

Article The High Relative Accuracy of Computations with Laplacian Matrices

Héctor Orera *,[†] and Juan Manuel Peña [†]

Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Universidad de Zaragoza, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain; jmpena@unizar.es

* Correspondence: hectororera@unizar.es; Tel.: +34-976-76-20-23

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Abstract: This paper provides an efficient method to compute an *LDU* decomposition of the Laplacian matrix of a connected graph with high relative accuracy. Several applications of this method are presented. In particular, it can be applied to efficiently compute the eigenvalues of the mentioned Laplacian matrix. Moreover, the method can be extended to graphs with weighted edges.

Keywords: high relative accuracy; Laplacian matrix; eigenvalues

MSC: 65F05; 65F15; 65G50; 05C50; 15A23

1. Introduction

In graph theory, the Laplacian matrix, also called the Kirchhoff matrix, is a matrix representation of a graph that has played a key role in many applications (see [1,2]). It can be viewed as a discrete analog of the Laplacian operator in multivariable calculus. In fact, it is a matrix form of the negative discrete Laplace operator on a graph approximating the negative continuous Laplacian obtained by the finite difference method. The Laplacian matrix also arises in the analysis of random walks and electrical networks on graphs [3] and, in particular, in the computation of resistance distances. Other application fields of Laplacian matrices include graph isomorphism problems, numerical methods for differential equations, physical chemistry, organic chemistry, biochemistry, computer science, and design of statistical experiments. Further references on these applications can be found in [4,5].

As far as we know, there is no study concerning accurate computations with Laplacian matrices. As for the conditioning of Laplacian matrices, we can mention [6]. In this paper, we present an efficient method to compute an *LDU* decomposition of the Laplacian matrix of a connected graph with high relative accuracy. The first application of this result is devoted to the computation of the number of spanning trees of a connected graph with high relative accuracy. The second application deals with the computation of the eigenvalues of a Laplacian matrix with high relative accuracy.

It is well known that the number of connected components of a graph coincides with the multiplicity of 0 as an eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix and that the Laplacian matrix is a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. In a connected graph, the second smallest eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix provides the algebraic connectivity of the graph and has many important applications. So, it is convenient to accurately approximate this minimal positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix. Furthermore, the lowest *k* eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors have a direct application to data science in spectral clustering (see, for example, [7]). However, to date, the accurate computation of the eigenvalues of a matrix has only been obtained for matrices satisfying very restrictive conditions. We show in this paper that, for Laplacian matrices of connected graphs, their eigenvalues can be computed with an efficient method with high relative accuracy. This application of the minimal positive eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix is an example of the application of the spectrum of the Laplacian matrix to the graph structure, which corresponds to the area of



Citation: Orera, H.; Peña, J.M. The High Relative Accuracy of Computations with Laplacian Matrices. *Mathematics* **2024**, *12*, 3491. https://doi.org/10.3390/ math12223491

Academic Editor: Petko Petkov

Received: 17 September 2024 Revised: 5 November 2024 Accepted: 6 November 2024 Published: 8 November 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). spectral graph theory [8]. Many other applications could be mentioned and, for them, our accurate and efficient method could be used.

High relative accuracy (HRA) is a very desirable property because, if an algorithm is performed to HRA in floating-point arithmetic, then the relative errors in the computations have the order of the unit round-off (or machine precision). In fact, a real value $y \neq 0$ is said to be computed to HRA whenever the computed \tilde{y} satisfies

$$\frac{|y - \widetilde{y}|}{|y|} < ku$$

where *u* is the unit round-off (or machine precision), and k > 0 is a constant that does not depend on the arithmetic precision. It is known that an algorithm computes with HRA when it only uses products, quotients, additions of numbers with the same sign, or subtractions of initial data (cf. [9]); that is, the only forbidden operation is the subtraction of numbers (which are not initial data) with the same sign. Up to now, HRA linear algebra computations have only been guaranteed for a few classes of matrices and using an adequate parametrization of the matrices. Among them, we can mention some subclasses of totally positive matrices (see, for instance, [10–13]) or matrices related to diagonal dominance (cf. [14–16]). In this last case, rank-revealing decompositions, which will be presented below, have played a crucial role.

Given an $n \times n$ matrix A, let X be $n \times r$, D be $r \times r$ and Y be $r \times n$ matrices. We say that $A = XDY^T$ is a *rank-revealing decomposition* (RRD) if X and Y are well conditioned, and D is a nonsingular diagonal matrix. The interest in obtaining an RRD of a matrix comes from the fact that it can be used to compute its singular values (and so, its eigenvalues when it is symmetric) efficiently and with HRA using the algorithm introduced in Section 3 of [9]. Here, we present an efficient algorithm to obtain an RDD of a Laplacian matrix of a connected graph.

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the basic definitions, notations, and results. Section 3 provides the HRA and efficient method to obtain an *LDU* decomposition of a Laplacian matrix of a connected graph, and we also include the corresponding algorithm in pseudocode. The main result is applied to compute the number of spanning trees of a connected graph with high relative accuracy, which is illustrated with an example from chemistry in Section 3.1. In Section 3.2, the main result is applied to the computation of the eigenvalues of a Laplacian matrix of a connected graph with high relative accuracy and RRD of the matrix. The concept of the Laplacian matrix extends naturally to a graph with non-negative weights on the edges (cf. [2,17]). Section 4 extends the result of Section 3 to these graphs. Section 5 includes numerical examples to illustrate the accuracy of the proposed methods. Finally, Section 6 summarizes the main conclusions of the paper.

2. Basic Definitions, Notations, and Results

We say that a real matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is a *Z*-matrix if all its off-diagonal entries are nonpositive, i.e., $a_{ij} \le 0$ for all (i, j) such that $i \ne j$.

We say that $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is a (row) diagonally dominant (DD) matrix if

$$|a_{ii}| \ge \sum_{j \ne i} |a_{ij}| \quad \text{for all } i = 1, \dots, n,$$
(1)

and we say that $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is a column DD matrix if A^T is a row DD matrix. Let us recall that the singular value decomposition (SVD) of a real $n \times n$ matrix A is the factorization $A = U\Sigma V^T$, where U and V are orthogonal matrices, and Σ is non-negative and diagonal. The diagonal entries of Σ are the singular values of A. For the particular case that A is positive semidefinite, the singular values are equal to the eigenvalues.

Let us denote by $Q_{k,n}$ the set of strictly increasing sequences of k integers chosen from $\{1, ..., n\}$. Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{k_1})$ and $\beta = (\beta_1, ..., \beta_{k_2})$ be sequences of $Q_{k_1,n}$ and $Q_{k_2,n}$, respectively. Then, $A[\alpha|\beta]$ denotes the $k_1 \times k_2$ submatrix of A formed using the rows numbered by $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{k_1}$ and the columns numbered by $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{k_2}$. If $\alpha = \beta$, we use the abbreviated notation $A[\alpha] := A[\alpha|\alpha]$.

Given a simple graph G with n vertices v_1, \ldots, v_n and a set of edges connecting different pairs of nodes, we build the adjacency matrix $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ of G as

$$a_{ij} := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } v_i \text{ is connected to } v_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *degree* deg(v_i) is defined as the number of neighbors of the node v_i . The *degree matrix* $D := \text{diag}(d_1, \ldots, d_n)$ is a diagonal matrix such that $d_i = \text{deg}(v_i)$. Then, the *Laplacian matrix* $\mathcal{L} = (l_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ is defined as $\mathcal{L} = D - A$. Hence, its entries are given by

$$l_{ij} := \begin{cases} \deg(v_i), & \text{if } i = j, \\ -1, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } v_i \text{ is adjacent to } v_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2)

This special structure of the Laplacian matrix translates into many interesting properties. The Laplacian spectra provide a lot of information about the graph and have many applications. For instance,

$$l_{ii} = \sum_{k \neq i} -l_{ik}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (3)

Hence, $\mathcal{L}e = 0$, where $e = (1, ..., 1)^T$ and so it has zero as an eigenvalue. Moreover, the multiplicity of $\lambda_1 = 0$ as an eigenvalue shows the number of connected components of the graph. The second smallest eigenvalue, λ_2 (the first nonzero eigenvalue), is known as the Fiedler eigenvalue and gives information about the connectivity of the graph [8]. Moreover, the lowest *k* eigenvalues and their corresponding eigenvectors have a direct application to data science in spectral clustering (see, for example, [7]).

In this article, we consider the computation of an LDU factorization of the Laplacian matrix to HRA. Then, we will show some applications of this factorization such as the computation of the number of spanning trees of G, t(G), and the obtention of a rank-revealing decomposition that can be used to obtain the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} to HRA. In particular, property (3) will be key to achieving accurate computations with this class of matrices. Given a DD *Z*-matrix with non-negative diagonal entries, a parametrization that can be used to achieve accurate computations is given by its off-diagonal entries and the row sums. Taking these parameters as input, we can then use a modified version of Gaussian elimination to compute an LDU factorization with HRA that serves as an RRD. RRD has been recalled in the Introduction, and we also highlighted that it can be used to compute singular values efficiently and with HRA using the algorithm introduced in Section 3 of [9]. The RRD obtained in this paper uses unit triangular DD matrices. In order to illustrate that these matrices are very well conditioned, let us recall the bound below for the condition number of such matrices introduced in [15]. Previously, recall that, given a nonsingular matrix *A*, we can consider the following condition: number

$$\kappa_{\infty}(A) := \|A\|_{\infty} \|A^{-1}\|_{\infty}$$

Proposition 1 (Proposition 2.1 of [15]). Let $T = (t_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be a unit triangular matrix diagonally dominant by columns (resp. rows). Then, the elements of T^{-1} are bounded in absolute value by 1 and $\kappa_{\infty}(T) \le n^2$ (respectively, $\kappa_{\infty}(T) \le 2n$).

In the main result of this manuscript, we will show that it is possible to obtain an LDU decomposition of a Laplacian matrix with HRA using an adapted version of Gaussian elimination without pivoting. This LDU decomposition can be used to compute the number of spanning trees of a graph with HRA and obtain an RRD. In ref. [14], pivoting was used to derive RRD for DD *M*-matrices. Recall that a nonsingular *Z*-matrix *A* is an *M*-matrix if A^{-1} is non-negative. Applications of *M*-matrices and related classes can be found in [18,19] and

references therein. Our approach does not use pivoting and leads to a factorization $L\hat{D}L^T$ with *L* lower triangular and column DD (and L^T row DD) in contrast to the factorization obtained in [14] with complete symmetric pivoting, where L^T only satisfies if the diagonal entries have absolute values greater than or equal to the absolute value of the entries of their rows. Moreover, no pivoting reduces the computational cost, and it also has the additional important advantage that, when the Laplacian matrix is banded, no pivoting preserves its banded structure.

There is a sufficient condition to assure the high relative accuracy of an algorithm, the condition of no inaccurate cancellations (NICs) (cf. [20]): the algorithm only uses multiplications, divisions, sums of real numbers of the same sign, and subtractions of initial data. So, an algorithm that avoids subtractions (with the exception of subtractions of initial data) can be carried out with high relative accuracy. An algorithm that avoids all subtractions is called *subtraction-free* (SF), and it also satisfies the NIC condition. Hence, SF algorithms assure high relative accuracy.

3. Main Result and Some Consequences

We start this section by presenting the main result of the paper. We give an efficient method with HRA to obtain an LDU decomposition of a Laplacian matrix of a connected graph. The corresponding algorithm is included later. After that, we will show two applications of this factorization to achieve accurate computations with the Laplacian matrices.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{L} = (l_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be the Laplacian matrix of a connected graph. Then, we can compute its LDU decomposition $\mathcal{L} = L\hat{D}L^T$ with an SF algorithm (and so, with HRA) of at most $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ elementary operations, where L is column DD, and \hat{D} is non-negative.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L} = (l_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be a Laplacian matrix of a connected graph of n nodes. By Lemma 13.1.1 of [2], rank $\mathcal{L} = n - 1$. Let us apply Gaussian elimination to \mathcal{L} . Since \mathcal{L} has the special sign structure of a *Z*-matrix, we can adapt Gaussian elimination to be an SF algorithm. Let us recall that Gaussian elimination is an algorithm that produces zeros below the main diagonal of a matrix. It consists of n - 1 steps when applied to an $n \times n$ matrix, producing matrices $\mathcal{L}^{(t)} = (l_{ij}^{(t)})_{1 \le i,j \le n}, t \le n$:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}^{(1)} \to \mathcal{L}^{(2)} \to \ldots \to \mathcal{L}^{(n)},$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{(n)}$ is an upper triangular matrix. While the pivots are nonzero, the matrix $\mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}$ can be obtained from $\mathcal{L}^{(t)}$ in the following way: We produce zeros at the *t*-th column by subtracting multiples of the *t*-th row from the rows below it as follows:

$$l_{ij}^{(t+1)} = \begin{cases} l_{ij}^{(t)}, & \text{if } 1 \le i \le t, \\ l_{ij}^{(t)} - \frac{l_{it}^{(t)}}{l_{tj}^{(t)}} l_{tj}^{(t)}, & \text{if } t < i \le n. \end{cases}$$

Observe that the first pivot $l_{11}^{(1)} = l_{11}$ is nonzero and thus positive by (3) because \mathcal{L} is a *Z*-matrix. In fact, if $l_{11}^{(1)} = l_{11} = 0$ then, by (3), $0 = \sum_{j>1} -l_{1j}$, which implies that $l_{1j} = 0$ for all *j* since \mathcal{L} is a *Z*-matrix. This contradicts that \mathcal{L} is the Laplacian of a connected graph.

Let k > 1 be the first index such that $l_{kk}^{(k)} = 0$. Let us see that all submatrices $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}[r, \ldots, n]$ with $r \leq k$ preserve the property (3):

$$l_{ii}^{(r)} = \sum_{j \neq i} -l_{ij}^{(r)}, \qquad r \le k.$$
(4)

Property (3) is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}e = 0$, where $e = (1, ..., 1)^T$. After t (t < k) steps of Gaussian elimination, e is again the solution of the equivalent linear system with coefficient

matrix $\mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}$: $\mathcal{L}^{(t+1)}e = 0$. So, $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}e = 0$ for r = 1, ..., k and then the diagonal entries of $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}$ satisfy (4).

Let us now see that we can obtain matrices $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}[2, ..., n], ..., \mathcal{L}^{(k)}[k, ..., n]$ with an SF algorithm.

For the first step of Gaussian elimination, we subtract multiples of the first row of $\mathcal{L}^{(1)}$ from the rows below it to produce zeros in the first column. All the off-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}[2, \ldots, n]$ are computed as the sum of two nonpositive numbers so that they can be obtained without subtractions, and they are again nonpositive. Only the diagonal entries would be computed as a true subtraction. However, these entries can be computed without subtractions using (4) for r = 2 and we also infer that they are non-negative. In particular, we can compute the second diagonal entry after performing the first elimination step as $l_{22}^{(2)} = -\sum_{j=3}^{n} l_{2j}^{(2)}$, and if it is nonzero, then we use it as a pivot for the next elimination step. Analogously, all off-diagonal entries of matrices $\mathcal{L}^{(3)}[3, \ldots, n], \ldots, \mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}[k-1, \ldots, n]$ are nonpositive. Hence, by (4), all the pivots $l_{tt}^{(t)}$ are given by

$$l_{tt}^{(t)} = -\sum_{j=t+1}^{n} l_{tj}^{(t)}, \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, k-1,$$
(5)

so they are non-negative and can be computed with HRA.

Let us now assume that k < n, and we shall arrive at a contradiction. If k < n, then $l_{kk}^{(k)} = 0$ for k < n. By (4) for r = k, and taking into account that the off-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ are nonpositive, we have that the first row of $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[k, \ldots, n]$ is null and, since it is well known that all matrices $\mathcal{L}^{(r)}[r, \ldots, n]$ ($r \le k$) inherit the symmetry of \mathcal{L} , the first column of $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[k, \ldots, n]$ is also null. Then, we can continue the Gaussian elimination with the pivot $l_{k+1,k+1}^{(k+1)} := l_{k+1,k+1}^{(k)}$, which must be nonzero because, otherwise, $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[k+1, \ldots, n]$ would also have nulls its first row and column. Then, rank $\mathcal{L} = \operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L}^{(k)} \le n - 2$, a contradiction with $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L} = n - 1$. Analogously, all remaining pivots $l_{tt}^{(t)} \neq 0$ for $t = k + 1, \ldots, n$ and (4) also holds for all $r = k + 1, \ldots, n$. But (4) for r = n implies that $l_{nn}^{(n)} = 0$ and, since we also have $l_{kk}^{(k)} = 0$, we again obtain a contradiction with $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L} = n - 1$. In conclusion, since $\operatorname{rank} \mathcal{L} = n - 1, k = n$.

Taking into account that \mathcal{L} is a symmetric matrix, the result of Gaussian elimination is therefore a factorization $\mathcal{L} = L\hat{D}L^T$, where L is a lower triangular with unit diagonal, and \hat{D} is a diagonal matrix with first n - 1 positive diagonal entries and a last zero diagonal entry. Since the *t*-th row of the upper triangular matrix $\hat{D}L^T$ is formed by t - 1 zeros and the entries $l_{tj}^{(t)}$, $j \ge t$, we deduce from the positivity of $l_{tt}^{(t)}$ and the nonpositivity of the off-diagonal entries that L^T is a *Z*-matrix. Formula (5) for k = n also implies that the sum of the entries of the first n - 1 rows of L^T is zero. So, *L* is column DD. \Box

In Algorithm 1, we present the pseudocode for the SF Gaussian elimination that computes the matrices *L* and *D* for the LDU decomposition $\mathcal{L} = L\hat{D}L^{T}$.

^{*}From the LDU decomposition, we can easily obtain the number of spanning trees of *G* based on the well-known matrix-tree theorem.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 13.2.1 in [2]). Let G be a graph with a Laplacian matrix \mathcal{L} . If u is an arbitrary vertex of G numbered by i, then det $\mathcal{L}[1, ..., i - 1, i + 1, ..., n]$ is equal to the number of spanning trees of G, t(G).

The number t(G) has been used in [21] to compute the number of spanning trees of a polycyclic graph in the context of Chemistry.

Remark 1. Let us notice that t(G) can be obtained using Theorem 2 by computing the determinant of the matrix $\mathcal{L}[1, ..., n-1]$ obtained after removing the last column and row of \mathcal{L} . Since we know how to compute the decomposition $L\hat{D}U$ of \mathcal{L} with high relative accuracy by Theorem 1, we can obtain this number with HRA from the matrix D of that decomposition as the product of the nonzero diagonal entries.

Algorithm 1 Adapted Gaussian elimination

Require: The off-diagonal entries of $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{L} = (l_{ij})(i \neq j)$ **Ensure:** *L* and \hat{D} to HRA for k = 1 : n - 1 do $l_{kk} = -\sum_{j=k+1}^{n} l_{kj}$ for i = k + 1 : n do $l_{ik} = l_{ik} / l_{kk}$ **for** j = k + 1 : n **do** If $i \neq j$ then $l_{ij} = l_{ij} - l_{ik} * l_{kj}$ end for end for end for $L = tril(\mathcal{L})$ \triangleright Taking the lower triangular part of *L* for i = 1 : n - 1 do $x_{ii} = 1$ end for $\hat{D} = diag(\mathcal{L})$ \triangleright Diagonal matrix with the diagonal entries of L

3.1. Example

In this subsection, we will illustrate an example of a Laplacian and its LDU factorization taking a well-known example from chemistry. We considered the polycyclic graph associated with a molecule of anthracene corresponding to Figure 1.



Figure 1. Molecule of anthracene with numbered vertices.

We numbered the nodes from 1 to 14. Then, we built the matrix \mathcal{L} associated with *G* and applied Algorithm 1 to \mathcal{L} . The result is the decomposition $\mathcal{L} = LDL^T$, with

	/ 1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	-0.500	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	1
	0.000	-0.667	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	L
	0.000	0.000	-0.429	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	L
	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.636	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	L
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.423	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
τ	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.634	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	L
L -	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.732	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	ľ
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.789	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.423	-0.268	-0.196	-0.155	-0.953	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.782	1.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	L
	0.000	0.000	-0.429	-0.273	-0.115	-0.073	-0.054	-0.042	-0.035	-0.164	-0.955	1.000	0.000	0.000	L
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	-0.793	1.000	0.000	
	-0.500	-0.333	-0.143	-0.091	-0.038	-0.024	-0.018	-0.014	-0.012	-0.055	-0.045	-0.207	-1.000	1.000	1

and $\hat{D} = \text{diag}(2, 1.5, 2.3333, 1.5714, 2.3636, 1.5769, 1.3659, 1.2679, 1.2113, 1.2791, 1.2182, 1.2612, 1.2071, 0).$

From this factorization, we can compute t(G) as the product of the nonzero diagonal entries of \hat{D} . Hence, t(G) = 204. From *L* and \hat{D} , we can also obtain a rank-revealing decomposition erasing the last column of *L* and the last row and column of \hat{D} as we will remark in the next subsection.

3.2. Rank-Revealing Decomposition

From the accurate LDU decomposition of \mathcal{L} obtained in Theorem 1, we can obtain an RRD for this matrix. This representation of a Laplacian matrix can be used to achieve HRA when we compute its singular values and eigenvalues, as recalled in the Introduction.

Corollary 1. Let $\mathcal{L} = (l_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be the Laplacian matrix of a connected graph. Then, we can compute its rank-revealing decomposition $\mathcal{L} = XDX^T$ with an SF algorithm of most $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ elementary operations, where X is column DD. Moreover, all the eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} can be computed to HRA with an algorithm of complexity of most $\mathcal{O}(n^3)$ elementary operations.

Proof. By Theorem 1, we obtain the factorization $\mathcal{L} = L\hat{D}L^T$ with HRA. Let us notice that this factorization implies that \mathcal{L} is a positive semidefinite matrix since \hat{D} is a non-negative diagonal matrix. Hence, its singular values coincide with its eigenvalues. Let us define the matrices $X := L[1, \ldots, n|1, \ldots, n-1]$ and $D := \hat{D}[1, \ldots, n-1]$; thus, we obtain the decomposition $\mathcal{L} = XDX^T$, where X is a DD matrix by columns. This fact implies that X is very well conditioned (cf. Proposition 1). Since our adaptation of Gaussian elimination was SF, $A = XDX^T$ gives an RRD decomposition that can be taken as input to compute the singular values with HRA applying the algorithm presented in cf. [9]. \Box

4. An Extension of the Main Result

Let us notice that the proof of Theorem 1 can be extended to more general matrices. In fact, the proposition below shows which hypotheses are sufficient in the proof of Theorem 1 to assure the computation of the eigenvalues with HRA with an algorithm of $O(n^3)$ elementary operations.

Proposition 2. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be a symmetric Z-matrix that satisfies Property (3) and such that rank(A) = n - 1. Then, we can compute its LDU decomposition $A = LDL^T$ with an SF algorithm of most $O(n^3)$ elementary operations, where L is column DD.

Thanks to Proposition 2, we can extend Theorem 1 to the Laplacian of weighted connected graphs. Let us consider a weighted graph *G*, where w_{ij} is the non-negative weight associated with the edge between the nodes *i* and *j*, with $1 \le i, j \le n$. Then, the Laplacian of *G* is the $n \times n$ matrix $L = (\hat{l}_{ij})_{1 \le i, j \le n}$, defined as follows:

$$\hat{l}_{ij} := \begin{cases} w_i, & \text{if } i = j, \\ -w_{ij}, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } v_i \text{ is adjacent to } v_j, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$
(6)

where $w_i = -\sum l_{ij}$ is the degree of the node *i*, which is the sum of the weights of edges incident on vertex *i*. Whenever *G* is a connected graph, the vector e = (1, ..., 1) is the only eigenvector associated with the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ of *L*, and we have that rank L = n - 1. Hence, the Laplacian of a weighted connected graph satisfies Proposition 2. Finally, for the Laplacian of a weighted graph, we can obtain an RRD following the same strategy.

Corollary 2. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be a symmetric Z-matrix that satisfies Property (3) and such that rank(A) = n - 1. Then, we can compute its rank-revealing decomposition $A = XDX^T$ with an SF algorithm (and so, with HRA) of most $O(n^3)$ elementary operations, where X is column DD. Moreover, all the eigenvalues of A can be computed with HRA with an algorithm of complexity of most $O(n^3)$ elementary operations.

5. Numerical Experiments

In this section, we will introduce some examples to showcase some of the results presented in this article. In Theorem 1, we have seen that we can compute an RRD decomposition of a Laplacian matrix with HRA. We extended this result to more classes of matrices in Proposition 2. Now, we will consider some ill-conditioned examples belonging to this class.

We generated some 100×100 banded matrices that satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2, i.e., they are the Laplacian of a weighted undirected graph. We called these matrices A_k for k = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5. These matrices have 100 columns and 100 rows, and most of their entries are zero. The nonzero entries always appear in the diagonal entries, and the off-diagonal entries are closer to the diagonal. In order to decide how many off-diagonal entries below the main diagonal are different from zero, we chose a random integer b_i between 1 and 5 for every column. For example, the first column of the matrix A_1 has the first two off-diagonal entries different from zero, i.e., b_1 was chosen as 2. Then, we would do the same for the second column, the third column, etc. The only extra condition used is that, whenever the number b_i chosen for the *i*-th column is equal or smaller than b_{i-1} – 2, we would set it to $b_i = b_{i-1}$ – 1 instead. In the case of the matrix A_1 , the second, third and fourth columns have one off-diagonal entry below the main diagonal different from zero. Then, the fifth row has $b_5 = 4$, and hence, four entries below the main diagonal were chosen to be different from zero. For all the examples, we used this procedure to decide the nonzero entries below the main diagonal, and then we ensured that the matrices were symmetric. By doing so, we obtained the block structure of nonzero entries, which is illustrated in Figure 2. The quantities *nz* appearing in these graphics refer to the number of nonzero entries of the matrices. For each of these examples, we generated random integers c_{ii} between 1 and 100 for the off-diagonal nonzero entries of the lower part. Finally, we built the symmetrical matrix $A_k = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le 100}$ such that

$$a_{ij} = \begin{cases} -(c_{ij})^7 & \text{if } i > j, \\ -(c_{ji})^7 & \text{if } i < j, \\ -\sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

In order to test the advantages of using our proposed HRA method, we used Matlab to implement both classical Gaussian elimination without pivoting and an adaptation of Gaussian elimination following the pseudocode presented in Algorithm 1. We used both methods to compute the same $L_i D_i L_i^T$ decomposition of the matrix A_i . Then, we computed this decomposition in Mathematica using a 100-digit precision. In Table 1, we show the largest componentwise relative errors for the approximations of the matrix L computed with both Matlab methods, considering the results from Mathematica as exact.

Table 1. Largest componentwise relative errors for nonzero entries of L_i in the decomposition $A_i = L_i D_i L_i^T$.

i	$\kappa_{\infty}(A_i[1,\ldots,n-1])$	$\kappa_{\infty}(L_i)$	$\max rac{ x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij} }{ x_{ij} }$ for HRA	$\max rac{ x_{ij} - \hat{x}_{ij} }{ x_{ij} }$ for <code>Gauss</code>
1	1.8471×10^{11}	474.4763	$4.5563 imes 10^{-16}$	$5.1702 imes 10^{-8}$
2	$1.0001 imes 10^{11}$	436.1355	$4.0695 imes 10^{-16}$	$5.1630 imes 10^{-7}$
3	$5.6660 imes 10^{6}$	410.2576	$4.0484 imes 10^{-16}$	$3.9087 imes 10^{-12}$
4	$7.2833 imes 10^8$	387.2842	$3.9370 imes 10^{-16}$	$2.2108 imes10^{-9}$
5	$4.7916 imes 10^{10}$	393.6934	$4.7924 imes 10^{-16}$	$7.0798 imes 10^{-7}$

Let us remark that even though the original matrix is quite ill conditioned, the matrix L_i computed by this method is well conditioned as expected. In Table 1, we also compare the conditioning of the matrix A_i with the condition number of the matrix L_i . For the estimation of the condition number of the matrix A_i , we considered the submatrix obtained by deleting the last row and column since the matrix is singular, and the multiplicity of the eigenvalue $\lambda = 0$ is one. We can see that $\kappa_{\infty}(L_i)$ satisfies the bound given in Proposition 1.



Figure 2. Structure of the nonzero entries of the numerical examples.

6. Conclusions

A method for efficient computation of the LDU decomposition of the Laplacian matrix of a connected graph was presented. Moreover, it was proved that the method can be performed with high relative accuracy. In particular, it provides a rank-revealing decomposition of the Laplacian matrix of a connected graph, which in turn can be the starting point of the methods in [9] to compute all its eigenvalues with high relative accuracy.

We also highlighted another application of our method, namely computing the number of spanning trees of a connected graph. We also showed the extension of our method to graphs with weighted edges. Numerical examples confirmed the theoretical results.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at: https://www.mdpi.com/article/10.3390/math12223491/s1.

Author Contributions: All authors contributed equally to this research paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was partially supported through the Spanish research grants PID2022-138569NB-I00 and RED2022-134176-T (MCIU/AEI), and by Gobierno de Aragón (E41_23R).

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article or Supplementary Material.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. Bapat, R.B. The Laplacian matrix of a graph. Math. Stud. 1996, 65, 214–223.
- 2. Godsil, C.; Royle, G. Algebraic Graph Theory; Springer: Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, 2001.
- 3. Doyle, P.G.; Snell, L. Random Walks and Electric Networks; Mathematical Association of America: Washington, DC, USA, 1984.
- 4. Cvetković, D.M.; Doob, M.; Sachs, H. Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Applications, 3rd ed.; Wiley: New York, NY, USA, 1998.
- 5. Merris, R. Laplacian matrices of graphs: A survey. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1994**, 197–198, 143–176. [CrossRef]
- 6. Frangioni, A.; Serra Capizzano, S. Spectral analysis of (sequences of) graph matrices. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **2001**, *23*, 339–348. [CrossRef]
- Ng, A.Y.; Jordan, M.; Weiss, Y. On spectral clustering: Analysis and an algorithm. In Proceedings of the 14th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems: Natural and Synthetic, Vancouver, BC, Canada, 3–8 December 2001; pp. 849–856.
 Chung, F.R.K. *Spectral Graph Theory*; American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, USA, 1997.
- 9. Demmel, J.; Gu, M.; Eisenstat, S.; Slapničar, I.; Veselić, K.; Drmač, Z. Computing the singular value decomposition with high relative accuracy. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **1999**, 299, 21–80. [CrossRef]
- 10. Marco, A.; Martínez, J.J. A fast and accurate algorithm for solving Bernstein-Vandermonde linear systems. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2007**, 422, 616–628. [CrossRef]
- Marco, A.; Martínez, J.J. Accurate computations with Said-Ball-Vandermonde matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 2010, 432, 2894–2908. [CrossRef]
- 12. Marco, A.; Martínez, J.J. Polynomial least squares fitting in the Bernstein basis. *Linear Algebra Appl.* **2010**, 433, 1254–1264. [CrossRef]
- Marco, A.; Martínez, J.J. Accurate computations with totally positive Bernstein-Vandermonde matrices. *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 2013, 26, 357–380. [CrossRef]
- 14. Demmel, J.; Koev, P. Accurate SVDs of weakly diagonally dominant M-matrices. Numer. Math. 2004, 98, 99–104. [CrossRef]
- 15. Peña, J.M. LDU decompositions with L and U well conditioned. *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.* **2004**, *18*, 198–208.
- 16. Orera, H.; Peña, J.M. Accurate inverses of Nekrasov Z-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 2019, 574, 46–59. [CrossRef]
- 17. Carmona, A.; Encinas, A.M.; Gago, S.; Mitjana, M. Laplacian matrix of a weighted graph with new pendant vertices. *Electron. Notes Discret. Math.* **2014**, *46*, 129–136. [CrossRef]
- 18. Berman, A.; Plemmons, R.J. Nonnegative matrices in the mathematical sciences. In *Classics in Applied Mathematics*; SIAM: Philadelphia, PA, USA, 1994.
- 19. Nedović, M.; Cvetković, L.j. Norm bounds for the inverse and error bounds for linear complementarity problems for {*P*₁, *P*₂}-Nekrasov matrices. *Filomat* **2021**, *35*, 239–250. [CrossRef]
- 20. Demmel, J.; Dumitriu, I.; Holtz, O.; Koev, P. Accurate and efficient expression evaluation and linear algebra. *Acta Numer.* 2008, 17, 87–145. [CrossRef]
- 21. Trinajstic, N.; Babic, D.; Nikolic, S.; Plavsic, D. The Laplacian matrix in chemistry. J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 1994, 34, 368–376. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.