

1 **Functional mapping of left parietal areas involved in simple addition and multiplication. A**
2 **single-case study of qualitative analysis of errors.**

3
4 **Abstract**

5 All electrostimulation studies on arithmetic have so far solely reported general errors. Nonetheless, a
6 classification of the errors during stimulation can inform us about underlying arithmetic processes. The
7 present electro-stimulation study was performed in a case of left parietal glioma. The patient's erroneous
8 responses suggested that calculation was mainly applied for addition and a combination of retrieval and
9 calculation was mainly applied for multiplication. The findings of the present single-case study encourage
10 follow up with further data collection with the same paradigm.

11
12 **Key words:** awake craniotomy; calculation; cortical mapping; left parietal lobe; intra-parietal sulcus;

13
14 **Text**

15 **Introduction**

16 One set of cognitive functions that has received some attention in the context of intraoperative mapping in
17 recent years concerns mathematical skills (Duffau et. al, 2002; Pu et al, 2011; Roux, Boukhatem, Draper,
18 Sacko, & Démonet, 2009; Whalen, McCloskey, Lesser, & Gordon, 1997; Yu X et al, 2011). The left parietal
19 areas have been singled out as critical for number and calculation skills since the first clinical studies on
20 acquired calculation disorders as well as by means of modern techniques like neuro-imaging (Dehaene,
21 Piazza, Pinel P, & Cohen, 2003), or TMS (Salillas, Semenza, Basso, Vecchi, Siegal, 2012; Della Puppa et al.
22 2013). The present study, in a case of left parietal glioma, used both one digit addition and multiplication.
23 Differences between these two operations, classically considered as based on retrieval, were suggested by
24 recent detailed neuroimaging studies (Dehaene et al, 2003; Rosenberg-Lee, Chang, Young, Wu , & Menon,
25 2011). All electrostimulation studies on arithmetic have so far solely reported general errors (such as
26 omissions and commissions). Nonetheless, a classification of the errors during stimulation can inform us
27 about underlying arithmetic processes (McCloskey, Harley, & Sokol , 2011).

28

1 **Case Presentation**

2 The patient was a 44 year old, right handed man who came to our attention for headache. A preoperative
3 anatomical MRI showed a low grade glioma at the left parietal lobe white matter (Fig 1-a). Because of the
4 location of the tumour in the dominant hemisphere, it was decided to perform awake, intra-operative
5 functional mapping, before and during surgical resection, to reduce the risk of neurological sequelae. The
6 patient gave his written informed consent. Neither language deficits nor emotional problems were detected
7 before the operation. A full assessment of calculation skills showed a normal use of numbers and calculation.

8

9 *.Intraoperative mapping*

10 Cortical and sub-cortical mapping was performed by means of a bipolar stimulator. The functional cortical
11 map was obtained using the method described by other authors (Duffau et al., 2002). The patient was asked
12 to perform counting and picture naming. Finally, calculation tasks were administered. Each cortical site ($5 \times$
13 5 mm) of the entire cortex exposed by the bone flap was tested 3 times. Then, the Intra-Parietal Sulcus (IPS)
14 was stimulated. Functional sites were marked with tags when an error was detected at three repeated
15 stimulations.

16 *.Calculation Tasks*

17 All the numerical stimuli were presented visually on a PC screen, using Arabic digits. Only addition and
18 multiplication were studied, due to time constraints. Two different types of calculation were administered to
19 the patient:

20 - one-digit addition with one operand (example g. $4+7$; $8+6$; $5+7$...).

21 - one-digit multiplication with one operand (example g. 8×4 ; 5×6 ; 9×7 ...).

22 Each operation had to be solved within the time of stimulation (i.e. 4 seconds) and was presented at the
23 centre of the screen without the sign “=”; the patients was asked to give a vocal response. The patient did not
24 know when the electrical stimulation was performed. The administration procedure was as follows: a block
25 of 14 additions was presented to the patient, in random order, alternating the use of electro-stimulation and
26 repeated three times. On each stimulation site, the patient performed three additions, for a total of 21 trials
27 with and 21 trials without. A block of 15 multiplications was then administered (and repeated three times)
28 with the same procedure, for a total of 22 tests with and 23 without stimulation. A speech therapist

1 monitored the type of errors.

2 *Intra-operative Data*

3 The functional stimulation mapping resulted in the identification of the following cortical sites (Fig 1-b).

4 -The primary somato-sensory areas of the face (tag 1);

5 -The speech arrest site in the anterior part of the Inferior Parietal Lobule (IPL) (tag 2);

6 -Five sites where stimulation interfered with naming (tag 3-7);

7 -Three sites were involved in addition, all located within the posterior part of the IPL (tags +);

8 -Two sites were involved in multiplication, all located within the anterior part of the IPL (tags x);

9 -A site located in the horizontal segment of the IPS where stimulation interfered with multiplication (Fig.1-c)

10 No site was positive for both addition and multiplication. No site was positive for both calculation and
11 language. A qualitative analysis of errors was then performed (Table 1). In the presented case errors inducted
12 by stimulation differed qualitatively between addition and multiplication. Note that errors cannot be
13 explained by semantic paraphasias, since even when the error started by the same sound of the correct
14 response, the verbal response was complete, or ended following the described patterns.

15

16 **Discussion**

17 Simple, one-digit, addition and multiplication are at the basis of more complex operations and they are daily
18 performed by most numerate people. These facts make them an ideal stimulus material for intra-operative
19 mapping of the lower left parietal lobe. Distinct locations in the anterior and the posterior portions of the
20 Inferior Parietal Lobe were found. While simple addition seems to be processed in the posterior portion,
21 simple multiplication is processed in a more anterior portion. One-digit multiplication and one-digit addition
22 have often been considered as alike processes since they both share the property of being retrieved
23 automatically by rote (Dehaene et al, 2003); Rosenberg-Lee et al, 2011). There must be instead a level where
24 the two operations are distinctly treated (Rosenberg-Lee et al, 2011). In fact, the patient's mistakes suggest
25 that calculation was mainly applied for addition since every error entailed an approximation to the correct
26 sum. In other words, exact calculation fails and is replaced by approximation when sites in the posterior left
27 IPS are transitorily disrupted during addition. When stimulating the anterior IPS during multiplication,
28 approximation errors were usually close in the table to the correct solution, suggesting than a correct

1 retrieval of the exact solution failed, with higher interference from operand related solutions. Almost every
2 time these operand related errors were close to the correct solution. Approximation was thus combined with
3 retrieval for multiplication. The majority of addition errors, in fact, seemed to unveil an underlying
4 arithmetic procedure of approximation while multiplication clearly relied on a sort of approximate retrieval.
5 That is, when stimulation was applied on anterior portions of the Inferior Parietal Lobe, a sub-serving
6 process of retrieval appeared to be altered for multiplication, giving rise to frequent retrieval related errors.
7 When the posterior portions of the parietal gyrus are stimulated while performing addition, errors
8 characterized by approximation procedures (100% of the times) arise instead. Therefore the patterns of errors
9 suggest the interplay of different essential arithmetic functional components that distinguish between
10 operations. Neurosurgery thus proves to be a useful tool to explore arithmetic processing components. The
11 findings of the present single-case study encourage follow up with further data collection with the same
12 paradigm.


13

1

2 **Illustrations**

3 **Figure 1**

4 Preoperative images showed a left parietal tumor in sagittal flair-weighted MRI sequences (a). Intra-
5 operative view (b,c): the tumor is delineated by the letter tags. A cottonoid was placed in the portion of the
6 Intra-Parietal Sulcus that resulted functional for calculation and consequently spared. Tags were placed
7 corresponding to somato-sensory area (tag 1), speech arrest site (tag 2), naming interfering sites (tags 3-7),
8 addition interfering sites (tags +), multiplication interfering sites (tags x) (b) Tag x in the bottom of the Intra-
9 Parietal Sulcus corresponding to the site which was functional for multiplication. Postoperative images (d)
10 showed the surgical cave boundaries in sagittal flair-weighted MRI sequences.



11

12

1 **Table 1**

2 In *operand errors*: the erroneous answer is correct for a problem that shares an operand with the presented problem
 3 (i.e. $8 \times 6 = 40$, where the shared operand is 8, as 8×5). In *table errors*, the erroneous response does not share an operand
 4 with the stimulation problem but is an answer to any single digit problem (e.g. $6 \times 9 = 56$). In *operation errors*, the
 5 erroneous response is correct for a problem involving the same operands but in a different arithmetic operation (e.g. $9 \times$
 6 $8 = 17$). McCloskey et al. (2011) explain these errors (on the whole called *retrieval errors*) as due to interference during
 7 the retrieval of verbally learned, by rote, arithmetic operations, stored in memory as an associative network. The authors
 8 further classify all other errors as *non-table errors*. In the following classification, *approximation* occurs for operand
 9 errors when the erroneous solution is the solution for the following or for the preceding problem in the table;
 10 approximation occurred for table and non-table errors, when the erroneous solution is close to the correct solution.
 11 Approximation occurred in 100 % of the commission errors for addition but only on the 55% of the commission errors
 12 for multiplication. For multiplication, 55% of commission errors were retrieval errors. Of these, 80 % were operand
 13 errors and 10% were table errors not implying approximation. Importantly, all except one of the operand errors were
 14 close in the table to the correct solution. Since every number can be a solution for addition, all errors were classified as
 15 table related errors. No operation error appeared in both multiplication and addition.

Operation	Correct solution	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3	Operation	Correct solution	Test 1	Test 2	Test 3
4 x 6	24	✓	✓	✓	4+7	11	✓	✓	anomia
4 x 7	28	55	56	58	8+3	11	✓	13	✓
5 x 6	30	✓	✓	anomia	4+8	12	11	✓	✓
8 x 4	32	✓	✓	✓	3+9	12	✓	✓	✓
7 x 5	35	✓	✓	✓	5+7	12	anomia	✓	✓
9 x 4	36	✓	✓	✓	8+5	13	✓	✓	✓
8 x 5	40	✓	✓	✓	9+4	13	15	11	15
7 x 6	42	45	55	52	7+6	13	✓	✓	✓
9 x 5	45	✓	✓	✓	8+6	14	✓	✓	✓
8 x 6	48	40	46	40	9+5	14	✓	✓	✓
9 x 6	54	45	52	56	7+8	15	✓	✓	✓
8 x 7	56	48	58	48	9+6	15	✓	✓	✓
7 x 9	63	54	54	53	9+7	16	✓	✓	✓
8 x 8	64	✓	✓	✓	9+8	17	✓	anomia	✓
9 x 8	72	anomia	anomia	✓					
	total errors	7	7	7		total errors	3	3	2

16

Operand	<u>Operand approximation</u>	Table related	<u>Table approximation</u>	<u>Non-table approximation</u>	<i>Non-table</i>
----------------	------------------------------	---------------	----------------------------	--------------------------------	------------------

Retrieval errors	Non-retrieval errors
-------------------------	-----------------------------

1

2 **References**

3 .Dehaene S, Piazza M, Pinel P, Cohen L. (2003) Three parietal circuits for number processing. *Cogn*
4 *Neuropsychol*;20:487–506

5 Della Puppa A, De Pellegrin ST, D’Avella E, Gioffrè G, Munari M, Saladini M, Salillas E, Scienza
6 R, Semenza C (2013) Right parietal cortex and calculation processing. Intra-operative functional
7 mapping of multiplication and addition. *J Neurosurg*; 27(6):680-90

8 .Duffau H, Denvil D, Lopes M, Gasparini M, Cohen L, Capelle L, van Effenterre R. (2002). Intraoperative
9 mapping of the cortical areas involved in multiplication and subtraction: an electrostimulation study in a
10 patient with a left parietal gliomas. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*;73:733-738

11 .McCloskey M, Harley W, Sokol SM. (1991). Models of arithmetic fact retrieval: An evaluation in light of
12 findings from normal and brain-damaged subjects. *Journal Experimental Psychology: Learning, Memory and*
13 *Cognition*;3:377-397

14 .Pu S, Li YN, Wu CX, Wang YZ, Zhou XL, Jiang T (2011). Cortical areas involved in numerical processing:
15 an intraoperative electrostimulation study. *Stereotact Funct Neurosurg.*;89(1):42-7

16 .Rosenberg-Lee M, Chang TT, Young CB, Wu S, Menon V (2011). Functional dissociations between four
17 basic arithmetic operations in the human posterior parietal cortex: A cytoarchitectonic mapping study.
18 *Neuropsychologia* ;49(9):2592-2608

19 .Roux FE, Boukhatem L, Draper L, Sacko O, Démonet JF (2009). Cortical calculation localization using
20 electrostimulation. *J Neurosurg.*;110(6):1291-9

21 .Salillas E, Semenza C, Basso D, Vecchi T, Siegal M (2012). Single pulse TMS induced disruption to right
22 and left parietal cortex on addition and multiplication. *NeuroImage* ; 59: 3159-3165

23 .Whalen J., McCloskey M., Lesser R.P., Gordon B (1997). Localizing Arithmetic Processes in the Brain:
24 Evidence from a Transient Deficit During Cortical Stimulation. *J Cogn Neurosci*;9(3):409-417

25 .Yu X, Chen C, Pu S, Wu C, Li Y, Jiang T, Zhou X (2011) Dissociation of subtraction and multiplication in
26 the right parietal cortex: evidence from intraoperative cortical electrostimulation. *Neuropsychologia*;49:2889-
27 2895

1 Acknowledgements.

2 This study has been partially supported by grant RF-2009-1530973 from Italian Ministry of Public Health to

3 Carlo Semenza.