

**VEGETARIANISM AND VEGANISM VERSUS MENTAL HEALTH AND COGNITIVE
OUTCOMES. A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW AND META-ANALYSIS**

Isabel Iguacel^{1,2,3,4,}, Inge Huybrechts^{5,6}, Luis A. Moreno^{1,2,3,4}, Nathalie Michels⁵*

¹GENUD (Growth, Exercise, NUtrition and Development) Research Group, Faculty of Health Sciences;
University of Zaragoza, Edificio del SAI, C/Pedro Cerbuna s/n, 50009, Zaragoza, Spain

²Instituto Agroalimentario de Aragón (IA2), Zaragoza, Spain

³Instituto de Investigación Sanitaria Aragón (IIS Aragón), Zaragoza, Spain

⁴Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Fisiopatología de la Obesidad y Nutrición (CIBERObn),
Zaragoza, Spain

⁵Department of Public Health, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

⁶International Agency for Research on Cancer, World Health Organization, 150 Cours Albert Thomas,
69372, Lyon Cedex 08, France.

⁺Isabel Iguacel takes responsibility for all aspects of the reliability and freedom from bias of the data
presented and their discussed interpretation.

*** Corresponding author:** I. Iguacel, phone +34 876 55 37 53 email iguacel@unizar.es

Abstract

Context: Vegetarian and vegan diet become more popular. Although these diets are known to ameliorate health, certain deficiencies might put these people at higher risk. Cognitive and mental health are related diseases with high economic burden.

Objective: A meta-analysis on the relation of vegan or vegetarian diets with cognitive and mental health.

Data Sources: PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct and Proquest databases were examined from the beginning to July 2018.

Study Selection: Only original observational or interventional human studies on pure vegan/vegetarian diets (excluding case-reports, studies without omnivorous control group, multi-lifestyle interventions and eating disorders) were selected by two independent reviewers.

Data Extraction: Raw mean and standard deviation was taken for continuous outcomes while number of events for categorical outcomes.

Results: From 1,249 hits, 13 articles were included on total 17,809 individuals. Most studies were cross-sectional, two prospective and three interventional. Six studies included vegetarians, two vegans and five both. Study quality was rather medium. No significant association was found for the continuous depression score (n=9), stress (n=5), well-being (n=4) or cognitive impairment (n=3). Vegans/vegetarians were at increased depression risk (odds ratio= 2.142[1.105, 4.148], n=2) and had lower anxiety scores (mean difference=-0.847[-1.677, -0.018], n=7). Heterogeneity was large, thus subgroup analyses showed a lot of contrasting significances with higher mental risks mainly in those under 26y and in higher quality studies but no difference whether vegans versus vegetarians were included.

Conclusions: More studies (especially on cognitive health) with overall better quality (e.g. adjusting for confounders) are needed to make clear positive/negative associations.

Keywords: vegetarian diet, vegan, depression, anxiety, stress, memory, well-being, mental health, cognition, dementia.

Systematic Review Registration: PROSPERO registration no. CRD42018097204

Introduction

Cognitive and mental disorders are critical public health issues.^{1,2} Among cognitive disorders, dementia is a worldwide problem with a current prevalence of 47.5 million people and the number will double every 20 years.³ Among mental disorders, depression affects more than 300 million people and it is also linked with cognitive dysfunction and a higher risk for anxiety, stress and many other mental health issues. A poor mental health not only negatively affects a person's emotional and physical health but also productivity with more absenteeism, unemployment, and lower income. Mental health disorders accounted for a total economic burden of more than \$1 trillion per year and dementia for \$818 billion every year.²

Diet has been hypothesized to have an important role not only on physical health but also in cognitive and mental health issues.⁴ For example, following a Mediterranean diet can reduce and even prevent cardiovascular disease, breast cancer, depression or cognitive decline.^{5, 6} Vegetarian and vegan diets have also been widely associated with physical health outcomes, including a lower incidence and/or mortality from ischemic heart disease and incidence from total cancer⁷ due to its high content of fiber, folic acid, vitamins C and E, potassium, magnesium, and many phytochemicals and a more unsaturated fat content.⁸ Nevertheless, vegetarian and mainly vegan diets, might be deficient in vitamins B12, creatine and omega-3 fatty acids,⁹⁻¹¹ which have been found to be associated with neurodegenerative disease, cognitive impairment and poor mental health.¹²⁻¹⁴ Also, the bioavailability of iron and zinc in vegetarian diets is poor because of their higher content of absorption inhibitors such as phytate and polyphenols and the absence of flesh foods.¹⁵ Such deficiencies might lead to a lower mental health in vegetarians and vegans.¹⁶

Results in literature have been found to be controversial,¹⁷⁻²⁹ with some investigations showing positive associations of vegetarian and vegan diets with different mental health and cognitive outcomes^{17, 19-21} and other studies showing an inverse association.^{18, 25, 29, 30} Equivocal results in studies can be partially due to different definitions used to describe vegetarian and vegan diets (with some of the studies including the consumption of fish or chicken also as vegetarian); the special characteristics of the groups studied with a healthier lifestyle (i.e. Seventh Day Adventist)³¹ or the variation in the years adopting a vegetarian or vegan diet.

Although the number of vegetarians and vegans worldwide is still low (except for India where around one-third of the population is vegetarian),³² most recent surveys have shown an increasing number of vegetarians and vegans mostly in high income countries. In fact, the percentage of vegetarians or vegans represents more than 10% of the total population in countries such as Australia, New Zealand, Israel or Sweden.³³ Taking into account the rise of people adhering to these dietary practices and the controversial literature on mental and cognitive outcomes, we aim to conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis investigating the associations of vegetarianism or veganism with mental and cognitive outcomes.

Methods

Search strategy

The present systematic review and meta-analysis was registered in the PROSPERO database (ID: CRD42018097204) and followed the systematic review methodology proposed in the “Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic reviews and Meta-analyses” (PRISMA) statement (Table S1 in the Supporting Information online).^{34, 35} A specific question was constructed according to the PICOS (Participants, Interventions, Control, Outcomes, Study Design) principle (Table 1).³⁶

A systematic search of the literature was carried out using PubMed, Scopus, Science Direct and Proquest databases (from database inception to July 2018). When possible, the search included Thesaurus (MESH terms in Pubmed). Firstly, the diet terms were combined as follows, "vegetarian" OR "Vegan" OR "Vegetarians" OR "Diet, Vegetarian" OR "Diet, Vegan". Secondly, the mental and cognitive outcome terms were combined as follows, "Cognition" OR "Cognitive" OR "Depression" OR "Executive Function" OR "Anxiety" OR "Memory" OR "Mental health" OR "Psychological stress" OR "Emotion". Finally, both the diet and the mental and cognitive outcome terms were combined with “AND”. In Scopus and Science Direct and Proquest these terms had to appear in the title, abstract or keywords. The filters “humans”, “articles” and “in English, Spanish, French, Italian and Portuguese” were applied when possible. Two reviewers independently (I.I and N.M) examined each database to obtain publications. Agreement between reviewers was found in 90% of the publications while

remaining inter-reviewer discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Relevant articles were obtained in full and assessed against the inclusion and exclusion criteria described below.

Inclusion criteria

The inclusion criteria were as follows: 1) original studies; 2) studies performed in humans; 3) studies written in English, Spanish, French, Italian or Portuguese; 4) studies including vegetarian (lacto-ovo-vegetarian; ovo-vegetarian or lacto-vegetarian) or vegan diets as exposures; 5) studies including raw data on mental or cognitive outcomes (i.e. mean and standard deviation for continuous variables and cases and events for categorical outcomes). In the present study, vegetarians and particularly lacto-ovo-vegetarians were defined as those who excluded meat, fish and seafood but not milk and dairy products from their diet; vegans were defined as those who excluded any kind of animal product.

Exclusion criteria

The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) articles that did not provide original data (e.g. systematic reviews, meta-analysis, literature reviews); 2) case reports; 3) articles that did not present data regarding the control group (omnivores), and 4) studies in which several interventions were carried out (i.e. vegetarian or vegan diet combined with an increase in physical activity levels) making it impossible to separate the individual effect of diet and 5) studies with eating disorders as an outcome due to causality.

Data extraction

After reviewing all the relevant literature, depression, anxiety, stress, mental-health/well-being and mood disturbances for mental health and dementia/memory impairment for cognitive measurements were identified as outcomes for the present meta-analysis. For each study that included a mental health or cognitive outcome, relevant data was extracted (see Table 2)¹⁷ including number of participants, sex, mean age, type of diet (e.g. vegetarian, vegan or omnivores diet), instruments used to assess the outcomes, study design and quality assessment. Instruments to assess the outcomes were self-reported or diagnosed by a specialist, with different tools to evaluate **depression**: Depression Anxiety Stress Scales, DASS-Depression (DASS-D), Center for Epidemiologic Studies Depression (CESD), Hamilton

Rating Scale for Depression (HRSD) and Edinburgh Post Natal Depression Scales (EPDS); **anxiety**: DASS-Anxiety (DASS-A), State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI); **stress**: DASS-Stress (DASS-S) or 36-Item Short Form Health Survey (SF-36), used to assess depression, anxiety and mental health; **mood disturbances**: Profile of Mood States (PMHS); **mental health**: Positive Mental Health Scale (PMHS) and **dementia/memory impairment**: Mini-Mental Status Examinations (MMSE). Other information extracted was whether the papers included any confounders or used raw data; possible differences between groups in relevant confounders (i.e. vegan and vegetarians were usually more physically active, had a lower Body Mass Index (BMI) and a higher education and were less likely to be married than omnivores); the period of time for which the vegetarian or vegan diet had been followed; country or countries in which the study took place and predominant ethnicity if reported.

Mean and standard deviation (SD) was taken for continuous outcomes while number of events in each group (i.e. number of depressions diagnosed in vegetarian and vegan vs. omnivores) for categorical outcomes. Only raw data (unadjusted) was used to perform the meta-analyses as only two papers in the present meta-analysis included adjusted data.^{23, 29} Reporting unadjusted estimates also reduces the bias of selective reporting of adjusted estimates in primary studies and the risk of over-adjustment with multiple confounders. When a study offered information about matched and non-matched data the matched data was taken for our analysis.

Quality assessment

Depending of the study design, the “Quality Assessment Tool for Observational Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies”³⁷ or the “Quality Assessment Tool of Controlled Intervention Studies”³⁸ provided by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute was used to assess the quality of the included studies. Two reviewers (I.I and N.M) independently assessed and thereafter discussed the quality of the studies. Agreement between reviewers was found in 90% of the publications and inter-reviewer discrepancies were resolved by consensus.

Search summary

A total of 1,249 articles were extracted from Pubmed, Scopus, Proquest and Science Direct. After eliminating all the duplicates, 911 manuscripts were evaluated. Thereafter, 857 records were excluded

by title and abstract reading and 54 full-text articles were assessed for eligibility (see Figure 1): 13 articles were discarded because they did not include a pure vegetarian or vegan diet (i.e. flexitarians or self-reported vegetarians reporting to eat fish)^{13, 30, 39-49}; 11 studies had a different objective than the one of our meta-analysis⁵⁰⁻⁶⁰; 6 did not include a control group (omnivorous group) to compare the mental or cognitive outcome⁶¹⁻⁶⁶; 4 had eating disorders as a mental health outcome⁶⁷⁻⁷⁰; 3 were conducted in unhealthy subjects (i.e. papers in which participants reported to have eating disorders before starting the diet)⁷¹⁻⁷³; 1 did not provide raw data to extract^{60, 74}; 2 were not pure diet interventions in which we could separate individual dietary effects^{75, 76}; 1 was not an original article.⁷⁷ The main author was contacted in case the manuscript did not provide raw or adjusted data and to clarify blurred definitions of diets. Also, the main author was contacted in case mixed diets had been reported in the same group to ask for the separated data if available (i.e. vegetarian, vegan and pescatarian were reported in the same group), which was possible for one study that was included.²⁹

Statistical analyses

All analyses were performed using Open Meta [Analyst] software. For continuous outcomes, information on sample size, mean and standard deviation (SD) was taken and for categorical outcomes number of events in each group mean and SD were extracted for meta-analysis. When the SD was not reported in the study, the authors were first contacted and if no response¹⁹, the following formula were applied: Standard Error=SD/ \sqrt{n} ; SD=interquartile range/1.35. When the mean was not reported in the studies, the median was used.²⁰ The mean difference (MD) and the relative risks (RRs) or odds ratio (OR) with a 95% CI were calculated for continuous and categorical data respectively. DerSimonian and Laird estimators using random effects models were applied for continuous and categorical data. Effect sizes were calculated for each outcome. When possible, subgroup analyses were conducted.

Sources of heterogeneity were investigated by subgroup analyses comparing results based on age (≤ 25 years old, 26-45 years old or >45 , or NR -not reported-); sex (women, men, mainly women, men and women); the period of time for which the vegetarian or vegan diet had been followed (short term period: <1 year, long term: ≥ 1 year or NR); diet (vegetarians or vegans), instrument used to assess the mental or cognitive outcome and quality assessment when information was available (<50 score versus ≥ 50

score). The heterogeneity of the studies was tested using the I^2 statistic.⁷⁸ This statistic describes the variance between studies as a proportion of the total variance. A value <25% indicated low heterogeneity, from 25 to 50% moderate, from 50 to 75 high heterogeneity, and >75% very high heterogeneity. The associated p-value of the heterogeneity of the studies was also calculated, with a non-significant result indicating absence of heterogeneity.

To investigate publication bias, we conducted funnel plots using RevMan (version 5.2). Additionally, publication bias was assessed by Egger's linear regression test following the indications provided by Peters et al.⁷⁹ Therefore, funnel plots and tests were carried out when the meta-analysis had above ten studies because a small number of studies lowers test power to a point where it is too low to distinguish chance from real asymmetry.⁸⁰

Results

Description of the included studies

After applying the exclusion criteria, a total of 13 articles¹⁷⁻²⁹ was included in this review: 11 covered outcomes of depression (9 as continuous and 2 as categorical); 8 outcomes of anxiety (7 as continuous and 1 as categorical); 5 on stress; 4 included mental health/well-being outcomes (continuous), 3 outcomes of memory impairment/Alzheimer (categorical); 2 outcomes of mood disturbances (continuous), 1 outcomes of neuropsychiatric problems (categorical), 1 outcomes of personality change (Figure 1)¹⁷⁻²⁹.

The present meta-analysis encloses 17,809 individuals. The mean age of the participants varied from 18 to 80 years old and most of the studies equally distributed the sample between omnivores and vegetarians/vegans. Most of the studies considered both women and men (although with a higher participation of women than men) or only women. Of all eligible studies, 46% (6/13) included vegetarians, 15% (2/13) were conducted in vegans and 38% (5/13) included both vegetarians and vegans. Most studies (62%, 8/13) were conducted in the United States, 1 in Australia, 1 in Pakistan, 1 in Finland, 1 in Puerto Rico, 1 included both countries Germany and China. The study design was mostly cross-sectional (62%, 8/13), 2 were prospective, 2 were randomized clinical trials and 2 were

non-randomized trials (Table 2). Most of the studies in the present meta-analysis were of medium quality with points varying between 28 and 64 on a scale of 100 (Table S2 and S3).

Mental health differences between vegetarians/vegans and omnivores

Figure 2 to 7¹⁷⁻²⁹ show the individual study results and plot the global effect of vegetarianism/veganism on mental health and cognitive outcomes as well as several subgroup analyses. Several specific subgroup analyses were not performed due to a lack of studies (subgroups with only 1 or 2 studies in one of the groups).

Depression (continuous)

As shown in Figure 2a, no statistically significant differences were found between vegetarian or vegan diets and omnivores regarding the incidence of depression (MD = -0.532; 95% CI: -2.047, 0.984). Heterogeneity among studies for depression was very high ($I^2 = 92.53$; $p = 0.040$).

Results of the subgroup analysis showed a statistically significant higher depression level in vegetarians/vegans under 26 years old (MD= 1.737; 95% CI: 0.757, 2.717; Figure 2b), in female vegetarians/vegans (MD= 2.910; 95% CI: 0.876, 4.944; Figure 2c) or studies with higher quality (MD= 1.418; 95% CI: 0.473, 2.363; Figure 2g). Subgroup analyses on period following the diet (Figure 2d), vegan vs vegetarian (Figure 2e), or used instrument (Figure 2f) did not show significant differences in comparison with the control group. Heterogeneity was more pronounced in those over 25 years old ($I^2 = 93.22$; $p < 0.001$), in studies that included mainly women ($I^2 = 94.29$; $p < 0.001$), short-term studies ($I^2 = 97.87$; $p < 0.001$), studies including vegans ($I^2 = 95.10$; $p < 0.001$), when using instruments different from DASS or CESD ($I^2 = 98.12$; $p < 0.001$) and lower quality studies ($I^2 = 93.62$; $p < 0.001$).

Depression (categorical)

As shown in Figure 3a, vegetarians and vegans had a higher risk of depression when using a categorical variable (OR = 2.142; 95% CI: 1.105, 4.148) but heterogeneity among studies was high ($I^2 = 65.4$; $p = 0.089$).

Anxiety (continuous)

Vegetarian and vegan diets were associated with lower levels of anxiety (MD = -0.847; 95% CI: -1.677, -0.018) but heterogeneity among studies was very high ($I^2 = 92.08$; $p = 0.001$) (Figure 4a). Results of the subgroup analysis confirmed these lower anxiety levels in vegetarians/vegans 26-45 years old (MD= -3.144; 95% CI: -4.728, -1.561; Figure 4b), in studies including predominantly women (MD= -0.744; 95% CI: -1.461, -0.026; Figure 4c), when not using DASS-A as instrument (MD= -5.940; 95% CI: -7.704, -4.175; Figure 4f) or in lower quality studies (MD= -3.144; 95% CI: -4.728, -1.561; Figure 4g). In contrast, higher levels of anxiety were detected in vegetarian/vegans younger than 26 years (MD= 0.901; 95% CI: 0.143, 1.658; Figure 4b) and higher quality studies (MD= 0.909; 95% CI: 0.158, 1.660; Figure 4g). Subgroup analyses on period of following the diet or vegan versus vegetarian did not show any significant differences with the control group (Figure 4d, Figure 4e). Heterogeneity was more pronounced in those under 26 years old ($I^2 = 92.27$; $p < 0.001$), in studies that included women and men ($I^2 = 93.86$; $p < 0.001$), in studies that did not report the period following the diet ($I^2 = 94.94$; $p < 0.001$), studies including vegetarians ($I^2 = 93.35$; $p < 0.001$), when using DASS as an assessment instrument ($I^2 = 98.12$; $p < 0.001$) and higher quality studies ($I^2 = 89.79$; $p < 0.001$).

Stress (continuous)

Vegetarian and vegan diets did not show any statistically significant associations with stress (MD = -0.422; 95% CI: -1.823, 0.979). Heterogeneity among studies for stress was very high ($I^2 = 82.71$; $p = 0.001$) (Figure 5a). Results of the subgroup analysis showed lower stress levels in vegetarians/vegans 26 to 45 years old in comparison with omnivores (MD= -2.178; 95% CI: -3.538, -0.818), and in low quality studies (MD= -2.178; 95% CI: -3.538, -0.818; Figure 5f). In contrast, higher stress levels were found in younger vegetarians/vegans compared to omnivores (MD=1.033; 95% CI: 0.478, 1.587; Figure 5b) and in higher quality studies (MD= 1.005; 95% CI: 0.452, 1.559; Figure 5f). The results did not differ by sex (Figure 5c), the period following the diet (Figure 5d) or by vegan versus vegetarian (Figure 5e). Subgroup analyses by instrument were not conducted because all studies used DASS-S.

Heterogeneity was more pronounced in adults from 26 to 45 years old ($I^2=49.24$; $p = 0.139$), in studies that included mainly women ($I^2=83.14$; $p<0.001$), in studies that did not report the period following the diet ($I^2=89.31$; $p<0.001$), studies including vegetarians ($I^2=78.17$; $p = 0.001$) and low quality studies ($I^2=49.24$; $p = 0.139$).

Mental health/well-being (continuous)

As shown in Figure 6a, being a vegetarian or vegan was not statistically significantly associated with levels of well-being (MD= -1.319; 95% CI: -2.834, 0.197) and heterogeneity among studies was high ($I^2=73.62$; $p = 0.004$).

Based on subgroup analyses, lower mental health/well-being levels were found in vegetarians/vegans under 26 years old (MD= -1.967; 95% CI: -2.924, -1.011; Figure 6b), when the studies included both men and women (MD= -1.480; 95% CI: -2.134, -0.826; Figure 6c), when the years of diet adherence was not specified (MD= 1.967; 95% CI: -2.924, -1.011; Figure 6d) and in higher quality studies (MD= 1.967; 95% CI: -2.924, -1.011; Figure 6d).

Heterogeneity was more pronounced in those participants under 26 years old ($I^2=55.22$; $p = 0.107$), in studies that included mainly women ($I^2=83.14$; $p<0.001$), in studies that did not report the period following the diet ($I^2=55.22$; $p = 0.107$), studies including vegans ($I^2=81.44$; $p = 0.005$) and in low quality studies ($I^2=84.93$; $p = 0.001$).

Other mental health outcomes

A meta-analysis was not conducted on other mental health outcomes due to the insufficient quantity of studies ($n=1$ or 2). However, Beezhold et al. concluded that vegetarians reported significantly less negative emotion than omnivores.^{19, 20} On the contrary, Kapoor et al. reported higher neuropsychiatric problems (psychosis and personality change) in young vegetarians compared to omnivores and Baines found that vegetarians had significantly higher panic attacks or palpitations, deliberate self-harm and other psychosomatic problems.²⁵

Memory impairment/dementia

Vegetarian and vegan diets did not show any statistically significant associations with memory impairment when compared to omnivores (OR = 0.825; 95% CI: 0.242, 2.809) and heterogeneity among studies was very high ($I^2 = 63.13$; $p = 0.066$) (Figure 7a).

Publication Bias

No indication for publication bias was found for studies including depression (Egger $P=0.230$) and anxiety (Egger $P=0.324$). Also, visual inspection of the funnel plot did not suggest publication bias for neither depression nor anxiety as the studies were distributed symmetrically (i.e. inverted funnel shape) around the summary effect size (Supplementary Figure 1 and 2).

Discussion

Overall findings

To date no previous systematic review or meta-analysis has been conducted on the associations between vegetarianism or veganism and mental and cognitive outcomes. We could not find a significant effect of being vegetarian/vegan for the continuous depression score ($n=9$), stress ($n=5$), well-being ($n=4$) or cognitive impairment ($n=3$) but vegans/vegetarians were at increased depression risk (OR = 2.142; 95% CI: 1.105, 4.148, $n=2$) and showed higher levels of anxiety in this younger age while older adults had lower anxiety scores (MD = -0.847; 95% CI: -1.677, -0.018, $n=7$). Heterogeneity was large, thus subgroup analyses showed a lot of contrasting significances. For all tested outcomes, higher mental risks were found in those under 26y and in higher-quality studies. Concerning the instruments used, often no differences existed and only lower anxiety levels were found when not using the specific DASS-A. Inconsistent sex-patterns appeared: more depression symptoms were seen only in female vegetarians/vegans, while lower anxiety symptoms were shown only in studies including predominantly women above the age of 26. Lower wellbeing was only seen in studies including both men and women. Finally, no differences were detected depending on whether vegans versus vegetarians were included or on the amount of years diet adherence.

Vegetarian and vegan diets have been found to be a protecting factor for many chronic diseases such as heart disease, hypertension, type 2 diabetes, obesity, and some cancers such as colorectal and prostate⁸¹.

This might be because of the healthier diet intake with higher fiber, polyunsaturated fats, vitamin C, bioactive molecules and a lower intake of saturated fats. All these nutrients act directly or indirectly (via other diseases) to an anti-inflammatory status.⁸² Moreover, vegetarians and vegans seem to have a healthier lifestyle: higher levels of physical activity and lower levels of sedentarism, alcohol and tobacco consumption.⁸³ Nevertheless, our meta-analyses indicated the potential of negative mental health outcomes in a vegetarian/vegan diet. Despite of benefits, several investigations have indeed identified nutrient deficiencies among vegetarians and vegans^{18, 84, 85} that are related to poorer mental health outcomes.^{18, 22, 25, 26}

Subgroup analyses showed clear age-dependent patterns with negative effects at a young age while opposite effects in those older than 25. This might reflect that young people are more vulnerable to deficiencies since their brain and personality are still in development.⁸⁶ While women are often more sensitive to mental problems, no such consistent sex-patterns appeared in our study. Similarly, vegan subjects often have more difficulties in preventing nutrient deficiencies but no higher risk for vegans versus vegetarians was detected in subgroup analyses. Since information on the duration of diet adherence was often lacking or imprecise, the lack of subgroup differences depending on diet adherence duration is not surprising.

Possible mechanisms involved in these associations between vegetarian/vegan diets and mental health outcomes

Large heterogeneity was found in the link of vegetarianism and veganism with mental health outcomes: several non-significant findings, lower risk for anxiety but higher risk for depression and age dependent. In general, higher quality studies and studies in populations <25y suggested a higher risk of all types of mental problems in vegetarians and vegans compared to omnivores.

A first possible explanation for these associations is a reverse causal relationship between vegetarianism or veganism and mental health outcomes: individuals who have psychosocial disorders are more eager to follow a vegetarian or vegan diet in order to improve their mental health. Based on age of diet adoption in a German study, the adoption of vegetarian diets was after the onset of mental disorders thus confirming the possibility of reverse causation.⁴² Still, one intervention study found an

improvement in depression, anxiety and mood after following a vegan diet,^{17, 20} while another found only improvements in stress but no significant changes in depression, anxiety or mood.¹⁹ These interventions, however, have been conducted for only a short period (less than 4 months) and effects during a longer period have not yet been investigated. As mental health problems often develop at young age, our subgroup analysis showing higher risk in those younger than 25 might reflect this reverse causation, apart from the fact that young people are more vulnerable to deficiencies. Other possible explanations yielded in the literature are the nutrient deficiencies that are common in vegan diets (such as some amino acids, long chain omega 3 fatty acids, vitamin B6 and B12, zinc and creatine and even too low cholesterol) that could accelerate or worsen pre-existing mental conditions.⁸ All these deficiencies have been found to be linked with a higher risk of mental health problems^{87, 88}. Several amino-acids like methionine, tryptophan, lysine, arginine, beta-alanine and tryptophan can have a protective effect on depression and on anxiety^{89, 90} as derived neurotransmitters like dopamine and serotonin are important neurotransmitters in mood regulation.⁹¹ Still the story is more nuanced since the levels of methionine, tryptophan and tyrosine were highest in fish-eaters and vegetarians, followed by meat-eaters, but lowest in vegans.⁹² Thus the amino-acid deficiencies would mainly be an explanation for health effects in vegans only.

Another possible explanation could be that vegetarians or vegans belong to a minority group (at least until recently since the prevalence is skyrocketing the last years) and being a minority can induce feelings of lower well-being.²²

Possible mechanism of the results found in cognitive outcomes

We did not find a statistically significant result between following a vegetarian/vegan diet and cognitive outcomes in the two existing studies, while Glem et al. found a trend toward delayed onset of dementia in vegetarians,²³ Kapoor et al. found higher neuropsychiatric and neurological problems such as memory impairment, personality change, and psychosis in vegetarians. Studies that were not included (because of vegetarian definition problems) were also conflicting: Xu et al. found a higher risk of memory impairment and dementia in vegetarians,⁴⁸ while in another study vegetarians responded better than meat-eaters in memory tasks but for other cognitive domains no differences were observed,¹⁴ and

macrobiotic (similar to vegan diets but fish eaten occasionally) adolescents performed worse on most cognitive tests than omnivores.¹³

The apparently contradictory results might be explained by differences in vitamin B12 values. Vegetarians had lower vitamin B12 (and 50% had a deficiency) compared to omnivores in Kapoor's study where higher cognitive risks for vegetarians were found. In the macrobiotic study, vitamin B12 levels were indeed associated with lower performance for certain cognitive tests and not all macrobiotic subjects had deficiency. The other studies did not report serum vitamin B12 profiles.^{23,48} A second possible mechanism cited in the literature is the role that phytoestrogens play in cognitive function. Phytoestrogens are the most bioactive components of soy (a product mainly eaten by vegetarians and vegans) that seem to have a neuroprotective effect.⁹³ Creatine is another nutrient that plays a critical role in brain development and function. Some papers have hypothesized that it aids cognition by improving energy supply and neuroprotection. Creatine is a peptide found mostly in meat, fish and other animal products, and the levels of muscle creatine are known to be lower in vegetarians. After supplementation of creatine, the memory of vegetarians was better than that of meat-eaters. However, at baseline, memory did not differ depending on dietary style, so any hypothesised creatine deficiency in vegetarians did not influence memory, rather it was found that vegetarians were more sensitive to supplementation with creatine.⁵¹

Strengths and weaknesses of this meta-analysis

To the best of the authors' knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to examine the association between vegetarianism/veganism and mental health and cognitive outcomes. An advantage is that these outcomes were interpreted quite broadly while both categorical as well as continuous variables were considered. This study also included many subgroup analyses (by diet, age, sex, instrument, period following the diet and quality assessment score) to detect heterogeneity that might reflect more vulnerable subgroups and methodological issues. This allowed us to estimate the total effect size of the vegan and vegetarian diets on mental health and cognitive outcomes with a larger sample size despite the large heterogeneity in the included studies.

A first limitation is that there are no studies including children and most of the studies included a higher percentage of women than men. Secondly, there were only a few interventional studies and some of them with a low-quality score had a short intervention period (i.e. 2 weeks) hindering the detection of long-term consequences on cognition or mental health. Although many studies have described important differences in lifestyle among vegetarians and vegans compared to omnivores (lower BMI, higher levels of physical activity and lower levels of sedentarism, alcohol and tobacco consumption), only two studies took into account key potential confounders.^{23, 29} Consequently, the meta-analysis was conducted on raw data only. Nevertheless, adjustment for confounders did not drastically change results in these two studies. Moreover, many relevant articles were finally discarded since they wrongly used the term vegan or vegetarian (i.e. they ate fish or poultry) or they self-reported to be vegetarians or vegans but in the food frequency questionnaire meat and fish were occasionally eaten.^{30, 40, 42, 48} The large variety in used instruments/approaches to define vegetarian/vegan limits comparability and power to find significant relationships. Although it might be interesting to test the situation in pesco-vegetarians (to see whether outcomes might be due to fish omission), only 3 studies identified pesco-vegetarians and this was always a minority group mixed with those having a pure vegetarian diet. Another group that was discarded in our systematic review was eating disorders as mental health outcome. Although vegetarians and vegans were more likely to suffer from eating disorders compared to omnivores in some studies^{39, 68}, we discarded these due to the fact that vegetarian/vegan diets can be a camouflage of an existing eating disorder.⁹⁴ Finally, subgroup analyses were based on a small quantity of studies and might thus be biased or lack power.

Implications for public health

The necessary protein, fat, carbohydrate, vitamin and mineral intake within vegetarian and vegan diets for optimal health is still under investigation. Vegetarians and particularly vegans may require supplementation as the nutrients may not be adequately available from plant sources. Some supplements (such as vitamin B12, zinc or creatine) to improve short term memory and intelligence/reasoning may help in very restricted diets. Otherwise, a well-chosen plant-based diet provides all the necessary protein, fats, carbohydrates, vitamins and minerals for optimal health. Apart from the strict omission of

animal-based protein, dietary patterns can also be considered on a continuous scale where the amount of animal-based protein is just limited.⁹⁵ The terms semi-vegetarians, flexitarians, and plant-based diets reflect the decrease of animal-based proteins⁹⁶, which might perhaps bring the advantages without the difficulties of preventing deficiencies in a vegetarian diet. A 6-19% reduction in the risk of all-cause mortality and cardiovascular disease mortality was found by replacing 3% of energy from animal protein with plant protein.⁹⁷

Implications for further research

Drawing conclusions is difficult when there is a lack of studies, when different instruments/definitions are used or when study quality is low. A major flaw in current literature on this topic is the adjustment for confounders. Future studies should adjust for sociodemographics, physical activity, alcohol and tobacco consumption, weight status and medical history. To draw causal conclusions, well-designed intervention studies are needed as we could only find 3 interventions where often participants differed at baseline, there was low intervention adherence and only short-term interventions. In finding causal pathways, studies should measure nutrient status as deficiencies are frequent in vegetarian/vegan diets. Moreover, different gradients of plant-based diet should be examined e.g. the role of fish (with omega-3 fats), milk, eggs and quality of plant-based foods (omission of meat or any other animal-product does not guarantee high-nutritious choices). Herein, the duration of diet adherence is seldom examined.

Conclusion

Based on our meta-analysis, following a vegan or vegetarian diet was associated with a higher depression risk and in those under 26y with higher anxiety scores but no differences for the other outcomes were found. Subgroup analyses showed a lot of contrasting significances with higher mental risks mainly in those under 26y and in higher quality studies. Large heterogeneity impeded us to draw definitive conclusions. More studies in mental health and especially on cognitive outcomes with overall better quality are needed to derive clear positive/negative associations.

Acknowledgments

474 *Author contributions.* I.I. and N.M searched for studies and agreed on inclusion and exclusion. I.I.
475 extracted data and performed the meta-analysis. I.I drafted the manuscript.
476 All authors revised and provided intellectual input into the final manuscript.
477 *Funding.* N.M performed this systematic review while having a project financially supported by Alpro
478 Foundation, the organisation was not involved in conception, design, performance and approval of the
479 work.
480 *Declaration of interest.* The authors have no relevant interests to declare.
481

482 **References**

- 483 1 Medalia A, Erlich M. Why Cognitive Health Matters. *Am J Public Health*. 2017; 107:
484 45-47.
- 485 2 Wimo A, Guerchet M, Ali GC, Wu YT, Prina AM, Winblad B, et al. The worldwide
486 costs of dementia 2015 and comparisons with 2010. *Alzheimers Dement* 2017; 1-7.
- 487 3 Prince M, Bryce R, Albanese E, Wimo A, Ribeiro W, Ferri CP. The global prevalence
488 of dementia: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2013; 9: 63-75.e2.
- 489 4 Parletta N, Milte CM, Meyer BJ. Nutritional modulation of cognitive function and
490 mental health. *J Nutr Biochem*. 2013; 24: 725-43.
- 491 5 Widmer RJ, Flammer AJ, Lerman LO, Lerman A. "The Mediterranean Diet, its
492 Components, and Cardiovascular Disease". *Am J Med*. 2015; 128: 229-38.
- 493 6 Petersson SD, Philippou E. Mediterranean Diet, Cognitive Function, and Dementia: A
494 Systematic Review of the Evidence123. *Adv Nutr* 2016; 889-904.
- 495 7 Dinu M, Abbate R, Gensini GF, Casini A, Sofi F. Vegetarian, vegan diets and
496 multiple health outcomes: A systematic review with meta-analysis of observational studies.
497 *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*. 2017; 57: 3640-49.
- 498 8 Craig WJ. Health effects of vegan diets. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2009; 89: 1627s-33s.
- 499 9 Gilsing AM, Crowe FL, Lloyd-Wright Z, Sanders TA, Appleby PN, Allen NE, et al.
500 Serum concentrations of vitamin B12 and folate in British male omnivores, vegetarians and
501 vegans: results from a cross-sectional analysis of the EPIC-Oxford cohort study. *Eur J Clin*
502 *Nutr*. 2010; 64: 933-9.
- 503 10 Kornsteiner M, Singer I, Elmadfa I. Very low n-3 long-chain polyunsaturated fatty
504 acid status in Austrian vegetarians and vegans. *Ann Nutr Metab*. 2008; 52: 37-47.
- 505 11 Delanghe J, De Slypere JP, De Buyzere M, Robbrecht J, Wieme R, Vermeulen A.
506 Normal reference values for creatine, creatinine, and carnitine are lower in vegetarians. *Clin*
507 *Chem*. 1989; 35: 1802-3.
- 508 12 Moore E, Mander A, Ames D, Carne R, Sanders K, Watters D. Cognitive impairment
509 and vitamin B12: a review. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 2012; 24: 541-56.
- 510 13 Louwman MW, van Dusseldorp M, van de Vijver FJ, Thomas CM, Schneede J,
511 Ueland PM, et al. Signs of impaired cognitive function in adolescents with marginal
512 cobalamin status. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2000; 72: 762-9.
- 513 14 Avgerinos KI, Spyrou N, Bougioukas KI, Kapogiannis D. Effects of creatine
514 supplementation on cognitive function of healthy individuals: A systematic review of
515 randomized controlled trials. *Exp Gerontol*. 2018; 108: 166-73.
- 516 15 Gibson RS, Heath AL, Szymlek-Gay EA. Is iron and zinc nutrition a concern for
517 vegetarian infants and young children in industrialized countries? *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2014; 100
518 Suppl 1: 459s-68s.
- 519 16 Li Z, Li B, Song X, Zhang D. Dietary zinc and iron intake and risk of depression: A
520 meta-analysis. *Psychiatry Res*. 2017; 251: 41-47.
- 521 17 Agarwal U, Mishra S, Xu J, Levin S, Gonzales J, Barnard ND. A multicenter
522 randomized controlled trial of a nutrition intervention program in a multiethnic adult
523 population in the corporate setting reduces depression and anxiety and improves quality of
524 life: the GEICO study. *Am J Health Promot*. 2015; 29: 245-54.
- 525 18 Baines S, Powers J, Brown WJ. How does the health and well-being of young
526 Australian vegetarian and semi-vegetarian women compare with non-vegetarians? *Public*
527 *Health Nutr*. 2007; 10: 436-42.
- 528 19 Beezhold BL, Johnston CS, Daigle DR. Vegetarian diets are associated with healthy
529 mood states: a cross-sectional study in seventh day adventist adults. *Nutr J*. 2010; 9: 26.

530 20 Beezhold BL, Johnston CS. Restriction of meat, fish, and poultry in omnivores
531 improves mood: a pilot randomized controlled trial. *Nutr J.* 2012; 11: 9.

532 21 Beezhold B, Radnitz C, Rinne A, DiMatteo J. Vegans report less stress and anxiety
533 than omnivores. *Nutr Neurosci.* 2015; 18: 289-96.

534 22 Forestell CA, Nezelek JB. Vegetarianism, depression, and the five factor model of
535 personality. *Ecol Food Nutr.* 2018; 57: 246-59.

536 23 Giem P, Beeson WL, Fraser GE. The incidence of dementia and intake of animal
537 products: preliminary findings from the Adventist Health Study. *Neuroepidemiology.* 1993;
538 12: 28-36.

539 24 Katcher HI, Ferdowsian HR, Hoover VJ, Cohen JL, Barnard ND. A worksite vegan
540 nutrition program is well-accepted and improves health-related quality of life and work
541 productivity. *Ann Nutr Metab.* 2010; 56: 245-52.

542 25 Kapoor A, Baig M, Tunio SA, Memon AS, Karmani H. Neuropsychiatric and
543 neurological problems among Vitamin B12 deficient young vegetarians. *Neurosciences*
544 (Riyadh). 2017; 22: 228-32.

545 26 Lindeman M. The state of mind of vegetarians: Psychological well-being or distress?
546 *Ecology and Food Nutrition.* 2010; 41: 75-86.

547 27 Rodriguez Jimenez J, Rodriguez JR, Gonzalez MJ. [Indicators of anxiety and
548 depression in subjects with different kinds of diet: vegetarians and omnivores]. *Bol Asoc*
549 *Med P R.* 1998; 90: 58-68.

550 28 Timko CA, Holmes JM, Chubski J. Will the real vegetarian please stand up? An
551 investigation of dietary restraint and eating disorder symptoms in vegetarians versus non-
552 vegetarians. *Appetite.* 2012; 58: 982-90.

553 29 Velten J, Bieda A, Scholten S, Wannemuller A, Margraf J. Lifestyle choices and
554 mental health: a longitudinal survey with German and Chinese students. *BMC Public Health.*
555 2018; 18: 632.

556 30 Hibbeln JR, Northstone K, Evans J, Golding J. Vegetarian diets and depressive
557 symptoms among men. *J Affect Disord.* 2018; 225: 13-17.

558 31 Fonnebo V. The healthy Seventh-Day Adventist lifestyle: what is the Norwegian
559 experience? *Am J Clin Nutr.* 1994; 59: 1124s-29s.

560 32 Leitzmann C. Vegetarian nutrition: past, present, future. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2014; 100
561 Suppl 1: 496s-502s.

562 33 Edelstein S. Food science: An ecological approach. Burlington, MA. 2018.

563 34 Liberati A, Altman DG, Tetzlaff J, Mulrow C, Gotzsche PC, Ioannidis JP, et al. The
564 PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that
565 evaluate healthcare interventions: explanation and elaboration. *Bmj.* 2009; 339: b2700.

566 35 Hutton B, Salanti G, Caldwell DM, Chaimani A, Schmid CH, Cameron C, et al. The
567 PRISMA extension statement for reporting of systematic reviews incorporating network
568 meta-analyses of health care interventions: checklist and explanations. *Ann Intern Med.*
569 2015; 162: 777-84.

570 36 Boudin F, Nie JY, Bartlett JC, Grad R, Pluye P, Dawes M. Combining classifiers for
571 robust PICO element detection. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak.* 2010; 10: 29.

572 37 NIH NH, Lung, and Blood Institute. Quality Assessment Tool for Observational
573 Cohort and Cross-Sectional Studies - NHLBI, NIH. 2017.

574 38 National, Heart L, and Blood Institute. Quality
575 Assessment Tool of Controlled Intervention Studies. 2018.

576 39 Bas M, Karabudak E, Kiziltan G. Vegetarianism and eating disorders: association
577 between eating attitudes and other psychological factors among Turkish adolescents.
578 *Appetite.* 2005; 44: 309-15.

579 40 Burkert NT, Muckenhuber J, Grossschadl F, Rasky E, Freidl W. Nutrition and health -
580 the association between eating behavior and various health parameters: a matched sample
581 study. *PLoS One*. 2014; 9: e88278.

582 41 Hosseinzadeh M, Vafa M, Esmailzadeh A, Feizi A, Majdzadeh R, Afshar H, et al.
583 Empirically derived dietary patterns in relation to psychological disorders. *Public Health*
584 *Nutr*. 2016; 19: 204-17.

585 42 Michalak J, Zhang XC, Jacobi F. Vegetarian diet and mental disorders: results from a
586 representative community survey. *Int J Behav Nutr Phys Act*. 2012; 9: 67.

587 43 Thygesen LC, Gimsing LN, Bautz A, Hvidt NC, Johansen C. Chronic
588 Neurodegenerative Illnesses and Epilepsy in Danish Adventists and Baptists: A Nationwide
589 Cohort Study. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2017; 56: 1429-35.

590 44 Nutrition and mental development of 4–5-year-old children on macrobiotic diets -
591 Herens - 1992 - *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics* - Wiley Online Library. 2018.

592 45 Northstone K, Joinson C, Emmett P. Dietary patterns and depressive symptoms in a
593 UK cohort of men and women: a longitudinal study. *Public Health Nutr*. 2018; 21: 831-37.

594 46 Salehi-Abargouei A, Esmailzadeh A, Azadbakht L, Keshteli AH, Afshar H, Feizi A,
595 et al. Do patterns of nutrient intake predict self-reported anxiety, depression and
596 psychological distress in adults? SEPAHAN study. *Clin Nutr*. 2018.

597 47 Sanchez-Villegas A, Henriquez-Sanchez P, Ruiz-Canela M, Lahortiga F, Molero P,
598 Toledo E, et al. A longitudinal analysis of diet quality scores and the risk of incident
599 depression in the SUN Project. *BMC Med*. 2015; 13: 197.

600 48 Xu X, Xiao S, Rahardjo TB, Hogervorst E. Tofu intake is associated with poor
601 cognitive performance among community-dwelling elderly in China. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 2015;
602 43: 669-75.

603 49 Burkert NT, Freidl W, Grossschadel F, Muckenhuber J, Stronegger WJ, Rasky E.
604 Nutrition and health: different forms of diet and their relationship with various health
605 parameters among Austrian adults. *Wien Klin Wochenschr*. 2014; 126: 113-8.

606 50 Larsen PS, Nybo Andersen AM, Uldall P, Bech BH, Olsen J, Hansen AV, et al.
607 Maternal vegetarianism and neurodevelopment of children enrolled in The Danish National
608 Birth Cohort. *Acta Paediatr*. 2014; 103: e507-9.

609 51 Benton D, Donohoe R. The influence of creatine supplementation on the cognitive
610 functioning of vegetarians and omnivores. *Br J Nutr*. 2011; 105: 1100-5.

611 52 Cook R, Benton D. The relationship between diet and mental health. *Personality and*
612 *Individual Differences*. 1993; 14: 397-403.

613 53 Crichton GE, Bryan J, Hodgson JM, Murphy KJ. Mediterranean diet adherence and
614 self-reported psychological functioning in an Australian sample. *Appetite*. 2013; 70: 53-9.

615 54 Leung B, Lauche R, Leach M, Zhang Y, Cramer H, Sibbritt D. Special diets in
616 modern America: Analysis of the 2012 National Health Interview Survey data. *Nutr Health*.
617 2018; 24: 11-18.

618 55 McLean JA, Barr SI. Cognitive dietary restraint is associated with eating behaviors,
619 lifestyle practices, personality characteristics and menstrual irregularity in college women.
620 *Appetite*. 2003; 40: 185-92.

621 56 von Gunten A, Mosimann UP, Antonietti JP. A longitudinal study on delirium in
622 nursing homes. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2013; 21: 963-72.

623 57 Wills WJ. Food and Eating Practices During the Transition from Secondary School to
624 New Social Contexts. *Journal of Youth Studies*. 2005; 8: 97–110.

625 58 Yazigi Solis M, de Salles Painelli V, Giannini Artioli G, Roschel H, Concepcion
626 Otaduy M, Gualano B. Brain creatine depletion in vegetarians? A cross-sectional (1)H-
627 magnetic resonance spectroscopy ((1)H-MRS) study. *Br J Nutr*. 2014; 111: 1272-4.

59 Yackobovitch-Gavan M, Golan M, Valevski A, Kreitler S, Bachar E, Liebllich A, et al.
 An integrative quantitative model of factors influencing the course of anorexia nervosa over
 time. *Int J Eat Disord.* 2009; 42: 306-17.

60 Craig BA, Morton DP, Morey PJ, Kent LM, Gane AB, Butler TL, et al. The
 association between self-rated health and social environments, health behaviors and health
 outcomes: a structural equation analysis. *BMC Public Health.* 2018; 18: 440.

61 Bardone-Cone AM, Fitzsimmons-Craft EE, Harney MB, Maldonado CR, Lawson
 MA, Smith R, et al. The inter-relationships between vegetarianism and eating disorders
 among females. *J Acad Nutr Diet.* 2012; 112: 1247-52.

62 Bobic J, Cvijetic S, Baric IC, Satalic Z. Personality traits, motivation and bone health
 in vegetarians. *Coll Antropol.* 2012; 36: 795-800.

63 Cooper CK, Wise TN, Mann L. Psychological and cognitive characteristics of
 vegetarians. *Psychosomatics.* 1985; 26: 526-27.

64 Dwyer JT, Miller LG, Arduino NL, Andrew EM, Dietz WH, Jr., Reed JC, et al.
 Mental age and I.Q. of predominantly vegetarian children. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 1980; 76: 142-7.

65 Link LB, Hussaini NS, Jacobson JS. Change in quality of life and immune markers
 after a stay at a raw vegan institute: a pilot study. *Complement Ther Med.* 2008; 16: 124-30.

66 Behari M, Srivastava AK, Das RR, Pandey RM. Risk factors of Parkinson's disease in
 Indian patients. *J Neurol Sci.* 2001; 190: 49-55.

67 Zuromski KL, Witte TK, Smith AR, Goodwin N, Bodell LP, Bartlett M, et al.
 Increased prevalence of vegetarianism among women with eating pathology. *Eat Behav.*
 2015; 19: 24-7.

68 Klopp SA, Heiss CJ, Smith HS. Self-reported vegetarianism may be a marker for
 college women at risk for disordered eating. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2003; 103: 745-7.

69 Robinson-O'Brien R, Perry CL, Wall MM, Story M, Neumark-Sztainer D. Adolescent
 and young adult vegetarianism: better dietary intake and weight outcomes but increased risk
 of disordered eating behaviors. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2009; 109: 648-55.

70 Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M, Resnick MD, Blum RW. Adolescent vegetarians. A
 behavioral profile of a school-based population in Minnesota. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.*
 1997; 151: 833-8.

71 Kahleova H, Hrachovinova T, Hill M, Pelikanova T. Vegetarian diet in type 2
 diabetes--improvement in quality of life, mood and eating behaviour. *Diabet Med.* 2013; 30:
 127-9.

72 Bakan R, Birmingham CL, Aeberhardt L, Goldner EM. Dietary zinc intake of
 vegetarian and nonvegetarian patients with anorexia nervosa. *Int J Eat Disord.* 1993; 13: 229-
 33.

73 Stokes N, Gordon CM, DiVasta A. Vegetarian Diets and Mental Health in
 Adolescents with Anorexia Nervosa. *Journal of Adolescent Health.* 2011; 48.

74 Worsley A, Skrzypiec G. Teenage vegetarianism: Beauty or the beast? *Nutrition
 Research.* 1997; 17: 391-404.

75 Chan AS, Sze SL, Woo J, Yu RH. A Chinese Chan-based lifestyle intervention
 improves memory of older adults. *Front Aging Neurosci.* 2014; 6: 50.

76 Yu R, Woo J, Chan AS, Sze SL. A Chinese Chan-based mind-body intervention
 improves psychological well-being and physical health of community-dwelling elderly: a
 pilot study. *Clin Interv Aging.* 2014; 9: 727-36.

77 Wolpert T. Nutritional psychology: Is diet the key to psychological health? *Vegetarian
 Times (USA).* 1982.

78 Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, et al. The
 Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *Bmj.* 2011; 343:
 d5928.

- 79 Peters JL, Sutton AJ, Jones DR, Abrams KR, Rushton L. Comparison of two methods to detect publication bias in meta-analysis. *JAMA*. 2006; 295: 676-80.
- 80 Sterne JA, Sutton AJ, Ioannidis JP, Terrin N, Jones DR, Lau J, et al. Recommendations for examining and interpreting funnel plot asymmetry in meta-analyses of randomised controlled trials. *BMJ*. 2011; 343: d4002.
- 81 Melina V, Craig W, Levin S. Position of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics: Vegetarian Diets. *J Acad Nutr Diet*. 2016; 116: 1970-80.
- 82 Ambroszkiewicz J, Chelchowska M, Rowicka G, Klemarczyk W, Strucińska M, Gajewska J. Anti-Inflammatory and Pro-Inflammatory Adipokine Profiles in Children on Vegetarian and Omnivorous Diets. *Nutrients* 2018.
- 83 Alewaeters K, Clarys P, Hebbelinck M, Deriemaeker P, Clarys JP. Cross-sectional analysis of BMI and some lifestyle variables in Flemish vegetarians compared with non-vegetarians. *Ergonomics*. 2005; 48: 1433-44.
- 84 Iguacel I, Miguel-Berges ML, Gomez-Bruton A, Moreno LA, Julian C. Veganism, vegetarianism, bone mineral density, and fracture risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Rev*. 2019; 77: 1-18.
- 85 Davey GK, Spencer EA, Appleby PN, Allen NE, Knox KH, Key TJ. EPIC-Oxford: lifestyle characteristics and nutrient intakes in a cohort of 33 883 meat-eaters and 31 546 non meat-eaters in the UK. *Public Health Nutr*. 2003; 6: 259-69.
- 86 Arain M, Haque M, Johal L, Mathur P, Nel W, Rais A, et al. Maturation of the adolescent brain. *Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat* 2013; 449-61.
- 87 Rao TS, Asha MR, Ramesh BN, Rao KS. Understanding nutrition, depression and mental illnesses. *Indian J Psychiatry*. 2008; 50: 77-82.
- 88 Hvas AM, Juul S, Bech P, Nexø E. Vitamin B6 level is associated with symptoms of depression. *Psychother Psychosom*. 2004; 73: 340-3.
- 89 De Berardis D, Orsolini L, Serroni N, Girinelli G, Iasevoli F, Tomasetti C, et al. A comprehensive review on the efficacy of S-Adenosyl-L-methionine in Major Depressive Disorder. *CNS Neurol Disord Drug Targets*. 2016; 15: 35-44.
- 90 Aucoin M, LaChance L, Cooley K, Kidd S. Diet and Psychosis: A Scoping Review. *Neuropsychobiology*. 2018: 1-23.
- 91 Fernstrom JD. Dietary amino acids and brain function. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 1994; 94: 71-7.
- 92 Schmidt JA, Rinaldi S, Scalbert A, Ferrari P, Achaintre D, Gunter MJ, et al. Plasma concentrations and intakes of amino acids in male meat-eaters, fish-eaters, vegetarians and vegans: a cross-sectional analysis in the EPIC-Oxford cohort. *Eur J Clin Nutr*. 2016; 70: 306-12.
- 93 Soni M, Rahardjo TB, Soekardi R, Sulistyowati Y, Lestariningsih, Yesufu-Udechuku A, et al. Phytoestrogens and cognitive function: a review. *Maturitas*. 2014; 77: 209-20.
- 94 Perry CL, McGuire MT, Neumark-Sztainer D, Story M. Characteristics of vegetarian adolescents in a multiethnic urban population. *J Adolesc Health*. 2001; 29: 406-16.
- 95 Satija A, Hu FB. Plant-based diets and cardiovascular health. *Trends Cardiovasc Med*. 2018; 28: 437-41.
- 96 Corrin T, Papadopoulos A. Understanding the attitudes and perceptions of vegetarian and plant-based diets to shape future health promotion programs. *Appetite*. 2017; 109: 40-47.
- 97 Song M, Fung TT, Hu FB, Willett WC, Longo V, Chan AT, et al. Animal and plant protein intake and all-cause and cause-specific mortality: results from two prospective US cohort studies. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2016; 176: 1453-63.