

Evaluation of GenoFlow DR-MTB Array Test for Detection of Rifampin and Isoniazid Resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

B. Molina-Moya,^{a,c} G. Kazdaglis,^d A. Lacombe,^{a,c} C. Prat,^{a,c} A. Gómez,^{a,c} R. Villar-Hernández,^{a,c} E. García-García,^{a,c} L. Haba,^a J. Maldonado,^e S. Samper,^{c,f,g} J. Ruiz-Manzano,^{b,c} V. Ausina,^{a,c} J. Domínguez^{a,c}

Servei de Microbiologia^a and Servei de Pneumologia,^b Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Institut d'Investigació Germans Trias i Pujol, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Badalona, Spain; CIBER Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain^c; Department of Medical Microbiology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece^d; Serveis Clínics, Barcelona, Spain^e; Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud, Zaragoza, Spain^f; Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza, Spain^g

The aim of this study was to evaluate the GenoFlow DR-MTB array test (DiagCor Bioscience, Hong Kong) on 70 cultured isolates and 50 sputum specimens. The GenoFlow array test showed good sensitivity and specificity compared to the phenotypic Bactec 460TB. This array accurately detected mutations in *rpoB*, *katG*, and *inhA* associated with resistance to rifampin and isoniazid.

Rapid detection and drug susceptibility testing of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* are hampered by the slow growth of mycobacteria (1). The transmission of strains resistant to both rifampin (RIF) and isoniazid (INH), i.e., multidrug-resistant (MDR) strains, remains a public health problem. These strains may harbor mutations in *rpoB* (2, 3), *katG*, and *inhA*, among other genomic regions (4, 5). The aim of this study was to evaluate the diagnostic accuracy of the GenoFlow DR-MTB array test (DiagCor Bioscience, Hong Kong) for the detection of *M. tuberculosis* molecular resistance to RIF and INH.

A total of 70 *M. tuberculosis* isolates from 70 patients and 50 sputum specimens from 25 patients (more than one specimen was obtained from nine patients) were retrospectively selected from a collection of cultured isolates and specimens recovered from the Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol (Badalona, Spain), the Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud (Zaragoza, Spain), and Serveis Clínics (Barcelona, Spain). The isolates and specimens were selected to represent different resistance profiles. The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee at Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol.

Specimens were decontaminated using Kubica's *N*-acetyl-L-cysteine NaOH method (6, 7), stained by auramine-rhodamine, graded on a scale from 0 to 3+, and cultured on Lowenstein-Jensen and Bactec 460TB (Becton Dickinson, Sparks, MD, USA). The remaining decontaminated specimens were stored at –20°C (8). The INNO-LiPA mycobacteria version 2 assay (Innogenetics, Ghent, Belgium) was used to identify *M. tuberculosis* complex organisms for all the isolates and cultures from the specimens. Drug

susceptibility testing (DST) was performed with Bactec 460TB (Bactec) using 2 µg/ml RIF and 0.1 µg/ml INH as critical concentrations (9).

For molecular drug resistance detection, DNA from isolates and specimens was extracted, as previously described (10). The GenoFlow array test consists of PCR amplification and hybridization in the FT^{PRO} flowthrough system. The mutations targeted are *rpoB* D516V, D516G, H526D, H526Y, H526L1, S531L, and S531W; *katG* S315T1 and S315T2; and *inhA* C-15T. An internal amplification control, hybridization control, and *rpoB*, *katG*, and *inhA* controls were included in each reaction. The results obtained by the array were recorded, automatically interpreted by the DiagCor software, and confirmed visually by the researcher. These

Received 23 December 2015 Returned for modification 2 February 2016

Accepted 3 February 2016

Accepted manuscript posted online 10 February 2016

Citation Molina-Moya B, Kazdaglis G, Lacombe A, Prat C, Gómez A, Villar-Hernández R, García-García E, Haba L, Maldonado J, Samper S, Ruiz-Manzano J, Ausina V, Domínguez J. 2016. Evaluation of GenoFlow DR-MTB array test for detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin Microbiol 54:1160–1163. doi:10.1128/JCM.03341-15.

Editor: G. A. Land

Address correspondence to J. Domínguez, jadomb@gmail.com.

Copyright © 2016, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

TABLE 1 Distribution of GenoFlow DR-MTB array results according to Bactec 460TB for 70 clinical isolates and 50 sputum specimens

GenoFlow result ^a	Bactec 460TB result (%) for (n) ^b :											
	Clinical isolates (70)						Sputum specimens (50)					
	RIF		INH		MDR (23)		RIF		INH		MDR (37)	
	R (23)	S (47)	R (59)	S (11)	RIF	INH	R (37)	S (13)	R (40)	S (10)	RIF	INH
R	22		41		22	17	35	1	38		35	36
S	1	47	18	11	1	6	2	11	1	10	2	1
I								1 ^c	1 ^c			

^a R, resistant; S, sensitive; I, invalid.

^b RIF, rifampin; INH, isoniazid; MDR, multidrug resistant (resistant to both rifampin and isoniazid).

^c Invalid GenoFlow results for both RIF and INH were obtained for the same specimen.

TABLE 3 Results obtained by molecular methods for the cultured isolates and sputum specimens with a discordant result between Bactec 460TB and GenoFlow DR-MTB array^a

Isolate or specimen	Bactec 460TB		GenoFlow DR-MTB array		DNA sequencing		GenoType MTBDR _{plus}		Pyrosequencing	
	RIF	INH	RIF	INH	RIF	INH	RIF	INH	RIF	INH
Isolates	R	R	516 WTØ ^b	WT	516 TAC	WT	WT	WT	516 TAC	WT
	R	R	531 TGG	WT	531 TGG	WT	WT	WT	531 TTG	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	<i>oxyR-aphC</i> G-12A	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	<i>inhA</i> T-8C	WT	<i>inhA</i> T-8C	WT	<i>inhA</i> T-8C
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT (<i>katG</i> NP)	WT	WT	WT (531 NR)	WT
	R	R	WT	WT	531 TTG	WT	531 TGG	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	<i>inhA</i> C-15T	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	<i>katG</i> S315T1	WT	<i>katG</i> S315T1	WT	WT
	S	R	WT	WT	NP	<i>katG</i> S315T1	WT	WT	WT	<i>katG</i> S315T1
	R	R	531 TTG	WT	531 TTG	WT (<i>inhA</i> , <i>oxyR-aphC</i> NP)	531 TTG	WT	531 TTG	WT
	R	R	531 TTG	WT	531 TTG	WT (<i>inhA</i> , <i>oxyR-aphC</i> NP)	531 TTG	WT	531 TTG	WT
	R	R	516 GGT	WT	516 GGT	WT (<i>inhA</i> , <i>oxyR-aphC</i> NP)	516 GGT	WT	516 GGT	WT
Specimens	R ^c	R	WT	<i>inhA</i> C-15T	NP	NP	NP	NP	NR	NP
	R ^d	R	WT	<i>katG</i> S315T1	NP	NP	NP	NP	WT	NP
	S ^e	S	531 TTG	WT	NP	NP	WT	WT	WT	WT
	R ^e	R	531 TTG	WT	NP	NP	531 TTG	WT	531 TTG	WT

^a RIF, rifampin; INH, isoniazid; WT, wild type; NP, not performed; NR, no result obtained.^b 516 WTØ, the GenoFlow probe targeting *rpoB* 516 wild type was absent.^c This specimen was smear negative.^d This specimen was smear 1+.^e This specimen was smear 3+.

MTBDR_{plus} assay for the rapid detection of multidrug-resistant tuberculosis (MDR-TB) (22). Moreover, in order to improve patient management, it is important to consider not only the molecular result (presence/absence of mutation) but also the mutation detected and its correlation with the phenotypic result and clinical outcome (23).

The main advantages of the GenoFlow assay were the use of the FT^{PRO} hybridization device, which shortens the hybridization protocol to 45 min (that of the GenoType MTBDR_{plus} assay is 2 h), and the specific software that facilitates the interpretation, report, and storage of the results. In addition, an automated hybridization device is under development, which may reduce the hands-on-time of the hybridization step. Another aspect that could also be improved is the low-throughput capacity.

In conclusion, the GenoFlow assay may be useful for rapid, sensitive, and specific screening of resistance to RIF and INH in isolates and specimens, and its performance is comparable to that of other molecular methods. Although molecular results should be confirmed by phenotypic testing, the identification of resistance can be helpful to rule out drugs and improve the management of tuberculosis patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the microbiology laboratory technicians and nurses of the Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol and Serveis Clinics for technical assistance.

No manufacturer or distributing companies played a role in the study design, conduct, collection, management, analysis, or interpretation of the data or the preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

We declare no financial interest or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in this report.

FUNDING INFORMATION

J. Domínguez is funded by the Miguel Servet program of the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (Spain). The research was partially supported by a grant from the Instituto de Salud Carlos III (PI 13/01546). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

REFERENCES

- World Health Organization. 2014. Global tuberculosis report 2014. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.who.int/tb/publications/global_report/gtbr14_main_text.pdf.
- Telenti A, Imboden P, Marchesi F, Lowrie D, Cole S, Colston MJ, Matter L, Schopfer K, Bodmer T. 1993. Detection of rifampicin-resistance mutations in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. *Lancet* 341:647–650. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736\(93\)90417-F](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0140-6736(93)90417-F).
- Ramaswamy S, Musser JM. 1998. Molecular genetic basis of antimicrobial agent resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: 1998 update. *Tuber Lung Dis* 79:3–29. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1054/tuld.1998.0002>.
- Drobniewski FA, Wilson SM. 1998. The rapid diagnosis of isoniazid and rifampicin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*—a molecular story. *J Med Microbiol* 47:189–196. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1099/00222615-47-3-189>.
- Jagielski T, Bakula Z, Roeske K, Kaminski M, Napiorkowska A, Augustynowicz-Kopec E, Zwolska Z, Bielecki J. 2014. Detection of mutations associated with isoniazid resistance in multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* clinical isolates. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 69:2369–2375. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jac/dku161>.
- Garcia LS, Isenberg HD. 2007. Clinical microbiology procedures handbook, 2nd ed update. ASM Press, Washington, DC.
- Kent PT, Kubica GP. 1985. Public health mycobacteriology: a guide for

- the level III laboratory. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC.
8. Tessema B, Beer J, Emmrich F, Sack U, Rodloff AC. 2011. Rate of recovery of *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* from frozen acid-fast-bacillus smear-positive sputum samples subjected to long-term storage in North-west Ethiopia. *J Clin Microbiol* 49:2557–2561. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00059-11>.
 9. World Health Organization. 2008. Policy guidance on drug-susceptibility testing (DST) of second-line antituberculosis drugs WHO/HTM/TB/2008.392. World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/70500/1/WHO_HTM_TB_2008.392_eng.pdf.
 10. Lacoma A, García-Sierra N, Prat C, Ruiz-Manzano J, Haba L, Roses S, Maldonado J, Domínguez J. 2008. GenoType MTBDRplus assay for molecular detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains and clinical samples. *J Clin Microbiol* 46:3660–3667. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.00618-08>.
 11. Coll P, Aragon LM, Alcaide F, Espasa M, Garrigo M, Gonzalez J, Manterola JM, Orus P, Salvado M. 2005. Molecular analysis of isoniazid and rifampin resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* isolates recovered from Barcelona. *Microb Drug Resist* 11:107–114. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2005.11.107>.
 12. García-Sierra N, Lacoma A, Prat C, Haba L, Maldonado J, Ruiz-Manzano J, Gavin P, Samper S, Ausina V, Domínguez J. 2011. Pyrosequencing for rapid molecular detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains and clinical specimens. *J Clin Microbiol* 49:3683–3686. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01239-11>.
 13. Bossuyt PM, Reitsma JB, Bruns DE, Gatsonis CA, Glasziou PP, Irwig LM, Moher D, Rennie D, de Vet HC, Lijmer JG, Standards for Reporting of Diagnostic Accuracy. 2003. The STARD statement for reporting studies of diagnostic accuracy: explanation and elaboration. *Ann Intern Med* 138:W1–W12.
 14. Arentz M, Sorensen B, Horne DJ, Walson JL. 2013. Systematic review of the performance of rapid rifampicin resistance testing for drug-resistant tuberculosis. *PLoS One* 8:e76533. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0076533>.
 15. Al-Mutairi NM, Ahmad S, Mokaddas E. 2011. Performance comparison of four methods for detecting multidrug-resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* strains. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 15:110–115.
 16. Bwanga F, Hoffner S, Haile M, Joloba ML. 2009. Direct susceptibility testing for multi drug resistant tuberculosis: a meta-analysis. *BMC Infect Dis* 9:67. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2334-9-67>.
 17. Seifert M, Catanzaro D, Catanzaro A, Rodwell TC. 2015. Genetic mutations associated with isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: a systematic review. *PLoS One* 10:e0119628. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0119628>.
 18. Molina-Moya B, Lacoma A, Prat C, Diaz J, Dudnyk A, Haba L, Maldonado J, Samper S, Ruiz-Manzano J, Ausina V, Domínguez J. 2015. AID TB resistance line probe assay for rapid detection of resistant *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* in clinical samples. *J Infect* 70:400–408. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2014.09.010>.
 19. Molina-Moya B, Lacoma A, Prat C, Pimkina E, Diaz J, García-Sierra N, Haba L, Maldonado J, Samper S, Ruiz-Manzano J, Ausina V, Domínguez J. 2015. Diagnostic accuracy study of multiplex PCR for detecting tuberculosis drug resistance. *J Infect* 71:220–230. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2015.03.011>.
 20. Molina-Moya B, Latorre I, Lacoma A, Prat C, Domínguez J. 2014. Recent advances in tuberculosis diagnosis: IGRAs and molecular biology. *Curr Treat Options Infect Dis* 6:377–391.
 21. Pai M, Schito M. 2015. Tuberculosis diagnostics in 2015: landscape, priorities, needs, and prospects. *J Infect Dis* 211(Suppl 2):S21–S28.
 22. Ruesch-Gerdes S, Ismail N, Denking C, Gilpin C, Tahirli R, van Deun A, Rigouts L, Hillemann D. 2015. Report for WHO. Non-inferiority evaluation of Nipro NTM+MDRTB and Hain GenoType MTBDRplus V2 line probe assays. Version 4.1. Foundation for Innovative New Diagnostics, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.finddiagnostics.org/export/sites/default/programs/tb/documents/LPA-report_noninferiority-study_oct2015.pdf.
 23. Domínguez J, Boettger EC, Cirillo D, Cobelens F, Eisenach KD, Gagneux S, Hillemann D, Horsburgh R, Molina-Moya B, Niemann S, Tortoli E, Whitelaw A, Lange C, TBNET and RESIST-TB Networks. 2016. Clinical implications of molecular drug resistance testing for *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*: a TBNET/RESIST-TB consensus statement. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 20:24–42.

AUTHOR CORRECTION

Correction for Molina-Moya et al., Evaluation of GenoFlow DR-MTB Array Test for Detection of Rifampin and Isoniazid Resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*

B. Molina-Moya,^{a,c} G. Kazdaglis,^d A. Lacombe,^{a,c} C. Prat,^{a,c} A. Gómez,^{a,c} R. Villar-Hernández,^{a,c} E. García-García,^{a,c} L. Haba,^a J. Maldonado,^e S. Samper,^{c,f,g} J. Ruiz-Manzano,^{b,c} J. Domínguez^{a,c}

Servei de Microbiologia^a and Servei de Pneumologia,^b Hospital Universitari Germans Trias i Pujol, Institut d'Investigació Germans Trias i Pujol, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Badalona, Spain; CIBER Enfermedades Respiratorias (CIBERES), Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Madrid, Spain^c; Department of Medical Microbiology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Thessaloniki, Greece^d; Serveis Clínics, Barcelona, Spain^e; Instituto Aragonés de Ciencias de la Salud, Zaragoza, Spain^f; Hospital Universitario Miguel Servet, Zaragoza, Spain^g

Volume 54, no. 4, p. 1160–1163, 2016. Page 1160: The byline should appear as shown above.

Citation Molina-Moya B, Kazdaglis G, Lacombe A, Prat C, Gómez A, Villar-Hernández R, García-García E, Haba L, Maldonado J, Samper S, Ruiz-Manzano J, Domínguez J. 2016. Correction for Molina-Moya et al., Evaluation of GenoFlow DR-MTB array test for detection of rifampin and isoniazid resistance in *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. J Clin Microbiol 54:2211. doi:10.1128/JCM.01181-16.

Copyright © 2016, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.