

[Click here to view linked References](#)

The Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch revisited: Using one of Portugal's most notable fossils to exhibit the scientific method

Alexandra E. Fernandes^{1,2,3} (0000-0003-4336-8318), Octávio Mateus^{1,2} (0000-0003-1253-3616), Blanca Bauluz⁴ (0000-0002-4970-6333), Rute Coimbra⁵ (0000-0002-4135-2921), Lope Ezquerro^{1,2,6} (0000-0003-0900-1440), Carmen Núñez-Lahuerta^{1,2,4} (0000-0002-2882-6061), Calin Suteu⁷ (0000-0001-5953-3985), Miguel Moreno-Azanza^{1,2,4} (0000-0002-7210-1033)

1 GeoBioTec, Department of Earth Sciences, NOVA School of Science and Technology, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Caparica, Portugal

2 Museu da Lourinhã, Lourinhã, Portugal

3 SNSB-Bayerische Staatssammlung für Paläontologie und Geologie, Munich, Germany

4 Aragosaurus IUCA, Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra, Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de Zaragoza. Zaragoza, España.

5 GeoBioTec, Departamento de Geociências, Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal

6 Geotransfer-IUCA, Departamento de Ciencias de la Tierra, Facultad de Ciencias. Universidad de Zaragoza. Zaragoza, España.

7 Universitatea "1 Decembrie 1918" Alba Iulia

Corresponding author: Alexandra Fernandes ae.fernandes@campus.fct.unl.pt

Abstract

Found in the Upper Jurassic outcrops of Lourinhã, Portugal, and first published in 1997, the Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch is one of Portugal's most remarkable fossils, with over one hundred eggs preserved in association with embryonic bones, of the allosauroid theropod *Lourinhanosaurus*. However, many questions about it have remained unanswered, even until the present day.

After its discovery, this extraordinary fossil became the keystone of a small local museum, greatly kickstarting regional tourism, while also holding the fossils in trust for future generations to study. More than twenty years later, continually-sustained paleontological interest from the public has even given rise to both a highly successful dinosaur theme park in the region, and an aspiring UNESCO Geopark.

Recently, a multidisciplinary team of preparators, paleontologists, sedimentologists, mineralogists, and geochemists revisited an unopened jacket from the original excavation using an array of techniques to address various questions. Studies are ongoing, but the corpus of information obtained and the methodologies utilized to gather data have offered an opportunity to design an exhibit around the history of the Paimogo clutch, highlighting the scientific methods involved, and asserting the importance of preserving geological heritage for the future, when new tools will doubtlessly become available to provide yet another new look at old fossils.

Here we describe our analytical procedures and present an innovative exhibit designed to introduce to the public the latest advances on the research behind an iconic piece of Portuguese geoheritage, increasing both its value as a research item and as an educational resource.

Keywords: embryos, Jurassic, science dissemination, paleontological heritage, Aspiring Geoparque Oeste

Declarations

Funding: This work was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia [grant number PTDC/CTA-PAL/31656/2017] and by the Spanish Ministry of Science [project number RTI2018-

093419-B-I00]. Miguel Moreno-Azanza is supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia [grant number SFRH / BPD / 113130 / 2015]. Alexandra E. Fernandes, Lope Ezquerro and Carmen Núñez-Lahuerta are the recipients of fellowships within the Project TDC/CTA-PAL/31656/2017 financed by Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia of Portugal. The research is under the GeoBioTec-GeoBioSciences, GeoTechnologies and GeoEngineering NOVA and Aveiro [GeoBioCiências, GeoTecnologias e GeoEngenharias], grant UIDB/04035/2020 by the Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia.

Conflicts of interest/Competing interests: None

Availability of data and material: Not applicable

Code availability: Not applicable

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge and thank all of those who helped during the excavations in Paimogo in the 1990's, including Vasco Ribeiro (who we also thank for the nest map), Isabel and Horácio Mateus, and José Filipe. Sincere gratitude is also extended to Carla Alexandra Tomás, chief preparator of the Museu de Lourinhã, for her generous preparation assistance and guidance, and who supervised all the interventions carried out over the fossil. Many thanks also to Mike Eklund for his generous preparation counsel, to André Saleiro for his technical assistance, to Alexandre Audigane, and to Simão Mateus at the Parque dos Dinossauros de Lourinhã for providing use of their laboratory preparation space, as well as for providing access to the specimens in their exhibition. Thank you to Ana Fontoura, Jason Brizzolara and Tracy Dante for their excellent librarian services. The authors would also like to acknowledge the use of Servicio General de Apoyo a la Investigación-SAI, Universidad de Zaragoza and the analytical equipment at the Institute for Geology, Mineralogy and Geophysics, Ruhr at the University Bochum, Germany.

This work is gratefully dedicated to the late Isabel Mateus (1950-2021), who discovered the Paimogo site and lead the first research on the specimen.

Introduction

Throughout modern history, the general public has upheld a significant interest in scientific knowledge, a curiosity which has been continually sustained by the scientific community through museums as natural history repositories for scientific exposition. The origins of Natural History collections can be traced back to the Sixteenth century, through the surge of intellectual attempts at understanding the natural world that took place within the Renaissance period, and with the first exhibit of a naturalistic collection attributed to the Neapolitan Ferrante Imperato, circa 1599 (Stendardo, 2001). This dawn of specimen and artifact collecting also came with the need to explain and order it, and so the concept of “museum” was born as a response (Findlen 2013). Throughout the eighteenth century, museums essentially evolved from private cabinets of curiosity to the dedicated public buildings we know today. While early museums acted more like research hubs for lofty scientists, ideologies began to shift in the nineteenth century, when information began to be dispensed to the masses, who were encouraged to adopt a behavioral reverence for

1 objects of study (Chicone 2013). Even today, most natural history museums have been limited by this
2 historical exhibition style, preserving that format in which the visitor observes natural pieces or scientific
3 instruments from a distance, almost as though they are in an art exhibition (Black 2005). These exhibitions
4 would have usually been accompanied by generally informative explanatory panels (which began to
5 illustrate some essential aspects of the display), but it wasn't until the twentieth century that attentions
6 began to shift more towards education, and museums began to realize that improving their displays (and
7 not necessarily collections), was the best way to engage and educate the public, especially when it came to
8 science and technology (Chicone 2013; Rader 2014). By beginning to create a dialog between exhibits and
9 their behind-the-scenes research, education was transformed.

10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Museums today are indeed less rigid than those of the past, and continue to evolve in their attitudes, becoming more welcoming and user-friendly (Black 2005). Modern exhibitions provide support for users by involving a guiding thread, or narrative, which helps the visitor to navigate the space of the exhibition, acquiring concepts and experiences along the visit (Rader 2014). In natural history museums, this narrative is normally related to general concepts, such as “evolution” or “ecological reconstructions of an ecosystem”, and paleontology-specific exhibitions traditionally focus on the narrative of fossil discoveries and their study. These exhibitions are of special interest for the dissemination of the discipline, as they show the public how the real work of the paleontologist is performed, and is indeed a far cry from pop culture icon stereotypes, such as the paleontologists in films like *Jurassic Park*, or the tomb-robbing, treasure-hunting characters of Lara Croft or Indiana Jones.

In recent decades, in likely association with the greater economic and technological resources becoming available, the research world has witnessed a considerable advancement of scientific knowledge (Şener and Saridogan 2011; Goos et al. 2019). At the same time, society has also experienced its own concurrent rise in scientific understanding, which has been evidenced in the increased demand for technical data and more detailed explanations relating to the work of researchers (Black 2005; Siune et al. 2009; Cooke et al. 2017). The general public is no longer satisfied by simple exhibitions with empty narratives, looking instead for extraordinary quantity and quality in the information that they receive from researchers. Equipping visitors with this deeper knowledge is not only a modern museum's responsibility, but also enables the public to better evaluate the critical issues of the current day (Chicone 2013). Fortunately, this public demand has gone hand-in-hand with changes in museum infrastructure regarding outreach management, resulting in new resources and spaces being innovated and created by collaborative scientific and outreach entities. As a consequence, the scientific community and society as a whole have found increased points of intersection, not only through the improvement of classical museums, but also with activities such as geoparks and geotourism (Williams et al. 2020), allowing increased opportunity in a vast array of diverse ways to share, spread, and inspire with scientific knowledge.

One particular area of recently-attempted innovation in scientific dissemination is where new out-of-the-box and often outdoor activities are linked to new outreach centers, where in places such as Geolodía, BGS Open Day, DINOpaseo por El Castellar, ExpoLourinhã, etc. (McMillan 2008; Alonso-Zarza et al. 2016; Cobos et al. 2020), geologists and scientists are able to share their time and hands-on experience through field trips and conferences with enthusiastic members of the public, establishing a kind of paleontological tourism, which not only can be an economic and social boon to a region, but also preserves

1 scientifically valuable sites and specimens (Antczak 2020). These popular activities allow for reaching
2 visitors personally in a quick and easy form, and successfully resolve any of their questions thanks to this
3 platform of direct interaction. Unfortunately, the scarce time availability and compensation of researchers
4 to be able to develop these activities often enough, the specificity of weather conditions, and the
5 discriminatory nature of participant mobility can sometimes hinder the absolute achievement of outreach
6 goals, and for most non-participants, the information transmission deficit prevails.
7

8
9 Despite these subsequent attempts at advancement, transmitting accurate information to the
10 general public about the procedures and methodologies that scientists use in executing studies still proves
11 to be one of the main challenges for scientists (Treise & Weigold 2002; Crooke et al. 2017). Details
12 regarding the formation of original hypotheses and the laborious collection of supporting data is a process
13 that remains largely unknown to the general public, since it is presented by scientists directly to scientific
14 journals (Treise & Weigold 2002; Crooke et al. 2017). Unfortunately, this key information is withheld for
15 being less sensational for general consumption, but in fact embodies the core of what we could call "the
16 kitchen of scientific work". This information lapse then, in turn, demands a leap of faith on the part of the
17 public to blindly accept the concluding data that the scientist offers as their sole understanding of the topic.
18
19

20
21 In the last three years, as a part of the XtalEggs Project, funded by the Portuguese Fundação para
22 a Ciência e Tecnologia, our team has restudied one of the most iconic fossils of Portugal: the Paimogo
23 dinosaur egg clutch, an assemblage of theropod dinosaur eggs and embryos dating back 152 million years
24 (Mateus et al. 1997). The opportunity to readdress a mostly-unaltered jacket from the original excavation of
25 1993 provided an opportunity to gather additional information on the fossil site that was not possible to
26 obtain from the original dig. Further studies and analyses carried out on the specimen have included
27 preservation and conservation analysis and intervention, paleontological analysis (paleology and
28 taphonomy), sedimentological studies (with detailed lithology characterization, granulometric and facies
29 analysis), mineralogical analysis (x-ray diffraction), geochemical work (elemental and stable isotopic
30 analysis) and paleomagnetism (ASM). Results from the different studies will be published in the coming
31 years, but the objective of the present work is to describe all the data sampling strategies used in this iconic
32 fossil specimen, and to present a new useful resource for outreach, which emerged from the conservation,
33 study, and preparation for exhibition of the Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch. The purpose of the new exhibition
34 showcase is twofold: first, to impart information about paleontological heritage in the area, and second, to
35 successfully explain the scientific method to the public, highlighting the scientific and technical work
36 behind the fossil, rather than paleontological piece itself. By adding elements of the scientific process to
37 museum exhibits and embedding details of the quest for understanding into our displays, we can heighten
38 the understanding of and empower the visitor through increased scientific literacy. Through this new
39 itinerant museum piece, we aspire to answer all of the questions that the visitor may potentially ask, and
40 impart in them a sense of what, in many cases, were the same scientific questions that we too initially asked
41 ourselves.
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57

58 **The history of Lourinhã paleontology within the context of the Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch**

59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 In the case of the Lourinhã region of western central Portugal, abundant dinosaur remains have
2 been found in the area since the mid-1800's (Lapparent & Zbyszewski, 1957). The first dinosaur discovery
3 in Iberia was made by Carlos Ribeiro (1813-1882) on June 20th, 1863, and actually took place in Porto das
4 Barcas, in the municipality of Lourinhã: two theropod dinosaur teeth (Lapparent & Zbyszewski, 1957),
5 later ascribed to *Torvosaurus gurneyi* (Hendrickx & Mateus 2014). In the late 1940's and early 1950's, the
6 Portuguese Geological Survey conducted a number of excavations that concluded with the seminal work
7 by Lapparent and Zbyszewski in 1957 (Antunes and Mateus 2003). The local renaissance in paleontology
8 that followed came by the hand and the efforts of amateur paleontologists congregated around a local non-
9 profit association, the Lourinhã Ethnology and Archeology Group (G.E.A.L.) that eventually erected a
10 small local museum, the Lourinhã Museum (Fig. 1a-1b), on July 15th, 1984, managed by the G.E.A.L. At
11 first, the main objective of the museum was more so to exhibit ethnographic and archaeological heritage,
12 but soon the paleontological material recovered from the area was so abundant that its value became more
13 prominent in promoting the geological heritage of the region. Thus, paleontological interests began to peak,
14 and the circle of regional fossil collectors began to broaden from amateurs to involving professional
15 paleontologists of the University of Lisbon and the NOVA University of Lisbon, such as António Galopim
16 de Carvalho and Miguel Telles Antunes. The latter would eventually go on to advise the first PhD thesis
17 on Lourinhã's dinosaurs, defended in 2005, by Octávio Mateus.

18 At present, paleontological research is regularly developed in Portugal through a partnership with
19 the NOVA University of Lisbon [Universidade Nova de Lisboa], and also independently organized with
20 the collaboration of paleontologists worldwide. By association, the Lourinhã Museum has now grown to
21 become a notable institution in paleontology at a global level, and has continually highlighted paleontology
22 as a significant new economic resource for the area. Even now, the Lourinhã region continues to opt for the
23 further promotion of geotourism and paleontology, with the most recent examples of this being the building
24 of a dinosaur theme park, the Dinoparque de Lourinhã, in 2017 (Fig. 1c-1d) and the Aspiring Geoparque
25 Oeste, an initiative for a new Geopark in the area.

26 To give more context on the fossil specimen in focus, one of the most famous pieces of the
27 Lourinhã museum is the "Paimogo clutch". During 1993, two members and co-founders of the G.E.A.L,
28 Isabel Mateus and Horácio Mateus, were carrying out an archaeological survey in the surroundings of the
29 Paimogo Fort, located at the NNW of Lourinhã, where Neolithic and Bronze age human remains were
30 known to be found (Silva 2012). During a survey performed on April 3rd, 1993, Isabel found some dinosaur
31 eggshells and bones on the ground surface. Dinosaur eggs and eggshells had been previously reported in
32 the area, including complete eggs in Peralta (Dantas et al. 1992), a few kilometers south, so they were able
33 to correctly evaluate the importance of the findings. The forthcoming excavations took place in 1994 (Fig.
34 2a-2b), 1995, and 1996, with primary participation from Octávio Mateus, Vasco Ribeiro and the late
35 Horácio Mateus (1950-2013). Paleontologists Pedro Dantas and later Miguel Telles Antunes had an
36 ephemeral but important presence throughout the excavations as well.

37 During the three years of the excavation, the G.E.A.L. recovered five large blocks, encased in
38 polyurethane jackets, and several other smaller blocks from the fossiliferous bed (Fig. 2c-2f). The results
39 were an exceptional accumulation of eggs and embryonic bones of theropod dinosaurs (Mateus et al. 1997,
40 1998). Altogether, the assemblage comprises more than 100 closely grouped eggs within 32 m² in the top
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 of the Praia da Amoreira-Porto Novo member (Lourinhã Fm.), corresponding to the upper Kimmeridgian
2 (Cunha et al. 2004). Dinosaur embryos are extremely rare in the fossil record, with only three reported
3 instances of pre-Cretaceous dinosaur embryos (Argentina, Upper Triassic [Cerdeira et al. 2014], South Africa,
4 Lower Jurassic [Reisz et al. 2005], and China, Lower Jurassic [Reisz et al. 2013]). Nowadays, the “Paimogo
5 clutch” still represents the most numerous egg accumulation, and the embryonic bones still represent one
6 of the oldest records of theropod perinatals worldwide.
7

8
9 The discovery was announced to the scientific community and to the public in 1997 (Mateus et al.
10 1997, 1998), resulting in vast worldwide media coverage (even considered one of the Top 100 Science
11 Stories of 1997 by *Discover* magazine [Gibbons 1998]) and a significant impact on the local community,
12 which quickly sparked interests and intentions of building a new, improved museum that could host this
13 new sensational collection. The goal took 20 years to achieve, by way of the Dinoparque de Lourinhã, a
14 joint effort between a private for-profit corporation, the public municipality, and a non-profit association.
15
16

17
18 The individual fossil blocks followed different courses of study over time, with each path
19 depending on the destiny and finality of each block. Several replicas of the smaller blocks were made for
20 exhibit throughout the different activities of the Lourinhã Museum, whereas the original fossils themselves
21 have remained stored since the nineties. Regarding the five bigger blocks, the largest one (75 x 78 x 20
22 cm), which contains the biggest number of eggs, was prepared and installed in the permanent exhibition of
23 the Museu da Lourinhã (ML; Fig. 2c), where it remained until its recent move to an exhibition at the
24 Dinoparque de Lourinhã (DPL), which currently houses a part of the G.E.A.L. collections. A second smaller
25 block (55 x 43 x 20 cm), containing 14 eggs, was prepared for an itinerant exhibition, and then encased in
26 a wooden container which acts both as a transport crate and showcase (Fig. 2d). This specimen has been
27 one of the key pieces of a traveling exhibition on Portuguese dinosaurs that has travelled to several places
28 in Portugal and throughout larger Europe. Currently, the block is in storage at the Lourinhã Museum. The
29 three other blocks also remained in storage at the museum in their original polyurethane jackets.
30
31

32
33 The first studies on these specimens were carried out by the amateur members of the G.E.A.L.
34 (Mateus et al. 1997, 1998), under the supervision of internationally recognized paleontologists, namely
35 Prof. Philippe Taquet and Prof. Miguel Telles-Antunes. These authors characterized the paleontological
36 site as a large egg accumulation comprising 80-100 *Preprismatoolithus* oosp. eggs, some of them
37 containing embryonic remains of a theropod, which can be attributed to the allosauroid *Lourinhanosaurus*
38 (Mateus and Taquet, 2001). Because no nesting traces were recognized at the time, they were interpreted
39 as clutches. The eggs were buried in a massive mudstone facies, with no appreciable differences below or
40 above the egg horizon, avoiding the recognition of sedimentary structures. Based on the large number of
41 eggs and considering mass estimations made for an adult female *Lourinhanosaurus*, a series of hypotheses
42 were formulated about the origin of this egg concentration: i) several clutches were laid by several females
43 during different times; ii) a sedimentary reworking occurred of eggs that accumulated together in a single
44 place; iii) several females were laying together under the care of one male; and iv) several females laid their
45 eggs together and each female looked after her own.
46
47

48
49 Subsequently, Cunha et al. (2004) focused only on the hypothesis that multiple females (up to six)
50 laid eggs simultaneously in a restricted area, and then left the eggs in non-actively incubated clutches. These
51 authors avoided the sedimentary reworking hypothesis, instead explaining the egg accumulation as
52
53
54
55

1 theropods' colonies during low water discharge (indicating no evidence that the nest was dug or the eggs
2 buried), and discarded the idea of the existence of a true nest structure. These authors also noted that the
3 eggs had probably been laid on a flat surface, and been moderately dragged by an overflow, despite the
4 Antunes et al. 1998 conclusion that the high-water vapor conductance found to be necessary would require
5 either a complete burial or plant covering of the eggs to avoid dehydration.
6

7 During the last three years, we decided to address the open questions on the biological and
8 geological conditions in which the "Paimogo clutch" was formed, from a holistic point of view. After
9 reanalyzing all the available data, we selected the largest remaining block for preparation and semi-
10 destructive sampling. A multiproxy study was carried out, both in the block itself, and in the original
11 outcrop of Paimogo, in order to answer a series of scientific questions (Fig. 3). It was during this process
12 of data collection that the team realized that these key questions that we were trying to answer were, in
13 essence, more elaborated versions of those raised by the several thousands of visitors that had admired the
14 "Paimogo clutch" during the last 25 years. We also realized that a significant value of the specimen lies,
15 not only in the fact that we were able to answer more of these questions, but also in being able to show the
16 public the principles and methods of the modern techniques being used to gather that information.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

26 **The new approach to the "Paimogo clutch": A summary of the vast array of scientific** 27 **methodologies used**

28 29 30 **Fossil Preparation: Deploying a strategy for safe handling and long-term preservation**

31 Fossil preparation is the process of readying and physically stabilizing fossils for research and
32 exhibition, and has a lasting impact on how fossils can be studied and interpreted (Wylie 2009). This
33 transformative process is applied to rough, field-wrapped specimens, in order to properly expose their
34 hidden features, and address their long-term preservation needs in the stable environment of a laboratory,
35 where absent factors such as weather conditions and narrow time constraints allow for controlled,
36 deliberate conservation work. Preparation work usually involves several degrees of cleaning,
37 consolidation and repair (e.g., Brown et al. 2009; Horie 2010; Davidson and Brown 2012), although the
38 techniques and materials used can vary dramatically, depending on the fragility of the fossils and the
39 composition of their surrounding matrix. Preparation treatments are therefore individually tailored to
40 address the needs of each individual fossil collection scenario (as in the case of the Paimogo clutch), and
41 ideally are always minimally-invasive and reversible, in order to preserve fossil specimens for the future,
42 in as original a state as possible.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 Because of the time period in which it was excavated (and the limited resources available at the
53 moment of extraction), coupled with the suboptimal storage conditions that the Paimogo clutch (specimen
54 number ML 565-B; Fig. 2e) withstood over the years, a number of conservation challenges readily
55 presented themselves upon assessment of the block. The original field jacket that had been created for the
56 block had been made using a hodgepodge of materials utilized to create rigidity (focusing more on stable
57 transport than long-term archivability), producing a field jacket that incorporated foreign elements into a
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 surrounding polyurethane foam encasement, including items such as street signs, ropes, composite lumber
2 scraps, metal shrapnel, cardboard advertising, etc. These objects were largely of unknown compositions.
3 The deteriorating surrounding foam, identified as expansive polyurethane (although the exact
4 composition was not able to be analyzed), had significantly degraded during storage and was now
5 pulverized, and thus, potentially harmful and even carcinogenic upon inhalation. Therefore, the entire
6 original support structure required removal and replacement. However, before this could be addressed it
7 was deemed priority to address the stabilization and preparation of the fossil itself, so that it would be
8 able to withstand the disturbance and potential trauma of breaking apart it's 20+ year-old encasing
9 structure. Therefore, a multi-layer "working jacket" (Fig. 4a) was created with burlap and plaster over the
10 entire field jacket, in order to completely encase the foam and foreign objects, assuring safe working
11 conditions meanwhile for the preparator.
12
13
14
15

16 The block had been partially prepared in the early years following its excavation, however scant
17 record remained of who exactly had worked on it, what exactly had been done, and with what materials. It
18 was evident that a number of glues had been used on the block, all in varying states of deterioration.
19 Superficial examination of the glue remains allowed some identification of them as cyanoacrylates, used
20 for labelling the eggs, and an elastic residue that was probably a white wood glue, used for filling cracks
21 and larger fractures. Additional adhesives of unknown nature had been applied over the few exposed
22 eggs. Therefore, it was deemed that solvents would be used only sparingly overall, and that a mechanical
23 means of removal of the failing adhesives would be largely relied on. When necessary, acetone-drenched
24 gauze and cotton rounds were sparingly used as a poulticing method to soften known adhesives, and
25 metal dental tools, tweezers, scalpels, and wooden dowels were used for further adhesive removal.
26 Consolidation with Paraloid B-72 in acetone (of varying concentrations) and infills of polyethylene glycol
27 were only used on the block for fracture abatement and stabilization purposes, and no new glues or
28 protective coatings were used on the eggs or eggshells themselves.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 A 15cm x 15cm grid was created on the nest surface using round corded elastic and kept in place
37 with nails inserted into the field jacket. This grid assisted not only in orienting the preparator and
38 facilitating communication about certain areas of the specimen, but also created numerical quadrants for
39 assigning loose eggshells and sediments to their original locations. The objectives to clean and further
40 expose individual egg shapes, while also performing a fine-level surface cleaning of the eggshells
41 themselves was done by basic hand tools. Preparation and cleaning were carried out quadrant by
42 quadrant, primarily using wooden dowels so as to minimize any potential damage to the eggshells, and
43 also using a variety of metal dental tools and soft-bristled brushes. Although marks from a pneumatic
44 micro-hammer could be observed on the specimen from some prior treatment, this tool was deemed too
45 violent to be used again in terms of auxiliary vibrations and subsequent air flow currents over the egg nest
46 block. Despite the eggshells adhering somewhat naturally to their surrounding matrix, this relationship
47 could quickly be compromised by any such power tools.
48
49
50
51
52
53

54 Once the egg nest block had fully undergone surface preparation and consolidation, the
55 preparator (AF) supervised and helped perform all of the sampling for the different analytical techniques
56 that were applied to the fossil, addressing any damage to the specimen (mostly, eggshell breakage) in the
57 precise moment that it occurred. The field jacket was systematically opened on its sides, with test holes
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 first created to assess the overall stability of the matrix, and then by a methodical removal of the rest of
2 the old jacket (Fig. 4b). Portions of the old jacket were detached from the nest block beginning in areas of
3 least visible stress, and continued on section by section, until finally exposing bare matrix. Consolidation
4 with a 20% concentration of Paraloid B-72 in acetone and infills of polyethylene glycol were applied to
5 abate any uncovered side fractures in the block, and any instabilities were infilled immediately as they
6 were seen. After sedimentological samples were taken, gauze soaked in Paraloid B-72 was then applied
7 to the external side surfaces of the nest block. Once dry, a fresh jacket was constructed, covering the
8 sides of the block in several layers of burlap and plaster and plugging the holes created by the
9 sedimentological sampling with plugs of burlap soaked in plaster as well (Fig. 4c). The visible dry hole
10 plugs were sanded for aesthetics for future exhibition purposes, even offering the future possibility of
11 being painted, should exhibit curators deem a more discreet look preferable. Additional remedial
12 preparation of the block was performed after all of the analytical procedures described were fully
13 carried out, to ensure the stabilization of the block and to prepare it both for archive and exhibition.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
The underside of the egg nest was a problematic area for preparation due to a thick slab of composite wood spanning the entire base, which was also encapsulated in a thick layer of polyurethane foam and a latticework of steel rebar, which made removal impossible without lifting and compromising the integrity of the entire egg nest block. Throughout preparation, the possibility of flipping the egg nest to remove this substantial base and prepare the egg nest from the opposite side was discussed. Although flipping the jacket was outside of the scope of this particular project, significant interest remains in potentially flipping the jacket for a future intervention. Therefore, for the time being, the entire foam base was encapsulated in several layers of burlap and plaster to deter further deterioration and provide for safe handling, and a distinct seam was left in the burlap, where the new nest jacket met the old jacket remnant base, in order to facilitate any future removal of the old base, while leaving the new jacket sides intact and supporting the block.

Photogrammetry and GIS to map 3D data: Digitally archiving information for the future

41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
In order to have detailed spatial control of the sampling, and to aid with restitution of possible damage caused by the aggressive sampling methods that were to be carried out, a digital replica of ML 565-B was generated using one form of computational photography, photogrammetry. Important recent evolutions have been registered for those aspects of scientific research that involve digital imaging of cultural (and natural) heritage, most of them brought together under the broader term of computational photography (Miles 2020). Computational photography can be simply defined as a set of methods and techniques that gathers the knowledge provided by a series of digital photographs, in order to create a new representation of reality that offers additional information than that provided by the source photographs on their own.

Close range digital photogrammetry has become one of the most important image-based survey techniques, especially in the metric documentation of context research (Yilmaz et al. 2007), since it provides rapid, non-contact, and highly accurate recording outputs in many potential forms: orthophotomosaics, digital surface models, interactive 3D models, etc. Recent progress in digital imaging,

1 computer hardware and software has allowed for significant developments towards fast and accurate 3D
2 photogrammetric digitization, making use of the “structure from motion” (SfM) algorithms, based on
3 subsequent digital photographs taken with a shifted location of the camera (Mallison and Wings 2014).

4 The photographic documentation of the Paimogo specimen was done following the rules
5 established by the International Committee for Architectural Photogrammetry, the so called 3X3 rules of
6 photogrammetric documentation (Waldhäusl and Ogleby 1994). A series of overlapping (more than 66%)
7 high-resolution nadiral and oblique photographs were collected in a grid pattern over the nests, using a
8 DSLR camera with a fixed 28 mm lens, manual exposure and low ISO for greater image quality (Fig. 5a).
9 The processing of the 168 high-resolution photographs was performed using Agisoft Metashape 1.6, a
10 licensed professional photogrammetry software package. As a rule, the SfM algorithm requires digital
11 images taken from different perspective points but with a high degree of overlap, in configurations adapted
12 to the surfaces being documented (Micheletti et al. 2019). For most of the surfaces of interest, the required
13 ideal overlap was achieved, with very few areas of missing data (only the hard-to-photograph deepest
14 grooves or lowest overhangs).
15
16
17
18
19
20

21 For this project, a specially coded target scale was used, with georeferencing being done using
22 local coordinates. The resulting dense point cloud contains over 52 million points, allowing for the
23 extraction of a high-resolution digital elevation model (DEM), of 0.198 mm per pixel and with an
24 extraordinary coverage of 25.6 points for each square mm, thus offering a much-improved visualization of
25 the variations encountered in the nest structure (Fig. 5b). The obtained products, the DEM and the
26 orthophotomosaic, were exported into GeoTiff format, to be further used as datasets within Global Mapper
27 19, a GIS software solution. These high-resolution outputs were used to pinpoint and track each sampling
28 location in accurate 3D local coordinates, thus providing us with a useful base map for any current and
29 future analysis. The resulting 3D model of the nest is also a complete and accurate record of the features
30 identified and an important record of the specimen’s current state of preservation. In this respect the 3D
31 model will also be used as a baseline recording, to allow further monitoring of degradations over time, by
32 comparing subsequent 3D point clouds, collected at set intervals (Díaz-Martínez et al 2018). Through the
33 use of online platforms, it can also be disseminated virtually within the scientific community (and to the
34 general public), for further interpretation and research, diminishing the risk posed to such valuable but
35 fragile specimens such as these by any further manual handling.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44

45 **Paleontological fossil sampling: “Cracking” the fossil eggs**

46 Profiting from the availability of newly-prepared exposed eggs, we checked the previous
47 interpretations of the paleoological affinity of the eggshell, confirming the attribution of the eggshell to the
48 oogenus *Preprismatoolithus* and the allosauroid affinity of the embryos. New thin sections were prepared,
49 and eggshells from different eggs were collected for scanning electron microscope analysis. In addition,
50 two samples were selected and prepared for an electron backscattered diffraction study (EBSD). EBSD
51 enables measurement of crystallography orientation with spatial control, with a resolution below the micron
52 (Prior et al. 1999). The automatization of this process allows to elaborate high-resolution maps that
53 characterize different crystallographic properties, such as crystal orientation, misorientation between
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 grains, crystal size and mineralogy. The samples need careful preparation, including high quality
2 mechanical polishing with diamond and alumina, and chemo-mechanical polishing with colloidal silica,
3 which can be difficult in eggshells (Moreno-Azanza et al. 2013, 2016; Choi et al. 2019). This study allowed
4 to identify possible recrystallizations that may alter the eggshell structure (Moreno-Azanza et al. 2016), and
5 to infer eggshell growth mechanisms (Moreno-Azanza et al. 2013; Choi et al. 2018).
6

7 Taphonomic analysis of the eggs was carried out using a digital polarizing microscope (Dino-lite
8 AM7013MZT). All individual eggs were checked for several biostratigraphic features, namely the degree
9 of the reabsorption of the mammillae, the presence of erosion or abrasion marks in the outer surface, and
10 the presence of a secondary calcite layer in the outer surface.
11

12 Embryonic material from the “Paimogo clutch” is currently under study. Techniques being applied
13 include micro-CT scanning of eggs and embryos, and Synchrotron Radiation Micro-CT Imaging. A single
14 new skeletal element, an undetermined distal part of a long bone, was also recovered during the preparation
15 of the jacket.
16
17
18
19
20

21 **Sediment sampling: Understanding the rocks**

22
23

24 Sedimentary rocks are compounded by clasts or grains of different sizes, with the amount of each
25 size represented being controlled by the energy of their transport and deposition (Brookfield 2004). In a
26 broad sense, the grain-size of siliciclastic sediments reflects the hydraulic energy of the environment:
27 coarser sediments are transported and deposited by faster flowing currents than finer sediments (Tucker
28 2003). The particles in a flow are transported in three modes: traction (rolling always in contact with the
29 surface), saltation (jumping like a ballistic movement) and suspension (floating inside the water mass),
30 which have been studied in some detail from a theoretical and mathematical viewpoint (Visher 1969; Allen
31 1982). This fact enables classification of the sediments on the basis of their grain population statistics,
32 which is useful in interpretation (e.g., median, mean, sorting, and skewness) of the former flow (Syvitski
33 1991; Selley 2000; Bookfield 2004). According to Syvitski (1991), depositional processes and
34 environments control the shape of the central portion of the sediment grain-size distribution, as given by
35 the standard deviation (SD). In this way, we can represent the sediment characteristics as a graph that
36 provides a specific “portrait” of each kind of deposit (Fig. 6).
37
38
39
40
41
42
43

44 Grain-size analyses provide quantitative information when a comparison of the character of
45 sediments deposited in a known environment is required (Nichols 2009). Curves with the same statistical
46 distribution (mean and standard deviation) are characteristic of the same sedimentary processes (Syvitski
47 1991). Beyond simple summaries, it is useful to compare sediments and attempt to explain the differences
48 as a consequence of distinct sedimentary events (McLane 1995). The joint representation of grain-sized
49 curves should indicate a grouping of the sediments deposited under the same energy conditions, and
50 differing groups related with small variations in the flux of energy during sedimentary events (Fig. 6).
51
52
53
54

55 The grain size of conglomerates and breccias (coarser grain-sized rocks) can be measured
56 accurately in the field with a tape measure, and sands and silts (medium-sized sediments) can be studied
57 adequately with a sieve-pipette or optical microscope, whereas fine silts and muds (finer materials) can
58 only be analyzed with laser diffractometry. Laser grain size analysis consists of measuring the angle of the
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 diffracted light when a particle cuts a ray, with the angle increasing with decreasing particle size (Syvitski
2 1991; Beuselinck 1998). The sediments have to be disaggregated in a liquid to isolate the individual grains
3 and be put into a test tube. While the liquid flows through the glass cell a laser targets the sample, diffracting
4 in an angle that can be correlated with grain size.
5

6 In this study, considering the dimensions and the homogeneous lithology of the Paimogo outcrop,
7 the grain-size distribution allows to differentiate several stratigraphic levels as either belonging to the same
8 sedimentary episode or not. Since most of the blocks and field outcrops show unclear sedimentary limits,
9 establishing a nesting structure or a sedimentary accumulation of eggs is very complex. Thus, we used
10 grain-size analyses in order to better characterize the energy and timing of the sedimentation. The sampling
11 was based on small broken, scraped and cut rock fragments (1 g in weight) which were extracted using
12 hammers, chisels and rotatory saws (Fig. 6). The protocol was focused on obtaining several samples of
13 different heights and spatial positions, resulting in a total of 41 samples that cover 7 vertical profiles with
14 5 samples on average per profile. The particle size distribution of samples was determined using a Beckman
15 Coulter LS 13 32085 laser-diffraction particle size analyzer in the IPE-CSIC laboratory.
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 **Geochemical and mineralogy sampling: the signature of life and fossilization**

23
24
25

26 Despite an apparent fragility, dinosaur eggshells are composed of ca. 95% CaCO₃, accounting for
27 a high-preservation potential of these materials. The chemical signature in non-altered calcium carbonate
28 eggshell thus offers useful paleoenvironmental and palaeobiological information. In the last decades,
29 geochemical analysis of dinosaur eggshells has seen significant advances due to higher technical capability
30 allied with increased scientific and public interest in this rare and exciting paleoenvironmental archive
31 (Cojan et al. 2003; Bojar et al. 2005, 2010; Montanari et al. 2013; Riera et al. 2013; Amiot et al. 2017; Graf
32 et al. 2018; Montanari 2018; He et al. 2019).
33
34
35

36 Among the most widely used geochemical proxies in eggshell research, carbon and oxygen
37 isotopic compositions have successfully informed on ingested organic materials (e.g., vegetation types,
38 diet), drinking water (temperature, evaporation) and atmospheric carbon dioxide content (Zhao and Yan
39 2000; Kim et al. 2009; Montanari et al. 2013; Riera et al. 2013, Amiot et al. 2017). Complementarily,
40 because trace elements are essential nutrients for vertebrates, the relative abundance of major and trace
41 elements in eggshells can also be used to reconstruct main food and water sources, further used as proxies
42 for dinosaur extinction, paleoenvironment and paleoclimate (Weidong et al. 1996; Montanari et al. 2013).
43 Other factors influencing the isotopic characteristics of shells, such as body temperature and species-
44 specific vital isotopic fractionation, must also be considered.
45
46
47
48
49

50 From above, it is clear that dinosaur eggshells encompass an undeniable potential. But to ensure
51 that only the best-preserved materials are used during paleoenvironmental research, diagenetic alteration
52 over time has to be confidently ruled out. Ideally, geochemical signals obtained from eggshells should be
53 compared to samples taken from other neighboring materials, namely, encasing matrix, carbonate nodules
54 and/or later diagenetic cements (veinlet infill). This is because non-biogenic carbonates, just as pedogenic
55 carbonates, record the environmental conditions during their formation (Kim et al. 2009; Riera et al. 2013)
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 and encasing matrix is highly prone to diagenetic alteration. Therefore, these alternative materials can be
2 used to perform contrast comparisons of geochemical signatures.

3 In the case of the Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch, powder samples were drilled using a hand drill
4 with 1mm diamond drill bits (Fig. 7). The outer layer of the selected eggshells was discarded, and drilling
5 was visually controlled to minimize contamination from other sources (matrix, glue coating, dust).
6 Analyzed materials included eggshell material from each identified egg, making sure inter and intra-egg
7 variability was tested, as well as encasing terrigenous matrix and carbonate nodules. Stable isotope
8 composition (carbon and oxygen) and elemental concentrations of Ca, Mg, Sr, Fe and Mn (mass
9 spectrometry and ICP-AES, respectively) were analyzed. Diagenetic influence was accessed via
10 geochemical screening. Overall, eggshell samples plotted within a very narrow range of values when
11 compared to other types of samples showing a clear diagenetic signature. This suggests a high-preservation
12 of the eggshell materials. Carbonate nodule values coincide with widely reported ranges from other studies,
13 showing considerably different values when compared to eggshell samples. A closer overview revealed
14 only a slight degree of alteration for a small number of eggshell samples, with conspicuous higher Mn and
15 Fe abundance. These were discarded and only best-preserved samples were considered for further
16 paleoenvironmental interpretations.

17 The study of both facies and clay mineralogy in sedimentary successions is of great interest in
18 allowing paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental conditions to be inferred (Velde 1995; Thiry 2000). In
19 Mesozoic and Cenozoic sequences of continental materials, which include paleosol developments, the
20 variations in clay mineral assemblages have been widely used as paleoclimatic and paleoenvironmental
21 proxies (Do Campo et al. 2010, 2018; Bauluz et al. 2014; and references therein). Notably, paleosols are
22 formed in direct contact with the atmosphere, and thus the clay minerals that formed during that
23 development can be correlated with climatic and paleoenvironmental factors such as temperature, water
24 availability during pedogenesis, and vegetation cover, which controls chemical weathering (Varela et al.
25 2018). Vertisols are a specific type of paleosol characterized by the presence of expansive clay minerals,
26 and have been reported to have a role in the post-sedimentary modification of nesting grounds (Jackson et
27 al. 2013), so a clay mineral study is key to characterize any egg accumulation.

28 In this work, 28 small clay-rock samples (1g) were taken along the grid of ML656-B. We used X-
29 ray diffraction and optical and electron microscopy to undertake a mineralogical and textural
30 characterization of the clay-rich matrix: i) to characterize sedimentary (*in-situ* vs. detrital) and diagenetic
31 minerals; ii) to establish the variation of *in-situ* clay mineral assemblages and the paleoenvironmental
32 conditions under which they were formed; iii) to characterize the formation conditions, in particular
33 temperature/humidity; and iv) to rule out the presence of expansive clay minerals that may have altered the
34 original distribution of the eggs within the assemblage.

35 **Magnetic susceptibility study: Revealing the hidden signal**

36 The Anisotropy of Magnetic Susceptibility (AMS) is a physical property of rocks which is based
37 on characterizing the intrinsic magnetic susceptibility and degree of preferred orientation of the individual
38 magnetic minerals (Chadima et al. 2006). The magnetic minerals can be classified into three main groups
39

1 (Tarling and Hrouda 1993), depending on their behavior under an external magnetic field, as diamagnetic
2 (negative susceptibility), paramagnetic (positive susceptibility) and ferromagnetic (higher positive
3 susceptibility and remnant magnetization after withdrawing an external field). The ASM technique is based
4 on the measurement of the directional variations of susceptibility in a standard volume of rock when a weak
5 magnetic field ($\leq 1\eta\text{T}$) is applied in different directions (Rees et al. 1982; Rochette et al. 1992; Borradaile
6 and Henry 1997; Cifelli et al. 2009). Thus, we can express this physical parameter as an ellipsoid whose
7 principal axes represent the three principal susceptibilities' axes, resulting from the sum of the whole of the
8 magnetic minerals in a rock ($K1_{(\text{max})} \geq K2_{(\text{int})} \geq K3_{(\text{min})}$; Baas et al. 2007). Thus, the magnitude ellipsoid
9 represents the magnetic grain's preferred orientation in the sample and the strength of the preferred
10 alignment (Park et al. 2013).

11
12
13
14
15 AMS determines susceptibility distribution, which can be used as a nearly nondestructive method
16 to determine sedimentary grain orientation (Fig. 8a-8b), since only small drillings are needed to extract
17 rock samples. This technique allows us to discriminate any sedimentary reworking or deformational
18 processes which may affect the sediments. When deposition occurs in standing water, the magnetic fabric
19 is planar, characterized by gravity-induced settling with minimum susceptibility axes of magnetic grains
20 oriented perpendicular to the depositional plane, within which maximum and intermediate susceptibility
21 axes are uniformly dispersed. The magnetic fabric of sediments deposited under the action of flowing water
22 is typified by a current-oriented magnetic foliation plane (Ellwood 1980; Lowrie and Hirt 1987; Schwehr
23 et al. 2007; Pueyo-Anchuela et al. 2012; Novak et al. 2014; Felletti et al. 2016, see Fig. 8b). Syndepositional
24 or early post-depositional soft-sediment deformation (load cast, clastic dykes, slump, etc.) and the
25 modifying effect of organisms (footprints, burrowing...) are usually considered to be shaping processes of
26 primary fabrics, although it is important to realize that these processes may significantly alter the original
27 sedimentary fabric, resulting in oriented or disrupted fabrics (Hiscott and Middleton 1980).

28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35 The mudstone lithology of the Paimogo clutch is ideal for these kinds of studies due to its great
36 richness in phyllosilicates, which, in general, are paramagnetic and ferromagnetic minerals. Thus, we used
37 an AMS analysis to perform a better characterization of the egg accumulation and distribution within the
38 Paimogo clutch, through understanding the origin and history of the sediments. The block was sampled in
39 order to analyze the spatial magnetic fabric variations that could be related with sedimentary or biological
40 processes. To develop these kinds of studies, standard-sized specimens are necessary (rock cylinders of 2.2
41 cm-height and 2.5 cm in diameter), and the longest possible cores are always drilled. Then, the cores are
42 cut in the laboratory to obtain the maximum number of standard specimens, allowing more measurements
43 and more accurate data.

44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

reference the samples (Fig. 8a). Finally, the magnetic susceptibility was measured with a KLY-3S susceptometer in the University of Zaragoza.

Results: Exhibit design

Once the vastness of the applied scientific methodologies used was fully realized, it was immediately expounded upon by its great potential for public education and opportunity for the dissemination of collaborative science. Therefore, a strategy was made to showcase and best communicate all of the intricacies of the various processes to the general public in a dynamic way. Although all of the above methodologies have been previously applied to fossil specimens, some of them (AMS, extensive, semi-destructive egg-by-egg geochemical sampling) were used here for the first time on fossil eggs. Thus, the main novelty of this project was the more holistic approach to the specimen, showcasing paleontology as a discipline which lays in the intersection of geology and biology (among other sciences), and requiring the analytical inputs common to both disciplines. The Paimogo egg clutch represents a recognizable, iconic fossil, with an important link to the history of paleontology in Portugal, and the resulting newly-prepared specimen also shows an objective aesthetic value, standing as an exemplary museum piece worthy of exhibition. Therefore, it offered the opportunity to transfer new knowledge to the public, both showcasing the peculiarities and fossil history of the specimen itself, and perhaps more interestingly, the methods used to reveal that history.

As the exhibition of the Museu da Lourinhã is currently split between two exhibition venues –the ML itself, and the exhibition hall at the DPL– and furthermore, with the ongoing momentum of the Aspiring Geoparque Oeste project, portable exhibits are highly in need to demonstrate the geological heritage of the area within the limits of the future Geopark, and outside that area as a marketing resource. Thus, the requirements of the exhibit were as follows: i) a small, versatile, modular exhibition was preferred; ii) the newly-prepared specimen should be the central piece of the exhibition; iii) it had to work both as a standalone exhibition, and as a part of a larger exhibit, in coherence with other specimens of the same assemblage that are currently on exhibit at the DPL.

With these concepts in mind, an itinerant exhibition was designed with the narrative “how many questions can a fossil answer?”. The exhibit comprises a showcase that contains the specimen itself (protected by glass) with four interpretative panels on its sides, and four double-sided movable surrounding walls that provide space for additional interpretative elements (Fig. 9). In its standard display mode, the exhibition is organized with the showcase in the middle, and the four panels facing each side of the showcase, with a 2.5-meter gap in between. In this format, and assuming a 1.5-meter space between the exhibition panels and the walls of the exhibition hall itself, the exhibition would occupy a total space of 100 m², which could alternately be reduced up to 60 m², if needed.

On the external face of each of the four moveable surrounding walls, general information about the Paimogo clutch and the exhibit is provided: one wall contains the title of the exhibit and a brief summary that acts as an invitation to enter the space, together with the exhibition credits; the second wall contains the history of the Paimogo clutch and gives context on the importance of the fossil. The third external wall

1 introduces the fundamentals of the scientific method, and how hypotheses are tested; and the final wall
2 includes assorted pictures and explanations of the general preparation procedure.

3 Once inside the exhibit space, each side of the central showcase corresponds to one of the
4 surrounding interior sides of the exterior walls, both spatially and in content. Each interior side of the
5 moveable surrounding walls introduces a scientific discipline and methodology, and the corresponding
6 lateral panel of the showcase explains how this method was specifically used in the case of the Paimogo
7 clutch, and what we learned using that approach. These four sets of surrounding walls with their
8 corresponding sides of the showcase describe: i) the Paleontology of the clutch, focusing on the eggs, eggshell
9 and embryos; ii) the magnetic properties of minerals, focusing on AMS and describing the 3D spatial
10 arrangement of the eggs; iii) Geochemistry, and the role of isotopes on inferring paleodiets,
11 paleotemperatures, and motherhood of the eggs; vi) the final preparation of the clutch, describing the last
12 steps to leave the fossil preserved and archived, ready for its future storage and potential study going
13 forward. Information is organized in this manner so that a visitor is not necessarily forced to experience the
14 display in a specific order, but rather to encourage visitors to select for themselves the section that they are
15 entering, and immerse themselves in the individual scientific methodologies without missing any
16 information.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The walls and showcase of the exhibit are constructed with materials that make any necessary
25 replacement of information easy, as the individual elements are all sized to fit the general design of the
26 exhibits of the ML and the DPL and can endure extensive transport. The basic template for the exhibit was
27 also built with the flexibility of potential further improvements to the exhibit in mind, including the
28 possibility of adding interactive elements such as gamified displays and interactive screens. The 3D models
29 created and made available through this study could also provide the potential opportunity to recreate the
30 exhibition online, using the web pages of the institutions involved to allow for a virtual visit to this iconic
31 specimen, or even have published models within the layout of an interactive webpage from well-established
32 online visualization platforms, using customized embedding tools. These platforms offer further means for
33 visualization to the public via mobile apps, including VR and AR, with powerful online tools for precise
34 measurements and high-resolution screenshot exports, to mention just a few further options.
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 Providing digital content is particularly relevant during times of unforeseen museum closings,
42 which highly impact in-person museum attendance. Online access would allow unobstructed museological
43 interaction to a much wider, international audience, also accommodating difficult circumstances like those
44 created by situations such as global pandemics (Pennisi 2020). These digital initiatives aim to potentially
45 bring more visitors to the museum overall, at the same time as they increase its accessibility.
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 **Conclusion**

53
54
55 The Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch still remains one of the most important and iconic fossils of
56 Portugal since its discovery, and keeps continually providing new valuable scientific information, even
57 more than twenty years after its collection. There was a time where paleontological studies were merely
58 descriptive, and the amount of information retrieved was considerable for such a superficial approach,
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 however as the range of tools available for modern paleontologists keeps growing exponentially, and new
2 interdisciplinary methodologies develop, it becomes essential to bring new perspectives to scientific
3 questions, old and new. Public curiosity and consumption often operate in tandem with these advances,
4 and so new museographical resources must be innovated, to keep the museum visitor experience engaging,
5 and also add new value to already-precious specimens. The new exhibition resource designed here
6 accomplishes just this, taking a beloved Portuguese specimen, and representing it anew as an itinerant
7 exhibit that introduces the new scientific methods used in paleontology, and presents paleontological
8 research as the crossroads of multiple disciplines that it has become today.
9

10
11
12 The holistic approach used here in the study of one mostly-unaltered specimen from the original
13 Paimogo egg assemblage, together with a new analysis of the original locality, has provided a large source
14 of information that will be studied in the forthcoming years. The “Paimogo clutch” is a paradigmatic case
15 of the importance of preserving unaltered, unprepared samples of every paleontological intervention, in
16 order to save information that can be gathered later as the field develops further. With this in mind, an
17 additional large specimen remains stored in the collections of the Museu da Lourinhã, to be revisited in the
18 next decades and indubitably answer more questions to satisfy the evolving curiosity of many future
19 publics.
20
21
22
23

24 The Museu da Lourinhã, and especially its paleontological collection, started as the initiative of
25 amateur collectors to protect and catalog the astonishing paleontological heritage of a small locality. In the
26 last 30 years, scientific knowledge emerging from the ML collections has exponentially grown, improving
27 the exhibitions of both ML and PDL. Resources that educate the public on how this knowledge is acquired
28 increase the didactic value of the exhibits and help to promote a more accurate role model of the
29 paleontologists, outside of classical, less-desirable stereotypes.
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Reference List

- 1
2 Allen JRL (1982) Sedimentary structures: Their character and physical basis. Elsevier, New York
3
4
5 Alonso-Zarza AM, Aurell M, Carcavilla L, Corral I, Crespo-Blanc A (2016) Geología!. Geoscientist May
6 2016: 17-19
7
8
9 Amiot R, Wang X, Wang S, Lécuyer C, Mazin, J- M, Mo J, Flandrois J- P, Fourel F, Wang X, Xu X,
10 Zhang Z, Zhou Z (2017) $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ - derived incubation temperatures of oviraptorosaur eggs. Palaeontology
11 60: 633-647
12
13
14
15 Antczak M (2020) Are fossils enough? Palaeontological tourism based on local dinosaur discoveries.
16 Geography and Tourism 8(2): 15-27
17
18
19
20 Antunes MT, Taquet P, Ribeiro V (1998) Upper Jurassic dinosaur and crocodile eggs from Paimogo
21 nesting site (Lourinhã-Portugal). Memórias da Academia de Ciências de Lisboa 37: 83-100
22
23
24 Antunes MT, Mateus O (2003) Dinosaurs of Portugal. Comptes Rendus Palevol 2(1): 77-95
25
26
27
28 Baas JH, Hailwood EA, McCaffrey WD, Kay M, Jones R (2007) Directional petrological characterisation
29 of deep-marine sandstones using grain fabric and permeability anisotropy: Methodologies, theory,
30 application and suggestions for integration. Earth-Science Review 82: 101-142
31
32
33
34 Bauluz B, Yuste A, Mayayo MJ, Canudo JI (2014) Early kaolinization of detrital Weald facies in the
35 Galve sub-basin (Central Iberian Chain, north-east Spain) and its relationship to palaeoclimate.
36 Cretaceous Research 50: 214-227
37
38
39
40 Beuselinck L, Govers G, Poesen J, Degraer G, Froyen F (1998) Grain-size analysis by laser
41 diffractometry: Comparison with the sieve-pipette method. Catena 32: 193-208
42
43
44
45 Black G (2005) The engaging museum: Developing museums for visitor involvement. Taylor & Francis,
46 London
47
48
49 Bojar A-V, Csiki-Sava Z, Grigorescu D (2010) Stable isotope distribution in Maastrichtian vertebrates
50 and paleosols from the Hateg Basin, South Carpathians. Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,
51 Palaeoecology 293: 329-342
52
53
54
55 Bojar A-V, Grigorescu D, Ottner F, Csiki-Sava Z (2005) Palaeoenvironmental interpretation of dinosaur-
56 and mammal-bearing continental Maastrichtian deposits, Hateg basin, Romania. Geological Quarterly 49:
57 205-222
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Borradaile GJ, Henry B (1997) Tectonic applications of magnetic susceptibility and its anisotropy. *Earth -*
2 *Science Reviews* 42: 49-93
3

4 Brookfield ME (2004) *Principles of stratigraphy*. Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford
5
6

7 Brown MA, Kane JF, Parker WG, eds. (2009) *Methods in Preparation: Proceedings of the First Annual*
8 *Fossil Preparation and Collections Symposium; April 2008; Petrified Forest*
9

10
11
12 Cerda IA, Pol D, Chinsamy A (2014) Osteohistological insight into the early stages of growth in
13 *Mussaurus patagonicus* (Dinosauria, Sauropodomorpha). *Historical Biology* 26(1): 110-121
14
15

16
17 Chadima M, Pruner P, Šlechta S, Grygar T, Hirt AM (2006) Magnetic fabric variations in Mesozoic black
18 shales, northern Siberia, Russia: Possible paleomagnetic implications. *Tectonophysics* 418: 145-162
19
20

21
22 Choi S, Han S, Kim N-H, Lee Y-N (2018) A comparative study of eggshells of Gekkota with
23 morphological, chemical compositional and crystallographic approaches and its evolutionary
24 implications. *PLOS ONE* 13: 1-31. e0199496. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0199496>
25
26

27
28 Choi S, Han S, Lee Y-N (2019) Electron backscatter diffraction (EBSD) analysis of maniraptoran
29 eggshells with important implications for microstructural and taphonomic interpretations. *Palaeontology*
30 62: 777–803. <https://doi.org/10.1111/pala.12427>
31
32

33
34 Chicone SJ, Kissel RA (2013) *Dinosaurs and dioramas: Creating natural history exhibitions*. Left Coast
35 Press, Walnut Creek
36
37

38
39 Cifelli F, Mattei M, Chadima M, Lenser S, Hirt AM (2009) The magnetic fabric in “undeformed clays”:
40 AMS and neutron texture analyses from the Rif Chain (Morocco). *Tectonophysics* 466: 79-88
41
42

43
44 Cobos A, Alcalá L, Royo-Torres R (2020) The dinosaur route in El Castellar (Teruel, Spain):
45 Palaeontology as a factor of territorial development and scientific education in a sparsely inhabited area.
46 *Geoheritage* 12: 52. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-020-00474-3>
47
48

49
50 Cojan I, Renard M, Emmanuel L (2003) Palaeoenvironmental reconstruction of dinosaur nesting sites
51 based on a geochemical approach to eggshells and associated palaeosols (Maastrichtian, Provence Basin,
52 France). *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 191: 111–138
53
54

55
56 Cooke SJ, Gallagher AJ, Sopinka NM, Nguyen VM, Skubel RA, Hammerschlag N, Boon S, Young N,
57 Danylchuk AJ (2017) Considerations for effective science communication. *Facets* 2(1): 233-248
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Cunha PP, Mateus O, Antunes MT. The sedimentology of the Paimogo dinosaur nest site (Portugal,
2 Upper Jurassic). In: Pena dos Reis R, Callapez P, Dinis P, eds. Abstracts Book: 23rd IAS Meeting of
3 Sedimentology; 2004 September 15-17; Coimbra. Coimbra: Imprensa de Coimbra, Lda; 2004. p. 93
4

5 Dantes PM, Moratella JJ, Hirsch KF, Santos VF. Mesozoic Reptile eggs from Portugal, new data. Second
6 Georges Cuvier Symposium, Abstracts. Montbeliard, France. 1992.
7
8
9

10 Davidson A, Brown GW (2012) Paraloid™ B-72: Practical Tips for the Vertebrate Fossil Preparator.
11 Collection Forum 26(1-2): 99-119
12

13 Díaz-Martínez I, Citton P, de Valais S, García-Ortiz E (2018) La fotogrametría se convierte en una
14 poderosa herramienta para la conservación y difusión del patrimonio paleontológico. Revista PH 94: 20-
15 22.
16
17
18
19
20

21 Do Campo M, del Papa C, Nieto F, Hongn F, Petrinovic I (2010) Integrated analysis for constraining
22 palaeoclimatic and volcanic influences on clay-mineral assemblages in orogenic basins (Palaeogene
23 Andean foreland, Northwestern Argentina). Sedimentary Geology 228: 98- 112
24
25
26

27 Do Campo M, Bauluz B, del Papa C, White T, Yuste A, Mayayo MJ (2018) Evidence of cyclic climatic
28 changes recorded in clay mineral assemblages from a continental Paleocene-Eocene sequence,
29 northwestern Argentina Sedimentary Geology 368: 44-57
30
31
32

33 Ellwood BB, Whitney JA (1980) Magnetic fabric of the Elberton granite, Northeast Georgia. Journal of
34 Geophysical Research Solid Earth 85: 1481-1486
35
36
37

38 Felletti F, Dall'Olio E, Muttoni G (2016) Determining flow directions in turbidites: An integrated
39 sedimentological and magnetic fabric study of the Miocene Marnoso Arenacea Formation (northern
40 Apennines, Italy). Sedimentary Geology 335: 197-215
41
42
43

44 Findlen P (2003) The museum: Its classical etymology and Renaissance genealogy. In: Carbonell BM
45 (ed) Museum Studies: An Anthology of Contexts, 2nd edn. Blackwell Publishing, London, pp. 23-45
46
47
48

49 Francischini H, Fernandes MA, Kunzler J, Rodrigues R, Leonardi G, Carvalho IS (2020) The
50 ichnological record of Araraquara sidewalks: History, conservation, and perspectives from this urban
51 paleontological heritage of southeastern Brazil. Geoh heritage 12: 50. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-020-00472-5>
52
53
54
55

56 Gibbons A. Theropod in progress. Discover. 1998 January;19(1):50
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Goos M, Arntz M, Zierahn U, Gregory T, Carretero Gómez S, González Vázquez I, Jonkers K. The
2 impact of technological innovation on the future of work [Internet]. Seville: European Commission, 2019
3 March [cited 2021 January 9]. 48 p. Available from:
4 <https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/sites/jrcsh/files/jrc117212.pdf>
5
6

7 Graf J, Tabor NJ, Ferguson K, Winkler DA, Lee Y-N, May S, Jacobs LL (2018) Diagenesis of dinosaur
8 eggshell from the Gobi Desert, Mongolia. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 494: 65–
9 74
10

11
12
13 He Q, Jiang Q, Xing L, Zhang S, Pang W, Hu H, Lu S, Yin Q (2019) Geochemical characteristics of
14 newly discovered Elongatoolithidae eggs from the Upper Cretaceous of Jiangxi Province, southern China:
15 Palaeoenvironmental and palaeoclimatic inferences. *Cretaceous Research* 99: 352-364
16
17
18

19 Hendrickx C, Mateus O (2014) *Torvosaurus gurneyi* n. sp., the largest terrestrial predator from Europe,
20 and a proposed terminology of the maxilla anatomy in nonavian theropods. *PLoS One* 9(3): 1-25.
21
22 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0088905>
23
24

25
26 Hiscott RN, Middleton GV (1980) Fabric of coarse deep-water sandstones, Tourelle Formation, Quebec,
27 Canada. *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology* 50: 703-722
28
29

30 Horie V (2010) *Materials for Conservation, Second Edition: Organic consolidants, adhesives and*
31 *coatings*. Butterworth-Heinemann, London and New York
32
33

34
35 Jackson FD, Schmitt JG, Oser SE (2013) Influence of vertisol development on sauropod egg taphonomy
36 and distribution at the Auca Mahuevo locality, Patagonia, Argentina. *Palaeogeography,*
37 *Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 386: 300-307. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.05.031>
38
39

40
41 Kim C-B, Al-Aasm IS, Ghazban F, Chang H-W (2009) Stable isotopic composition of dinosaur eggshells
42 and pedogenic carbonates in the upper Cretaceous Seonso Formation, South Korea: Paleoenvironmental
43 and diagenetic implications. *Cretaceous Research* 30: 93–99
44
45
46

47 Lapparent AF, Zbyszewski G (1957) *Les dinosauriens du Portugal*. Services Géologiques du Portugal,
48 *Mémoire n.2*, Lisbon
49
50

51
52 Lowrie W, Hirt AM (1987) Anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility in the Scaglia Rossa pelagic limestone.
53 *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 82: 349-356
54
55

56
57 Mallison H, Wings O (2014) Photogrammetry in paleontology – a practical guide. *Journal of*
58 *Paleontological Techniques* 12: 1-31
59
60

1 Mateus O (2005) Dinossauros do Jurássico Superior de Portugal, com destaque para os saurísquios.
2 Dissertation, Universidade Nova de Lisboa
3

4 Mateus O, Antunes MT, Taquet P (2001) Dinosaur ontogeny: the case of *Lourinhanosaurus* (Late
5 Jurassic, Portugal). *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 21 (supplement to 3): 78A
6
7

8 Mateus I, Mateus H, Antunes MT, Mateus O, Taquet P, Ribeiro V, Manuppella G (1997) Couvée, oeufs
9 et embryons d'un Dinosaur Thérope du Jurassique superieur de Lourinhã (Portugal). *Comptes Rendus*
10 *de l'Académie des Sciences - Series IIA - Earth and Planetary Science* 325: 71-78
11
12
13

14 Mateus I, Mateus H, Antunes MT, Mateus O, Taquet P, Ribeiro V, Manuppella G. (1998) Upper Jurassic
15 theropod dinosaur embryos from Lourinhã (Portugal). *Memórias da Academia de Ciências de Lisboa* 37:
16 101-109
17
18
19
20

21 McLane P (1995) *Sedimentology*. Oxford University Press, New York
22
23

24 McMillan AA (2008) The role of the British Geological Survey in the history of geoconservation. In:
25 Burek CV, Prosser CD (eds) *The History of Geoconservation*, Vol. 300. Geological Society Special
26 Publications, London, pp 103–112
27
28
29

30 Micheletti N, Chandler JH, Lane SN (2015) Structure from motion (SfM) photogrammetry. In: Cook SJ,
31 Clarke LE, Nield JM (eds) *Geomorphological Techniques*, Online edition. British Society for
32 Geomorphology, London: 2015, pp 1-12
33
34
35

36 Miles J (2020) Computational Photography. In: López-Varela SL (ed) *The Encyclopedia of*
37 *Archaeological Sciences*. Wiley Online Library, pp 1-5
38
39
40

41 Montanari S (2018) Cracking the egg: The use of modern and fossil eggs for ecological, environmental
42 and biological interpretation. *Royal Society Open Science* 5: 1-14
43
44

45 Montanari S, Higgins P, Norell MA (2013) Dinosaur eggshell and tooth enamel geochemistry as an
46 indicator of Mongolian Late Cretaceous paleoenvironments. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology,*
47 *Palaeoecology* 370: 158-166
48
49
50

51 Moreno-Azanza M, Bauluz B, Canudo JI, Gasca JM, Torcida Fernández-Baldor F (2016) Combined use
52 of electron and light microscopy techniques reveals false secondary shell units in Megaloolithidae
53 eggshells. *PLOS ONE* 11: 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0153026>
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Moreno-Azanza M, Mariani E, Bauluz B, Canudo JI (2013) Growth mechanisms in dinosaur eggshells:
2 an insight from electron backscatter diffraction. *Journal of Vertebrate Paleontology* 33: 121–130.
3 <https://doi.org/10.1080/02724634.2012.710284>
4

5 Nichols G (2009) *Sedimentology and Stratigraphy*, 2nd Edition. Wiley-Blackwell, New-York
6

7
8 Novak B, Housen B, Kitamura Y, Kanamatsu T, Kawamura K (2014) Magnetic fabric analyses as a
9 method for determining sediment transport and deposition in deep sea sediments. *Marine Geology* 356:
10 19-30
11
12

13
14 Park ME, Cho H, Son M, Sohn YK (2013) Depositional processes, paleoflow patterns, and evolution of a
15 Miocene gravelly fan-delta system in SE Korea a constrained by anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility
16 analysis of interbedded mudrocks. *Marine and Petroleum Geology* 48: 206-223
17
18
19

20 Pennisi E (2020) Shuttered natural history museums fight for survival. *Science* 368(6495): 1042-1043
21
22

23 Polck MAdR, de Medeiros MAM, de Araújo-Júnior HI (2020) Geodiversity in urban cultural spaces of
24 Rio de Janeiro city: Revealing the geoscientific knowledge with emphasis on the fossil content.
25 *Geoheritage* 12: 47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-020-00470-7>
26
27
28

29 Prior DJ, Boyle AP, Brenker F, Cheadle MC, Day A, Lopez G, Peruzzi L, Potts G, Reddy S, Spiess R,
30 Timms NE, Trimby P, Wheeler J, Zetterstrom L (1999) The application of electron backscatter diffraction
31 and orientation contrast imaging in the SEM to textural problems in rocks. *American Mineralogist* 84(11-
32 12): 1741-1759
33
34
35
36

37 Pueyo-Anchuela O, Ramajo JC, Gil-Imaz A, Meléndes G (2012) Analysis of anisotropy of magnetic
38 susceptibility in iron-oolitic beds: A potential tool for paleocurrent identification. *International Journal of*
39 *Earth Sciences (Geologische Rundschau)* 102: 1131-1149
40
41
42

43 Rader KA, Cain VEM (2014) *Life on display: Revolutionizing U.S. museums of science and natural*
44 *history in the twentieth century*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago
45
46

47 Rees AI, Brown CM, Hailwood EA, Ridby PJ (1982) Magnetic fabric of bioturbated sediment from the
48 northern Rockall Trough: Comparison with modern currents. *Marine Geology* 46: 161-173
49
50
51

52 Reisz RR, Scott D, Sues HD, Evans DC, Raath MA (2005) Embryos of an Early Jurassic prosauropod
53 dinosaur and their evolutionary significance. *Science* 309(5735): 761-764
54
55
56

57 Reisz RR, Huang TD, Roberts EM, Peng S, Sullivan C, Stein K, LeBlanc ARH, Shieh D, Chang R,
58 Chiang C, Yang C, Zhong S (2013) Embryology of Early Jurassic dinosaur from China with evidence of
59 preserved organic remains. *Nature* 496(7444): 210-214
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Riera V, Anadón P, Oms O, Estrada R, Maestro E (2013) Dinosaur eggshell isotope geochemistry as tools
2 of palaeoenvironmental reconstruction for the Upper Cretaceous from the Tremp Formation (Southern
3 Pyrenees). *Sedimentary Geology* 294: 356-370
4
5
6
7 Rochette P, Jackson M, Aubourg C (1992) Rock magnetism and the interpretation of anisotropy of
8 magnetic susceptibility. *Reviews of Geophysics* 30: 209-226
9
10
11
12 Schwehr K, Driscoll N, Tauxe L (2007) Origin of continental margin morphology: Submarine-slide or
13 downslope current-controlled bedforms, a rock magnetic approach. *Marine Geology* 240: 19-41
14
15
16
17 Selley RC (2000) *Applied sedimentology*. Academic Press, London
18
19
20 Şener S, Sarıdoğan E. The effects of science-technology-innovation on competitiveness and economic
21 growth. In: Özşahin M, ed. *The Proceedings of 7th International Strategic Management Conference:*
22 *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*: 2011 June 30-July 2; Paris. Elsevier; 2011. Available from:
23 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1877042811016557>
24
25
26
27 Silva AM (2012) *Antropologia Funerária e Paleobiologia das Populações Portuguesas (Litorais) do*
28 *Neolítico Final/Calcolítico*. Dissertation, Universidade de Coimbra
29
30
31
32 Siune K, Marcus E, Calloni M, Felt U, Gorski A, Grunwald A, Rip A, de Semir W, Wyatt S. Challenging
33 features of science in society, emerging trends and cutting-edge issues [Internet]. Brussels: MASiS Expert
34 Group, European Commission, 2009 [cited 2021 January 9]. 75 p. Available from:
35 https://ec.europa.eu/research/science-society/document_library/pdf_06/the-masis-report_en.pdf
36
37
38
39 Spielberg S, Crichton M, Koepp D. *Jurassic Park* [motion picture]. Spielberg S, director. Kennedy K,
40 producer. USA: Universal Pictures; 1993. 1 reel: 127 min., sound, color, 35 mm.
41
42
43
44 Stendardo E (2001) *Ferrante Imperato: collezionismo e studio della natura a Napoli tra Cinque e Seicento*.
45 *Accademia Pontaniana*, Napoli.
46
47
48
49 Syvitski JPM (1991) *Principles, methods, and application of particle size analysis*. Cambridge University
50 Press, New York
51
52
53
54 Tarling DH, Hrouda F (1993) *The magnetic anisotropy of rocks*. Chapman & Hall, London
55
56
57
58 Thiry M (2000) Palaeoclimatic interpretation of clay minerals in marine deposits: an outlook from the
59 continental origin. *Earth-Science Reviews* 49: 201-221
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 Treise D, Weigold MF (2002) Advancing science communication: a survey of science communicators.
2 Science Communication 23(3): 310–322
3

4 Tucker ME (2003) Sedimentary rocks in the field. Wiley & Sons Ltd, London
5
6

7 Varela AN, Raigemborn MS, Richiano S, White T, Poiré AG, Lizzoli G (2018) Late Cretaceous paleosols
8 as paleoclimate proxies of high-latitude southern hemisphere: Mata Amarilla Formation, Patagonia,
9 Argentina. Sedimentary Geology 363: 83-95
10
11

12 Velde B (1995) Origin and mineralogy of clays: Clays and the Environment. Springer-Verlag, Berlin
13
14

15 Visher GS (1969) Grain-size distributions and depositional processes. Journal of Sedimentary Petrology
16 39: 1074-1106
17
18

19 Waldhäusl P, Ogleby C. 3x3-Rules for simple photogrammetric documentation of architecture. In:
20 Proceedings of the Symposium of the ISPRS Commission V Close Range Techniques and Machine
21 Vision; 1994 March 1-4; Melbourne. The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing
22 and Spatial Information Sciences;1994. p. 426-429
23
24
25
26

27 Weidong Y, Mingqing W, Nansheng C, Junya N, Hongjun T, Arakel A (1996) Element geochemical
28 characteristics of the Cretaceous dinosaur eggshell fossils and their implications for palaeoenvironment.
29 Chinese Journal of Geochemistry 15: 147-152
30
31
32

33 Williams MA, McHenry MT, Boothroyd A (2020) Geoconservation and geotourism: Challenges and
34 unifying themes. Geoheritage 12: 63. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12371-020-00492-1>
35
36
37

38 Wylie C (2009) Preparation in action: Paleontological skill and the role of the fossil preparator. In: Brown
39 MA, Kane JF, Parker WG, eds. Methods in Fossil Preparation: Proceedings of the First Annual Fossil
40 Preparation and Collections Symposium; April 2008; Petrified Forest. p. 3-12
41
42
43
44

45 Yilmaz HM, Yakar M, Gulec SA, Dulgerler ON (2007) Importance of digital close-range
46 photogrammetry in documentation of cultural heritage. Journal of Cultural Heritage 8(4): 428-433
47
48
49

50 Zhao Z, Yan Z (2000) Stable isotopic studies of dinosaur eggshells from the Nanxiong Basin, South
51 China. Science in China Series D: Earth Sciences 43: 84-92
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Figure Captions:

Fig. 1 a. The historic building of the Lourinhã Museum, located in the downtown, and divided into Ethnography, Archaeology and Paleontology exhibitions **b.** The ML's permanent and temporary exhibitions of Portuguese paleontological heritage **c.** The Dinoparque de Lourinhã, where temporary exhibitions and a permanent paleontological laboratory are located **d.** Life-sized models of dinosaur species in the outdoor exhibition of the Dinoparque de Lourinhã.

Fig. 2 a. Members of the G.E.A.L. working on collecting the Paimogo clutch during the first excavation campaign of 1994 (photo by OM) **b.** A sketch of the Paimogo paleontological site drawn by Vasco Ribeiro (note the distribution of the eggs, the position of the blocks, and the block excavation margins) **c.** Fossil block that had been in the permanent exhibition in the Lourinhã Museum previously, and now at the Dinoparque de Lourinhã **d.** Small fossil block and its wooden case for itinerant exhibition **e.** Surface of the fossil block ML 565-B (the focus of this work), during an intermediate preparatory stage **f.** Original jacket and storage conditions of one the fossil blocks in the Lourinhã Museum's storage facility

Fig. 3 A conceptual diagram of the synthesis of the open questions and hypotheses that the studied fossil block should answer in its current reevaluation

Fig. 4 a. Fossil block appearance and temporary working jacket used for stabilizing the block during the mechanical preparation process **b.** Second stage of preparation in which the old jacket is removed **c.** Final appearance of the block after the new jacket application (note the treatment of the surface, holes, and cracks)

Fig. 5 a. Using photogrammetry to record sampling locations and track physical preparation interventions **b.** Creating digital elevation models from scans

Fig. 6 a. Samples being taken of the grain size particles using an electric saw to obtain standard specimens (magnification shows a detail of the damage sustained before final surface preparation) **b.** Plotted different grain size analysis showing the different transport styles and energy of the flows

Fig. 7 a. The sampling technique performed on several portions of shell belonging to the same egg, used to establish intra-egg geochemical variability (magnification shows the drilling site on several portions of eggshell belonging to the same egg) **b.** The sampled carbonate nodule, note the distinctive grey coloration)

Fig. 8 a. One side of the fossil block after AMS core sampling (details show the drilling techniques) **b.** Image from the optical petrographic microscopy in which the ferro- and paramagnetic are oriented, forming a magnetic fabric

Fig. 9 A visualization of the new itinerant museum exhibition created to detail the vast array of scientific methodologies used in the current study, and elaborating the ongoing study history of the Paimogo dinosaur egg clutch

















