1	Antimicrobial resistance among canine enteric Escherichia coli isolates and prevalence
2	of attaching-effacing and extraintestinal pathogenic virulence factors in Spain
3	Running title: EPEC, ExPEC and MDR E. coli in dogs
4	
5	Eloisa Sevilla ¹ , Raúl C. Mainar-Jaime ^{1*} , Bernardino Moreno ^{1,2} , Inmaculada Martín-Burriel ³ ,
6	Mariano Morales ¹ , Sara Andrés-Lasheras ⁴ , Manuel Chirino-Trejo ⁵ , Juan J. Badiola ^{1,2} , Rosa
7	Bolea ^{1,2}
8	
9	¹ Departamento de Patología Animal, Facultad de Veterinaria, Instituto Agroalimentario de
10	Aragón - IA2 - (Universidad de Zaragoza - CITA), Zaragoza 50013, Spain. ² Centro de
11	Encefalopatías y Enfermedades Transmisibles Emergentes (CEETE), Facultad de Veterinaria,
12	Universidad de Zaragoza, Zaragoza 50013, Spain. ³ Laboratorio de Genética Bioquímica
13	(LAGENBIO), Facultad de Veterinaria, Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón - IA2 -
14	(Universidad de Zaragoza-CITA), Zaragoza 50013, Spain. ⁴ Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada,
15	Lethbridge Research and Development Centre, Lethbridge AB T1J 4P4, Canada. ⁵ Department
16	of Veterinary Microbiology, Western College of Veterinary Medicine, University of
17	Saskatchewan, Saskatoon SK S7N 5B4, Canada.
18	*Corresponding author. Tel: +34 976762088; E-mail address: rcmainar@unizar.es

20 Abstract

21 The aim of this study was to estimate the prevalence of antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in Escherichia coli from a dog population in Spain and assess specific virulence factors. 22 23 Susceptibility to 22 antimicrobials was tested along with the production of extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBLs) and AmpC in faecal isolates from 100 dogs. Virulence-related genes 24 25 associated with attaching and effacing E. coli (eae, Stx1, Stx2) and extraintestinal pathogenic 26 E. coli –ExPEC- (papC, hlyA and cnfl) were detected by PCR. At least one kind of AMR was observed in 73% of the isolates. The highest prevalences corresponded to penicillin (45%), 27 aminoglycoside (40%), and non-extended spectrum cephalosporin (39%) classes. Multidrug 28 29 resistance (MDR) was observed in 53.4% of the resistant isolates. No resistance to colistin was found. Production of ESBL/AmpC enzymes was detected in 5% of E. coli. Shiga toxin-30 producing E. coli were not observed, enteropathogenic E. coli were identified in only 12% of 31 32 them, and ExPEC were found in 25%. Dog faeces can be a source of E. coli strains potentially presenting a threat to humans through their virulence factors or AMR. The non-hygienic 33 34 keeping of animals may increase the risk of colonisation of such pathogens in humans.

35

36

37 Key words: Dogs, *Escherichia coli*, Drug resistance, Virulence factors, Spain

- 38
- 39

40 Introduction

Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) is a world-wide problem of great concern. High levels of AMR have been increasingly observed, including a rise in resistant bacteria from companion animals (Marques et al., 2018). *Escherichia coli* is considered an important member of the intestinal microbiota of a wide variety of animal species. Because of its genetic plasticity, commensal character and ubiquity, it is regarded as an important source of resistance genes, and therefore a useful indicator of AMR (Szmolka and Nagy, 2013).

47

Pet animals may be considered a potential reservoir of AMR due to the extensive use of 48 49 antimicrobials in dog and cats and the close contact between them and humans (Pomba et al., 2017). In fact, it has been shown as resistant E. coli strains from companion animals were 50 clonally related to human strains, suggesting a bidirectional transmission (Ewers et al., 2010; 51 52 Platell et al., 2011). Besides, a recent study reported that contact with dogs (or dog faeces) was associated with an increased risk of urinary tract infections (UTI) in humans caused by 53 multidrug resistant (MDR) E. coli (Ukah et al., 2018). Consequently, the role that companion 54 animals play in the dissemination of AMR should be of concern. 55

56

57 Pathogenic E. coli can cause either enteric or extraintestinal disease through the acquisition of several virulence genes. In dogs, two main pathotypes have been associated with enteric 58 disease, namely, enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC) and attaching and effacing E. coli (AEEC) 59 60 (DebRoy and Maddox, 2001). The latter is characterized by the presence of the pathogenicity island termed LEE (locus of enterocyte effacement) and includes enteropathogenic E. coli 61 (EPEC) and Shiga toxin-producing E. coli (STEC). EPEC and STEC represent potential causes 62 of diarrhoea in dogs and both have been reported to occur in healthy and diarrhoeic dogs 63 (Beutin, 1999). 64

66	Apart from enteric pathotypes, extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC), characterized by
67	specific adhesins and toxins, are considered the most common cause of UTI in dogs (Thompson
68	et al., 2011). Canine faeces may be an important source of ExPEC (Johnson et al., 2001a).
69	Several studies have shown pathotypic and phylogenetic similarities between canine and human
70	E. coli isolates, including AEEC and ExPEC, suggesting their zoonotic potential (Johnson et
71	al., 2001b; Nakazato et al., 2004; Osugui et al., 2014).
72	
73	Thus, the aim of this study was to characterize E. coli isolates from a dog population from Spain
74	with regard to AMR patterns and virulence factors associated with the AEEC and ExPEC
75	pathotypes, both scarcely described in companion animals in this country.
76	
77	Materials and methods
77 78	Materials and methods Sample collection
78	Sample collection
78 79	Sample collection Canine faecal samples were collected by private veterinary practitioners in Spain on a voluntary
78 79 80	Sample collection Canine faecal samples were collected by private veterinary practitioners in Spain on a voluntary basis between 2012 and 2017. Samples belonged either to healthy dogs on admission to the
78 79 80 81	Sample collection Canine faecal samples were collected by private veterinary practitioners in Spain on a voluntary basis between 2012 and 2017. Samples belonged either to healthy dogs on admission to the hospital for routine clinical examination (checkups, etc.), or to dogs presenting digestive
78 79 80 81 82	Sample collection Canine faecal samples were collected by private veterinary practitioners in Spain on a voluntary basis between 2012 and 2017. Samples belonged either to healthy dogs on admission to the hospital for routine clinical examination (checkups, etc.), or to dogs presenting digestive disorders (i.e. diarrhoea) according to the attending practitioner. Samples were taken before any
78 79 80 81 82 83	Sample collection Canine faecal samples were collected by private veterinary practitioners in Spain on a voluntary basis between 2012 and 2017. Samples belonged either to healthy dogs on admission to the hospital for routine clinical examination (checkups, etc.), or to dogs presenting digestive disorders (i.e. diarrhoea) according to the attending practitioner. Samples were taken before any antibiotic treatment was established. A total of 100 canine faecal samples were analysed, 50
78 79 80 81 82 83 84	Sample collection Canine faecal samples were collected by private veterinary practitioners in Spain on a voluntary basis between 2012 and 2017. Samples belonged either to healthy dogs on admission to the hospital for routine clinical examination (checkups, etc.), or to dogs presenting digestive disorders (i.e. diarrhoea) according to the attending practitioner. Samples were taken before any antibiotic treatment was established. A total of 100 canine faecal samples were analysed, 50 from each group. Data regarding date of sampling, gender, breed, age and location were

88 E. coli isolation

Faecal specimens were collected using rectal sterile swabs and immediately refrigerated and
submitted to the Laboratory of Microbiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine at the University
of Zaragoza (Spain). Samples were enriched in Buffered Peptone Water (Panreac, Barcelona,
Spain) and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24 h. After enrichment, samples were inoculated
on MacConkey agar plates (Panreac), at 37°C for 24 h. Three identical colonies with typical *E*. *coli* appearance were selected from each sample and tested for Gram staining and indole
production. Once *E. coli* was confirmed, colonies were stored at -30°C until further analysis.

96

97

Antimicrobial susceptibility testing

98 One *E. coli* strain from each faecal sample was tested against a total of 22 antimicrobial agents 99 grouped in 15 antimicrobial classes (Table 1 and Figure 1) and selected based on their frequent 100 use in clinical practice or because of its importance in human medicine, i.e. ceftriaxone, 101 ciprofloxacin, colistin and imipenem.

102

Susceptibility testing was performed by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method, being each 103 isolate classified as susceptible, intermediate or resistant (CLSI, 2017). Isolates presenting 104 intermediate susceptibility results were categorized as resistant for statistical analysis. Since the 105 106 disk diffusion method is not recommended for colistin (http://www.eucast.org/), the Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) was determined in this case by the broth microdilution method 107 (ISO 20776-1:2006) and the interpretive breakpoint value of >2 mg/L was applied. Phenotypic 108 109 detection of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) and AmpC production was investigated 110 through the Total ESBL + AmpC Confirm kit (Rosco Diagnostica, Taastrup Denmark), and results interpreted following manufacturer's instructions. E. coli ATCC 25922 was used as a 111 reference strain in all performed assays. 112

A MDR isolate was considered as one displaying resistance to at least one agent in three or more antimicrobial classes. AMR levels (rare, low, moderate, very high and extremely high) were defined according to EFSA and ECDC (2019). A summary measure for AMR describing the percentage of resistance (PR) to all antimicrobial agents was calculated as described by Poppe et al. (2001).

119

120 Virulence genes detection

121 The same *E. coli* strains tested for AMR were screened for the presence of virulence-related 122 genes, including those specific of AEEC, i.e. *eae* (intimin), *Stx1* (Shiga toxin 1) and *Stx2* (Shiga 123 toxin2), and some of the most prevalent ones associated with ExPEC in dog and human strains, 124 i.e. *papC* (P fimbriae assembly), *hlyA* (α -haemolysin) and *cnf1* (cytotoxic necrotizing factor 125 type 1) (Osugui et al., 2014).

126

DNA was extracted by boiling, and conventional PCR was used for detection of the virulence
factors as described elsewhere (Olsvik and Strockbine, 1993; Blanco et al., 1997; Oswald et al.,
2000). Positive *E. coli* controls used were CECT 4783 (eae+, VT1+, VT2+) and C136b (Hly+,
CNF1+), kindly provided by Dr. J.A. Orden, University Complutense of Madrid, Spain. One of
our strains (Pe8) displayed a positive amplification for *papC* (GenBank accession number
MK034302) and was used as control for further analysis.

133

134 Statistical analysis

Basic prevalence estimates with their 95% Confidence Intervals (95% CI) were calculated. Simple comparisons among categories within a factor (i.e. gender, age, geographical origin, etc.) were made using the Fisher's exact test. The Mantel–Haenszel *Chi*-square test was used to assess potential trends (i.e. age). A difference was considered statistically significant for a *P*- value ≤0.05. All the analyses were performed using MedCalc v. 18.10 (MedCalc, Ostend,
Belgium).

141

142 **Results**

143 Antimicrobial resistance (AMR)

Overall, E. coli strains displaying phenotypic resistance to at least one antimicrobial were 144 detected in 73% (95%CI: 63.6-80.7) of the isolates. AMR to at least one antimicrobial was 145 more common in healthy dogs compared to diseased dogs (86% vs. 60%; P=0.006). AMR levels 146 were high for ampicillin (45%), followed by cephalothin (39%), streptomycin (37%), 147 148 sulfamethoxazole-trimethoprim (26%) and tetracycline (25%). No AMR was observed against imipenem, fosfomycin, amikacin and colistin (Table 1). Occurrence of ESBL and/or AmpC 149 production was detected in 5% (95%CI: 2.1-11.1) of isolates, of which three possessed ESBL, 150 151 one was AmpC positive and another isolate produced ESBL+AmpC. None of these five isolates was neither EPEC nor ExPEC, but all were isolated from diseased dogs. 152

153

The prevalence of AMR according to antimicrobial classes is presented in Figure 1. AMR was more prevalent for penicillin (45%), aminoglycoside (40%), non-extended spectrum cephalosporin (39%), sulphonamide and pyrimidine (26%) and tetracycline (25%) classes. Among the resistant strains, 53.4% (95%CI: 42.1-64.4) displayed MDR. Susceptibility to all antimicrobials was found in 27% (19.3-36.4) of the *E. coli* strains.

159

Among the numerous MDR profiles identified the most common ones were A-S-Su-T and A-NSC-S (10.3% each of them), followed by A-S-Na-Su-T (7.7%). Interestingly, within the group of resistant *E. coli* isolates from diarrhoeic dogs the proportion of MDR strains was significantly higher than that in the group coming from healthy dogs (76.7% *vs.* 37.2%; *P*=0.001). The most 164

common profiles in MDR isolates from diseased dogs were A-S-Su-T (17.4%) and A-S-Na-Su-165 T (13%).

166

The percentage of resistance (PR) to the antimicrobial agents tested for the whole population 167 of dogs was 12.1% (95%CI: 7.1-19.9). This value was slightly higher, but not statistically 168 significant, for the group of diseased dogs (14.9%, 95%CI: 7.5-27.2) compared to the healthy 169 170 ones (9.4%, 95%CI: 3.9-20.5).

171

Virulence factors in *E. coli* isolates 172

173 Attaching and effacing *E. coli* (AEEC)

The eae gene that characterizes EPEC was detected only in 12% (95%CI: 7-19.8) of the isolates, 174 but its presence was not associated with dogs with digestive disorders (10% vs. 14% in healthy 175 176 dogs; P=0.54). EPEC was neither related to other factors except age and geographical location (Table 2). The prevalence of EPEC decreased somewhat as age increased (χ^2 (for trends) =3.36; 177 P=0.06). Although only 6 dogs originated from Centre-South Spain, the prevalence of EPEC 178 was significantly higher in this group compared to dogs from North (East and West) of Spain 179 (50% vs. 10.6%; P=0.03), after controlling by age and breed. No significant differences were 180 181 observed with regard to prevalence of MDR between EPEC and non-EPEC strains (25% vs. 40.9%; P=0.29). 182

183

184 None of the 100 E. coli strains analysed carried any of the Shiga toxin genes (Stx1 and Stx2), thus, no STEC were present in this study. 185

186

Extraintestinal pathogenic E. coli (ExPEC) 187

Strains harbouring at least one of the studied extraintestinal virulence-related genes were 188 189 considered ExPEC (Osugui et al., 2014). They were more commonly detected (25%; 95%CI: 17.5%-34.3%) than EPEC. The papC gene was identified in 24% of the canine isolates, hlyA 190 gene was found in 19%, and the *cnf1* gene in 18%. Overall, no significant associations were 191 observed between ExPEC and the factors considered (Table 2), but cnfl gene was more 192 commonly detected in *E. coli* strains from healthy dogs than in those from dogs with diarrhoea 193 194 (26% vs. 5%; P=0.042). Within the ExPEC isolates, 68% (17/25) encoded simultaneously the three studied virulence factors related to this pathotype, and 70% of them belonged to healthy 195 dogs. Among the ExPEC isolates, a total of six (24%) were classified as MDR, with five of 196 197 them being isolated from healthy dogs. MDR strains were somewhat more common in non-198 ExPEC compared to ExPEC strains (44% vs. 24%; P=0.08).

199

200 Discussion

This work analysed a collection of faecal samples from a population of diseased (i.e. evidence 201 of diarrhoea) and healthy dogs collected by practitioners from several locations of Spain and 202 voluntarily submitted to our laboratory. Thus, although the design of the study precludes 203 204 considering the results representative of the dog population in Spain, it may provide useful 205 information on AMR in *E. coli* from this animal species as well as on two pathotypes scarcely studied in this country. Despite the interest of using E. coli as indicator bacteria, there are few 206 descriptive studies on AMR among enteric E. coli strains collected from dogs in Spain and, in 207 208 particular, on AMR against critically important antibiotics in human medicine such as colistin, or on the occurrence of ESBL/AmpC-producing E. coli. 209

210

Overall, a high AMR prevalence was observed as only 27% (95%CI: 19.3-36.4) of the isolates
were susceptible to all antimicrobials tested. This figure appeared to be slightly lower than the

overall prevalence of full susceptibility observed in a previous study (43.2%; 95%CI: 27.3-213 214 59.2) performed in Spain on E. coli isolated between 2008 and 2013 from dogs and cats with UTI (Marques et al., 2016). AMR was more prevalent for the following antimicrobial classes: 215 penicillins (45%), aminoglycosides (40%), non-extended spectrum cephalosporins (39%), 216 sulphonamide and pyrimidine (26%) and tetracyclines (25%), and MDR was observed in 39% 217 (95%CI: 30-48.8) of the isolates, which was also somewhat higher than Marques' study. 218 219 However, comparison between both studies is impaired by the different study design, since in the latter study the number of isolates (around 50) and the antimicrobial classes tested (5) were 220 smaller, which may explain the lower overall level of resistance. 221

222

223 AMR was also higher than that in other studies where only populations of healthy dogs were considered (Costa et al., 2008; Wedley et al., 2011). However, when these figures were 224 225 compared to those from studies carried out on dogs visiting veterinary hospitals, then the AMR prevalence was similar (Thungrat et al., 2015; Wedley et al., 2017) or even lower (Leite-Martins 226 227 et al., 2014), reflecting the likely impact of antibiotic treatments on the development of AMR. In any case, the high level of AMR in E. coli from dogs was in accordance with the overall 228 higher resistance frequencies found in European Southern countries (Marques et al., 2016). The 229 230 fact that overall sales of antimicrobial agents for veterinary use in Spain are the highest among those of European countries (EMA, 2018) may have contributed to this situation. 231

232

None of the isolates was resistant to colistin, a last-resort antibiotic against MDR Gramnegative bacteria in humans, suggesting that plasmid-mediated colistin resistance genes (*mcr*) had not yet spread on these dogs despite they were present in other type of samples (i.e. foodproducing animals and sewage water) at that time in Spain (Carattoli et al., 2017; Ovejero et al., 2017). In contrast, ESBLs and AmpC β -lactamases were detected in 5% of the *E. coli* strains, all of them coming from diseased dogs. Bacteria producing ESBL/AmpC enzymes are
usually resistant to third generation cephalosporins, which are critically important
antimicrobials in human medicine (Paterson and Bonomo, 2005). Thus, to prevent the spread
of this type of resistance, a careful selection of antibiotics should be carried out by practitioners
when facing to diarrhoeic dogs.

243

244 A great proportion of isolates from healthy dog (86%) showed resistance to at least one antimicrobial, which was significantly higher than that for the group of diseased dogs (60%; 245 P=0.006). In addition, no associations were observed between AMR or MDR and the 246 247 pathotypes included in this study. Although it may be a link between resistant E. coli and 248 virulence (da Silva and Mendonça, 2012), it seemed that, in this study, AMR may be more associated with other, likely commensal, E. coli that may have acquired resistance genes from 249 250 elsewhere (Szmolka and Nagy, 2013). However, it would be required to search for other pathotypes, such as ETEC, before reaching any conclusion on this matter. 251

252

When only the group of resistant E. coli isolates was considered, MDR was significantly more 253 254 prevalent in those coming from diarrhoeic dogs compared to those from healthy dogs (76.7% 255 vs. 37.2%; P=0.001). This result was supported by a somewhat higher PR value for the group of diseased dogs (14.9% vs. 9.4% in the healthy group). Resistance genes are usually included 256 within genetic mobile elements, such as plasmids, which may also carry virulence determinants 257 258 (Carattoli, 2013). It is likely that MDR E. coli harbours either a greater number of plasmids or 259 larger plasmids, and therefore presenting a higher probability of carrying genes of virulence, 260 other than those considered in this study.

261

According to the assessment of virulence factors, the *eae* gene that characterizes EPEC was 262 263 found in 12% (95%CI: 7%-19.8%) of them, a prevalence similar to that observed in other studies (Nakazato et al., 2004; Puño-Sarmiento et al., 2013), but a relationship between EPEC 264 and diarrhoea could not be observed. Indeed, 14% and 10% of the isolates from healthy and 265 266 diarrhoeic individuals, respectively, were characterized as EPEC, showing even a somewhat 267 higher proportion of EPEC+ within the group of healthy dogs. Although the prevalence of 268 EPEC in diarrhoeic dogs is rather variable, EPEC may represent a significant cause of diarrhoea in this animal species (Nakazato et al., 2004; Puño-Sarmiento et al., 2013). Since EPEC causes 269 diarrhoea mostly in young animals (Beutin, 1999), our results may be likely biased by the low 270 271 proportion of young (<4 months old) dogs in this study (11.8% among dogs with a known age).

272

STEC have been isolated from dog faeces but, in general, their presence is usually low, i.e. $\leq 6\%$, in healthy dogs (Sancak et al., 2004; Puño-Sarmiento et al., 2013), even when they live close to STEC-infected cattle (Hancock et al., 1998). Although their role in canine diarrhoea is not yet well known, some studies report a significant higher prevalence in dogs with acute or chronic diarrhoea (Sancak et al., 2004). In the present study STEC could not be found neither in diarrhoeic or healthy dogs, which was in line with the low prevalence observed in previous studies and suggested the limited importance of this pathotype in this population.

280

With regard to virulence factors linked to ExPEC, in 25% (95%CI: 17.5%-34.3%) of this dog population at least one of the ExPEC-related genes was detected, being the *papC* gene the most prevalent (24%). This prevalence was rather consistent with that observed in other studies based on faecal canine isolates (Mateus et al., 2013; Tramuta et al., 2014). Virulence factors associated with ExPEC are not as well defined as those related to enteric pathotypes, since very different combinations of virulence factors have been described in strains causing similar

pathologies (Bélanger et al., 2011). The assessment of other extraintestinal virulence factors in 287 288 this analysis, such as CNF2 or CDT (cytolethal distending toxin), could have hence contributed to know a more detailed virulence repertoire of these isolates. Nevertheless, the three virulence 289 factors included in this study have been found in E. coli strains causing extraintestinal disease 290 291 in both human and companion animals (Johnson et al., 2001b). Veterinarians should be aware 292 of the high proportion of ExPEC-positive dogs in this population and their potential as a 293 reservoir of this pathotype for humans (Johnson et al., 2001a). No association was found between ExPEC and digestive disorders in these dogs, but neither with dogs presenting clinical 294 signs compatible with UTI. Indeed, 68% of the ExPEC isolates displayed the three 295 296 extraintestinal virulence-related genes in combination, and most of them (70%) belonged to 297 healthy dogs. Since E. coli strains may be host-specific with regard to their ability to cause a disease (Ewers et al., 2007), it could be possible these strains had a non-canine origin, and 298 299 perhaps a human origin (Johnson et al., 2008; Platell et al., 2011). The three extraintestinal virulence factors appeared together in most of the ExPEC isolates, which was in line with the 300 likely presence of a possible pathogenicity island (Diard et al., 2010). 301

302

303 In conclusion, MDR was widely distributed among E. coli isolates from this population of dogs, 304 and therefore dogs may be regarded as important carriers of AMR. Some isolates from diarrhoeic dogs showed resistance to critically important antibiotics (i.e. ceftiofur, ceftriaxone 305 and ciprofloxacin) and some also produced ESBLs and AmpC β-lactamases. Practitioners 306 307 should be aware of this type of resistance to prevent its further spread. Practical guidelines on antimicrobial use and AMR testing are advised for the treatment of companion animals. 308 Regarding pathotypes, EPEC was present in an expected frequency, but was not associated with 309 gastrointestinal disorders. ExPEC was more common, suggesting that faeces from both healthy 310 and diarrhoeic dogs may constitute a relevant reservoir of *papC*, *hlyA*, and *cnf1* genes. 311

312	
313	
314	
315	Acknowledgments
316	We kindly thank the veterinary practices and their staff who helped with the study. ES is the
317	recipient of a research fellowship (FPU 14/02035). The study has been partially benefited by
318	funds from the Government of Aragón (Reference Groups on Prionic, Vectorial Diseases and
319	Emerging Zoonoses -A05_17R-, and on Bacterial Zoonoses - A13_17R-).
320	
321	Conflict of interest
322	No competing interest.
323	
324	References
325	Bélanger, L., Garenaux, A., Harel, J., Boulianne, M., Nadeau, E., Dozois and C.M. (2011):
326	Escherichia coli from animal reservoirs as a potential source of human extraintestinal
327	pathogenic E. coli. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 62, 1-10.
328	Beutin, L. (1999): Escherichia coli as a pathogen in dogs and cats. Vet. Res. 30, 285–98.
329	Blanco, M., Blanco, J.E., Rodríguez, E., Abalia, I., Alonso, M.P. and Blanco, J. (1997):
330	Detection of virulence genes in uropathogenic Escherichia coli by polymerase chain
331	reaction (PCR): Comparison with results obtained using phenotypic methods. J. Microbiol.
332	Methods 31, 37–43.
333	Carattoli, A. (2013): Plasmids and the spread of resistance. Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 303, 298-
334	304.
335	Carattoli, A., Villa, L., Feudi, C., Curcio, L., Orsini, S., Luppi, A., Pezzotti, G. and Magistrali,
336	C.F. (2017): Novel plasmid-mediated colistin resistance mcr-4 gene in Salmonella and

- *Escherichia coli*, Italy 2013, Spain and Belgium, 2015 to 2016. Euro Surveill. 22, 30589.
- 338 CLSI (Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute) (2017): Performance Standards for
 339 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. CLSI supplement M100, 27th ed. Wayne, PA:
 340 Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute.
- Costa, D., Poeta, P., Sáenz, Y., Coelho, A.C., Matos, M., Vinué, L., Rodrigues, J. and Torres,
 C. (2008): Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes in faecal *Escherichia coli* isolates recovered from healthy pets. Vet. Microbiol. 127, 97–105.
- da Silva, G.J. and Mendonça, N. (2012): Association between antimicrobial resistance and
 virulence in *Escherichia coli*. Virulence 3, 18–28.
- DebRoy, C. and Maddox, C.W. (2001): Identification of virulence attributes of gastrointestinal
 Escherichia coli isolates of veterinary significance. Anim. Heal. Res. Rev. 2, 129–140.
- Diard, M., Garry, L., Selva, M., Mosser, T., Denamur, E. and Matic, I. (2010): Pathogenicityassociated islands in extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* are fitness elements
 involved in intestinal colonization. J. Bacteriol. 192, 4885–93.
- EFSA (European Food Safety Authority) and ECDC (European Centre for Disease Prevention
 and Control) (2019): The European Union summary report on antimicrobial resistance in
 zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2017. EFSA J. 2019 17,
 5598.
- EMA (European Medicines Agency) (2018): Sales of veterinary antimicrobial agents in 30
 European countries in 2016. EMA/275982/2018.
- Ewers, C., Grobbel, M., Stamm, I., Kopp, P.A., Diehl, I., Semmler, T., Fruth, A., Beutlich, J.,
 Guerra, B., Wieler, L.H. and Guenther, S. (2010): Emergence of human pandemic
 O25:H4-ST131 CTX-M-15 extended-spectrum-β-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli*among companion animals. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 65, 651–660.
- Bewers, C., Li, G., Wilking, H., Kieβling, S., Alt, K., Antáo, E.-M., Laturnus, C., Diehl, I.,

362	Glodde, S., Homeier, T., Böhnke, U., Steinrück, H., Philipp, HC. and Wieler, L.H.
363	(2007): Avian pathogenic, uropathogenic, and newborn meningitis-causing Escherichia
364	<i>coli</i> : How closely related are they? Int. J. Med. Microbiol. 297, 163–176.

- Hancock, D.D., Besser, T.E., Rice, D.H., Ebel, E.D., Herriott, D.E. and Carpenter, L.V. (1998):
 Multiple sources of *Escherichia coli* O157 in feedlots and dairy farms in the Northwestern
 USA. Prev. Vet. Med. 35, 11–19.
- Johnson, J.R., Clabots, C. and Kuskowski, M.A. (2008): Multiple-host sharing, long-term
 persistence, and virulence of *Escherichia coli* clones from human and animal household
 members. J. Clin. Microbiol. 46, 4078–82.
- Johnson, J.R., Stell, A.L. and Delavari, P. (2001a): Canine feces as a reservoir of extraintestinal
 pathogenic *Escherichia coli*. Infect. Immun. 69, 1306–14.
- Johnson, J.R., Stell, A.L., Delavari, P., Murray, A.C., Kuskowski, M. and Gaastra, W. (2001b):
 Phylogenetic and pathotypic similarities between *Escherichia coli* isolates from urinary
 tract infections in dogs and extraintestinal infections in humans. J. Infect. Dis. 183, 897–
 906.
- Leite-Martins, L.R., Mahú, M.I.M., Costa, A.L., Mendes, Â., Lopes, E., Mendonça, D.M.V.,
 Niza-Ribeiro, J.J.R., de Matos, A.J.F. and da Costa, P.M. (2014): Prevalence of
 antimicrobial resistance in enteric *Escherichia coli* from domestic pets and assessment of
 associated risk markers using a generalized linear mixed model. Prev. Vet. Med. 117, 28–
 39.
- Marques, C., Belas, A., Franco, A., Aboim, C., Gama, L.T. and Pomba, C. (2018): Increase in
 antimicrobial resistance and emergence of major international high-risk clonal lineages in
 dogs and cats with urinary tract infection: 16 year retrospective study. J. Antimicrob.
 Chemother. 73, 377–384.
- 386 Marques, C., Gama, L.T., Belas, A., Bergström, K., Beurlet, S., Briend-Marchal, A., Broens,

- E.M., Costa, M., Criel, D., Damborg, P., van Dijk, M.A.M., van Dongen, A.M., Dorsch,
- 388 R., Espada, C.M., Gerber, B., Kritsepi-Konstantinou, M., Loncaric, I., Mion, D., Misic,
- 389 D., Movilla, R., Overesch, G., Perreten, V., Roura, X., Steenbergen, J., Timofte, D., Wolf,
- G., Zanoni, R.G., Schmitt, S., Guardabassi, L. and Pomba, C. (2016): European
 multicenter study on antimicrobial resistance in bacteria isolated from companion animal
 urinary tract infections. BMC Vet. Res. 12, 213.
- Mateus, L., Henriques, S., Merino, C., Pomba, C., Lopes da Costa, L. and Silva, E. (2013):
 Virulence genotypes of *Escherichia coli* canine isolates from pyometra, cystitis and fecal
 origin. Vet. Microbiol. 166, 590–594.
- Nakazato, G., Gyles, C., Ziebell, K., Keller, R., Trabulsi, L., Gomes, T.A., Irino, K., Da
 Silveira, W.D. and Pestana De Castro, A. (2004): Attaching and effacing *Escherichia coli*isolated from dogs in Brazil: characteristics and serotypic relationship to human
 enteropathogenic *E. coli* (EPEC). Vet. Microbiol. 101, 269–277.
- Olsvik, O. and Strockbine, N.A. (1993): PCR detection of heat-stable, heat-labile, and shiga like toxin genes in *Escherichia coli*, In: Persing, D., Smith, T., Tenover, F., White, T. (eds),
 Diagnostic Molecular Microbiology: Principles and Applications. American Society for
 Microbiology, Washington DC, pp. 271–276.
- Osugui, L., Pestana de Castro, A.F., Iovine, R., Irino, K. and Carvalho, V.M. (2014): Virulence
 genotypes, antibiotic resistance and the phylogenetic background of extraintestinal
 pathogenic *Escherichia coli* isolated from urinary tract infections of dogs and cats in
 Brazil. Vet. Microbiol. 171, 242–247.
- Oswald, E., Schmidt, H., Morabito, S., Karch, H., Marchès, O. and Caprioli, A. (2000): Typing
 of intimin genes in human and animal enterohemorrhagic and enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli*: characterization of a new intimin variant. Infect. Immun. 68, 64–71.
- 411 Ovejero, C.M., Delgado-Blas, J.F., Calero-Caceres, W., Muniesa, M. and Gonzalez-Zorn, B.

- 412 (2017): Spread of *mcr-1*-carrying *Enterobacteriaceae* in sewage water from Spain. J.
 413 Antimicrob. Chemother. 72, 1050–1053.
- 414 Paterson, D.L. and Bonomo, R.A. (2005): Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: a clinical update.
 415 Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 18, 657–686.
- 416 Platell, J.L., Cobbold, R.N., Johnson, J.R., Heisig, A., Heisig, P., Clabots, C., Kuskowski, M.A.
- and Trott, D.J. (2011): Commonality among fluoroquinolone-resistant sequence type
 ST131 extraintestinal *Escherichia coli* isolates from humans and companion animals in
 Australia. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 55, 3782–7.
- Pomba, C., Rantala, M., Greko, C., Baptiste, K.E., Catry, B., van Duijkeren, E., Mateus, A.,
 Moreno, M.A., Pyörälä, S., Ružauskas, M., Sanders, P., Teale, C., Threlfall, E.J., Kunsagi,
- Z., Torren-Edo, J., Jukes, H. and Törneke, K. (2017): Public health risk of antimicrobial
 resistance transfer from companion animals. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 72, 957–968.
- Poppe, C., Ayroud, M., Ollis, G., Chirino-Trejo, M., Smart, N., Quessy, S. and Michel, P.
 (2001): Trends in antimicrobial resistance of *Salmonella* isolated from animals, foods of
 animal origin, and the environment of animal production in Canada, 1994-1997. Microb.
- 427 Drug Resist. 7, 197–212.
- Puño-Sarmiento, J., Medeiros, L., Chiconi, C., Martins, F., Pelayo, J., Rocha, S., Blanco, J.,
 Blanco, M., Zanutto, M., Kobayashi, R. and Nakazato, G. (2013): Detection of
 diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli* strains isolated from dogs and cats in Brazil. Vet.
 Microbiol. 166, 676–680.
- 432 Sancak, A.A., Rutgers, H.C., Hart, C.A. and Batt, R.M. (2004): Prevalence of enteropathic
 433 *Escherichia coli* in dogs with acute and chronic diarrhoea. Vet. Rec. 154, 101–6.
- 434 Szmolka, A. and Nagy, B. (2013): Multidrug resistant commensal *Escherichia coli* in animals
 435 and its impact for public health. Front. Microbiol. 4, 258.
- 436 Thompson, M.F., Litster, A.L., Platell, J.L. and Trott, D.J. (2011): Canine bacterial urinary tract

- 437 infections: New developments in old pathogens. Vet. J. 190, 22–27.
- Thungrat, K., Price, S.B., Carpenter, D.M. and Boothe, D.M. (2015): Antimicrobial
 susceptibility patterns of clinical *Escherichia coli* isolates from dogs and cats in the United
 States: January 2008 through January 2013. Vet. Microbiol. 179, 287–295.
- Tramuta, C., Robino, P., Nucera, D., Salvarani, S., Banche, G., Malabaila, A. and Nebbia, P.
 (2014): Molecular characterization and antimicrobial resistance of faecal and urinary *Escherichia coli* isolated from dogs and humans in Italy. Vet. Ital. 50, 23–30.
- Ukah, U. V, Glass, M., Avery, B., Daignault, D., Mulvey, M.R., Reid-Smith, R.J., Parmley,
 E.J., Portt, A., Boerlin, P. and Manges, A.R. (2018): Risk factors for acquisition of
 multidrug-resistant *Escherichia coli* and development of community-acquired urinary
 tract infections. Epidemiol. Infect. 146, 46–57.
- Wedley, A.L., Dawson, S., Maddox, T.W., Coyne, K.P., Pinchbeck, G.L., Clegg, P., Nuttall,
 T., Kirchner, M. and Williams, N.J. (2017): Carriage of antimicrobial resistant *Escherichia coli* in dogs: Prevalence, associated risk factors and molecular characteristics. Vet.
 Microbiol. 199, 23–30.
- Wedley, A.L., Maddox, T.W., Westgarth, C., Coyne, K.P., Pinchbeck, G.L., Williams, N.J. and
 Dawson, S. (2011): Prevalence of antimicrobial-resistant *Escherichia coli* in dogs in a
 cross-sectional, community-based study. Vet. Rec. 168, 354.
- 455
- 456
- 457
- 458
- 459
- 460
- 461

462	
463	
464	
465	Table 1. Prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in <i>E. coli</i> isolates and categorization of AR
466	according to EFSA levels.
467	
468	
469	Table 2. Distribution of EPEC and ExPEC strains among factors analysed.
470	
471	

Figure 1. Percentage of resistant *E. coli* isolates according to antimicrobial class categorization.