac{\alpha_f - \alpha_i}{\alpha_f (1 - \alpha_i)} \tag{2}$$

227   where α_f is the ash weight fraction (dry basis) in the solid residues recovered in the
228   cyclone and α_i is the ash weight fraction (dry basis) in the fired coal. Ash weight
229   fractions in residues, all they collected under steady-state conditions, were
230   determined according to the standard UNE-32-004-84; accuracy of the weighing
231   device was ±0.0001 g. Burnout degree β accounts for the solid-to-gas conversion
232   rate, but not for the full conversion of the gas-phase compounds to final products.
233   To obtain a more complete picture, CO emissions in flue gases are also provided in
234   the section 3.2.

235

236

237   **3. Results and discussion**

238   *3.1 Ignition temperature*

239   The results for the ignition temperatures are displayed in Figure 3. The
240   accuracy of the temperature measurements is ±2 °C. The first effect that can be
241   observed in the Figure 3 is an increase of 15 °C in the ignition temperature when
242   N_2 in air is replaced by CO_2. This trend is consistent with previous works [19, 26,
243   27] and is explained by the higher value of the molar specific heat of CO_2 in
244   comparison to that of N_2. This leads to a reduction of the temperature in the
245   surroundings of the particle during oxy-combustion in comparison to air
246   combustion, for the same oxygen concentration (21 %), and then a reduction of the
247   oxidation rates of volatiles and char with the consequent delay of the ignition.
248   Nevertheless, the effect is moderate in our case, which is explained by the very low
249   amount of volatiles in the tested coal.

250   As concerns the effect of steam addition, when 10% H_2O is added as CO_2
251   substitution there is an advancement of 16 °C in the ignition temperature for the
252   21 % O_2 atmosphere. This is the contrary effect to the previously explained, since
253   the molar specific heat of H_2O is lower and then an increase of burning rates
254   happens in the surroundings of the particles, due to the higher temperatures. The
255   advancement is much lower (4 °C) in the 35% O_2 case.

10

256   Nevertheless, the trend shifts when 25% H_2O is added, what is a new insight.

257 On the one hand, the thermal diffusivity of steam is higher than the N_2 and CO_2

258 ones, which partially counterbalances the previous advancement. On the other

259 hand, steam enhances char gasification and CO local concentration depletes the O_2

260 in the proximity of the particle. Besides, this endothermic gasification also

261 contributes to a decrease of the particle temperature. When steam is further

262 increased up to 40%, the behaviour is different depending on the oxygen content.

263 There is an additional delay (6 °C) for the 21% O_2 case, but the ignition

264 temperature remains almost unaltered for the 35% O_2 case (the difference falls

265 within the measurement accuracy range). The higher O_2 content and diffusivity

266 explain this observation. These effects were not detected by thermogravimetric

267 tests for the same coal and atmospheres [28] due to the very high mass ratio

268 oxidant-to-fuel, not as realistic as the used in the EFR unit ($\lambda = 1.25$).

269   Concluding, and according to our results, the "U-shape" trend observed in the

270 Figure 3 eventually leads to a very small variation of the temperature ignition for

271 the 21/40 % O_2/H_2O and 35/40 % O_2/H_2O atmospheres in comparison to the dry

272 ones: +2 °C and +4°C respectively.

273

275 **Figure 3**.- Ignition temperatures under different atmospheres (steam is added as CO_2 replacement).

276

277

278   *3.2   Burnout*

279   Figure 4 shows the influence of the atmosphere composition (for different

280   $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ proportions) over the coal burnout. The effect of including steam in the

281   atmosphere for different O_2 percentages ($\lambda = 1.25$) is illustrated. When steam was

282   added to the oxidizer stream in a proportion of 10 % and 25 %, the burnout degree

283   decreased significantly for the atmosphere containing 21% O_2: 2.9 and 5.3

284   percentage points respectively. Burnout was barely affected (0.6–1.5 percentage

285   points) in the case of the environments containing 30 % O_2 and 35 % O_2. The

286   results obtained for the lower H_2O percentages agree with the conclusions obtained

287   by Riaza et al. [19]. These authors reported that the addition of steam in 5 %, 10 %

288   and 20 %, under oxy-fuel combustion atmospheres with 30 % and 35 %O_2, did not

289   significantly affect the coal burnout. The decrease in burnout observed in Figure 4

290   when adding steam to the 21% O_2 dry atmosphere (tests #3 and #4) is explained by

291   the reduction of the char specific surface for H_2O in comparison to CO_2 [29], what is

292   significant for a coal with so low volatile content.

293

294   **Figure 4.-** Comparison of burnout obtained under different H_2O and O_2 conditions.

295

296   When the highest steam proportion was added (40 %), the burnout obtained at

297   21% O_2 increased 3.1 percentage points (in comparison to the 25 % H_2O case). This

298   is explained by the reverse influence caused by the increase of both the

12

299   temperature (due to the specific heat of steam) and the O_2 diffusivity. Again,

300   burnout remained almost invariable when adding 40 % H_2O for the higher O_2

301   contents studied (30 % and 35 %), pointing out the prevailing effect of the

302   O_2 concentration over the H_2O one. This attenuation of the burnout increase when

303   %O_2 rises is explained by the competition between char gasification (by CO_2 and/or

304   H_2O) and char oxidation (by O_2) in the oxy-fired conditions. Baojun Yi et al. [30]

305   studied the impact of O_2 and H_2O on the combustion characteristics of pulverized

306   coal in $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ atmospheres in a drop tube furnace. These authors deduced

307   that the extent of H_2O gasification is attenuated for O_2 concentrations higher

308   than 30 %.

309   Burnout degree can be also influenced by the extent of char gasification

310   reactions. Figure 5 displays CO specific emissions (per fuel mass unit) at reactor

311   outlet for each test. As can be seen, a clear decrease of CO was obtained when

312   adding 10 % H_2O in comparison to the dry atmosphere, for all the O_2 percentages.

313   The larger mass diffusivity of oxygen in H_2O (in comparison to CO_2) increases CO

314   oxidation to CO_2 in the gas phase.

315

316   **Figure 5.-** Comparison of CO specific emissions obtained under different H_2O and O_2 conditions.
317

318   When the steam concentration is increased to 40 % vol., CO concentration in flue

319   gases increases with a different extent depending on %O_2. Release of carbon

320   monoxide by char gasification is enhanced due to the high H_2O concentration, but

13

321 CO presence in flue gases at reactor outlet is attenuated for the richer atmospheres
322 due to the larger O_2 availability. Anyway, CO levels are always lower under
323 $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ atmospheres in comparison to O_2/CO_2 for the same O_2 concentration:
324 the observed decrease ranges from 13 % to 23 % when comparing the dry cases to
325 the 40 % H_2O ones.

326 Moreover, comparison between the results obtained under conventional air
327 combustion and different oxy-combustion environments can be also seen in
328 Figure 4. The burnout under the 21/79 % O_2/CO_2 atmosphere is lower than that
329 achieved under the 21/79 % O_2/N_2, what is an expectable result due the decrease of
330 the gas temperature and the lower diffusivity of O_2 in CO_2 than in N_2 [31, 32]. The
331 burnout degree under 21/39/40 % $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ is even lower for this specific coal,
332 but higher than the observed for the 21/54/25 % $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ atmosphere. Anyway,
333 the rising of O_2 concentration in oxy-fired conditions can compensate that issue; the
334 burnout degree for the 30/30/40 % $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ test significantly overpasses the
335 value observed under air combustion.

336

337 **Figure 6.-** Diffractograms of ashes from dry and wet oxy-combustion tests.

338 Aside from determining the burnout degree, collected solid residues were also
339 used for XRD characterization. Figure 6 shows the diffractograms of ashes
340 obtained for a selection of tests (35/65 % O_2/CO_2, 30/30/40 % $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$, 21/69/10
341 % $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$). All the solids presented the same characteristic quartz (SiO_2)

14

342 phase of high intensity, and a small presence of hematite (Fe_2O_3), rutile (TiO_2) and

343 anhydrite ($CaSO_4$). Residues from the tests with lower burnout rates (21 % O_2),

344 showed a small presence of dehydroxylated potassium muscovite. This indicates

345 that aluminosilicates in initial mineral matter have been completely transformed

346 into final quartz-like phase at 30–35 % O_2, which confirms that particles have

347 reached higher conversion temperatures. It is worth mentioning that no differences

348 were found when comparing ashes from dry and wet tests, which is an indication

349 that H_2O addition has not effect in mineral matter evolution even under very high

350 steam rates.

351 *3.3 NO_x emissions*

352   The effect of CO_2 replacement by H_2O on the NO emissions has been also

353 characterized in $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ atmospheres, for the same three oxygen

354 concentrations (21, 30 and 35 %), varying steam fraction in the range 0–40 % for a

355 fixed oxygen excess λ = 1.25. All the results shown in this section have been

356 obtained from steady-state, mean NO concentration values. Maximum standard

357 deviations of the recorded NO measurements during the tests were comprised in

358 the range 0.97–3.84 % of the mean values. Fuel-N to NO mass conversion rates are

359 gathered in Figure 7.

361 **Figure 7.-** Comparison of fuel-N to NO conversions obtained under different H_2O and O_2 conditions.

363   For all the conditions tested, the addition of steam reduces the NO formation.

364   The larger the steam concentration, the larger the reduction rate obtained in

365   comparison to the dry situation. Nevertheless, the reduction is not proportional to

366   the steam content for the richest O_2 atmospheres, as also shown in Figure 8.

367   Maximum reduction rates are obtained for the 40 % H_2O cases, ranging from

368   around 45% for the 21% O_2 case to around 28% for the 35 % O_2 case.

369

370   **Figure 8.-** Reduction rate of fuel-N to NO in comparison to the dry atmospheres,
371   as a function of the steam concentration.

372   The results shown in Figures 7 and 8 are consistent with the mechanisms

373   available in literature. Steam participates in the NO_x reduction of both the

374   volatiles-N and the char-N. As concerns the volatile phase, HCN will be the main

375   nitrogen-compound released in the case of high rank coals [33]. For temperatures

376   over 800 ºC, the hydrogenation of HCN by steam contributes to reduce the NO

377   formation [22]:

378                        $$HCN + H_2O \rightarrow NH_3 + CO \tag{R1}$$

379                        $$NH_3 + NO + 1/4\ O_2 \rightarrow N_2 + 3/2\ H_2O \tag{R2}$$

380   Moreover, the addition of steam promotes the presence of an OH/H radical pool

381   that is involved in the NO_x chemistry [23] by competing with HCN oxidation:

$$382 \qquad\qquad\qquad H_2O \rightarrow H + OH \qquad\qquad\qquad (R3)$$

$$383 \qquad\qquad\qquad HCN + H \rightarrow H_2 + CN \qquad\qquad\qquad (R4)$$

$$384 \qquad\qquad\qquad HCN + OH \rightarrow H_2O + CN \qquad\qquad\qquad (R5)$$

385   For high rank coals, the contribution of char-N to final NO_x is relevant, as
386 reported by Arenillas et al. [34]. Again, the radical H plays a role competing with
387 char-N oxidation:

$$388 \qquad\qquad\qquad C(N)_{char} + H \rightarrow HCN \qquad\qquad\qquad (R6)$$

389 where the fate of HCN follows the mechanisms previously presented. Steam also
390 enhances char gasification, releasing CO. This carbon monoxide in the proximity of
391 the reacting particle can reduce NO by the reaction (R7), and also promotes the
392 increase of free carbon sites C^* in the char surface contributing to additional NO
393 reduction (R8) as reported in [35]:

$$394 \qquad\qquad\qquad CO + NO \rightarrow \tfrac{1}{2} N_2 + CO_2 \qquad\qquad\qquad (R7)$$

$$395 \qquad\qquad\qquad C^*_{char} + NO \rightarrow \tfrac{1}{2} N_2 + CO \qquad\qquad\qquad (R8)$$

396   Due to the very low amount of volatile matter in the fired coal, both
397 homogeneous reduction (R7) –catalysed on the char surface– and heterogeneous
398 reduction (R8) are playing a governing role in the results shown in Figure 7 and
399 Figure 8. The effect of steam on the gas-phase NO reduction is much more limited;
400 in fact, the replacement of CO_2 by H_2O can also contribute to an enhancement of
401 HCN and NH_3 oxidation due to the increase of oxidant diffusivity and flame
402 temperature.

403   Few studies are available in order to compare our results for high steam
404 concentrations (over 20%). To our knowledge, only Zhijun Sun et al. [23] have
405 reported results for steam addition up to 40 %. They showed that steam
406 contributed to diminish the NO formation, but with a concentration that minimized
407 the reduction rate. We have not detected this fact in our experiments, but the NO
408 reduction is clearly attenuated as steam addition grows. This could point out that
409 the optimum would be over 40% in our case.

410   Finally, Figure 9 displays fuel-N to NO mass conversion rates produced during
411 dry tests, for different oxygen excesses under air and three O_2/CO_2 atmospheres.
412 Besides showing trends depending on both the oxygen concentrations and excesses,

413 all consistent with other works [36–38], Figure 9 is interesting in order to
414 independently compare the effect of oxygen excess with the effect of steam shown
415 before in Figure 7. For all the tested atmospheres, a 40 % replacement of CO_2 by
416 H_2O leads to a major impact on NO formation than the variation of oxygen excess
417 in the range 1.25–1.45. Although O_2/CO_2 combustion prevents thermal-NO_x
418 formation in comparison to air combustion, the increase of oxidant rates enhances
419 fuel-NO_x formation. According to the results shown in Figure 7 and Figure 9, steam
420 contributes to compensate that effect, enabling the rise of oxygen supply whereas
421 lowering NO_x formation rates.

422

423 **Figure 9.-** Comparison of fuel-N to NO conversions obtained under different atmospheres and oxygen
424 excesses, without steam addition.
425
426
427
428 ## Conclusions

429 An experimental lab-scale campaign has been completed aiming at determining
430 the effect of large steam addition on the oxy-combustion characteristics of
431 anthracite. The focus has been put on the ignition temperature, the burnout degree
432 and the NO formation. Oxy-combustion tests have been conducted for three oxygen
433 concentrations (21 %, 30 %, 35 %) while steam has been progressively added to the
434 atmosphere in the range 10–40 %, replacing CO_2 as moderating agent.

435   The main insights from the experiments, carried out in an entrained flow
436 reactor, can be summarized as follows:

- 437   Steam addition initially advances the ignition of the anthracite, but a
438   delay is observed when the steam concentration is further increased.
439   Replacement of 40% CO_2 by H_2O leads to a very small variation of the
440   ignition temperature.

- 441   Steam concentration has a slight effect on the burnout degree, but much
442   lower than the effect of the oxygen concentration. Variations in burnout
443   degree due to 40% steam addition can be considered negligible for the
444   richest O_2 atmospheres, while a decrease is observed for the 21% O_2
445   atmosphere in comparison to the dry case. This is explained by the very
446   low volatile content of the fired coal.

- 447   An outstanding decrease of NO formation is achieved by adding 40%
448   steam, with a reduction ranging from about 28% to about 45% depending
449   on the atmosphere. The NO decrease rate due to steam is attenuated as
450   the atmosphere is enriched in oxygen content. The effect of steam has
451   been proved to be more influential than the oxygen excess over
452   stoichiometry.

453

454   Summing up, addition of up to 40 % steam in oxy-combustion hardly affects the
455 ignition and conversion characteristics of the anthracite, while a relevant reduction
456 of NO is achieved. The best combination is obtained for the 35/25/40 % $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$
457 atmosphere, joining high conversion and low emission rates: ignition temperature
458 of 705 ºC, burnout degree over 78.5 % and fuel-N to NO conversion rate of 13.5%.
459 Alteration of the solid residues composition is not observed after XRD analysis.
460 These results supports that a transition from O_2/CO_2 combustion to O_2/H_2O
461 combustion is feasible, at least as concerns the coal behaviour.

462

References

[1]   IEA, "2018 World Energy Outlook: Executive Summary," *OECD/IEA*, p. 11, 2018. https://www.iea.org/reports/world-energy-outlook-2018

[2]   S. J. Velarde, J. Ugarte-Guerra, A. Castro, "Reducing emissions from coal: a role for the world bank", 2018. https://www.worldcoal.org/reducing-emissions-coal-role-world-bank-0

[3]   BP Energy, "BP Energy Outlook - 2019 Edition", 2019. http://www.bp.com/en/global/corporate/energy-economics/energyoutlook.html

[4]   T. Wall *et al.*, "An overview on oxyfuel coal combustion - State of the art research and technology development" *Chem. Eng. Res. Des.* 87 (2009) 1003–1016.

[5]   Z. Sun *et al.*, "Formation and reduction of NO from the oxidation of NH_3 /CH_4 with high concentration of H_2O", *Fuel* 247 (2019) 19-25.

[6]   L. Álvarez *et al.*, "CFD modelling of oxy-coal combustion in an entrained flow reactor", *Fuel Process. Technol.* 92 (2011) 1489–1497.

[7]   S. Espatolero, L. M. Romeo, A. I. Escudero, R. Kuivalainen, "An operational approach for the designing of an energy integrated oxy-fuel CFB power plant", *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 64 (2017) 204-211.

[8]   C. Salvador, "Modeling, Design, and Pilot-Scale Experiments of CANMET's Advanced Oxy-Fuel/Steam Burner", 2nd Workshop International Oxy-Combustion Research Network, Windsor, CT, USA, 2007.

[9]   S. Seepana, S. Jayanti, "Steam-moderated oxy-fuel combustion", *Energy Convers. Manag.* 51 (2010) 1981–1988.

[10]   L. Sheng, X. Liu, J. Si, Y. Xu, Z. Zhou, M. Xu, "Simulation and comparative exergy analyses of oxy-steam combustion and O_2/CO_2 recycled combustion pulverized-coal-fired power plants", *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 27 (2014) 267–278.

[11]   Y. Xiang *et al.*, "Influence of H_2O phase state on system efficiency in O_2/H_2O combustion power plant", *Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control* 78 (2018) 210–217.

[12]   B. Jin, H. Zhao, C. Zou, C. Zheng, "Comprehensive investigation of process characteristics for oxy-steam combustion power plants", *Energy Convers. Manag.* 99 (2015) 92–101.

[13]   R. B. Kops, F. M. Pereira, M. Rabaçal, M. Costa, "Effect of steam on the single particle ignition of solid fuels in a drop tube furnace under air and simulated oxy-fuel conditions", *Proc. Combust. Inst.* 37 (2019) 2977–2985.

[14]   L. Cai, C. Zou, Y. Guan, H. Jia, L. Zhang, C. Zheng, "Effect of steam on ignition of pulverized coal particles in oxy-fuel combustion in a drop tube furnace", *Fuel* 182 (2016) 958–966.

[15]   C. Zou, L. Cai, D. Wu, Y. Liu, S. Liu, C. Zheng, "Ignition behaviors of pulverized coal particles in O_2/N_2 and O_2/H_2O mixtures in a drop tube

509 furnace using flame monitoring techniques," *Proc. Combust. Inst.* 35 (2015)
510 3629–3636.

511 [16] H. Zhou, Y. Li, N. Li, K. Cen, "Experimental investigation of ignition and
512 combustion characteristics of single coal and biomass particles in O_2/N_2 and
513 O_2/H_2O", *J. Energy Inst.* 92 (2019) 502–511.

514 [17] K. Lei, B. Ye, J. Cao, R. Zhang, D. Liu, "Combustion characteristics of single
515 particles from bituminous coal and pine sawdust in O_2/N_2, O_2/CO_2, and
516 O_2/H_2O atmospheres", *Energies* 10 (2017) 1695.

517 [18] K. Lei, R. Zhang, B. Ye, J. Cao, and D. Liu, "Combustion of single particles
518 from sewage sludge/pine sawdust and sewage sludge/bituminous coal under
519 oxy-fuel conditions with steam addition," *Waste Manag.* 101 (2020) 1–8.

520 [19] J. Riaza, L. Álvarez, M. V. Gil, C. Pevida, J. J. Pis, F. Rubiera, "Effect of oxy-
521 fuel combustion with steam addition on coal ignition and burnout in an
522 entrained flow reactor," *Energy* 36 (2011) 5314–5319.

523 [20] W. Moroń, W. Rybak, "NOx and SO_2 emissions of coals, biomass and their
524 blends under different oxy-fuel atmospheres", *Atmos. Environ.* 116 (2015)
525 65–71.

526 [21] S. Zhu, J. Zhu, Q. Lyu, F. Pan, Y. Zhang, W. Liu, "NO emissions under
527 pulverized char combustion in $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$ preheated by a circulating
528 fluidized bed", *Fuel* 252 (2019) 512–521.

529 [22] L. Álvarez, J. Riaza, M. V. Gil, C. Pevida, J. J. Pis, F. Rubiera, "NO
530 emissions in oxy-coal combustion with the addition of steam in an entrained
531 flow reactor," *Greenh. Gases Sci. Technol.* 1 (2011) 197–199.

532 [23] Z. Sun *et al.*, "Effects of H_2O on NO Emission during Oxy-coal Combustion
533 with Wet Recycle," *Energy and Fuels* 31 (2017) 8392–8399.

534 [24] J. Faúndez, B. Arias, F. Rubiera, A. Arenillas, X. García, A.L. Gordon et al.
535 "Ignition characteristics of coal blends in an entrained flow furnace", *Fuel* 86
536 (2007) 2076–2080.

537 [25] J. Riaza , J. Gibbins, H. Chalmers, "Ignition and combustion of single
538 particles of coal and biomass", *Fuel* 202 (2017) 650–655.

539 [26] H. Liu, R. Zailani, B.M. Gibbs, "Comparisons of pulverized coal combustion
540 in air and in mixtures of O_2/CO_2", *Fuel* 84 (2005) 833–840.

541 [27] A. Molina, C.R. Shaddix, "Ignition and devotalilization of pulverized
542 bituminous coal particles during oxygen/carbon dioxide coal combustion",
543 *Proc. Combust. Inst.* 31 (2007) 1905–1912.

544 [28] C. Dueso, M. C. Mayoral, J. M. Andrés, A. I. Escudero, L. I. Díez, "Towards
545 oxy-steam combustion: The effect of increasing the steam concentration on
546 coal reactivity", *Fuel* 239 (2019) 534–546.

547 [29] J. Xu *et al.*, "Effects of H_2O gasification reaction on the characteristics of
548 chars under oxy-fuel combustion conditions with wet recycle," *Energy and*
549 *Fuels* 30 (2016) 9071–9079.

550 [30] B. Yi, L. Zhang, Q. Yuan, "Study of the flue gas characteristics and
551 gasification reaction of pulverized coal combustion in $O_2/CO_2/H_2O$
552 atmosphere", *Energy Sources Part A: Recovery, Utilization, Environmental
553 Effects* 40 (2018) 1565–1572.

554 [31] Q. Li, C. Zhao, X. Chen, W. Wu, B. Lin, "Properties of char particles obtained
555 under O_2/N_2 and O_2/CO_2 combustion environments", *Chem Eng Process* 49
556 (2010) 449–459.

557 [32] C.R. Shaddix, A. Molina, "Evaluation of the distinct effect of CO_2 properties
558 on the oxy-fuel combustion of pulverized coal", Combustion Research
559 Facility, Sandia National Laboratories, Livermore, CA 94550, United
560 States: Western States Section/Combustion Institute, 2012, pp. 1–9.

561 [33] P. Glarborg, A. D. Jensen, J. E. Johnsson, "Fuel nitrogen conversion in solid
562 fuel fired systems", *Prog. Energy Combust. Sci.* 29 (2003) 89–113.

563 [34] A. Arenillas, F. Rubiera, J.J. Pis, "Nitric oxide reduction in coal combustion:
564 Role of char surface complexes in heterogeneous reactions", *Environ Sci
565 Technol* 36 (2002) 5498–5503.

566 [35] I. Aarna, E.M. Suuberg, "A review of the kinetics of the nitric oxide-carbon
567 reaction", *Fuel* 76 (1997) 475–491.

568 [36] L. Álvarez, M. Gharebaghi, J.M. Jones, M. Pourkashanian, A. Williams, J.
569 Riaza et al., "Numerical investigation of NO emissions from an entrained
570 flow reactor under oxy-coal conditions", *Fuel Process Technol* 93 (2012) 53–
571 64.

572 [37] H. Hashemi, S. Hansen, M.B. Toftegaard, K.H. Pedersen, A.D. Jensen, K.
573 Dam-Johansen et al., "A model for nitrogen chemistry in oxy-fuel combustion
574 of pulverized coal", *Energy and Fuels* 25 (2011) 4280–89.

575 [38] X. Jiang, X. Huang, J. Liu, X. Han, "NO_x emission of fine-and superfine-
576 pulverized coal combustion in O_2/CO_2 atmosphere" *Energy and Fuels* 24
577 (2010) 6307–6313.

578

579