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\textbf{ABSTRACT}- Optimization of the efficiency of an induction heating application is essential in order to improve both reliability and performance. For this purpose, multi-stranded cables with litz structure are often used in induction heating applications. This paper presents an analysis and optimization of the efficiency of induction heating systems focusing on the optimal copper volume of the winding with respect to different constraints. The analysis is based on the concept of a one-strand one-turn coil, which captures the dissipative effects of an induction heating system and reduces the number of variables of the analysis. An expression for the efficiency of the induction heating system is derived. It is found that, with the geometry and the other parameters of the system fixed, efficiency depends on the copper volume of the windings. In order to use this result to optimize the efficiency of an application, volume restrictions, the packing factor and the window utilization factor are also considered. The optimum frequency for an induction heating system is also studied in this work. An experimental verification for both planar and solenoidal cases is also presented.

\textbf{INDEX TERMS}- Electromagnetic analysis, induction heating, loss optimization.
I. INTRODUCTION

Induction heating technology is applied in many different fields, ranging from medical [1, 2] to industrial uses [3]. Its advantages include efficient and rapid heating as well as electrical isolation. The basic arrangement of an induction heating system consists of an ac source feeding a coupled coil-target system. Usually, coils are arranged in several turns following an axisymmetric geometry adapted to the shape of the induction target. In industrial systems, solenoid-type induction systems are the most common [4, 5], whereas in medical and residential applications, planar arrangements are preferred [6, 7]. An illustration of these arrangements is depicted in Fig. 1.

The designs of induction heating coils are depend on several factors, for example, rated power, minimum loss, size, temperature, weight or a combination of these. Historically, copper tubes have been used in the industrial induction heating sphere, because they meet the specific requirements of frequency (up to several MHz), power (up to hundreds of kW) or temperature (800 °C or higher) with a moderate cost. On the other hand, litz wire is the cable of choice in residential applications, such as induction cookers, mainly due to the optimal balance between efficiency and cost. Nowadays, the growing concern about efficient power conversion makes litz wire an interesting option not only for residential applications but also for industrial applications at the medium range of frequency, power or temperature.

Considering these potential applications, it is important to have in mind temperature

![Fig. 1. Typical induction heating arrangements. (a) Planar. (b) Solenoidal.](image)
limitations of litz wire, which depends on the working temperature of the insulation materials. Therefore, litz wire coils could not be appropriate for some induction heating applications as melting, forging, or brazing because radiant or convection heating of the coil may exceed its limit temperature. However, there are other industrial applications, as the sealing of cans by means of aluminum caps, where litz wire could be an interesting option. Moreover, litz wire could be also used in combination with appropriate low-emissive bobbins (such as ceramic materials) with moderate increase the temperature due the radiation of the workpiece.

Litz wire has been object of study in many papers, mainly with the aim of loss modeling [8-13] and optimal design [14-18] of inductors and transformers for Switch Mode Power Supplies (SMPS). Comparatively, the number of studies devoted to litz-wire windings for induction heating applications is small, which in part is due to the fact that the sphere of use and market for SMPS are quite different from those of induction heating. The existing works in the field of induction heating are mainly focused on loss modeling [19, 20] and efficiency analysis [21]. The studies mentioned above for SMPS could to a greater or a lesser extent be applied to induction heating and they are undoubtedly a valuable starting point. However, coils for induction heating systems have several differences compared to the magnetic components of SMPS and, therefore, there is room for further contributions, especially in the field of the efficiency-oriented design.

The design of inductors and transformers is often focused on the minimization of winding losses, which can be accomplished by an appropriate selection of the number and radius of the strands, while considering other restrictions such as the total volume or cost. However, the design of induction heating coils should rather be focused on the efficiency of energy transference, which depends on both the dissipation in the workpiece and the losses of the windings. In an induction system these dissipations are not decoupled: rather, both depend on the global magnetic field of the specific application and the frequency, being of special
importance the frequency dependency which should be accounted for in order to optimize the induction heating system.

The winding volume of inductors and transformers for SMPS is usually closely related to the size of the bobbin and the core. The design tools developed by manufacturers or researchers [16] often includes a list of the standard bobbins in order to check if the volume occupied by the windings of the designs fits in a specific bobbin. In the induction heating field the winding volume mainly depends on the available space in each specific application, and sometimes this is a major restriction which makes the design more difficult. For example, in domestic induction heating appliances (both conventional [22] or total active surfaces [23]), the assembly of coils and power electronics in the housing imposes severe volume restrictions which are reflected in the design of the inductors. Volume restrictions become more evident in PCB implementations of planar windings with litz-wire structure [24, 25] and microfabricated inductors [26]. In other applications, the dissipated heat must be concentrated in a small area and therefore the coil should be adapted to the heating zone.

Considering the aforementioned differences, in this paper we present a study on induction heating systems with litz wire focusing on the optimization of the efficiency with respect to the copper volume. Different restrictions such as the operating frequency, the window area utilization or the radius of the strands are also considered. For this purpose, a semi-analytical method combining finite element (FEA) field simulations and formulas for calculating the loss is followed. This paper is organized as follows. In Section II an electromagnetic analysis of the efficiency of an induction heating system is presented. Section III describes the design issues. Section IV presents an experimental verification of the proposed model, and Section V summarizes the findings of this study.

II. ELECTROMAGNETIC ANALYSIS OF THE INDUCTION HEATING EFFICIENCY
The most common electrical model of an induction heating system consists of an inductance, $L_{\text{ind}}$, and resistance, $R$, connected in series, as is shown in Fig. 2. The resistance is often divided in the induced resistance term, $R_{\text{ind}}$, and the resistance of the winding, $R_{\text{w}}$ [27]. These electrical parameters can be obtained either by means of FEA simulations [25] or analytical models [6]. Both methods give frequency-dependent impedances which, assuming linearity, can be used to estimate the current $I_o$ by applying Fourier series.

The inductive efficiency of an inductor system is defined as the ratio between the power transferred to the target, $P_{\text{ind}}$, with respect to the total electrical power supplied to the coil, $P_{\text{supplied}}$. In terms of resistances, the induction efficiency can be expressed as follows [21]:

$$\eta_{\text{ind}} = \frac{P_{\text{ind}}}{P_{\text{supplied}}} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} I_o^2 R_{\text{ind}}}{\frac{1}{2} I_o^2 R} = \frac{R_{\text{ind}}}{R_{\text{ind}} + R_{\text{w}}},$$

where $I_o$ is the current of the coil, $R_{\text{ind}}$ represents the inductive power transferred to the target, and $R_{\text{w}}$ the power dissipated in the windings. These resistances are modeled in the following sections by means of FEA field simulations of the induction heating system. From these simulations, the induced impedance of an ideal-winding induction heating system, $Z_{\text{ind}}$, is extracted. The winding resistance, $R_{\text{w}}$, is calculated by combining these simulations with the ac loss model of the real cable.

A. Electromagnetic modeling of the induction system
Rectangular cross section coils of rotational symmetry are considered in this analysis. This geometry corresponds to the planar and solenoidal arrangements schematically represented in Fig. 3(a) and (b), where \( r_{\text{int}} \) and \( r_{\text{ext}} \) are the internal and external radii of the coil, respectively; and \( t \) the thickness. It is assumed that the coils consist of \( n \) equally distributed turns which are compactly wound with a litz wire of \( n_s \) isolated strands of radius \( r_w \). It is important to note that the number of turns, \( n \), and number of strands, \( n_s \), are constrained by several factors, as the packing factor of the isolated strands. This effect is later separately accounted for with design purposes.

Thus, considering the properties of an ideal litz wire (i.e. a multi-stranded wire whose strands are equivalent), the electrical current can be assumed to be uniformly distributed in over the entire cross-sectional area of the winding, \( S_{\text{winding}} \), in the required frequency range. Consequently, the coils can be assumed to an ideal conducting media, i.e. null conductivity \( \sigma = 0 \), which are modeled in the FEA simulations by the following constant current density \( \mathbf{J}_{\text{coil}} \):

\[
\mathbf{J}_{\text{coil}} = \frac{I_o}{S_{\text{turn}}} \hat{\phi} = n \frac{I_o}{S_{\text{coil}}} \hat{\phi} = n \frac{I_o}{t(r_{\text{ext}} - r_{\text{int}})} \hat{\phi},
\]

where \( \hat{\phi} \) is the unit vector representing the azimuthal direction of the system, \( S_{\text{turn}}, S_{\text{coil}} \) are the turn and the coil cross-sectional areas respectively.
The workpiece and the flux concentrator (a ferrite for the planar configuration) are also included in the system. These media are characterized by means of the electrical conductivity $\sigma_k$ and magnetic permeability $\mu_k$ where $k$ could be the load or the ferrite. In this analysis, the ferrite is considered a loss-free medium. Geometrical parameters and distances from the media to the coils are also represented in Fig. 3(a) and (b). In order to be illustrative, a FEA field simulation of a planar arrangement is represented in Fig. 4, where the workpiece has been replaced by the impedance boundary condition (IBC) [28].

**B. Analysis of inductive power transferred to the target**

The induced equivalent impedance $Z_{\text{ind}}$ of these systems is defined as $Z_{\text{ind}} = \frac{V_{\text{ind}}}{I_o}$, where $V_{\text{ind}}$ is the induced voltage of the ideal loss-free coils. Neglecting capacitive effects, $Z_{\text{ind}}$ is modeled as a resistance in series with an inductance, i.e. $Z_{\text{ind}} = R_{\text{ind}} + j\omega L_{\text{ind}}$, where $R_{\text{ind}}$ represents the inductive power transferred to the target and $L_{\text{ind}}$ represents the magnetic field of the system.

Voltage $V_{\text{ind}}$ is the integral of the azimuthal electric field, $E_\phi$, along the projection of the coil. Taking into account the axial symmetry and also considering that the coil consists of $n$ equally-distributed turns, the induced voltage is obtained by integrating $E_\phi$ over the entire winding volume divided by the cross-sectional area $S_{\text{coil}}$ and multiplied by the number of turns $n$. Therefore $V_{\text{ind}}$ becomes:

![Magnetic flux density extracted from FEA field simulations of a winding placed between a ferromagnetic medium acting as a load and a flux concentrator.](image)
\[ V_{\text{ind}} = - \oint_{\text{winding}} \mathbf{E} \cdot d\mathbf{l} = - \frac{n}{S_{\text{coil}}} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{ex}}} \int_{0}^{2\pi r} E_{\varphi} \, dz \, dr. \]  

(3)

where \( E_{\varphi} \) is obtained from the FEA simulations and \( r \) is the radial coordinate.  

Regarding the equivalent impedance, the number of turns of the coil is of especial relevance. In order to parameterize the number of turns it is convenient to consider coils with only one turn, i.e. \( n = 1 \), and the same geometries of those presented in Fig. 3. These coils are here called as one-turn coils. Let \( E_{\varphi,1} \) be the electric field generated by a one-turn coil. Therefore, the corresponding one-turn voltage \( V_{\text{ind},1} \) is:

\[ V_{\text{ind},1} = - \frac{1}{S_{\text{coil}}} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{ex}}} \int_{0}^{2\pi r} E_{\varphi,1} \, dr \, dz. \]  

(4)

It is worth to note that the length of the one-turn coil corresponds to the average length of the turns of the coil, also called \( \text{MLT} \), which is defined as:

\[ \text{MLT} = \frac{1}{S_{\text{coil}}} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{ex}}} \int_{0}^{2\pi r} rdz = \pi \left( r_{\text{ext}} + r_{\text{int}} \right). \]  

(5)

Assuming linearity of the media, the field \( E_{\varphi} \) of (3) can be calculated as \( E_{\varphi} = n E_{\varphi,1} \). Therefore, (3) can be rewritten as follows:

\[ V_{\text{ind}} = n \cdot n \left[ - \frac{1}{S_{\text{coil}}} \int_{r_{\text{in}}}^{r_{\text{ex}}} \int_{0}^{2\pi r} E_{\varphi,1} \, dr \, dz \right] = n^{2} V_{\text{ind},1}. \]  

(6)

Therefore, the impedance of the loss-free coil is:

\[ Z_{\text{ind}} = n^{2} \frac{V_{\text{ind},1}}{I_{0}} = n^{2} Z_{\text{ind},1} = n^{2} R_{\text{ind},1} + j n^{2} L_{\text{ind},1}, \]  

(7)

where \( Z_{\text{ind},1} \), \( R_{\text{ind},1} \) and \( L_{\text{ind},1} \) are the impedance, resistance and inductance of the one-turn coil, respectively.
C. **Analysis of dissipation in the windings**

The winding loss model is based on the decomposition in DC, skin and proximity losses [29]. Therefore, associating losses to resistances, the winding resistance, $R_w$, is:

$$ R_w = R_{\text{cond}} + R_{\text{prox}}, $$

where $R_{\text{cond}}$ includes the DC and skin resistances (here called conduction resistance), and $R_{\text{prox}}$ corresponds to the proximity losses induced by the coil itself.

In this case, regarding $R_w$, the number of strands of the wire is also of especial relevance. Considering ideal litz-wire structure (i.e. equivalence of strands), it is also convenient to consider the number of strands as a parameter. Therefore the analysis of $R_w$ is carried out for wires with one strand, $n_s = 1$. Taking into account the parameterization with respect to the number of turns of the previous section, in this section the loss analysis of the coil is carried out on the basis of the one-strand one-turn coil.

The conduction resistance per unit length of a round strand of radius $r_w$ is:

$$ R_{\text{cond u.l.}} = \frac{1}{\pi r_w^2 \sigma_w} \Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right), $$

where $\sigma_w$ is the conductor conductivity. The skin depth of the conductor is

$$ \delta_w = \left( \pi \mu_0 \sigma_w f \right)^{-1/2}, \quad \mu_0 \text{ being the free-space permeability and } f \text{ the frequency.} \text{ The function } \Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right) \text{ includes the geometry and frequency dependencies of the skin losses. For the case of an isolated and widely-spaced round strand, an exact expression of } \Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right) \text{ expressed in terms of Bessel functions has been known for years [30, 31]. For closely-packed multi-stranded wires this function is not exact, but a small discrepancy is observed for strand diameters equal to or lesser than skin depth [14].
Let $R_{\text{cond,11}}$ be the DC and skin resistance of the one-strand one-turn coil of strand radius $r_w$. Considering that the length of this turn is the coil volume divided by the cross section area (i.e. the $MLT$) and applying (9), $R_{\text{cond,11}}$ is:

$$R_{\text{cond,11}} = \frac{1}{\pi r_w^2 \sigma_w} \Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right) \cdot \frac{1}{S} \int_{0}^{\text{coil}} 2\pi r dr dz$$

(10)

Assuming equivalence of the strands and also assuming a strand radius equal to or lesser than skin depth, the cable can be considered as the parallel of $n_s$ equivalent strands. Moreover, the coil can be considered as the series connection of $n$ turns of $MLT$ length. Therefore, $R_{\text{cond}}$ is:

$$R_{\text{cond}} = \frac{n}{n_s} R_{\text{cond,11}} = \frac{n}{n_s} MLT \frac{1}{\pi r_w^2 \sigma_w} \Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right).$$

(11)

A similar analysis for the proximity resistance, $R_{\text{prox}}$, can be carried out. The proximity resistance per unit length of a round strand of radius $r_w$ can be written as:

$$R_{\text{prox u.l.}} = \frac{4\pi}{\sigma_w} \Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right) \left| \overline{H_o} \right|^2,$$

(12)

where $\left| \overline{H_o} \right|$ is the spatial average of the transverse magnetic field applied to the strand for a coil current $I_o = 1$ A. For the systems of Fig. 3(a) ad (b), the value of $\left| \overline{H_o} \right|^2$ at any point can be calculated by FEA and depends on the surrounding media. The geometry and frequency dependencies of the proximity resistance are included in the function $\Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right)$, which includes Bessel functions. For isolated and widely-spaced round strands an exact expression of $\Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( \frac{r_w}{\delta_w} \right)$ with Bessel functions is also known [30, 31].
Let \( R_{\text{prox},1} \) be the proximity resistance of the one-strand one-turn coil of strand radius \( r_w \).

This resistance can be calculated by applying (12), which requires \( |\mathbf{\Phi}_{o,1}|^2 \), i.e., the spatial average of the field generated by the one-turn coil at the positions of the coil. This value is obtained by integrating \( 2\pi r |\mathbf{H}_{o,1}|^2 \) on the coil volume and dividing by the cross-sectional area:

\[
R_{\text{prox},1} = \frac{4\pi}{\sigma_w} \Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( r_w / \delta_w \right) \frac{1}{S_{\text{col}}} \int_{S_{\text{col}}} 2\pi r |\mathbf{H}_{o,1}|^2 \, drdz. \tag{13}
\]

Assuming linearity of the media, \( \mathbf{H}_o \) can be expressed as the field generated by the the one-strand one-turn coil, \( \mathbf{H}_{o,1} \), multiplied by the number of turns, i.e. \( \mathbf{H}_o = n \cdot \mathbf{H}_{o,1} \).

Moreover, as in the section above, the cable is considered as the parallel of \( n_s \) strands and the coil is the series connection of \( n \) equally distributed turns. Therefore:

\[
R_{\text{prox}} = n^3 n_s \frac{4\pi}{\sigma_w} \Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( r_w / \delta_w \right) \left( 2\pi r |\mathbf{H}_{o,1}|^2 \right)_{S_{\text{col}}} = n^3 n_s R_{\text{prox},1}, \tag{14}
\]

where \( \left( 2\pi r |\mathbf{H}_{o,1}|^2 \right)_{S_{\text{col}}} \) is the mean value of \( 2\pi r |\mathbf{H}_{o,1}|^2 \) in the cross-sectional area of the coil.

It is worth noting several aspects of the last equation. Firstly, the magnetic field \( \mathbf{H}_{o,1} \) is frequency-dependent because conductive media are present in the system. Secondly,
according to the ideal model coil adopted, $\mathbf{H}_{r_w}$ is not affected by the self-induced currents in the coil conductors. This assumption is potentially valid if cables with enough stranding level are used. Third, considering that $\Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)$ of (14) is only valid for isolated and widely-spaced round strands, this equation cannot be considered as exact. However, the approximation (14) is valid if the strand radius is equal to or lesser than skin depth. Some authors have further evaluated this approximation [12].

The impedance contributions in induction heating systems and their dependencies with respect to $n$ and $n_{s}$ are summarized in Table I.

**D. LF and HF resistance approximation**

In a specific design, the optimization of the strand diameter, the number of strands or the operating frequency is usually required. However, it is cumbersome to extract practical values from (11) and (14) due to the fact that $\Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)$ and $\Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)$ include Bessel functions. Several authors have proposed alternative simplified expressions to calculate the ac loss in multi-stranded cables, [13, 32, 33]. Another possibility is to take approximations based on the asymptotic tendencies of $\Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)$ and $\Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)$ at the low-frequency (LF) and high-frequency (HF) values [34]. At the low-frequency (LF) range it can be proved that:

$$\Phi_{\text{cond}}^{\text{LF}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right) = 1 \quad r_w/\delta_w > 1$$

$$\Phi_{\text{prox}}^{\text{LF}} \left( r_w/\delta_w \right) = 1/4 \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)^4 \quad r_w/\delta_w > 1$$

(15)

The resistances for the one-strand one-turn equivalent coil become:

$$R_{\text{cond, 11}}^{\text{LF}} = R_{\text{DC, 11}}^{\text{MLT}} = \frac{1}{\sigma_w} \frac{MLT}{\pi r_w^2} \quad r_w/\delta_w < 1$$

$$R_{\text{prox, 11}}^{\text{LF}} = \pi/\sigma_w \cdot \left( 2\pi r_w \mathbf{H}_{r_w} \right)^2 \cdot \left( r_w/\delta_w \right)^4 \quad r_w/\delta_w < 1$$

(16)
Taking into account the assumptions of uniform current coil distribution and strand radius equal to or lesser than skin depth, the LF approximation is used in order to obtain practical and simple equations for the design of induction heating systems.

Concluding this section, the main assumptions adopted in the presented modeling are summarized as follows:

- Ideal winding modeled as a constant current density.
- Linear materials and loss-free flux concentrators, which allows to use the Fourier series to obtain the current for any periodic voltage waveform.
- Ideal litz-wire structure.
- Widely-spaced round strands.
- Low frequency approximation of the frequency-dependent strand losses.

### III. EFFICIENCY-ORIENTED DESIGN

A study of the induction efficiency has been carried out, focusing on the optimization of the induction efficiency with respect to some practical parameters such as the operation frequency, the winding parameters and the coil volume.

#### A. Induction efficiency and coil volume

Considering the previous modeling and applying (1), (7), (8), (11) and (14), the induction efficiency can be expressed as:

\[
\eta_{\text{ind}} = \frac{R_{\text{ind,1}}}{R_{\text{ind,1}} + \frac{1}{nn_{s}} R_{\text{cond,11}} + nn_{s} R_{\text{prox,11}}}.
\]

(17)

According to this expression, induction efficiency depends on the resistances corresponding to the one-strand one-turn coil, \( R_{\text{ind,1}} \), \( R_{\text{cond,11}} \), \( R_{\text{prox,11}} \) (which includes the frequency-dependency) and the factor \( nn_{s} \), i.e. the number of turns multiplied by the number
It can also be deduced that the induction efficiency depends on the copper volume defined as \( V_{Cu} = \pi r_w^2 \cdot MLT \).

Therefore, if the system geometry, the wire radius \( r_w \) and the operating frequency are fixed, different coils with the same result of the number of turns multiplied by the number of strands, \( nn_s \), (i.e. coils with the same copper volume) have the same efficiency. Fig. 5 shows the induction efficiency for different \( nn_s \) factors (continuous line) with respect to the frequency for a given wire radius \( r_w = 0.1 \text{ mm} \).

In the following sections, equation (17) is used to optimize the induction efficiency for design purposes.

**B. Condition of maximum efficiency**

When the winding area without geometrical restrictions, the frequency and the wire radius \( r_w \) are fixed, the solution of \( \frac{\partial \eta_{ind}}{\partial (nn_s)} = 0 \) gives the \( nn_s \) which maximizes the induction efficiency. It is worth noting that, in this case, the maximum winding area is not restricted by
the winding area of a bobbin (which is associated to a magnetic core) as occurs in SMPS.

Rather, it depends on the specific induction heating application. According to (17), the following condition is obtained:

\[ nn_{r, \text{max}} = \sqrt{\frac{R_{\text{cond,1}}}{R_{\text{prox,1}}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

The same condition can be obtained if \( R_{\text{cond}} = R_{\text{prox}} \) or, in other words, if the optimum efficiency occurs when the conduction (DC+skin) equals the proximity resistances. This result has also been found by other authors, as can be seen in several works concerning litz-wire transformer winding [14]. The maximum induction efficiency \( \eta_{\text{ind, max}} \) for a given system is represented by the dashed cyan line in Fig. 5, which corresponds to the envelope of the set of curves obtained for different values of \( nn_{r} \). This envelope was numerically obtained and subsequently represented. Nevertheless, \( \eta_{\text{ind, max}} \) can be analytically obtained by applying the result (18) in (17) giving:

\[ \eta_{\text{ind, max}} = \frac{R_{\text{ind,1}}}{R_{\text{ind,1}} + 2\sqrt{R_{\text{cond,1}}R_{\text{prox,1}}}}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Fig. 6 shows the factor \( nn_{s, \text{max}} \) as a function of frequency for different strand radii. As is shown, at a low frequency regime, the higher the frequency, the lower the \( nn_{s, \text{max}} \). In other words, for the same strand radius, an increase in the frequency allows either the number of turns or the number of strands to be reduced achieving the maximum efficiency. At a high frequency regime, \( nn_{s, \text{max}} \) is much more constant with respect to the frequency.

Equation (18) gives the theoretical \( nn_{s, \text{max}} \) value that maximizes the induction efficiency. However, for design purposes, in order to make the selection of \( r_w \) easier, it is more useful to have an expression of \( nn_{s, \text{max}} \) in terms of \( r_w \) than in terms of \( R_{\text{cond,1}}, R_{\text{prox,1}} \) because the latter
include Bessel functions among other dependencies. Therefore, applying the LF approximation of $R_{\text{cond},1}R_{\text{prox},11}$ (16), $nn_{s,\text{max}}$ is rewritten as follows:

$$nn_{s,\text{max}} = \sqrt{\frac{\text{MLT}}{2\pi |\mathbf{h}_{o,1}|^{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma_{w} \mu_{o} f R_{\text{prox},\text{av}} w^{3}} \right)_{\text{coil}}} r_{w}/\delta_{w} < 1.$$  

(20)

Moreover, applying the LF approximation, the maximum inductive efficiency, $\eta_{\text{ind, max}}$, is given by:

$$\eta_{\text{ind, max}}^{\text{LF}} = \frac{R_{\text{ind,1}}}{R_{\text{ind,1}} + \sqrt{\text{MLT} \cdot \left(2\pi |\mathbf{h}_{o,1}|^{2}\right)_{\text{coil}}}} \cdot \frac{1}{\mu_{o} \omega r_{w}} r_{w}/\delta_{w} < 1.$$  

(21)

C. Frequency design for maximum efficiency

Equation (18) provides the factor $nn_{s,\text{max}}$ which maximizes $\eta_{\text{ind}}$. According to the results presented in Fig. 5, it may seem that the maximum efficiency of a design can be achieved by simply selecting the appropriate $nn_{s,\text{max}}$ at a given frequency using the envelope of the $\eta_{\text{ind, max}}$. 

Fig. 6. Factor $nn_{s,\text{max}}$ which maximizes the efficiency as a function of the frequency for different strand radii (continuous line). Factor $nn_{s}$ which maximizes the efficiency at $f_{\text{opt}}$ for different strand radii (dashed line). Available $nn_{s,\text{avx}}$ for the solenoidal configuration of Table III (dashed line of constant value)
which is represented by the cyan curve. However, in some cases the factor \( n_n \) could be fixed for different reasons, for example for a fixed number of turns or a fixed number of strands, and the optimization should be performed for a specific \( n_n \). In these cases, it may also be interesting to obtain the frequency \( f_{\text{opt}} \) maximizing the inductive efficiency.

Therefore, for a specific \( n_n \) factor, the theoretical frequency \( f_{\text{opt}} \) at which the maximum efficiency is achieved can be obtained by \( \frac{\partial \eta_{\text{ind}}}{\partial f} = 0 \), where \( \eta_{\text{ind}} \) is given by (17). Taking into account that several terms of this equation, such as \( R_{\text{ind,1}} \), \( \Phi_{\text{cond}} \left( r_w / \delta_w \right) \), \( \Phi_{\text{prox}} \left( r_w / \delta_w \right) \) and the magnetic field, are frequency dependent and therefore are not straightforwardly derivable with respect to the frequency, the solution of the above mentioned condition has been obtained using post-processing numerical calculations. Fig. 5 shows the \( \eta_{\text{ind}} \) considering the frequency axis as the \( f_{\text{opt}} \) for a set of different \( n_n \) values. This line corresponds to the peak values of the efficiency curves for different \( n_n \) values and it is represented by a dashed magenta line. It is worth noting that this line is very close but not coincident with the \( \eta_{\text{ind,max}} \) obtained in the previous section. The difference lies in the fact that \( \eta_{\text{ind,max}} \) corresponds to the envelope whereas the \( \eta_{\text{ind}} \) at the optimal frequency \( f_{\text{opt}} \) corresponds instead to the peak of the efficiency curves.

Curves of \( n_n \) which maximize \( \eta_{\text{ind}} \) at \( f_{\text{opt}} \) for different strand radii are also shown in Fig. 6 with slopping dashed lines. As in the previous case, this line is close but not coincident with \( n_n_{\text{max}} \) for the reasons above commented.
D. Geometry winding restrictions

In the previous section, a winding area without any spatial or volume restrictions has been considered. However, the maximum copper volume is usually restricted by several factors which usually are modeled by means of the utilization factor $K_u$. This factor is defined as the ratio between the copper cross-section, $S_{Cu}$, and the coil cross-section, $S_{coil}$. Therefore, the actual copper cross-section available, $S_{Cu\text{ available}}$, is given by:

$$S_{Cu\text{ available}} = K_u \cdot S_{coil}. \quad (22)$$

The window utilization factor depends on the strand radius, the wire insulation and the packing factor of the winding. In this case, the window utilization factor is defined as the product of the strand insulation factor, $K_i$, and by the air factor, $K_a$, i.e. $K_u = K_i \cdot K_a \quad [35]$.

An estimation of $K_a$ is here proposed considering that the turns are arranged according to a square pattern and the strands according to a triangular pattern $[35]$.

$$K_a \approx \left(\pi / 4\right) \cdot \left(\pi / 2\sqrt{3}\right).$$

Therefore:

$$K_u \approx \frac{\pi \cdot \pi}{4 \cdot 2\sqrt{3}} \left(\frac{r_w}{r_w + t_{ins}}\right)^2, \quad (23)$$
where $t_{\text{ins}}$ is the insulation thickness. The window utilization factor can be approximated by a power law as follows [14]:

$$K_u = \left(\frac{r_w}{r_a}\right)^b.$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

Parameters $r_a = 0.02979$ m and $b = 0.1295$ have been obtained by means of a curve-fit tool from data provided by the manufacturers for double insulation strands [36]. The manufacturer’s curve and the fitted data are compared in Fig. 7. The approximation adopted here only considers simple arrangements which consist of a group of strands bunched and twisted into a bundle. Therefore, it doesn’t take into account more complex constructions consisting of several groups, like those described above, which are twisted into higher level bundles. Apart from this consideration, other packing factors can be considered and included by adapting the parameters $r_a$ and $b$.

Considering the window utilization factor and also considering (22) the available $nn_s$ is:

$$nn_{s,\text{ava}} = K_u S_{\text{coil}} / \pi r_w^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Fig. 8. Frequency-dependent inductive efficiency without winding restrictions, $\eta_{\text{ind, max}}$ (dash line and triangles) and with geometry restrictions $\eta_{\text{ind, ava}}$ (dashed line and squares). The feasible designs corresponds to the continuous line.
According to Fig. 7, design with small strands have smaller utilization factor. Fig. 6 shows (dashed line of constant value) the \(n_{s,ava}\) for the solenoidal configuration of the Table II and different strand radii. This value is proportional to the available copper cross section for this specific geometry.

The induction efficiency corresponding to the available copper volume, \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) is obtained by inserting \(n_{s,ava}\) in (17). Fig. 8 shows both the maximum efficiency without restrictions \(\eta_{ind,max}\) and with restrictions \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) for different strand radii. The point where both efficiencies meet corresponds to an optimum design which takes exactly the available volume. At lower frequencies the theoretical \(n_n\) would require more space than is available and therefore designs with maximum efficiency are not feasible and efficiency decreases. However, at higher frequencies the theoretical \(n_n\) would require less space than is available and therefore the efficiency is coincident with \(\eta_{ind,max}\). In Fig. 8 the curve of feasible designs, composed of sections of the \(\eta_{ind,max}\) and \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) curves, is represented by continuous line.

Fig. 9. Induction efficiency for different strand radii considering the winding restrictions, \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) (continuous line). The optimum available efficiency \(\eta_{ind,opt,ava}\) achieved for the best strand radius at each frequency, \(r_{w,opt,ava}\), corresponds to the dashed line and cyan square mark. The available efficiency under geometry restrictions with respect to the optimum frequency \(f = f_{opt}\) is also represented by a magenta dashed line and square mark.

According to Fig. 7, design with small strands have smaller utilization factor. Fig. 6 shows (dashed line of constant value) the \(n_{n,ava}\) for the solenoidal configuration of the Table II and different strand radii. This value is proportional to the available copper cross section for this specific geometry.

The induction efficiency corresponding to the available copper volume, \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) is obtained by inserting \(n_{n,ava}\) in (17). Fig. 8 shows both the maximum efficiency without restrictions \(\eta_{ind,max}\) and with restrictions \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) for different strand radii. The point where both efficiencies meet corresponds to an optimum design which takes exactly the available volume. At lower frequencies the theoretical \(n_n\) would require more space than is available and therefore designs with maximum efficiency are not feasible and efficiency decreases. However, at higher frequencies the theoretical \(n_n\) would require less space than is available and therefore the efficiency is coincident with \(\eta_{ind,max}\). In Fig. 8 the curve of feasible designs, composed of sections of the \(\eta_{ind,max}\) and \(\eta_{ind,ava}\) curves, is represented by continuous line.
As has been mentioned, $\eta_{\text{ind,ava}}$ is obtained by considering $nn_{s,ava}$ in (17). Applying the LF asymptotic approximation, the following available efficiency is obtained:

$$
\eta_{\text{ind,ava}}^{LF} = \frac{R_{\text{ind,1}}}{R_{\text{ind,1}} + \frac{1}{K_s} \frac{MLT}{\sigma_w} + \frac{1}{\sigma_w} \left( \frac{2\pi \left| H_{s,1} \right|^2}{\delta_w} \right)}
$$

(26)

From the design point of view, it is of interest to calculate the strand radius which provides the optimum efficiency at a fixed frequency considering the geometry restrictions $r_{s,\text{opt,ava}}$ and also considering the system geometry. This radius can be obtained by applying the condition $\frac{\partial \eta_{\text{ind,ava}}^{LF}}{\partial r_w} = 0$ in (26), resulting in the following expression:

$$
r_{w,\text{opt,ava}} = \left( b + 2 \left( \frac{2\pi \left| H_{s,1} \right|^2}{\delta_w} \right) S_{\text{coil}} \right)^{\frac{1}{b+1}} \left( \frac{MLT}{\sigma_w} \left( \frac{r_w}{b} \right)^{b+1} \right).
$$

(27)

The optimum efficiency achieved with these strands, $\eta_{\text{ind,opt,ava}}$, can be calculated by inserting $r_{w,\text{opt,ava}}$ in (26). Fig. 9 shows a set of curves of the induction efficiency with respect to the frequency for different strands when winding restrictions are considered. The envelope of the complete set of curves defines the optimum available efficiency at different frequencies for the strand radii given in (27). It is interesting to remark that at the low frequency range, the thicker the wire, the higher the efficiency; whereas at the higher frequency range it is inferred that the efficiency can be improved by using finer strands.

Regarding the set of curves in Fig. 9, for a fixed strand radius a frequency can be calculated at which the efficiency is optimized. This frequency is called $f_{\text{opt,ava}}$ because the geometry restrictions are also considered. As in the previous section, this frequency is obtained by numerical processing. In Fig. 9 the magenta line represents the peaks of efficiency for different strand radii. As in the previous section, the efficiencies at $f_{\text{opt,ava}}$ are
lower than the envelope curve called $\eta_{\text{ind,opt,ava}}$. This fact can be explained by means of an example. The peak efficiency of the strand radius $r_w = 0.05$ mm at $f_{\text{opt,ava}} = 100$ kHz is slightly higher than 96%. However, the cyan curve indicates that a $\eta_{\text{ind,opt,ava}} \approx 96.8\%$ could be achieved at $f = 100$ kHz by using a strand with a radius smaller than $r_w = 0.05$ mm. Some results which could help when selecting the strand radius for optimal efficiency at a fixed frequency are given in the next section.

E. Selection of the strand radii at a fixed frequency

Usually, the switching frequency of a specific application is fixed or bounded by different reasons and therefore the strand radius should be selected according to an optimal efficiency criterion. Fig. 10 shows the strand radii corresponding to the envelope and peak of efficiency curves, called $r_{w,\text{opt,ava}}$ and $r_{w} | f = f_{\text{opt,ava}}$, respectively. According to this figure, the strand radius $r_w = 0.1$ mm is the best option at a fixed frequency of $f = 12$ kHz. On the other hand, at $f = 100$ kHz the highest efficiency corresponds to $r_w = 20 \mu m$. Therefore, this figure shows that the higher the frequency, the smaller the radius. However, this choice could lead to
small radius and expensive designs. Considering that the cost of litz wire greatly depends on the strand diameter, several authors have proposed design methods including not only the efficiency but also the cost [15].

F. Practical design guidance

Before ending this section, a guidance of possible methodology intended to optimize the efficiency of an induction heating system is presented. This guidance is based on the optimization of different scenarios with different specifications. Two different cases are considered in each scenario.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario I: Maximum efficiency design given the frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{\text{ind}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario II: Frequency design for maximum efficiency given the wire radius</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{\text{ind}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario III: Frequency design for a fixed prototype</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{\text{ind}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario IV: Optimal copper volume design given wire radius and frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_w$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_n$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\eta_{\text{ind}}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table II summarizes these scenarios and also includes the specified parameters (highlighted by gray), the equations used in each case, the resulting parameters of the
calculations, and the figures used in the optimization. For this reason, the ordinal number of
scenarios and cases also corresponds to the labels which appear in curves of Fig. 5, Fig. 6,
Fig. 8, Fig. 9, Fig. 10. The optimization carried out in some scenarios is explained as follows.

Scenario I corresponds to an optimization case in which the frequency is specified and the
radius of the strand, the number of turns and the number of strands for maximum efficiency
have to be determined. In this case, the strand radius is calculated by means of (27). Once
\( r_{w,\text{opt, ava}} \) is calculated, the available product of the number of turns by the number of strands is
calculated by means of (25). The achieved efficiency at this design is obtained by using (26).
In the Scenario II the radius of the strand is specified and the optimization consists of
calculating the frequency, the number of strands and turns which maximizes the efficiency.
Scenario III corresponds to a case where the prototype geometry is specified and the
optimization consists of determining the frequency which maximizes the efficiency. Finally,
in scenario IV the copper volume which optimizes the efficiency for a given strand radius and
frequency is determined. This optimization is often required for magnetic design of SMPS.

Before ending this Section, it is worth to comment some aspects of the design of
solenoidal arrangements (Fig. 3(b)) because its design is more similar to the magnetic design
for SMPS than planar arrangements (Fig. 3(a)). In magnetic design for SMPS, it is usual to
consider if a specific design fits in a smaller bobbin in order to reduce the size of the
application. Redesigns usually lead to changes of some parameters, as the frequency or the
strand radius. Similarly, the effect of the external radius of the solenoidal arrangement of
Table III (whose internal radius is 12.5 mm) is analyzed by means of the presented method.
The results are presented in Fig. 11. As it is shown, designs with smaller external radii can be
obtained by increasing the operating frequency. In general it is also observed that at a fixed
frequency, the increase of the external radius lead to a reduction of the efficiency.
IV. EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION

A. Small-signal tests

Several planar and solenoidal coils were built in order to verify the previous results. A picture of both arrangements is depicted in Fig. 12. For both configurations, three prototypes with different numbers of turns, strands and constant $nn_s$ were tested. The parameters of the prototypes are presented in Table III. For the solenoidal configuration the manufactured $nn_s$ factor was 1200.

The small signal tests consisted of resistance measurements and comparisons with the

Fig. 11. Efficiency of the solenoidal induction heating system with respect to the external radius of the coil at different frequencies.

![Graph showing efficiency versus external radius for different frequencies.]

Fig. 12. Experimental arrangements. (a) Planar. (b) Solenoidal.
results of the model described in the Section II. The resistance was measured by means of a high precision Agilent E4980A LCR-meter. The signal level was set to 10 mA and the frequency was ranged between 1 kHz and 2 MHz. Coils were measured in different scenarios which include different media. The media properties are presented in Table IV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE III GEOMETRY OF THE PROTOTYPES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Definition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil internal radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil external radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coil thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance coil load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance coil flux-concentrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux concentrator thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wire radius</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factor $nn_x$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE IV: MEDIA CHARACTERISTICS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permeability, $\mu_r$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electric conductivity, $\sigma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flux concentrator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planar load</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solenoidal Load</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12(a) shows experimental and calculated resistance for the three planar coils with constant $nn_x$ in air. Moreover, Fig. 12(b) shows experimental and calculated resistances for the three planar coils placed between a conductive media (the work-piece) and the flux concentrator. The experimental and calculated resistances for solenoidal coils in air and with the target load are presented in Fig. 13(a) in and Fig. 13(b), respectively. In general, a good agreement is observed between the measured and calculated results in the different tested scenarios, which confirm the accuracy of the proposed model. Moreover, considering the experimental verification, the discrepancy observed at low frequency in the resistance of some configurations is associated with the low resistance values at the low frequency range, i.e.
tens of mΩ. At this frequency range the resistance of the coil almost corresponds to the DC resistance and therefore measurements are affected by the setup arrangement, as the proper connection of all strands, length of the terminals, among others.

Fig. 14 and Fig. 15 show the experimental and calculated values of the inductive efficiency for the prototypes with planar and solenoidal configurations, respectively. The experimental results were obtained according to the method proposed in [21], which combines the results shown in the previous figures to obtain the experimental efficiency values. This method assumes that the calculated $R_{\text{ind}}$ can be used for estimating the experimental efficiency if the total measured resistance matches with calculations. According
to Fig. 14 and Fig. 15, coils with constant \( nn_s \) (and for the same wire radius) have the same induction efficiency. This result is valid for both planar and solenoidal configurations.

### B. Test under real working conditions

Litz wire has been used in induction cooking much more widely than in industrial induction heating. There are several reasons for this, mainly derived from the superior thermal performance of copper tubes such as high temperature operation and possibility of cooling. Other reasons are the low cost of copper tubes and the limitations of litz wire above 1 MHz [37]. However, in industrial applications with lower thermal requirements, low operation
frequency or oriented to high efficiency performance, litz wire could compete with copper tubes.

A solenoidal coil in real working conditions was tested with the purpose of verifying if litz wire is a feasible option for application in several induction systems. The solenoidal coil consisted of $n = 30$ turns which were wound with a cable of $n_s = 40$ strands of $r_w = 0.1$ mm, i.e. $nn_s = 1200$. The coil was fed by a half-bridge series resonant inverter. The switching frequency at resonance was equal to the optimum operation frequency $f_{opt} = 61.4$ kHz for the considered design. Other parameters of the setup were: resonant capacitor $C_r = 600$ nF, dc bus voltage amplitude $V_{dc} = 50$ V and output power $P_o = 500$W [27]. The equivalent circuit of the converter connected to the induction heating system and the main waveforms captured are represented in Fig. 16. According to the waveform of the inductor current $i_o$, it can be deduced that the first harmonic current contains most power. In these applications with resonant converters working near the resonant frequency it is often considered that the first harmonic carries up to the 95% of the total power [38].
Moreover, thermocouples have been placed in outermost turns ($T_{\text{wire1}}$, $T_{\text{wire2}}$) and in the internal wall of the bobbin ($T_{\text{bobbin}}$). Several tests were carried out. The location of the thermocouples is pointed out and the measured temperatures after 50 seconds at nominal power are depicted in Fig. 17.

Moreover Fig. 18 shows a picture of the prototype in real working conditions in different times (15, 25 and 35 seconds) along the test realized. These tests correspond to an extreme case where the workpiece is heated up to red hot (about 800 °C). However, according to Fig.
the temperature of both the copper and the bobbin is much lower. As it was commented in the introduction, low-emissive materials for the bobbin help to not exceed the self-heating of the windings.

V. CONCLUSION

This work presents an analysis of the efficiency of litz-wire induction heating systems with respect to the frequency and geometry parameters (number of turns, number of strands and wire radius). The analysis reveals that the induction efficiency could be maximized with respect to the number of turns multiplied by the number of strands (which is equivalent to the copper volume) for fixed frequency and strand diameter. Moreover, an optimization of the induction efficiency with respect to the operation frequency for a given coil geometry is also derived by means of a post-processing tool. Furthermore, strand radius selection criteria have been provided and the optimum wire radius maximizing the inductive efficiency under geometry restrictions is also investigated. Finally, several measurements have been carried out in order to verify the proposed calculation method.
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