Title: ADHD and ODD symptoms in toddlers: common and specific associations with temperament dimensions.

Authors: Noelia Sánchez-Pérez¹, Samuel P. Putnam², Maria A. Gartstein³, & Carmen González-Salinas^{4*}

Affiliations:

¹Faculty of Human and Social Sciences, University of Zaragoza, Teruel, Spain.

²Psychology Department, Bowdoin College, Brunswick, Main St., USA

³Department of Psychology, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington St., USA

⁴Faculty of Psychology, University of Murcia, Murcia, Spain.

^{*} Corresponding author: Carmen González Salinas. Facultad de Psicología, Universidad de Murcia. Campus de Espinardo. 30100 Murcia, Spain. E-mail: cgonzale@um.es

Abstract

The aim of this work was to study the relationship between temperament and signs of psychopathology in typically developing toddlers. More specifically, ADHD and ODD symptoms were separately analyzed in connection with fine-grained temperament dimensions. The sample was composed of 65 toddlers (33 boys, 32 girls) aged between 18 to 35 months (M = 25,54; SD = 5,07). Mothers reported toddlers' temperamental characteristics and ADHD/ODD symptoms using the Spanish version of The Early Childhood Behavior Questionnaire and the Child Behavior Checklist respectively. Bivariate correlations showed a similar pattern of associations of temperament in connection with ADHD and ODD symptoms. Higher levels of negative emotionality (fear, frustration, sadness, motor activation) and approach tendencies (activity level, high-intensity pleasure) were associated with more ADHD and ODD symptoms, whereas lower levels of inhibitory control were related to more ADHD and ODD manifestations. Bivariate correlations also informed of unique associations: lower levels of soothability were only associated with higher ODD symptoms, whereas lower attentional focusing and low-intensity pleasure were related with higher ADHD symptoms. Additionally, regression models included different temperament dimensions in explaining the variance of ADHD and ODD symptoms in toddlers. ADHD was predominantly associated with attentional focusing and motor activation whereas ODD was most closely related to frustration. Results found in this study in a community sample of toddlers are in consonance with previous research carried out at other developmental stages; studying early correlates of psychopathological manifestations enable us to identify children at-risk who could benefit from prevention and early intervention programs.

Keywords: ADHD, ODD, temperament, toddlers

1. Introduction

Children's behavior problems are considered a major risk for future maladaptation and mental health problems (Liu, 2004). Therefore, examining early predictors and the first signs of behavior problems is crucial for identifying the pathways through which these manifestations appear and establish, and for designing prevention and/or intervention programs.

Temperament traits have been demonstrated as useful early markers in investigating the roots of developmental psychopathology (Martel, Gremillion, & Roberts, 2012). Temperament has been defined as constitutionally based individual differences in reactivity and self-regulation, in the domains of affect, activity, and attention (Rothbart & Derryberry, 1981; Rothbart & Bates, 1998). For the measurement of temperament in children, observational procedures -including naturalistic and structured laboratory hinobservations-, are available, but parents' reports constitute the strategy most widely used because they are inexpensive to develop, administer, and analyze; make use of parents' extensive observations of their children in varied contexts; and allow the study of multiple variables (Rothbart & Bates, 2006).

Following a fine-grained approach, the questionnaires developed under Rothbart's (1989; 2011) framework enable multiple components of temperament to be considered simultaneously. At the same time, efforts for identifying underlying broad constructs have revealed three higher-order structures in infancy and early childhood named Negative Emotionality, Surgency, and Effortful Control (Gartstein & Rothbart, 2003; Putnam, Gartstein, & Rothbart, 2006; Rothbart, Ahadi, Hershey, & Fisher, 2001), with conceptual resemblance to those generated in personality research (e.g., Cloninger, Przybeck, Svrakic, & Wetzel, 1994; McCrae & Costa, 1999).

Negative Emotionality includes dimensions based in individuals' susceptibility to negative affect, with factor analytic studies showing primary loadings of anger, fear, discomfort or sadness scales, as well as a lack of soothability. It is conceptually similar to, and has been shown to correlate with the Big-five factor of Neuroticism (Rothbart, Ahadi, & Evans, 2000). Despite the common core shared by the fine-grained dimensions, the components are not expected to act in the same direction in connection with externalizing problems. For an instance, the model by Frick & Morris, (2004) predicts that high levels of anger in response to real or perceived provocations would lead to disruptive behaviors, such as aggression, whereas low levels of fear when confronted with novel or dangerous stimuli, and low sensitivity to punishment, would be linked to conduct disorders.

Surgency reflects individual differences in approach systems, and combines a disposition towards positive emotions (including a preference for high intensity stimuli) with rapid approach to potential rewards and high activity level. This factor has been related to Extraversion (Rothbart et al., 2000). It also bears similarity to the Behavioral Activation System (Gray, 1990), a neurologically-based system activated by stimuli signaling reward and non-punishment, as well as Cloninger's dimension of novelty seeking, as it reflects behavioral activation in response to novelty, reward, or avoidance of punishment (Cloninger et al., 1994). High activation of the approach systems has been suggested to underlie externalizing problems, as individuals with strong sensitivity to rewards may react strongly when rewards are blocked (Fowles, 2001).

Effortful control reflects individual differences in executive functioning and covers voluntary and willful self-regulation abilities such as attentional focus and inhibitory control; as well as tendencies for perception of low-intensity stimuli and enjoyment of low arousal activities. This factor has been linked with the Conscientiousness domain of personality (Ahadi & Rothbart, 1994). Given its role of regulating dispositional reactivity, low levels of effortful control are expected to be involved in the manifestation of externalizing behaviors (Rothbart & Bates, 2006).

Growing evidence suggests that disruptive behaviors have their roots in early childhood (Hyde, Shaw, & Hariri, 2013; Shaw & Gross, 2008; Waller & Hyde, 2017), but correlates can be found even earlier. In our previous work (González-Salinas, Sánchez-Pérez, Fuentes, Montirosso, & Heinonen, 2019) with data from 14 different countries, it was reported that toddlers' higher dispositions for Negative Emotionality and Surgency were associated with more externalizing symptoms, whereas better Effortful Control was related to lower levels of externalizing. In this earlier work we adopted a "broad" strategy of measurement, wherein temperament was considered at the level of undifferentiated higher-order constructs, and toddlers' symptoms of psychopathology were grouped under the broadband label of "externalizing problems". However, by adopting a fine-grained approach we can better capture the implications of specific individual dispositions for different developmental disorders. In the present study, we aimed to identify connections between temperament and psychopathology symptoms in toddlers, with temperament measured at the level of fine-grained dimensions. Two externalizing disorders are considered, namely Attentional Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) and Oppositional Defiant Disorder (ODD).

ADHD is defined as a persistent pattern of inattention and/or hyperactivity-impulsivity that interferes with functioning or development, present prior to 12 years of age (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Previous studies showed that high levels of fine-grained temperament traits associated with negative emotional reactivity, including anger (Einziger et al., 2018) and sadness (González-Salinas et al., 2012), were predictive of ADHD in children (Foley, McClowry, & Castellanos, 2008; Martel, Gremillion, Roberts, Zastrow, & Tackett, 2014). Highly activated approach systems, reflected in high Surgency (Martel et al., 2014), activity level (Einziger et al., 2018; Foley et al., 2008), impulsivity (Donfrancesco et al., 2015; Foley et al., 2008), approach-sociability (Forbes, Rapee, Camberis, & McMahon, 2017), and novelty seeking (Donfrancesco et al., 2015; Purper-Ouakil et al., 2010), were also associated with ADHD symptoms. Low levels of effortful self-regulation, including Conscientiousness (Herzhoff, Smack, Reardon, Martel, & Tackett, 2017), Effortful Control (Martel et al., 2014), and fine-grained aspects, such as attentional/behavioral control/persistence (Bussing et al., 2003; Foley et al., 2008; Forbes et al., 2017), also pose risk with respect to ADHD.

ODD is described as a persistent pattern of anger and irritability, along with oppositional, defiant and hostile behavior towards adults and authority figures (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Similarly to ADHD, ODD was associated with high negative reactivity, including high scores in Negative Affect (Lavigne, Gouze, Hopkins, Bryant, & LeBailly, 2012) and Neuroticism (Herzhoff & Tackett, 2016), irritability, anger, quickness to feel frustrated (Hoffenaar & Hoeksma, 2002; Stringaris, Maughan, & Goodman, 2010; Erdogan, Benga, & Marină, 2017), and also sadness (Erdogan et al., 2017). Furthermore, high activation of the approach systems

manifested in high Surgency and impulsivity (Erdogan et al., 2017), as well as novelty seeking (Bai & Lee, 2017; Kim et al., 2010; Melegari et al., 2015), were linked with ODD symptoms. ODD was also associated with lower levels of Effortful Control (Lavigne et al., 2012; Erdogan et al., 2017) and Conscientiousness (Herzhoff et al., 2017), as well as low attentional shifting and inhibitory control (Erdogan et al., 2017).

The similarity of temperament associations for ADHD and ODD is quite apparent. Are there specific temperament profiles that characterize distinct risk for ADHD and ODD? Although scarce, a few studies have addressed this question. Karalunas, Gustafsson, Fair, Musser, and Nigg (2019) found that school-age children diagnosed with ADHD were more likely to present comorbid ODD if they exhibited high levels of Negative Emotionality. Additionally, Bai and Lee (2017) identified daring behaviors, or tendencies to enjoy risky or novel experiences, as unique predictors of ODD symptoms two years later, independently of baseline ADHD diagnostic status. Kim et al. (2010) found that children diagnosed with ODD, in comparison to a group demonstrating ADHD, scored higher in persistence, self-directness, and cooperativeness, and lower in harm avoidance. In a study by Melegari et al. (2015), preschoolers assigned to an ODD group were characterized as higher in persistence and harm avoidance compared to children with ADHD. Also in the preschool period, Martel et al., (2012) found that, whereas Negative Emotionality and Surgency were positively associated with both ODD and ADHD symptoms, Effortful Control was negatively associated with ADHD symptoms only. These studies addressing both common and specific relations of temperament in connection with ADHD and ODD have tended to rely on broad temperament attributes. Explorations of this issue at the fine-grained level are lacking.

In summary, ODD and ADHD have shown a common pattern of associations, with temperament characterized by high levels of negative emotionality and approach tendencies, and low levels of effortful self-regulation. The search for specific relations is scarce, but suggests negative emotionality and approach tendencies as discriminative of ODD whereas low effortful control, especially persistence, uniquely associated with ADHD. Although tentative, these results suggest that discrete personality or temperament traits could be useful in disentangling disorder heterogeneity (Tackett, Daoud, De Bolle, & Burt, 2013). Our study is aimed at identifying the common and specific relations of fine-grained temperament dimensions in connection with first signs of ADHD and ODD in a sample of typically developing toddlers, consistent with research suggesting that disorders such as ADHD and ODD may be better captured by continuous dimensions than a categorical diagnosis (Martel et al., 2012).

In consonance with theory and previous research, fine-grained components of negative emotionality (e.g., frustration, sadness, low soothability) and surgency (e.g., activity level, high intensity pleasure) will be positively associated with ADHD and ODD symptoms, whereas elements of effortful control (e.g., attentional focusing, inhibitory control) will be negative related to both disorders' symptoms. However, in the search for the best model explaining ADHD symptoms, the attentional focusing component of effortful control will appear as most relevant, whereas the ODD model will include expressions of negative emotionality, especially anger frustration, and approach tendencies, such as high intensity pleasure.

2. Method

2.1. Participants

The participants are part of a broader study aimed at studying child characteristics and parental behaviors (see Gartstein & Putnam, 2019, for details). Families of typically developing toddlers living in the Region of Murcia (SE; Spain) were contacted through day-care centers and the Psychology Faculty Facebook page of the University of Murcia. Initially, families of 78 toddlers agreed to participate, but we did not include data from 16 children due to the following issues: the same family contributed information for more than one child (6), the parents did not return any of the distributed questionnaires (3), or children were receiving special educational services (4). Data collected for 65 (33 boys) toddlers were included in this study. Children's ages ranged from 18 to 35 months (M = 25,54; SD = 5,07).

In terms of parents' education, 9.4% of the mothers were educated at the elementary school level, 19.5% at the high school level, and 59.6% at the university level. For fathers, the analogous percentages were: 17.3%, 23.1% and 59.6% respectively. Mean RDSI¹ was 58.2 (range from 10 to 97), with a predominance of medium-high SES among the families.

2.2. Measures

2.2.1. A Spanish version of The Early Childhood Behavior Questionnaire (ECBQ; Putnam et al., 2006) was completed by mothers. This parent-report instrument was designed to assess temperament between 18 and 36 months of age and has proved robust psychometric properties across cultures (Gartstein & Putnam, 2018) as well as predictive (Putnam, Rothbart, & Gartstein, 2008), and construct validity (Gartstein, Putnam, & Rothbart, 2012). It consists of 201 items rated on a 1-7 Likert-type scale, ranging from *never* (0) to *always* (7), to reflect the frequency of the child demonstrating specific behaviors in commonly-occurring situations. The items comprised 18 scales: Discomfort, Fear, Sadness, Frustration, Motor Activation, Perceptual Sensitivity, Shyness, Soothability, Activity Level, High-intensity Pleasure, Sociability, Positive Anticipation, Inhibitory Control, Attention Focusing and Shifting, Low-intensity Pleasure, and Cuddliness. Cronbach's alphas for the scales in our sample ranged between .64 and .92. See Table 1 for more detailed information.

Table 1. ECBQ scales, definitions, number of items and Cronbach's alpha for the sample of this study.

Scale	Definition	Number of items	Cronbach's alpha
Discomfort	Amount of negative affect related to sensory qualities of stimulation, including intensity, rate or complexity of light, sound, texture.	10	.80
Fear	Negative affect, including unease, worry, or nervousness related to anticipated pain or distress and/or potentially threatening situations; startle to sudden events.	11	.77

¹ RDSI: Revised Duncan Sociometric Index (Stevens & Featherman, 1981).

Frustration	Negative affect related to interruption of ongoing tasks or goal blocking.	12	.74
Sadness	Tearfulness or lowered mood related to exposure to personal suffering, disappointment, object loss, loss of approval, or response to other's suffering.	12	.78
Motor Activation	Repetitive small-motor movements; fidgeting.	11	.73
Perceptual Sensitivity	Detection of slight, low intensity stimuli from the external environment.	12	.87
Shyness	Slow or inhibited approach and/or discomfort in social situations involving novelty or uncertainty.	12	.81
Soothability	Rate of recovery from peak distress, excitement, or general arousal.	9	.78
Activity Level/Energy	Level (rate and intensity) of gross motor activity, including rate and extent of locomotion.	82	.65
High-intensity Pleasure	Pleasure or enjoyment related to situations involving high stimulus intensity, rate, complexity, novelty and incongruity.	12	.86
Impulsivity	Speed of response initiation.	10	.64
Positive Anticipation	Excitement about expected pleasurable activities.	11	.82
Sociability	Seeking and taking pleasure in interactions with others.	8	.88
Attentional Focusing	Sustained duration of orienting on an object of attention; resisting distraction.	12	.81
Attentional Shifting	The ability to transfer attentional focus from one activity/task to another.	12	.64
Inhibitory Control	The capacity to stop, moderate, or refrain from a behavior under instruction.	12	.90
Low-intensity Pleasure	Pleasure or enjoyment related to situations involving low stimulus intensity, rate, complexity, novelty and incongruity.	11	.77
Cuddliness	Child's expression of enjoyment in and molding of the body to being held by a caregiver.	12	.92

2.2.2. Mothers responded to the Spanish version of the Child Behavior Checklist (CBCL; Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000) for ages 18 months to 5 years. It contains 100 items that assess behavior problems. Reliability and validity of this instrument are well established (Achenbach & Rescorla, 2000). Mothers endorsed whether the sentences described her child's behavior quite often (2), sometimes (1), or whether it did not

_

² The original number of items (n=12) brought out an unsatisfactory index of internal consistency (α = .56). After examining item-test correlation, items (n= 4) with a poor correlation with the scale (r <.20) were removed.

describe her child's behavior (0). For the purpose of this study, we selected the DSM-oriented scales for ADHD (α = .70) and ODD (α = .62) as dependent variables.

2.3. Procedure

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the University of Murcia. Parents contacted through the day-care centers were given personal letters informing about the objectives and procedures of the study, including an informed consent form. For parents who agreed to participate, an envelope containing a socio-demographic questionnaire, the ECBQ, and the CBCL, was given by the toddlers' teachers. Once the mothers filled out the questionnaires, they returned them to the day-care center, where a member of our staff picked them up. A second envelope containing additional questionnaires (not used in the current paper) regarding parenting philosophy and practice was sent later during the academic year. For parents contacted through the internet, letters were sent and returned by post-mail. In all cases, parents could contact a research assistant by phone with any inquiry.

2.4. Data analyses

The data analytic strategy consisted of several sequential steps. First, independent t-tests were conducted to examine potential sex differences for the two dependent variables. Second, bivariate correlations between toddlers' ADHD and ODD scores and temperament traits were computed. Once significant associations between CBCL variables and specific temperament scores were obtained, multiple regression analyses were undertaken to examine the independent effects of the significant temperament traits on ADHD and ODD scores.

3. Results

3.1. *t*-test analyses

Independent t-test analyses did not reveal significant sex differences for the ADHD or ODD scores; t (63)=0.46, p=.646 and t (63)=0.44, p=0.663, respectively. Therefore, child sex was not included as a covariate in further analyses.

3.2. Zero-order correlations

Zero-order correlation analyses were conducted to elucidate significant associations between ADHD and ODD variables and the fine-grained temperament traits. Results showed that both ADHD and ODD symptoms were associated with higher levels of fear, frustration, sadness, motor activation, activity level, high-intensity pleasure, and lower levels of inhibitory control. On the other hand, lower soothability was significantly associated with ODD, but not ADHD; whereas lower levels of attentional focusing and low-intensity pleasure were associated with ADHD but not ODD (see table 2).

Table 2. Correlations between ECBO and CBCL scales.

Townswament Scale	Externalizing disorder scale		
Temperament Scale	ADHD	ODD	
Discomfort	.17	.07	
Fear	.32**	.28*	

Frustration	.30*	.41**
Sadness	.26*	.29*
Motor Activation	.41**	.29*
Perceptual Sensitivity	07	14
Shyness	.17	.07
Soothability	14	29*
Activity Level	.41**	.39**
High-intensity Pleasure	.26*	.28*
Impulsivity	.23	.06
Positive Anticipation	.11	.01
Sociability	11	21
Attentional Focusing	45***	06
Attentional Shifting	15	18
Inhibitory Control	42***	33**
Low-intensity Pleasure	34**	24
Cuddliness	12	21

Note. *p < .05. **p < .01. ***p < .001

3.3. Multiple regression analyses

In order to study the independent contributions of toddlers' temperament traits to ADHD and ODD scales, two stepwise multiple regression analyses were conducted including as predictors those temperament dimensions that were previously significantly correlated with ADHD/ODD scores.

The first multiple regression analysis was performed to examine specific associations between toddlers' temperament characteristics and ADHD symptoms. The results of the model, F(2,61) = 11.70, p < .001, $R^2_{adj} = .25$, revealed that attentional focusing and motor activation were significant concurrent predictors (see table 3).

The second model was computed to analyze specific relations between toddlers' temperament variables and their ODD scores. In this case, results, F(1,62) = 12.14, p=.001, $R^2_{adj} = .15$, showed that only toddlers' frustration was a significant predictor of ODD scores (see table 3).

Table 3. Results of the multiple regression analyses to predict ADHD and ODD scores with toddlers' temperament traits.

DV: ADHD symptoms			
	ΔR^2_{adj}	^ <i>β</i>	р
Model 1	.18		<.001
Attentional Focusing		44	<.001
Model 2	.07		<.001
Attentional Focusing		34	.004
Motor Activation		.31	.010
Fear		.19	,085
Low Intensity Pleasure		18	.127
Inhibitory Control		17	.178

Sadness		.14	.222
Activity Level		.16	.254
Frustration		.10	.423
High intensity pleasure		.07	.567
DV: ODD symptoms			
	ΔR^2_{adj}	β	p
Model 1	.15		.001
Frustration		.41	.001
Activity Level		.24	.061
High Intensity Pleasure		.20	.089
Motor Activation		.16	.204
Fear		.16	.210
Soothability		15	.259
Inhibitory Control		11	.460
Sadness		.07	.641

Note: β = standardized coefficients

4. Discussion

The aim of this work was to study the relationship between temperament and signs of psychopathology in typically developing toddlers. More specifically, ADHD and ODD symptoms were separately analyzed in connection with temperament dimensions as reported by mothers. Correlational analyses brought out commonality of associations for ADHD and ODD symptoms in relation to the majority of temperament scales. However, step-wise regression models brought out specific associations: ADHD was predominantly associated with attentional focusing and motor activation, whereas ODD was most closely related to frustration.

4.1. Correlational results

Higher levels of ADHD and ODD symptoms were associated with higher scores on several subcomponents of Negative Emotionality and Surgency, and lower scores on aspects of Effortful Control. These findings largely replicate, in terms of the direction and magnitude of connections between fine-grained measures of temperament and externalizing problems, those found previously by Gartstein, Putnam, and Rothbart (2012) in a community sample of children from the U.S., studied longitudinally from infancy to preschool years. The present findings are also consistent with results reported by Martel, Gremillion, & Roberts (2012), wherein preschoolers diagnosed of developmental behavioral disorders (including ADHD and ODD symptoms) were characterized by higher negative affect and surgency, and lower effortful control, compared with preschoolers without disorders.

Scales addressing expression of Negative Emotionality, including anger/frustration, fear, sadness, and motor activation, were found to be positively related to ADHD and ODD symptoms. High levels of proneness to anger, quickness to become frustrated and irritability contribute to manifestations of externalizing disorders and are considered signs of emotional dysregulation (Barkley, 2013; Ezpeleta, Granero, de la Osa, Penelo, & Domènech, 2012; Karalunas et al., 2014). Contrary to expectations (e.g. Frick and Morris, 2004), fear was positively associated with ADHD and ODD symptoms. Although high levels of fear and sadness are typically associated with internalizing

rather than externalizing problems, a considerable proportion of children diagnosed of ODD and ADHD show concomitant symptoms of anxiety and depression (Boylan, Vaillancourt, Boyle, & Szatmari, 2007; Elia, Ambrosini, & Berrettini, 2008). Finally, motor activation refers to the presence of repetitive small movements, such as fidgeting, and has been previously associated with externalizing disorders in toddlers and preschoolers (Gartstein et al., 2012).

In contrast with these commonalities in associations of Negative Emotionality dimensions with ADHD and ODD, soothability was significantly related only to ODD. This finding replicates previous research; for instance, in results reported by Ezpeleta et al. (2012), an irritable losing temper component of ODD was associated with low soothability in preschoolers. Collectively, these results can be understood in the context of children with ODD symptoms also demonstrating difficulties with emotional regulation (Schoorl, Van Rijn, De Wied, Van Goozen, & Swaab, 2016). Concerning ADHD, a relative lack of association between soothability and ADHD has been shown in other studies. For instance, prior research did not identify a significant difference in soothability between infants with familial risk for ADHD and controls (Auerbach et al., 2008), or a contribution of soothability to prediction of ADHD symptoms (Sullivan et al., 2015).

For the scales that constitute the construct of Surgency, positive correlations were found between activity level, high intensity pleasure, and ADHD/ODD symptoms. Activity level refers to the rate and intensity of gross motor activity and locomotion, and high levels of activity have been associated with ADHD in the preschool period (Bussing et al., 2003; Foley et al., 2008; McIntosh & Cole-Love, 1996). Concerning ODD, Stringaris, Maughan, and Goodman (2010) found that preschool children with high levels of activity (altogether with high negative emotionality) were more likely to develop ODD at school-age compared to those low in these traits. High intensity pleasure covers enjoyment related to situations involving high stimulus intensity, rate, complexity, novelty and incongruity. This preference for high arousal positive stimulation and novelty seeking is central in the construct of Gray's model (1981) Behavioral Activation System (BAS) and involves sensitivity to reward. A highly activated BAS would be involved in externalizing disorders (Colder & O'connor, 2004). In this line, our results are consistent with previous studies supporting that children diagnosed with ODD (Kim et al., 2010) and ADHD (Donfrancesco et al., 2015; Pelaz, Pérez, Herráez, Granada, & Ruiz, 2014) show higher novelty seeking compared to typically developing children.

Turning to Effortful Control scales, attentional focusing, inhibitory control and low-intensity pleasure correlated negatively with ADHD symptoms. Attentional focusing and inhibitory control provide the foundation of Effortful Control (Rothbart, 2011) and reflect individual differences in executive control at the cognitive and behavioral level respectively. Similarly to ours, Auerbach et al. (2008) reported that infants at risk for ADHD were rated as having significantly lower levels of inhibitory control than the comparison group. Moreover, Einziger et al. (2018) showed that Effortful Control during the preschool years was negatively associated with ADHD symptoms in adolescence in a non-clinical male sample. Furthermore, Martel, Gremillion, Roberts, Zastrow, & Tackett (2014) reported that poor Effortful Control in the preschool years was related to hyperactivity/impulsivity symptoms one year later. Low-intensity pleasure covers enjoyment of situations involving low arousal, and low levels of this dimension were previously associated with externalizing symptoms (Garstein et al.,

2012) and with ADHD (González-Salinas et al., 2012). ADHD symptoms could be generated by insufficient inhibitory control relative to the strength of activation (Ruff & Rothbart, 1996), preventing children from engaging in low-arousal pleasurable activities. In the case of ODD, inhibitory control was negatively associated to it, in consonance with previous studies (e.g., Ezpeleta et al., 2012; Erdogan et al., 2017). More generally, Lavigne, Gouze, Hopkins, Bryant, and LeBailly (2012) found a strong association between Effortful Control and ODD symptoms in 4-years-old community children. As suggested by Bates, Pettit, Dodge, and Ridge (1998), low effortful abilities in conjunction with strong approach tendencies could explain young children's resistance to control, as their weak inhibitory competencies likely prevent them from controlling actions when required by adults, resulting in difficulties learning rules and raising the risk for behavior disorders.

Collectively, our bivariate correlation results indicate that toddlers with more ADHD and ODD symptoms show higher approach tendencies, experience more negative emotions, and exhibit poorer self-regulation abilities. As suggested by Barkley (2013), deficits associated with emotion regulation can explain in part the considerable comorbidity between ADHD and ODD.

4.2. Stepwise regression results

Stepwise regression model results obtained for ADHD included two temperament dimensions: attentional focusing and motor activation. Attentional focusing is defined as the ability for sustained attention on an object or activity, resisting distraction. Attentional deficits constitute one of the key aspects for ADHD diagnosis (American Psychiatric Association, 2013). Moreover, this inattention interferes with the effortful actions required to downregulate the emotional state of the child to enable age-appropriate and socially acceptable behavior (Barkley & Murphy, 2010), which likely explains further consequences in childhood for adjustment in the academic and social context (Sánchez Pérez & González Salinas, 2013).

Motor activation is referred to the presence of repetitive motor movements, such as tics or restlessness associated with problems in dopamine release and reuptake, and has been identified in the ADHD clinical population (Mahone, Bridges, Prahme, & Singer, 2004). The latter has been interpreted as repetitive movements facilitating an optimal arousal state by regulating the physiological and psychological state of alertness and permitting an optimal use of cognitive resources (Mahone et al., 2004).

The stepwise regression model with ODD as the dependent variable indicated that frustration alone contributed to explaining variance in toddlers' symptoms. Previous research had consistently found the association of anger proneness in young children and the expression of ODD symptoms in community samples (Erdogan et al., 2017; Ezpeleta et al., 2012). An over-reactivity in response to interruption of ongoing tasks or goal blocking is identified as a symptom of ODD (American Psychiatric Association, 2013), and has been explained by poor executive control, failing to modulate the expression of emotion, consequential in terms of an adverse impact on behavior problems (Eisenberg et al., 2005; Rothbart, Ellis, Rueda, & Posner, 2003). Unexpectedly, although correlated, individual differences in the approach systems as reflected by the dimensions of activity level and high-intensity pleasure, did not contribute additional variance in the explanation of ODD symptoms. This is probably due to an effect of multicollinearity of the predictors; evidence supports the view that

anger relates to an appetitive or approach motivational system, given that individuals with high approach tendencies tend to exhibit strong anger reactions (Carver & Harmon-Jones, 2009).

4.3. Limitations

A number of limitations should be noted in this study. First, our sample included only a small number of participants, primarily from the middle/upper-middle socioeconomic level. Second, the concurrent data collected for the purposes of this study do not allow us to draw any conclusions regarding longitudinal predictions of later externalizing symptoms based on toddlers' temperamental traits. Third, we cannot rule out the possibility that our findings connecting temperament to externalizing symptoms have been impacted to some extent by conceptual overlap among measures for these constructs. Some researchers have cautioned that relations between temperament and maladjustment may be caused by overlap in item content (Sanson, Prior, & Kyrios, 1990); however, later empirical findings indicated that links between temperament and behavior problems remain even after "decontamination" of measures (Lemery & Essex, 2002; Lengua, West, & Sandler, 1998; Oldehinkel, Hartman, De Winter, Veenstra, & Ormel, 2004). Fourth, the data gathered were derived from questionnaires completed by mothers, which may arise some concerns about shared rater variance. In this regard, Kagan (1994) argued that research on children's characteristics including personality, present potential sources of bias in caregiver-report questionnaires. These concerns are at least partially addressed in the ECBO through use of items that ask only about recently occurring events and inquiring about concrete child behaviors rather than asking parents to make abstract or comparative judgments (Rothbart, 2011; Rothbart & Goldsmith, 1985). Moreover, ECBQ external validation is supported by studies showing that ECBQ scales are related to physiological indicators, such as resting heart rate and respiratory sinus arrhythmia (Li, Deater-Deckard, Calkins, & Bell, 2017) and observational measures (e.g., parental practices; Laurin & Joussemet, 2017).

4.4. Future research

An important direction for future research involves the employment of empirical evidence showing the associations between toddlers' temperament and externalizing symptoms to early identify children at risk of developing ADHD or ODD. Furthermore, this knowledge would facilitate the development of preventive programs to be implemented prior to the escalation of symptoms, and to design a more modular treatment approach based on the toddlers' temperament profiles.

5. Acknowledgments

We acknowledge the contribution of the participating families and the staff of the day-care centers involved. We are also grateful for the funding provided by the Washington State University of Arts and Sciences 2014 Berry Family Faculty Excellence Award to the third author (MAG).

6. References

Achenbach, T. M., & Rescorla, L. A. (2000). *Manual for the ASEBA preschool forms and profiles* (Vol. 30). Burlington, VT: University of Vermont, Research Center for Children, Youth, and Families.

- Ahadi, S. A., & Rothbart, M. K. (1994). Temperament, development, and the Big Five. In C. F. Halverson, Jr., G. A. Kohnstamm, & R. P. Martin (Eds.), *The developing structure of temperament and personality from infancy to adulthood* (pp. 189-207). Hillsdale, NJ, US: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- American Psychiatric Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)*. American Psychiatric Pub.
- Auerbach, J. G., Berger, A., Atzaba-Poria, N., Arbelle, S., Cypin, N., Friedman, A., & Landau, R. (2008). Temperament at 7, 12, and 25 months in children at familial risk for ADHD. *Infant and Child Development: An International Journal of Research and Practice*, 17(4), 321–338.
- Bai, S., & Lee, S. S. (2017). Early-onset conduct problems: predictions from daring temperament and risk taking behavior. *Journal of Psychopathology and Behavioral Assessment*, 39(4), 575–584.
- Barkley, R. A. (2013). *Defiant children. A clinician's manual for assessment and parent training*. New York: Guildford Press.
- Barkley, R. A. & Murphy, K. R. (2010). Deficient Emotional Self-Regulation in Adults With Attention-Deficit / Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD): The Relative Contributions of Emotional Impulsiveness and ADHD Symptoms to Adaptive Impairments in Major Life Activities. *Journal of ADHD and Related Disorders*, 1(4), 5-28.
- Bates, J. E., Pettit, G. S., Dodge, K. A., & Ridge, B. (1998). Interaction of temperamental resistance to control and restrictive parenting in the development of externalizing behavior. *Developmental Psychology*, 34(5), 982-995.
- Boylan, K., Vaillancourt, T., Boyle, M., & Szatmari, P. (2007). Comorbidity of internalizing disorders in children with oppositional defiant disorder. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 16(8), 484–494.
- Bussing, R., Gary, F. A., Mason, D. M., Leon, C. E., Sinha, K., & Garvan, C. W. (2003). Child temperament, ADHD, and caregiver strain: Exploring relationships in an epidemiological sample. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 42(2), 184–192.
- Carver, C. S., & Harmon-Jones, E. (2009). Anger is an approach-related affect: evidence and implications. *Psychological Bulletin*, *135*(2), 183-204.
- Cloninger, C. R., Przybeck, T. R., Svrakic, D. M., & Wetzel, R. D. (1994). *The Temperament and Character Inventory (TCI): A guide to its development and use.* Sant Louis: Center for Psychobiology of Personality.
- Colder, C. R. & O'connor, R. M. (2004). Gray's reinforcement sensitivity model and child psychopathology: Laboratory and questionnaire assessment of the BAS and BIS. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 32(4), 435–451.
- Donfrancesco, R., Di Trani, M., Porfirio, M. C., Giana, G., Miano, S., & Andriola, E. (2015). Might the temperament be a bias in clinical study on attention-deficit hyperactivity disorder (ADHD)?: novelty seeking dimension as a core feature of ADHD. *Psychiatry Research*, 227(2-3), 333–338.
- Einziger, T., Levi, L., Zilberman-Hayun, Y., Auerbach, J. G., Atzaba-Poria, N., Arbelle, S., & Berger, A. (2018). Predicting ADHD symptoms in adolescence from early childhood temperament traits. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 46(2), 265–276.
- Eisenberg, N., Sadovsky, A., Spinrad, T. L., Fabes, R. A., Losoya, S. H., Valiente, C., ... Shepard, S. A. (2005). The relations of problem behavior status to children's negative emotionality, effortful control, and impulsivity: concurrent relations and prediction of change. *Developmental Psychology*, 41(1), 193-211.

- Elia, J., Ambrosini, P., & Berrettini, W. (2008). ADHD characteristics: I. Concurrent co-morbidity patterns in children & adolescents. *Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Mental Health*, 2(1), 15.
- Ezpeleta, L., Granero, R., de la Osa, N., Penelo, E., & Domènech, J. M. (2012). Dimensions of oppositional defiant disorder in 3-year-old preschoolers. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 53(11), 1128–1138.
- Foley, M., McClowry, S. G., & Castellanos, F. X. (2008). The relationship between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and child temperament. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology*, 29(2), 157–169.
- Forbes, M. K., Rapee, R. M., Camberis, A. L., & McMahon, C. A. (2017). Unique associations between childhood temperament characteristics and subsequent psychopathology symptom trajectories from childhood to early adolescence. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 45(6), 1221-1233.
- Fowles, D. C. (2001). Biological variables in psychopathology. A psychobiological perspective. In H. E. Adams & P. B. Sutker (Eds), *Comprehensive handbook of psychopathology* (pp. 85-141). New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum.
- Frick, P. J. & Morris, A. S. (2004). Temperament and developmental pathways to conduct problems. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, *33*(1), 54–68.
- Gartstein, M. A. & Putnam, S. P. (2019). *Toddlers, parents and culture: findings from the Joint Effort Toddler Temperament Consortium*. Routledge.
- Gartstein, M. A., Putnam, S. P., & Rothbart, M. K. (2012). Etiology of preschool behavior problems: Contributions of temperament attributes in early childhood. *Infant Mental Health Journal*, *33*(2), 197–211.
- Gartstein, M. A., & Rothbart, M. K. (2003). Studying infant temperament via the revised Infant Behavior Questionnaire. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 26(1), 64–86.
- González-Salinas, C., Sánchez-Pérez, N., Fuentes, L. J., Montirosso, R, & Heinonen, K. (2019). Cross Cultural Differences in Associations between Temperament and Behavior Problems. In M.A. Gartstein & S.P. Putnam (Eds.), *Toddlers, parents, and culture: findings from the Joint Effort Toddler Temperament Consortium*. London, England: Routledge.
- González-Salinas, C., Valero, A. V., Carranza, J. A., Sánchez-Pérez, N., Bajo, M. T., Carreiras, M., & Fuentes, L. J. (2012). *Temperament profiles in ADHD: Low Effortful Control and poor emotional regulation*. Poster presented at BapSepex, Liege (Belgium).
- Gray J.A. (1981). A critique of Eysenck's theory of personality. In H.J. Eysenck (Ed.), *A model for personality* (pp. 246–276). Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Gray, J. A. (1990). Brain systems that mediate both emotion and cognition. *Cognition & Emotion*, 4(3), 269–288.
- Herzhoff, K., Smack, A. J., Reardon, K. W., Martel, M. M., & Tackett, J. L. (2017). Child personality accounts for oppositional defiant disorder comorbidity patterns. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 45(2), 327–335.
- Herzhoff, K. & Tackett, J. L. (2016). Subfactors of oppositional defiant disorder: converging evidence from structural and latent class analyses. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, *57*(1), 18–29.
- Hoffenaar, P. J. & Hoeksma, J. B. (2002). The structure of oppositionality: Response dispositions and situational aspects. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 43(3), 375–385.

- Hyde, L. W., Shaw, D. S., & Hariri, A. R. (2013). Understanding youth antisocial behavior using neuroscience through a developmental psychopathology lens: Review, integration, and directions for research. *Developmental Review*, *33*(3), 168–223.
- Karalunas, S. L., Fair, D., Musser, E. D., Aykes, K., Iyer, S. P., & Nigg, J. T. (2014). Subtyping attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder using temperament dimensions: toward biologically based nosologic criteria. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 71(9), 1015–1024.
- Karalunas, S. L., Gustafsson, H. C., Fair, D., Musser, E. D., & Nigg, J. T. (2019). Do we need an irritable subtype of ADHD? Replication and extension of a promising temperament profile approach to ADHD subtyping. *Psychological Assessment*, *31*(2), 236-247.
- Kim, H. W., Cho, S. C., Kim, B. N., Kim, J. W., Shin, M. S., & Yeo, J. Y. (2010). Does oppositional defiant disorder have temperament and psychopathological profiles independent of attention deficit/hyperactivity disorder? *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *51*(4), 412–418.
- Laurin, J. C. & Joussemet, M. (2017). Parental autonomy-supportive practices and toddlers' rule internalization: A prospective observational study. *Motivation and Emotion*, 41(5), 562–575.
- Lavigne, J. V., Gouze, K. R., Hopkins, J., Bryant, F. B., & LeBailly, S. A. (2012). A multi-domain model of risk factors for ODD symptoms in a community sample of 4-year-olds. *Journal of Abnormal Child Psychology*, 40(5), 741–757.
- Lemery, K. S. & Essex, M. J. (2002). Revealing the relation between temperament and behavior problem symptoms by eliminating measurement confounding: expert ratings and factor analyses. *Child Development*, 73(3), 867-882.
- Lengua, L. J., West, S. G., & Sandler, I. N. (1998). Temperament as a Predictor of Symptomatology in Children: Addressing Contamination of Measures. *Child Development*, 69(1), 164-181.
- Li, M., Deater-Deckard, K., Calkins, S. D., & Bell, M. A. (2017). Getting to the heart of personality in early childhood: Cardiac electrophysiology and stability of temperament. *Journal of Research in Personality*, 67, 151–156.
- Liu, J. (2004). Childhood externalizing behavior: theory and implications. *Journal of child and adolescent psychiatric nursing*, 17(3), 93–103.
- Mahone, E. M., Bridges, D., Prahme, C., & Singer, H. S. (2004). Repetitive arm and hand movements (complex motor stereotypies) in children. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 145(3), 391–395.
- Martel, M. M., Gremillion, M. L., & Roberts, B. (2012). Temperament and common disruptive behavior problems in preschool. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *53*(7), 874–879.
- Martel, M. M., Gremillion, M. L., Roberts, B. A., Zastrow, B. L., & Tackett, J. L. (2014). Longitudinal prediction of the one-year course of preschool ADHD symptoms: Implications for models of temperament—ADHD associations. *Personality and Individual Differences*, 64, 58–61.
- McCrae, R. R., & Costa Jr, P. T. (1999). A five-factor theory of personality. In L. A. Pervin & O. P. John (Eds.), *Handbook of Personality: Theory and Research*, (pp. 139–153). New York, NY, US: Guilford Press.
- McIntosh, D. E. & Cole-Love, A. S. (1996). Profile comparisons between ADHD and non-ADHD children on the temperament assessment battery for children. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment*, *14*(4), 362–372.

- Melegari, M. G., Nanni, V., Lucidi, F., Russo, P. M., Donfrancesco, R., & Cloninger, C. R. (2015). Temperamental and character profiles of preschool children with ODD, ADHD, and anxiety disorders. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 58, 94–101.
- Oldehinkel, A. J., Hartman, C. A., De Winter, A. F., Veenstra, R., & Ormel, J. (2004). Temperament profiles associated with internalizing and externalizing problems in preadolescence. *Development and Psychopathology*, 16(2), 421-440.
- Pelaz, A., Pérez, A., Herráez, C., Granada, O., & Ruiz, F. (2014). Estudio sobre el temperamento y el carácter en población infantil diagnosticada de TDAH. *Revista de Psiquiatría Infanto-Juvenil*, 31(1), 62–69.
- Purper-Ouakil, D., Cortese, S., Wohl, M., Aubron, V., Orejarena, S., Michel, G., ... Gorwood, P. (2010). Temperament and character dimensions associated with clinical characteristics and treatment outcome in attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder boys. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, *51*(3), 286–292.
- Putnam, S. P., Gartstein, M. A., & Rothbart, M. K. (2006). Measurement of fine-grained aspects of toddler temperament: The Early Childhood Behavior Questionnaire. *Infant Behavior and Development*, 29(3), 386–401.
- Putnam, S. P., Rothbart, M. K., & Gartstein, M. A. (2008). Homotypic and heterotypic continuity of fine-grained temperament during infancy, toddlerhood, and early childhood. *Infant and Child Development*, 17(4), 387–405.
- Rothbart, M.K. (2011). Becoming who we are: Temperament and personality in development. Guilford Press.
- Rothbart, M.K., Ellis, Rueda, M. R., & Posner, M. I. (2003). Developing mechanisms of temperamental effortful control. *Journal of Personality*, 71(6), 1133-1144.
- Rothbart, M.K. & Goldsmith, H. H. (1985). Three approaches to the study of infant temperament. *Developmental Review*, *5*(3), 237-260.
- Rothbart, M.K. & Derryberry, D. (1981). Development of individual differences in temperament. In M. E. Lamb & A. L. Brown (Eds.), *Advances in developmental psychology* (Vol. 1), (pp. 37-86). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Rothbart, M. K., & Bates, J. E. (2006). Temperament. In W. Damon, R.M. Lerner, N. Eisenberg (Eds.), *Handbook of child psychology* (6.ª ed., pp. 99-166). New York: Wiley.
- Rothbart, M.K., Ahadi, S. A., & Evans, D. E. (2000). Temperament and personality: origins and outcomes. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 78(1), 122-135.
- Rothbart, M.K.., Ahadi, S. A., Hershey, K. L., & Fisher, P. (2001). Investigations of temperament at three to seven years: The Children's Behavior Questionnaire. *Child Development*, 72(5), 1394–1408.
- Rothbart, M.K. (1989). Temperament in childhood: a framework. In G. A. Kohnstamm, J. E. Bates, & M. K. Rothbart (Eds.), *Temperament in childhood* (pp. 59-73). Oxford, England: John Wiley & Sons.
- Rothbart, M. K., & Bates, J. E. (1998). Temperament. In N. Eisenberg (Ed.), W. Damon (Series Ed.), *Handbook of child psychology*: Vol. 3. Social, emotional, and personality development (pp. 105–176). New York: Wiley.
- Sánchez Pérez, N. & González Salinas, C. (2013). School adjustment of pupils with ADHD: cognitive, emotional and temperament risk factors. *Electronic Journal of Research in Educational Psychology*. 11, 527–550.
- Sanson, A., Prior, M., & Kyrios, M. (1990). Contamination of Measures in Temperament Research. *Merrill-Palmer Quarterly*, *36*(2), 179-192.
- Schoorl, J., Van Rijn, S., De Wied, M., Van Goozen, S. H., & Swaab, H. (2016). Variability in emotional/behavioral problems in boys with oppositional defiant

- disorder or conduct disorder: the role of arousal. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 25(8), 821–830.
- Shaw D.S., & Gross H.E. (2008) What we have learned about early childhood and the development of delinquency. In A.M. Liberman (Eds) *The long view of crime: a synthesis of longitudinal research*. Springer: New York.
- Stevens, G. & Featherman, D. L. (1981). A revised socioeconomic index of occupational status. *Social Science Research*, 10(4), 364–395.
- Stringaris, A., Maughan, B., & Goodman, R. (2010). What's in a disruptive disorder? Temperamental antecedents of oppositional defiant disorder: findings from the Avon longitudinal study. *Journal of the American Academy of Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*, 49(5), 474–483.
- Sullivan, E. L., Holton, K. F., Nousen, E. K., Barling, A. N., Sullivan, C. A., Propper, C. B., & Nigg, J. T. (2015). Early identification of ADHD risk via infant temperament and emotion regulation: a pilot study. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*, 56(9), 949–957.
- Erdogan, G., Benga, O., & Marină, C. (2017). Attentional Orientation Patterns toward Emotional Faces and Temperamental Correlates of Preschool Oppositional Defiant Problems: The Moderating Role of Callous-Unemotional Traits and Anxiety Symptoms. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1-15.
- Tackett, J. L., Daoud, S. L., De Bolle, M., & Burt, S. A. (2013). Is relational aggression part of the externalizing spectrum? A bifactor model of youth antisocial behavior. *Aggressive Behavior*, *39*(2), 149–159.
- Waller, R. & Hyde, L. W. (2017). Callous—unemotional behaviors in early childhood: Measurement, meaning, and the influence of parenting. *Child Development Perspectives*, 11(2), 120–126.