

1

2

## **Inactivation of bacterial spores by UV-C light**

3

E. Gayán, I. Álvarez, and S. Condón\*

4

Food Technology, Faculty of Veterinary of Zaragoza, Spain.

5

6

Running Title: UV-C inactivation at mild temperatures

7

Keywords: ultraviolet light, sterilization, combined processes, non-thermal technologies,

8

bacterial spores.

9

10

11

12

13

14

\* Corresponding author: Prof. Santiago Condón. Mailing address: Tecnología de los

15

Alimentos, Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Zaragoza, C/ Miguel Servet 177,

16

CP 50013, Zaragoza, Spain. Phone: 0034 976 76 26 38. Fax: 0034 976 76 15 90. E-

17

mail: [scondon@unizar.es](mailto:scondon@unizar.es)

18

19

## ABSTRACT

20

21 This investigation evaluates the ability of UV light for inactivating bacterial spores of  
22 relevance in food preservation, the effect of the absorptivity of the treatment medium on  
23 the UV lethality, and the effect of the simultaneous or sequential combination of UV  
24 light and mild heating. A UV dose of 23.72 J/mL reached 2.25, 2.93, 3.24, 3.85, and  
25 4.05 Log<sub>10</sub> reductions of *B. coagulans*, *B. cereus*, *A. acidocaldarius*, *B. licheniformis*,  
26 and *G. stearothermophilus* spores, respectively. The shoulder phase of UV survival  
27 curves of the most resistant *B. coagulans* increased linearly with the absorptivity of the  
28 treatment medium, whereas the inactivation rate decreased exponentially. The UV  
29 inactivation of *B. coagulans* increased synergistically when increasing the treatment  
30 temperature from 25 to 60 °C. A previous heat treatment (60 °C for 3.58 min) did not  
31 affect UV sensitivity of *B. coagulans*, but prior UV exposure (27.10 J/mL) sensitized  
32 bacterial spores to subsequent heat treatments.

33

34 **Keywords:** UV light, combined processes, bacterial spores, absorption coefficient,...

35

36 Industrial relevance: This investigation demonstrated the ability of UV light for  
37 inactivating bacterial spores of interest for food preservation suggesting that UV  
38 technology, contrary to other non-thermal technologies, is a real alternative to current  
39 heat sterilization treatments. For UV sterilization of liquid foods with high absorption  
40 coefficient, the efficacy of UV light can be enhanced by its combination with heat.

41

42 **1. Introduction.**

43 Current consumer's demand for minimal processed food has led to considerable efforts  
44 for development alternative non-thermal processes for microbial inactivation. Most of  
45 these new technologies, such as Pulsed Electric Fields (PEF), High Hydrostatic Pressure  
46 (HHP), and Ultrasounds (US) are able to inactivate efficiently bacterial vegetative forms  
47 but fails against bacterial spores. Therefore, their application in the food industry is  
48 focused on pasteurization processes to control pathogenic microorganisms (NACMCF,  
49 2006), but are unsuitable to replace thermal sterilization treatments. Ultraviolet (UV)  
50 irradiation is another non-thermal technology that has been traditionally used for the  
51 disinfection of air, water, and surfaces (Bintsis, Litopoulou-Tzanetaki, & Robinson,  
52 2000). However, currently it has gained considerable interest for liquid food  
53 pasteurization because it is easy to use and lethal to most types of microorganisms, it  
54 does not generate chemical residues, and it is a dry cold process that can be effective at  
55 low cost in comparison with other pasteurization methods (Guerrero-Beltrán &  
56 Barbosa-Cánovas, 2004; Tran & Farid, 2004). Contrary to other non-thermal  
57 technologies UV treatments are potentially capable of inactivating bacterial spores  
58 (Hijnen, Beerendonk, & Medema, 2006).

59 When bacterial spores are treated with UV-C radiation (200–280 nm), which is  
60 considered the most germicidal wavelength-range, DNA absorbs photons inducing the  
61 formation of bipyrimidine dimmers, especially spore photoproducts (SP) (Moeller et al.,  
62 2007), which transiently block DNA transcription and replication leading to cell death  
63 (Friedberg et al., 2006). Most data in literature have been obtained with *Bacillus*  
64 *subtilis*, one of the challenge microorganisms used in biodosimetry assays for  
65 evaluating water treatment plant performance (USEPA, 2003). However, there is little  
66 additional information about the UV inactivation of different bacterial spores of interest

67 for food preservation. The effect of important environmental factors on the UV  
68 resistance of bacterial spores, such as the absorption coefficient of the treatment media,  
69 has not been investigated so far.

70 The main drawback of UV processing is its low penetration capacity in liquid foods  
71 with high absorption coefficient (Koutchma, Forney, & Moraru, 2009). It is foreseeable  
72 that this limitation is greater the higher the UV resistance of the target microorganism  
73 is. Most authors agree that bacterial spores are more UV tolerant than vegetative cells  
74 (Setlow, 2006), which is attributed to altered DNA conformation (A-form) caused by  
75 the presence of small acid-soluble proteins (SASP) binding to the DNA; to an efficient  
76 DNA repair mechanisms specific for SP; to the accumulation of high percentage of  
77 dipicolinic acid in the dormant spore core; and to the presence of a thick spore protein  
78 coating. Overall it is expected that the inactivation of bacterial spores in media of high  
79 absorptivity will be difficult.

80 UV light can be combined with other novel processing techniques or milder  
81 conventional preservation methods in a so-called 'hurdle' approach to guarantee  
82 acceptable inactivation of microorganisms of concern (Walkling-Ribeiro et al., 2008;  
83 Maktabie, Watson, & Parton, 2011). To improve the UV lethal effect, UV light has been  
84 combined with chemical agents, mild heating, or other novel technologies. In fact, it is  
85 well known that the sporicidal effect of UV-C light increases in combination with  
86 hydrogen peroxide and ozone (Gardner & Shama, 1998; Jung, Oh, & Kang, 2008), and  
87 this has been the basis for the design of sterilization processes of food packaging  
88 materials. Unfortunately this strategy cannot be used for food preservation. Also  
89 infrared radiation and conductive heating increase the lethal effect of UV light  
90 (Hamanaka et al., 2011), which probably is due to thermal effects. Recently it has been  
91 demonstrated that the lethal effect of UV light on *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella*  
92 *enterica* subsp. *enterica* serovar *Typhimurium* increases synergistically with

93 temperature between 40 and 60 °C (Gayán, Monfort, Álvarez, & Condón, 2011; Gayán,  
94 Serrano, Raso, Álvarez, & Condón, 2012). To the best of our knowledge there is no data  
95 in the literature of the effect of the combination of UV light and mild heat treatments  
96 (UV-H treatments) on the inactivation of bacterial spores.

97 The goal of this study was to determine the ability of UV light for inactivating different  
98 spore-forming bacteria (*Bacillus coagulans*, *Bacillus licheniformis*, *Geobacillus*  
99 *stearothermophilus*, *Bacillus cereus*, and *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius*) of relevance  
100 for food preservation. The effect of applied dose and absorptivity of the treatment  
101 medium on UV lethality was studied with the most UV resistant species. Finally, the  
102 lethal effect of the simultaneous or sequential combination of UV light with mild  
103 heating was also explored.

## 104 **2. Material and methods.**

### 105 **2.1. Bacterial spore production.**

106 The strains used in this investigation: *Bacillus coagulans* (STCC 4522) *Bacillus*  
107 *licheniformis* (STCC 4523), *Geobacillus stearothermophilus* (STCC 12980), *Bacillus*  
108 *cereus* (STCC 9818) and *Alicyclobacillus acidocaldarius* (STCC 5137) were provided  
109 by the Spanish Type Culture Collection (STCC). The bacterial spores were maintained  
110 frozen at -80 °C in cryovials. To obtain the vegetative cultures, a loopful of growth in  
111 nutrient agar (Biolife, Milano, Italy) with 0.6% (w/v) of yeast extract (Biolife) (NAYE)  
112 added was inoculated into a 50 mL-flask of nutrient broth (Biolife) with 0.6% (w/v) of  
113 yeast extract (Biolife) (NBYE) added and incubated at the required temperatures in a  
114 shaking incubator for 24 h. Sporulations were carried out in Petri dishes of NAYE with  
115 3 ppm (w/v) of manganese sulphate (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) added. Agar surface was  
116 inoculated with a 24 h culture in liquid media and incubated at the corresponding  
117 temperature for 5 days. After incubation spores were collected by flooding the agar

118 surface with sterile distilled water. After harvesting, spores were washed five times by  
119 centrifugation at 2500g for 20 min at 4 °C (Jouan, mod. CR 4.11, Saint-Herblain,  
120 France), and re-suspended in sterile distilled water. The spore suspensions were stored  
121 at 0-5 °C until used. Incubation temperatures for growth and sporulation were: 35 °C for  
122 *B. coagulans*, *B. licheniformis* and *B. cereus*; and 55 °C for *G. stearothermophilus* and  
123 *A. acidocaldarius*.

124

## 125 **2.2.UV equipment and treatments.**

126 UV treatments were carried out in the equipment previously described by Gayán et al.  
127 (2011). Briefly, it consisted of eight individual annular thin film flow-through reactors  
128 connected sequentially. Each reactor consisted of a low pressure UV-C lamp (TUV  
129 8WT5, Philips, USA), with 8 W of input power, enclosed by a quartz sleeve to prevent  
130 the direct contact with the treatment medium, and fixed at the axis of an outer glass at  
131 which end was placed a sampling valve. The whole system was submerged in a 90 L  
132 water bath ( $T \pm 1.5$  °C) heated by the circulating water of a peripheral thermostatic bath  
133 (Huber, mod. Kattebad K12, Offenburg, Germany). The equipment included a  
134 peristaltic pump (Ismatec, mod. ISM 10785, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) and a  
135 heating/cooling coil exchanger prior to the entrance of the first UV reactor and placed  
136 inside the bath water. Two thermocouples (Almeco, mod. ZA 020-FS, Bernburg,  
137 Germany) fitted to the input of the first and the outlet of the last reactor allowed the  
138 control of the treatment medium temperature.

139 Treatment medium was added with the spore suspensions to achieve  $10^4$ - $10^6$  CFU/mL  
140 and pumped through the installation at 8.5 L/h. When the treatment conditions were  
141 stabilized, samples were withdrawn through the sampling valve of each reactor and 0.1  
142 mL or 1 mL were immediately pour plated in the recovery media. McIlvaine citrate-  
143 phosphate buffer pH 7.0 with different absorption coefficients (from 3.9 to 20.0  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ;

144 turbidity < 5 NTU) were prepared by adding different quantities of tartrazine (Sigma-  
145 Aldrich, St. Louis, USA). Absorbance of treatment media was measured at 254 nm  
146 using a Unicam UV500 spectrophotometer (Unicam Limited, Cambridge, UK). Sample  
147 solutions were diluted and evaluated using quartz cuvettes (Hellma, Müllheim,  
148 Germany) with path lengths of 1, 2, and 10 mm. The absorption coefficient of each  
149 sample was determined from the slope of the absorbance versus path length, correcting  
150 for the dilution factor. Turbidity was measured using a HI 83749 nephelometer (Hanna  
151 Instrument, Szeged, Hungary).

152

### 153 **2.3.Heat treatments.**

154 Heat treatments were carried out in a specially designed resistometer described by  
155 Condón, Arrizubieta, and Sala (1993). Basically this instrument consists of a 350 mL  
156 vessel provided with an electrical heater for thermostation, an agitation device to ensure  
157 inoculums distribution and temperature homogeneity, a pressurization system, and ports  
158 for injecting the microbial suspension and for sampling. Once the preset temperature  
159 had attained stability ( $T \pm 0.05$  °C), 0.2 mL of an adequately diluted microbial cell  
160 suspension were inoculated into the corresponding treatment medium. After inoculation,  
161 0.2 mL samples were collected at different heating times and immediately pour plated.

162

### 163 **2.4.Incubation of treated samples and survival counting.**

164 Bacterial spores were recovered in NAYE and plates were incubated for 48 h at the  
165 corresponding temperature for each microorganism. Longer incubation times did not  
166 change the profile of survival curves (data not shown). After incubation, colony forming  
167 units (CFU) were counted with an improved Image Analyzer Automatic Colony  
168 Counter (Protos, Synoptics, Cambridge, UK), as described elsewhere (Condón , Oria, &  
169 Sala, 1987).

## 170 2.5. Curve fitting and statistical analysis.

171 Survival curves to UV treatments were obtained by plotting the logarithm of the  
172 survival fraction versus treatment doses expressed in energy consumption unit (J/mL)  
173 according to Gayán et al. (2011), and to heat versus time in min. To fit survival curves  
174 and calculate resistance parameters, the Geeraerd and Van Impe inactivation model-  
175 fitting tool (GInaFiT) was used (Geeraerd, Valdramidis, & Van Impe, 2005). As UV  
176 survival curves obtained in this investigation did not show tails but rather shoulders, the  
177 log-linear regression plus shoulder model (Geeraerd, Herremans, & Van Impe, 2000)  
178 was used with the equation:

$$179 N_d = N_0 e^{-K_{max}d} \left( \frac{e^{K_{max}Sl}}{1 + (e^{K_{max}Sl} - 1)e^{-K_{max}d}} \right) \quad (1)$$

180 where  $N_d$  represents the number of survivors,  $N_0$  the initial count,  $d$  the applied dose,  $Sl$   
181 the shoulder length, and  $K_{max}$  the first-order inactivation constant. This model describes  
182 the survival curves through two parameters: the shoulder length ( $Sl$ ) or dose before the  
183 exponential inactivation begins, and the inactivation rate ( $K_{max}$ ), defined as the slope of  
184 the exponential portion of the survival curve. For comparison purposes, the GInaFiT  
185 software also provides the parameter  $4D$ , defined as the treatment dose necessary to  
186 inactivate 99.99% of the microbial population. Heat survival curves which showed a  
187 log-linear profile were fitted by the Bigelow and Esty (1920) model (Eq. 2) also  
188 incorporated in the GInaFiT software by the equation:

$$189 N_t = N_0 e^{-K_{max}t} \quad (2)$$

190 All microbial resistance determinations were performed at least three times on different  
191 working days. The error bars in the figures correspond to the mean standard deviation.  
192 Statistical analyses,  $t$ -test ( $p = 0.05$ ) and ANOVA tests ( $p = 0.05$ ), were carried out



193 using the GraphPad PRISM 5.0 software (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, USA),  
194 and differences were considered significant for  $p \leq 0.05$ .

195

## 196 **1. Results.**

197 Survival curves of the five spore suspensions to UV light were assessed in buffer with  
198 an absorption coefficient of  $11.1 \text{ cm}^{-1}$  (Figure 1). As observed, UV susceptibility of  
199 bacterial spores varied between species: a dose of  $23.72 \text{ J/mL}$  reached  $2.25 \pm 0.07$ ,  $2.93$   
200  $\pm 0.09$ ,  $3.24 \pm 0.38$ ,  $3.85 \pm 0.5$ , and  $4.05 \pm 0.30 \text{ Log}_{10}$  cycles of inactivation of *B.*  
201 *coagulans*, *B. cereus*, *A. acidocaldarius*, *B. licheniformis*, and *G. stearothermophilus*  
202 spores, respectively. UV survival curves showed a significant shoulder, followed by an  
203 exponential order of death. Survival curves were fitted with the Geeraerd et al.'s  
204 equation (Eq. 1) and resistance parameters ( $Sl$  and  $K_{max}$ ), and  $4D$  values for comparison,  
205 were compiled in Table 1. Table 1 also includes the coefficient of determination ( $R^2$ )  
206 and the root mean square error ( $RMSE$ ) to illustrate the goodness of the fit. No  
207 statistically significant differences ( $p > 0.05$ ) were found among  $K_{max}$  values, whereas  
208 the shoulder length widely changed among species: *B. coagulans* exhibited the highest  
209  $Sl$ , whereas the most sensitive *G. stearothermophilus* showed a  $Sl$  the half of the former.  
210 To study the effect of the absorptivity of the treatment medium on UV lethality at room  
211 temperature, survival curves of the most resistant spores, *B. coagulans*, were obtained in  
212 buffers with absorption coefficient ranging from  $3.9$  to  $20.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . UV resistance  
213 parameters were calculated from the fit of experimental data with the Geeraerd et al.'s  
214 equation and data have also been included in Table 1. As observed, the lethal effect of  
215 UV light decreased by increasing the absorption coefficient. The lower UV  
216 effectiveness was due to both an increase of the shoulder length and a decrease of the  
217 inactivation rate. Plotting the  $\text{Log}_{10} K_{max}$  against the absorption coefficient ( $\alpha$ ) (Figure  
218 2), an exponential relationship was deduced ( $\text{Log}_{10} K_{max} = -0.060 \alpha + 0.208$ ;  $R^2 =$

219 0.996), from which was calculated that  $K_{max}$  decreased 10-fold by increasing the  
220 absorption coefficient by  $16.8 \pm 0.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . On the contrary, a linear relationship ( $Sl =$   
221  $0.909 \alpha - 1.896$ ;  $R^2 = 0.986$ ) was found between shoulder length and absorptivity  
222 (Figure 3).

223 To evaluate the lethal effect of the UV-H combined treatment, *B. coagulans* spores were  
224 treated by UV light at 60 °C in buffers with different absorption coefficients (8.8-17.0  
225  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ). Obtained survival curves are plotted in Figure 4 and their kinetics parameters are  
226 included in Table 1. The UV inactivation applying a dose of 27.10 J/mL at 60 °C in  
227 buffers of 17.0, 13.9, 11.1, and 8.8  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  increased 0.82, 1.19, 1.87, and more than 2  
228  $\text{Log}_{10}$  cycles, respectively, compared to treatments at 25 °C (Figure 4). Inactivation UV-  
229 H parameters (Table 1) showed that shoulder phases were shorter and inactivation rates  
230 were higher than those obtained at 25 °C. The relationship between the absorption  
231 coefficient and  $K_{max}$  values ( $\text{Log}_{10} K_{max} = -0.065 \alpha + 0.423$ ;  $R^2 = 0.999$ ) is illustrated in  
232 Figure 2, and with the  $Sl$  ( $Sl = 0.245 \alpha + 3.125$ ;  $R^2 = 0.996$ ) is in Figure 3. The statistical  
233 analysis demonstrated that the effect of the absorptivity on  $K_{max}$  was the same ( $p > 0.05$ )  
234 at both treatment temperatures. On the contrary, the effect of the absorptivity on  $Sl$  was  
235 significantly higher at 20 °C than at 60 °C. As the lethal effect of heat at 60 °C for 3.58  
236 min (exposure time for a dose of 27.10 J/mL) was negligible -less than  $0.08 \pm 0.02$   
237  $\text{Log}_{10}$  cycles of inactivation, a synergistic lethal effect was deduced from the  
238 simultaneous UV-H combination.

239 To explore the mechanism responsible for enhanced inactivation of *B. coagulans* with  
240 the combined process, both technologies were applied sequentially in the two possible  
241 orders: a heat treatment at 60 °C for 3.58 min followed by a UV treatment of 27.10  
242 J/mL and a UV treatment of the same intensity followed by thermal sterilization at  
243 different temperatures, using buffer with an absorption coefficient of 17.0  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ . The  
244 combination of heat followed by a UV treatment did not change significantly ( $p > 0.05$ )

245 the UV inactivation (1.26 Log<sub>10</sub> cycles). On contrary, the UV treatment prior to thermal  
246 treatments improved heat lethality. Figure 5 shows heat survival curves of *B. coagulans*  
247 at 110, 105, 100 °C, as well as those preceded by the UV treatment. Heat inactivation  
248 curves of *B. coagulans* at 110 and 105 °C showed a log-linear profile and the first-order  
249 kinetics was applied (Eq. 2). However, survival curves at 100 °C displayed an initial  
250 shoulder and were described by the Geeraerd et al.'s equation in time term. The decimal  
251 reduction time value ( $D_T$  time necessary to reduce the 90% of the microbial population  
252 at a treatment temperature  $T$ ) of heat treatments at 110, 105, 100 °C were reduced 0.13,  
253 0.59, and 5.31 min, respectively, after applying a UV irradiation treatment. However,  
254 the  $z$  value (number of degrees needed to decrease  $D$  values ten-fold) from conventional  
255 heat treatments ( $z = 6.53$  °C) did not change significantly ( $p > 0.05$ ) when they were  
256 preceded by the UV treatment ( $z = 6.71$  °C).

257

258

## 259 **2. Discussion.**

260 In this investigation, the UV-C resistance of bacterial spores of different species of  
261 interest in food preservation has been tested in a continuous device. The effect of the  
262 most important environmental factor –the absorptivity of the treatment medium- on the  
263 UV inactivation kinetics of the most resistant species has been studied, and the lethality  
264 of a combined process of UV and heat, applied simultaneously or successively, has been  
265 explored.

266 UV survival curves of all species studied showed shoulders (Figure 1). Deviations from  
267 linearity have been attributed to non-uniform dose distribution inside UV reactors  
268 (Koutchma, Keller, Chirtel, & Parisi, 2004). However, this is not the case in our device,  
269 as we previously demonstrated (Gayán et al., 2011; 2012). This profile has also been  
270 related in vegetative cells with damage and repair mechanisms (López-Malo & Palau,

271 2005). UV light acts by causing mutated bases that compromise cell functionality, but  
272 bacteria have developed DNA repair mechanisms to restore DNA structure and  
273 functionality (Friedberg et al., 2006). This phenomenon is reflected in the shape of the  
274 inactivation curves. After the initial shoulder, the maximum DNA repair capability is  
275 surpassed and survivors exponentially decline (López-Malo & Palau, 2005). It is not  
276 expectable that DNA repair mechanisms acts immediately after UV treatments because  
277 bacterial spores are metabolically inactive due to the low water activity of the  
278 protoplast. However, spore repair systems could operate during spore germination  
279 resulting in a lag phase before the inactivation began (Mamane-Gravetz & Linden,  
280 2005). A very interesting conclusion can be deduced from our data:  $K_{max}$  values were  
281 the same for all species tested and differences in UV resistance were due to changes in  
282  $Sl$ . Therefore, the different UV spore resistance of *G. stearothermophilus* < *B.*  
283 *licheniformis* < *B. cereus* < *A. acidocaldarius* < *B. coagulans* probably was due to  
284 different efficacies of their repair mechanisms. Other authors have found that *B. subtilis*  
285 was more UV sensitive than *B. cereus* (Rossitto et al., 2012) and *G. stearothermophilus*  
286 (Chaine, Levy, Lacour, Riedel, & Carlin, 2012). These disagreements could be due to  
287 UV resistance variability among strains or to methodological differences. Since the  
288 design of UV equipment, processing parameters, and optical properties of the liquid  
289 play an important role in UV germicidal efficacy (Keyser, Muller, Cilliers, Nel, &  
290 Gouws, 2008; Koutchma et al., 2009), the comparisons of UV resistance data obtained  
291 by different authors must be done with caution. Results obtained in this investigation  
292 have been carried out in the same conditions and they can be directly compared. From  
293 data in Table 1 it can be deduced that the UV resistance ( $4D$  values) of the most  
294 sensitive *G. stearothermophilus* was 30% lower than of the most UV resistant *B.*  
295 *coagulans*. This is a very small difference as compared with the very high heat  
296 resistance variations. Table 2 includes the decimal reduction times at 111 °C ( $D_{111°C}$ )

297 and  $z$  values of the strains used in this investigation. As observed,  $D_{111^\circ\text{C}}$  values can vary  
298 338-fold. On the other hand, the most UV sensitive species (*B. stearothermophilus*) is  
299 the most heat resistant one. These facts demonstrated that the mechanisms of spore  
300 inactivation by both technologies are quite different. Furthermore, and from a practical  
301 point of view, results indicate the advantage of UV treatments over current heat  
302 sterilization: whereas the stability ensured by heat treatments depends very much on the  
303 microbial species contaminating the raw material, that ensured by UV treatments would  
304 be very similar, even if the contaminating bacterial spore changed.

305 Setlow (2001) estimated that the UV resistance of bacterial spores of *Bacillus* species  
306 was around 5-50 times higher than of vegetative cells. Results obtained in our  
307 laboratory with the same methodology demonstrated that the UV resistance of spores  
308 was also greater than that of vegetative cells previously investigated but in a lower  
309 extent. For instance, the estimated dose necessary to inactivate the 99.99% of the initial  
310 population of the most resistant spore, *B. coagulans*, was about 2-fold higher than that  
311 of the most UV tolerant strains of *E. coli* (Gayán et al., 2011) and *Salmonella*  
312 Typhimurium (Gayán, et al., 2012). The difference in UV resistance between bacterial  
313 spores and vegetative cells is very scarce as compared with the difference in heat  
314 resistance ( $D_T$  multiply approximately  $10^{12}$ -fold). The higher heat resistance of bacterial  
315 spores has been correlated with the low water activity of the protoplast (Setlow, 2006),  
316 and it has been demonstrated that low water activities does not protect bacterial cells to  
317 UV light (Gayán et al., 2011; 2012). This could explain our results.

318 From data in Table 1, it was deduced that higher absorption coefficients increased  
319 shoulder length ( $SI$ ) and decreased the inactivation rate ( $K_{max}$ ). These results are  
320 consistent with the Beer-Lambert-Bouger's Law which states that the amount of light  
321 that penetrates through a solution decreases when the absorbance of the solution  
322 increases. Plotting  $K_{max}$  against the absorption coefficient showed that there was an

323 exponential relationship between both variables (Figure 2). Working with vegetative  
324 cells in the same experimental conditions, Gayán et al. (2011; 2012) also found an  
325 exponential relationship. The regression line that relates  $\text{Log}_{10} K_{max}$  and the absorption  
326 coefficient allowed concluding that the inactivation rate ( $K_{max}$ ) of *B. coagulans*  
327 decreased ten times by increasing the absorption coefficient  $16.8 \pm 0.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ . This value  
328 does not significantly differ ( $p > 0.05$ ) from that obtained for *E. coli* ( $15.9 \pm 1.0 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ )  
329 (Gayán et al., 2011) and *Salmonella* Typhimurium ( $18.9 \pm 2.8 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) (Gayán et al.,  
330 2012). These results indicated that the effect of the absorptivity had a physical basis and  
331 was not related with the biological behaviour of microorganisms. Contrary to the  
332 inactivation rate, shoulder length (*Sl*) increased linearly with the absorptivity (Figure 3).  
333 At the moment, there are no data in the literature which similar behavior to be  
334 compared.

335 The difficulty of UV light treatment in achieving the necessary microbial reduction due  
336 to the low penetration capacity of UV photons in media with high absorptivity has  
337 prompted to develop hurdle strategies combining UV light with other novel processing  
338 techniques or milder conventional preservation methods. It has been demonstrated that  
339 the combination of heat at mild temperatures with other non-thermal technologies such  
340 PEF and US at low intensity results in an equivalent or even higher degree of microbial  
341 inactivation (Raso, Pagan, Condón, & Sala, 1998; Heinz, Toepfl, & Knorr, 2003).  
342 However, the knowledge of the combination of UV light and mild heat treatments are  
343 scarce. Gayán et al. (2011; 2012) demonstrated that the lethality of UV light on  
344 vegetative cells increased synergistically with temperature in the range of 40 to 60 °C.  
345 Nevertheless, there is no data in the literature on the effect of the combined process on  
346 bacterial spores. Our results demonstrated that the UV inactivation ( $27.10 \text{ J/mL}$ ) of the  
347 most resistant *B. coagulans* spores increased from ten to a hundred times by increasing  
348 the temperature from 25 to 60 °C. The magnitude of the effect was the same in the range

349 of 55 and 65 °C (data not shown). The comparison of UV resistance parameters (Table  
350 1) obtained at both temperatures demonstrated that the shoulder length decreased and  
351 the inactivation rate increased with temperature. It should be noted that the effect of the  
352 media absorptivity on  $K_{max}$  values (Figure 2) was the same at both temperatures, but the  
353 differences in shoulder length increased with the absorption coefficient (Figure 3).  
354 Therefore, the synergism of the combined UV-H treatment was greater in media with  
355 higher absorptivity, that is, when it might be more useful for UV food sterilization.  
356 To explore the mechanism of the synergistic lethal effect of the combined UV-H  
357 treatment, we applied both technologies sequentially in two possible orders. Results  
358 demonstrated that a previous treatment at 60 °C for 3.58 min does not sensitize *B.*  
359 *coagulans* to a subsequent UV treatment (27.10 J/mL). This fact discarded the  
360 possibility that the sublethal heat treatment could activate dormant spores potentiating  
361 the germination, and thereby sensitizing them to UV light, as it has been suggested for  
362 the combination of high pressures and mild temperatures (Lovdal, Hovda, Granum, &  
363 Rosnes, 2011). In contrast, the application of a prior UV treatment (27.10 J/mL)  
364 sensitized bacterial spores to a subsequent heat treatment (Figure 5). The magnitude of  
365 the sensitizing effect was the same at 100, 105 and 110 °C (31.71, 30.72, and 38.01 %,   
366 respectively) which means that it was independent of the treatment temperature. In other  
367 words, the UV treatment did not affect  $z$  values. This behavior was similar to that  
368 observed for vegetative cells. The sequential application of heat (55 °C for 3.58 min)  
369 and UV light (27.10 J/mL) on *Salmonella* Typhimurim showed an additive lethal effect,  
370 whereas in the inverse order, the thermotolerance of *Salmonella* was decreased  
371 synergistically (Gayán et al., 2012). Also the magnitude of the synergistic lethal effect  
372 on *Salmonella* was independent of the treatment temperature and did not affect the  $z$   
373 value. Therefore, the mechanism of synergistic lethal effect of the combined treatment  
374 on bacterial spore inactivation could be related with that of vegetative bacteria. Gayán et

375 al (2012) suggested that the mechanism of the synergistic effect of UV light and heat on  
376 *Salmonella* was related to a sensitization of cell envelopes or the cell's inability to repair  
377 these structures. Also the highest synergistic benefits of the UV light in combination  
378 with chemical oxidant compounds to inactivate spores has been observed when both  
379 agents were applied simultaneously compared with the two sequential orders,  
380 suggesting that the oxidant agent could destroy the surface component of the cell and  
381 assist the UV transmission into inner cell components and DNA damage (Jung et al.,  
382 2008). Overall, this is an interesting aspect that deserves further investigation.  
383 From this investigation it can be concluded that, unlike most non-thermal technologies,  
384 UV light is a real alternative to current heat sterilization treatments aimed at the  
385 inactivation of bacterial spores. Its main limitation is the absorption coefficient of the  
386 treatment medium, but this limitation is of similar importance in the pasteurization  
387 (inactivation of vegetative cells) and sterilization (inactivation of bacterial spores)  
388 processes. For UV sterilization of liquid foods with high absorption coefficients the  
389 efficacy of UV light can be enhanced by its combination with heat.

390

## 391 **5. Acknowledgements.**

392 This study has been carried out with financial support from the Ministerio de Ciencia e  
393 Innovación de España, EU-FEDER (CIT020000-2009-40) and the Departamento de  
394 Ciencia, Tecnología y Universidad del Gobierno de Aragón. E. G. gratefully  
395 acknowledges the financial support for her doctoral studies from the Ministerio de  
396 Educación y Ciencia de España.

## 397 **References.**

398 Arroyo, C., Gayán, E., Pagan, R, Condón, S. (2012). UV-C inactivation of *Cronobacter*  
399 *sakazakii*. *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 9, 907-914.



400 Bigelow, W. D., & Esty, J. R. (1920). The thermal death point in relation to typical  
401 thermophylic organisms. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 27, 602-617.

402 Bintsis, T., Litopoulou-Tzanetaki, E., & Robinson, R. K. (2000). Existing and potential  
403 applications of ultraviolet light in the food industry - a critical review. *Journal of*  
404 *the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 80, 637-645.

405 Condón S, Oria, R., & Sala FJ. (1987). Heat resistance of microorganisms: An improved  
406 method for survival counting. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 7, 37-44.

407 Condón, S., Arrizubieta, M. J., & Sala, F. J. (1993). Microbial heat resistance  
408 determinations by the multipoint system with the thermoresistometer TR-SC  
409 Improvement of this methodology. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 18,  
410 357-366.

411 Chaine, A., Levy, C., Lacour, B., Riedel, C., & Carlin, F. (2012). Decontamination of  
412 sugar syrup by pulsed light. *Journal of Food Protection*, 75, 913-917.

413 Friedberg, E. C., Walker, G. C., Siede, W., Wood, R. D., Schultz, R. A., & Ellenberger,  
414 T. (2006). *DNA repair and mutagenesis*. Washington: ASN Press.

415 Gardner, D. W. M., & Shama, G. (1998). The kinetics of *Bacillus subtilis* spore  
416 inactivation on filter paper by UV light and UV light in combination with  
417 hydrogen peroxide. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 84, 633-641.

418 Gayán, E., Monfort, S., Álvarez, I., & Condón, S. (2011). UV-C inactivation of  
419 *Escherichia coli* at different temperatures. *Innovative Food Science and*  
420 *Emerging Technologies*, 12, 531-541.

- 421 Gayán, E., Serrano, M. J., Raso, J., Álvarez, I., & Condón, S. (2012). Inactivation of  
422 *Salmonella enterica* by UV-C light and by its combinations with mild  
423 temperatures. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 78, 8353-8361.
- 424 Geeraerd, A. H., Van Impe, J. F., & Herremans, C. H. (2000). Structural model  
425 requirements to describe microbial inactivation during a mild heat treatment.  
426 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 59, 185–209.
- 427 Geeraerd, A. H., Valdramidis, V. P., & Van Impe, J. F. (2005). GIInaFiT, a freeware tool  
428 to assess non-log-linear microbial survivor curves. *International Journal of*  
429 *Food Microbiology*, 102, 95-105.
- 430 González, I., López, M., Martínez, S., Bernardo, A., & González, J. (1999). Thermal  
431 inactivation of *Bacillus cereus* spores formed at different temperatures.  
432 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 51, 81-84.
- 433 Guerrero-Beltrán, J. A., & Barbosa-Cánovas, G. V. (2004). Advantages and limitations  
434 on processing foods by UV light. *Food Science and Technology International*  
435 *10*, 137-147.
- 436 Hamanaka, D., Yamada, H., Trivittayasil, V., Kadoyanagi, T., Tanaka, F., & Uchino, T.  
437 (2011). Effect of different heat treatments on *Bacillus subtilis* spores inactivated  
438 by ultraviolet irradiation. *Food Science and Technology Research*, 17, 289-293.
- 439 Heinz, V., Toepfl, S., & Knorr, D. (2003). Impact of temperature on lethality and  
440 energy efficiency of apple juice pasteurization by pulsed electric fields  
441 treatment. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 4, 167-175.

- 442 Hijnen, W. A. M., Beerendonk, E. F., & Medema, G. J. (2006). Inactivation credit of  
443 UV radiation for viruses, bacteria and protozoan (oo)cysts in water: A review.  
444 *Water Research*, 40, 3-22.
- 445 Jung, Y. J., Oh, B. S., & Kang, J.-W. (2008). Synergistic effect of sequential or  
446 combined use of ozone and UV radiation for the disinfection of *Bacillus subtilis*  
447 spores. *Water Research*, 42, 1613-1621.
- 448 Keyser, M., Muller, I. A., Cilliers, F. P., Nel, W., & Gouws, P. A. (2008). Ultraviolet  
449 radiation as a non-thermal treatment for the inactivation of microorganisms in  
450 fruit juice. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 9, 348-354.
- 451 Koutchma, T., Keller, S., Chirtel, S., & Parisi, B. (2004). Ultraviolet disinfection of  
452 juice products in laminar and turbulent flow reactors. *Innovative Food Science  
453 and Emerging Technologies*, 5, 179–189.
- 454 Koutchma, T., Forney, L. J., & Moraru, C. L. (2009). *Ultraviolet light in food  
455 technology*. Boca Raton: CRC Press.
- 456 López, M., González, I., Condón, S., & Bernardo, A. (1996). Effect of pH heating  
457 medium on the thermal resistance of *Bacillus stearothermophilus* spores.  
458 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 28, 405-410.
- 459 López-Malo, A., & Palou, E. (2005). Ultraviolet light and food preservation. In G.  
460 Barbosa-Canovas, M. S. Tapia, & M. P. Cano (Eds.), *Novel food processing  
461 technologies* (pp. 405–423). Boca Raton: CRC Press.

462 Lovdal, I. S., Hovda, M. B., Granum, P. E., & Rosnes, J. T. (2011). Promoting *Bacillus*  
463 *cereus* spore germination for subsequent inactivation by mild heat treatment.  
464 *Journal of Food Protection*, 74, 2079-2089.

465 Maktabi, S., Watson, I., & Partson, R. (2011). Synergistic effect of UV, laser and  
466 microwave radiation or conventional heating on *E. coli* and on some spoilage  
467 and pathogenic bacteria. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*,  
468 12, 129-134.

469 Mamane-Gravetz, H., & Linden, K. G. (2005). Relationship between physiochemical  
470 properties, aggregation and u.v. inactivation of isolated indigenous spores in  
471 water. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 98, 351-363.

472 Moeller, R., Douki, T., Cadet, J., Stackebrandt, E., Nicholson, W. L., Rettberg, P., et al.  
473 (2007). UV-radiation-induced formation of DNA bipyrimidine photoproducts in  
474 *Bacillus subtilis* endospores and their repair during germination. *International*  
475 *Microbiology*, 10, 39-46.

476 NACMCF. (2006). Requisite scientific parameters for establishing the equivalence of  
477 alternative methods of pasteurization. *Journal of Food Protection*, 69, 1190-  
478 1216.

479 Palop, A., Raso, J., Pagan, R., Condón, S., Sala, F. J. (1996). Influence of pH on heat  
480 resistance of *Bacillus licheniformis* in buffer and homogenised foods.  
481 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 29, 1-10.

482 Palop, A., Raso, J., Pagan, R., Condón, S., & Sala, F. J. (1999). Influence of pH on heat  
483 resistance of spores of *Bacillus coagulans* in buffer and homogenized foods.  
484 *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 46, 243-249.

- 485 Palop, A., Álvarez, I, Raso, J., Condón, S. (2000). Heat resistance of *Alicyclobacillus*  
486 *acidocaldarius* in water, various buffers, and orange juice. *Journal of Food*  
487 *Protection*, 63, 1377-1380.
- 488 Raso, Pagan, Condón, & Sala. (1998). Influence of temperature and pressure on the  
489 lethality of ultrasound. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 64, 465-471.
- 490 Rossitto, P. V., Cullor, J. S., Crook, J., Parko, J., Sechi, P., & Cenci-Goga, B. T. (2012).  
491 Effects of UV irradiation in a continuous turbulent flow UV reactor on  
492 microbiological and sensory characteristics of cow's milk. *Journal of Food*  
493 *Protection*, 75, 2197-2207.
- 494 Setlow, P. (2001). Resistance of spores of *Bacillus* species to ultraviolet light.  
495 *Environmental and Molecular Mutagenesis*, 38, 97-104.
- 496 Setlow, P. (2006). Spores of *Bacillus subtilis*: their resistance to and killing by radiation,  
497 heat and chemicals. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 101, 514-525.
- 498 Tran, M. T. T., & Farid, M. (2004). Ultraviolet treatment of orange juice. *Innovative*  
499 *Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 5, 495-502.
- 500 USEPA. (2003). Ultraviolet disinfection guidance manual. Retrieved from  
501 [http://www.epa.gov/ogwdw/disinfection/lt2/pdfs/guide\\_lt2\\_uvguidance.pdf](http://www.epa.gov/ogwdw/disinfection/lt2/pdfs/guide_lt2_uvguidance.pdf)