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ABSTRACT

Low-dimensional misfit layered compounds have been found to have ultra-low thermal conductivity, which is attributed to their unique structure and the low-dimensionality. There are a few studies reporting the preparation of sulfide-based misfit nanotubes but only one study on oxide-based analogs. In this investigation, we report a new oxide-based misfit nanotube derived from misfit layered strontium cobaltite. Thorough structural investigation by electron microscopy techniques, including electron diffraction, aberration corrected high-resolution (scanning) transmission electron microscopy and electron energy-loss spectroscopy along with density functional theory calculations show that these nanotubes consist of alternating layers of SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$. We have studied systematically the effect of base concentration on the structure and composition of the nanotubes, which reveals the importance of misfit stress to tightly roll the structure into tubular form and thus control the synthesis. Electronic structure calculations find that the structures are semiconducting with a ferrimagnetic ground state. Our studies further extend the family of bulk misfit layered oxides into the 1D realm with potential applications in thermoelectric and electronic devices.
INTRODUCTION

Misfit layered compounds have been a subject of interest for the last three decades due mainly to their unique structure that lends them to potential thermoelectric applications for clean energy conversion.\textsuperscript{1-8} Misfit layered compounds (MLC) can be considered to be composite materials made by assembling two different kinds of layers, which have different crystal structure and lattice parameters.\textsuperscript{1} The properties of the composite structure vary from that of the individual components due to mutually incommensurate structural modulation that is imposed by one layer over the other to adjust to the difference in the crystal structure. The ability to modify each layer individually in misfit layered compounds can be very helpful for fine-tuning their properties and for the designing of advanced applications. Similar strategies have effectively been used to reduce the thermal conductivities of different misfit materials.\textsuperscript{4,9}

Among these compounds, oxide-based MLCs have attracted significant interest over the last few years as promising thermoelectric materials\textsuperscript{5,10} that also show chemical and thermal stabilities at high temperatures. Calcium cobalt oxide (\([\text{Ca}_2\text{CoO}_3][\text{CoO}_2]_{1.62}\) with the overall approximate composition \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\)) is a well-studied material in this category. It consists of three-atom thick rocksalt type \(\text{Ca}_2\text{CoO}_3\) layers sandwiched between edge-sharing octahedral \(\text{CoO}_2\) layers along the \(c\)-axis.\textsuperscript{11} In spite of being metallic, \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\) shows surprisingly high Seebeck coefficient \((S_{300\text{K}} \approx 125 \text{ \mu VK}^{-1})\). Replacing Ca by Sr leads to \([\text{Sr}_2\text{CoO}_3][\text{CoO}_2]_{1.8}\) with the overall approximate composition \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\) that exhibits a somewhat reduced Seebeck coefficient \((S_{300\text{K}} \approx 110 \text{ \mu VK}^{-1})\)\textsuperscript{12} but increased electrical conductivity thus considerably enhancing the overall thermoelectric power factor.\textsuperscript{13} But synthesizing those materials has been found to be very demanding because only 30 at\% of Sr could be substituted in the host lattice of \(\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\).\textsuperscript{13,14} However, the structure of \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\) can be
stabilized by doping either Ge or Ti in the lattice. Later, Sakai et al. showed that, in fact, Sr₃Co₄O₉ can be stabilized without any further doping after nanostructuration in thin-film form.

It is well known that reducing the dimensionality of materials can improve their thermoelectric behavior significantly. Lately, there has been some notable progress in synthesizing such low-dimensional misfit compounds and studying their structure in one-dimensional nanotubular form. Most of these reports deal with chalcogenide-based misfit compounds. However, very recently, a new route toward the synthesis of oxide-based misfit nanotubes were reported. In the first step of the reaction, the bulk Ca₃Co₄O₉ compound was synthesized by thermally-activated reaction of the binary acetates of calcium and cobalt. The misfit structure of the bulk compound is depicted in Fig. 1a. It consists of alternating layers of three atom thick Ca₂CoO₃ (CaO-CoO-CaO) layers with a distorted rocksalt structure and hexagonal CoO₂ edge-sharing octahedra (rhombohedrally distorted) layers along c-direction. The overall symmetry of the Ca₃Co₄O₉ is monoclinic. The layers share a common c- and a-axis, but are incommensurate along the b-axis. The ratio of the b-axes of the two sublattices is an irrational number. Therefore, the bulk compound has an approximate bulk composition represented by Ca₃Co₄O₉. Recently, we have shown that hydrothermal treatment of the Ca₃Co₄O₉ in basic conditions leads to abstraction of a CaO layer from the guest Ca₂CoO₃ layer yielding a new guest layer of the composition CaCoO₂ intercalated into the host CoO₂ layers (see schematic depiction in Fig. 1b). The Co atom in the newly formed CaCoO₂ layer is 3-fold coordinated with the oxygen atoms in a trigonal monopyramidal fashion. The Ca atoms bonds with the corresponding oxygen atoms thus this structure can be viewed as a quasi-1D, puckered chains along the a-axis. However, no structural change is observed in the CoO₂ edge-sharing octahedral arranged in hexagonal symmetry. This asymmetric structure lends itself to spontaneous scrolling, producing a new kind of misfit nanotube. Here again,
the ratio of the $b$-axes of the two subunits is an irrational number. The approximate composition of the nanotubes ($\text{CaCo}_{2.62}\text{O}_{5.25}$ or $\text{Ca}_5\text{Co}_{13}\text{O}_{26}$) was obtained from the projection of the ($a$-$b$) surface areas of the two subunits. Thus, the composition and structure of the nanotubes was found to be different from that of the bulk starting material. Detailed band structure calculations\textsuperscript{19} indicated that while the bulk material is metallic, the nanotubes are semiconductors, thus holding promise for variety of applications. Misfit $\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ possess a similar crystal structure (see Figure S1b in supporting information) as $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ with lattice periodicity of 1.08 nm along the $c$-axis. However, the analogous Sr-based nanotubes, $\text{SrCoO}_2\text{-CoO}_2$, have not been synthesized so far. In spite of little lower Seebeck coefficient of bulk $\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ compared to bulk $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$, strontium cobaltite offers superior thermoelectric properties over bulk calcium cobaltite, due to lesser resistivity values of $\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ that increases the overall power factor. Similarly, we anticipate similar enhancement of thermoelectric properties in the lower band gap Sr-based nanotubes, providing the impetus for this work.

\textbf{Figure 1.} Schematic representation of the crystal structure of (a) bulk $\text{Ca}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9$ ($\text{Ca}_2\text{CoO}_3\text{-CoO}_2$), (b) crystal structure of $\text{CaCoO}_2\text{-CoO}_2$ in nanotubular form- rearrangement of atoms after removal of CaO layer from $\text{Ca}_2\text{CoO}_3\text{-CoO}_2$
In this paper, we use strategies analogous to our previous work to prepare SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ misfit nanotubes and nanoscrolls, starting from the bulk Sr$_2$CoO$_3$-CoO$_2$ misfit compound. Thorough structural studies are conducted by various electron microscopy techniques, including electron diffraction, aberration corrected high-resolution (scanning) transmission electron microscopy (HR-(S)TEM) and electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS). The structural information gathered at the nanoscale by these TEM techniques are found to be in excellent agreement with density functional theory (DFT) simulations. Furthermore, DFT also shed light on the electronic and magnetic properties of the nanotubes, and show that these structures are ferrimagnetic semiconductors unlike the metallic bulk phase.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

SrCoO-CoO$_2$ nanotubes were synthesized by a procedure similar to the hydrothermal method described in Ref. Strontium acetate (Sigma-Aldrich, 99.995%) and cobalt acetate tetrahydrate, (Alpha Aesar, >98%) were dissolved in milli Q water in 3:4 ratios and heated at 90 °C until formation of a gel. The gel was placed in a furnace, which was preheated to 900 °C, and held at that temperature for 12 h before cooling down to room temperature to obtain the bulk Sr$_3$Co$_4$O$_9$ phase, i.e. the misfit structure Sr$_2$CoO$_3$-CoO$_2$. The nanotubes were obtained by the hydrothermal treatment of the bulk Sr$_3$Co$_4$O$_9$ structure in basic conditions. In a typical synthesis, 100 mg of Sr$_3$Co$_4$O$_9$ was sonicated for 10 min in 17 ml NaOH solution (0.75 M). To check the influence of this parameter, the synthesis was repeated with two other NaOH concentrations: 1.5 and 2M. The supernatant was then hydrothermally treated in Teflon lined autoclave at 200 °C for 12h before it naturally cooled down to room temperature. The final powder product was washed several times with Milli Q water and centrifuged to become pH~7, and finally dried in vacuum.
A Rigaku diffractometer (TTRAX III) was used for the X-Ray diffraction (XRD) analysis. Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images were taken using Ultra V55 Zeiss SEM. Conventional selected-area electron-diffraction and high-resolution TEM studies were carried out with Philips CM120 TEM, operating at 120 kV, which is equipped with EDS detector (EDAX-Phoenix Microanalyzer) and JEOL JEM2100 operating at 200 kV. Advanced high-resolution STEM imaging (HR-STEM) and spatially-resolved electron energy-loss spectroscopy (SR-EELS) were performed using a FEI Titan Low-Base microscope, operated at 200 kV, and equipped with a Cs probe corrector, a monochromator, an ultra-bright X-FEG electron source, and a Gatan Tridiem ESR 865 EELS spectrometer. HR-STEM imaging was performed by using an annular dark field (ADF) detector. The probe convergence semi-angle was equal to 25 mrad and the inner and outer angles for ADF imaging were 27 and 152 mrad, respectively. Before each measurement, the spatial calibration of the microscope was controlled by using a reference sample of gold nanoparticles. ADF image simulations were performed by using the multislice Dr. Probe software and by using the experimental settings of the Titan Low Base as inputs. The calculations were done in the frozen-lattice approximation. For each slice, 30 frozen lattice states, created by introducing random atomic displacements, were computed in order to take into account thermal diffuse scattering. The energy resolution during SR-EELS investigations was about 2 eV, with a dispersion of 0.52 eV/pixel. For most of the EELS experiments, the convergence and collection angles were 18 and 17 mrad, respectively. The EELS datasets were then de-noised with the open-source program Hyperspy by using principal component analysis routines and submitted to the open-access EELS Database as references.

Computational Methods
Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Vienna Ab Initio Simulation Package (VASP). The projector-augmented wave method was used to represent Sr, Co and O atoms with valence electronic configurations of $4s^24p^65s^2$, $3d^84s^1$, and $2s^22p^4$, respectively. A kinetic energy cutoff of 525 eV was employed along with a $\Gamma$-centered $13\times13\times6$ $k$-point mesh for Brillouin zone sampling, which is sufficient to converge the total energy to within 1 meV. A Gaussian smearing of 0.05 eV was used to accelerate electronic convergence. Electronic wavefunctions were converged to $10^{-4}$ eV. The Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) form of the generalized-gradient approximation was used to describe electron exchange and correlation. The rotationally-invariant DFT+U approach of Dudarev et al. was applied to treat the strongly correlated $d$-electrons of Co with onsite corrections of $U=5$ eV and $J=1$ eV, which have been successfully employed before for calcium and strontium cobaltites. Atomic positions and cell vectors were relaxed with force and pressure tolerances of 0.01 eV/Å and 1 kbar, respectively. After structural relaxation, electronic wavefunctions, density of states, and charge and spin densities were recalculated using the Blöchl tetrahedron method (0.05 eV smearing). Charge and spin distributions were projected on to atomic volumes using the Bader analysis procedure.

DFT+U calculations can often get trapped in different local minima resulting in varying predictions of atomic spin states and magnetic configurations. Therefore, we initialized our calculations with multiple low- and high-spin states of Co atoms and performed complete structural relaxations of these various starting configurations to identify the most likely magnetic ground state.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The reaction of strontium acetate and cobalt acetate in the 3:4 ratio at 900 ºC yielded Sr$_3$Co$_4$O$_9$ (SCO) bulk compound. The XRD patterns of the product are shown in Fig. S1 in the supporting information and confirm the formation of Sr$_3$Co$_4$O$_9$ as a major phase along
with hexagonal \( \text{Sr}_6\text{Co}_5\text{O}_{15} \) as a minor product. Hydrothermal treatment of the above product in basic conditions yields nanotubes in appreciable quantities as shown by the low and high magnification SEM images (Fig. 2a and 2b). The yield of the nanotubes in this procedure was around 70%. Nanotubes synthetized with an NaOH concentration of 0.75 M are typically 200 nm long, and are 40 nm and 22 nm in their external and internal diameters, respectively. Nanotubes synthetized with higher NaOH concentration tend to have a greater length (~300 nm) and smaller diameters (25 and 15 nm for their external and internal diameter, respectively). This shows that the NaOH concentration strongly affects the morphology of the nanotubes as well as their crystallinity. Fig. 2c shows the TEM image of the \( \text{SrCoO}_2\text{-CoO}_2 \) nanotubes containing hollow core with uniform diameter throughout the tubes of about 25 nm and with wall thickness of ~5 nm.

![Figure 2](image_url)  

**Figure 2.** ((a) and (b)) SEM and (c) TEM images of as synthesized \( \text{SrCoO}_2\text{-CoO}_2 \) nanotubes.

It has been commonly observed that the inner diameters of the \( \text{SrCoO}_2\text{-CoO}_2 \) nanotubes are smaller than that of \( \text{CaCoO}_2\text{-CoO}_2 \) nanotubes synthesized under similar conditions (see Ref. 22). In the case of nanotubes synthesized from lanthanide-based misfit compounds (\( \text{LnS-} \text{CrS}_2 \), where \( \text{Ln} = \text{La, Ce, Gd and Tb} \)), the diameters of the nanotubes decrease from La to Tb
under similar reaction conditions.\textsuperscript{17,40} These observations suggest that nanotubes synthesized from heavier atoms lend themselves to smaller radii of curvature as compared to those with lighter atoms.

Figure 3 (a) HR-STEM ADF micrograph of one NT synthetized with a NaOH concentration of 0.75 M. The inset shows the corresponding low-magnification micrograph. (b) HR-STEM ADF micrograph of the upper edge of the nanotube. The dotted area corresponds to the filtered image. The green arrow indicates the area from which the intensity profile in (c) was obtained. The purple arrows highlight the two atomic layers sandwiched between the bright layers. (d) SAED pattern of an oxide-based strontium NT. A low magnification TEM image of the same nanotube is shown as an inset. The tubular axis and basal reflections are marked with green and black arrows, respectively. Spots corresponding to the same interplanar spacings are marked by dashed circles and measured values, with the corresponding Miller indices, specified. Blue and yellow colors indicate the SrCoO\textsubscript{2} and CoO\textsubscript{2} sub-systems respectively. The red color indicates spots originating from both sub-systems.
An HR-STEM micrograph of one oxide-based strontium NT, synthetized with a NaOH concentration of 0.75 M, is shown in Fig. 3a. The walls of the nanotube are clearly visible and the nanotube shows a high degree of crystallinity. Going from the surface towards the center, an alternation of bright layers can be highlighted (Fig. 3b and 3c). After a thorough spatial calibration with a reference sample, the bright layer interspacing is estimated to be 0.88 ± 0.02 nm. This value is significantly smaller than the one displayed by the bulk misfit strontium cobaltite Sr$_3$Co$_4$O$_9$ (1.08 nm). Between two bright layers, two other layers of weaker intensities can be seen in the micrograph and in the corresponding intensity profile (see purple arrows in Fig. 3c). The value of the bright layer interspacing and the number of layers in the structure suggest that the nanostructuring of the bulk strontium cobaltite follows the same scenario as the Ca counterpart, i.e., one SrO layer is removed from the bulk structure. Fig. 3d shows the SAED pattern of a nanotube along with the corresponding TEM image as an inset. The green and black arrows in Fig. 3d indicate tubular axis and basal reflections, respectively. Blue- and yellow-segmented circles indicate spots originating from the SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ sub-systems, respectively. The superstructure of alternating SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ layers has again a periodicity of 0.87 nm. Due to the mutual structural modulation in misfit layer compounds, the rocksalt layer generally tends to adapt to the hexagonal layer. Therefore, the pseudohexagonal CoO$_2$ unit cell can be defined as an ortho-hexagonal unit cell with lattice parameter $a$, $b=\sqrt{3}a$ and $c$. There are 36 spots of $\{110\}$ and $\{220\}$ reflections of SrCoO$_2$ on a circle, equal azimuthally distributed with $d$-spacings of 3.44 and 1.75 Å, respectively. Furthermore, the diffraction spots are streaked suggesting a scroll-like structure rather than a nanotube in this case. There are 36 spots of $\{200\}$ and $\{020\}$ reflections of CoO$_2$ equally distributed azimuthally on the circle with $d$-spacings of 2.34 and 1.35 Å respectively. The $a$-directions of SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ are parallel, and the lattice parameters are
commensurate in this direction. Thus, the 18 spots corresponding to the reflections of the \{200\} planes with a $d$-spacing of 2.34 Å originate from both the SrCoO$_2$ and the CoO$_2$ layers (shown in red). There are also 18 spots of \{020\} reflections of SrCoO$_2$ with $d$-spacing of 2.58 Å. The indexing of the diffraction pattern confirms that one CoO layer is missing in the NT structure when compared to the bulk misfit structure. Therefore, the phase of the NT corresponds to SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$.

As shown above in our experimental characterization, the SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ phase consists of two layers that are commensurate along the $a$-axis (4.68 Å) but incommensurate along the $b$-axis ($b_{\text{SrCoO}_2} = 5.16$ Å, $b_{\text{CoO}_2} = 2.70$ Å). Therefore, we created a simulation supercell with
composition \((\text{SrCoO}_2)_{b_1}-(\text{CoO}_2)_{b_2}\) where the periodicities of each subsystems along the \(b\) axis were chosen to be \(b_1=1\) and \(b_2=2\). The resulting mismatch strain between the two subsystems is approximately 4.5%, which is comparable to the mismatch strains as in other commonly studied structures such as the 3/2 rational approximant of calcium cobaltite.\(^{41}\) The initial structure of the \(\text{SrCoO}_2\) layer was produced by replacing the \(\text{Ca}\) atoms of the analogous relaxed structure for \(\text{CaCoO}_2\), reported in our previous work,\(^{22}\) with \(\text{Sr}\). We note that the structures being simulated here are bulk-like and hence, effects of nanotube curvature and 1D quantum confinement are not captured in the electronic structure. Nevertheless, to the extent that the synthesized nanotubes have fairly large diameters and are thick-walled, the calculated electronic properties are expected to be reasonably representative of these systems.

Starting from the initial structure discussed above, we performed DFT+U structural relaxation calculations and obtained the optimized structures displayed in Figures 4a and 4b (see Table S1 in the SI for atomic coordinates and lattice parameters). Similar to the case of \(\text{CaCoO}_2\),\(^{22}\) the \(\text{SrCoO}_2\) layer also displays a cluster-like structural pattern when viewed along the \(a\)-axis. The \(\text{Co}\) atoms of the \(\text{SrCoO}_2\) layer are threefold coordinated with the \(\text{O}\) atoms: staggered \(\text{Co-O}\) dimers (1.89 Å) are arranged (nearly) parallel to the \(a\)-axis and are connected by bridging \(\text{Co-O}\) bonds (1.83 Å); the third \(\text{Co-O}\) bond is out of plane (1.79 Å) and the corresponding \(\text{O}\) atom is also coordinated with a \(\text{Sr}\) atom. Thus, the overall structure of the \(\text{SrCoO}_2\) layer may be viewed as a collection of puckered, quasi-1D \((\text{CoO}_2)^2\) units that are coordinated with \(\text{Sr}^{2+}\) ions. The \(\text{CoO}_2\) layer retains the same structure as in the parent \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\) phase. To confirm this new structure, we have performed a multislice calculation of the HR-STEM ADF micrograph (Fig. 4d). This calculation has been developed using the DFT-relaxed structure as input. Fig. 4d and 4e displays the comparison between this simulation and the experimental image. The agreement between the experimental and simulated intensity profiles, extracted from these figures (see Fig. 4f), is excellent. The
bright-layer interspacing, corresponding to the distance between the CoO$_2$ layers, is equal to 0.86 nm and 0.88 ± 0.02 nm, for the simulated and experimental image, respectively. In addition to the consistency of the position of all the layers, the respective intensities of the CoO$_2$ layers with regards to the intensities of the two layers of weaker intensities in-between (corresponding to the SrO and CoO layers) is well-reproduced. This excellent agreement between modeling and experiment allows us to use the DFT-relaxed structure for further studies of electronic and magnetic properties of this new (SrCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$ phase.

The electronic density of states (DOS) for the (SrCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$ structure is displayed in Fig. S2a in the supporting information. Unlike bulk strontium cobaltite which is metallic, and similar to the (CaCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$ phase, we observe that (SrCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$ is semiconducting. The calculated PBE+U band gap for the majority spin channel is ~0.78 eV while that for the minority spin channel is ~1.06 eV, which are both smaller by about 0.3 eV than the previously reported gaps for (CaCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$ (1.19 eV and 1.30 eV, respectively, for majority and minority spin channels). The overall magnetic moment for the entire supercell is calculated to be 6$\mu_B$. As seen from the spin density distribution in Figure 4(c) though, there are positive magnetic moments on all Co atoms in the SrCoO$_2$ layer and localized negative magnetic moments on every other Co atom in the CoO$_2$ layer. A more quantitative estimate is obtained by integrating the spin density over the Bader volumes (see Table S1 in SI) from which we find that each Co atom in the SrCoO$_2$ layer possesses a magnetic moment of 3.01 $\mu_B$, which is consistent with a high-spin Co$^{2+}$ oxidation state. Similarly, the Co atoms in the CoO$_2$ layer possess a magnetic moment of -1.19 $\mu_B$ or 0.03 $\mu_B$; these moments are consistent with the Co atoms being in mixed-valence Co$^{3+}$ and Co$^{4+}$ low-spin states analogous to the results of Rébola et al. for bulk calcium cobaltite and our previous results for (CaCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$. Overall, the intralayer magnetic coupling in both
the SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ layers is ferromagnetic whereas the interlayer coupling is antiferromagnetic, leading to net ferrimagnetic ground state.

Up to this point, the electronic and magnetic properties of (SrCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$ that we have discussed are almost identical to (CaCoO$_2$)-(CoO$_2$)$_2$. However, as the 5s electrons of Sr are more loosely bound than the 4s electrons of Ca, one might expect quantitative differences in charge transfer between SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ layers relative to the CaCoO$_2$ variant. By summing the Bader charges over the SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ layers (see Table S1 in SI), we find a net transfer of 1.68 e$^-$ from the SrCoO$_2$ layer to the CoO$_2$ layer. The Sr atoms donate a total of 3.2 e$^-$ of which 1.52 e$^-$ are redistributed within the SrCoO$_2$ layer and 1.68e$^-$ are transferred to the CoO$_2$ layer (0.42e$^-$ per formula unit). Thus, similar to the Ca case, charge transfer from the SrCoO$_2$ layer to the CoO$_2$ layer leads to the mixed valence Co$^{3+}$/Co$^{4+}$ state of Co atoms in the CoO$_2$ layer. In comparison with our previously reported results for CaCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ (Ref. 20 and SI) we find a nearly similar degree (to within numerical error) of charge transfer to the CoO$_2$ layer in the present case.

Overall, we conclude from our DFT+U studies that the SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ phase is fairly similar to the CaCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ phase in its electronic and magnetic properties, the main difference between the two materials being the smaller band gap for the former (by ~ 0.3 eV). It is also possible that more substantial differences could arise in the transport behavior due to differences in scattering of charge carriers from Sr and Ca atoms as well as electron–phonon coupling in the two materials. In addition, calculations beyond the DFT level are needed to properly derive the optical properties of these two new materials. All of these questions will be investigated elsewhere.
Figure 5. (a) (left) HR-STEM ADF micrograph of a SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ nanotube synthesized with a NaOH concentration of 2M. The green and red spots correspond to the areas in which the red and green EELS spectra of 5b have been taken. The green arrow shows the path of the EELS scan line. The blue arrow highlights the presence of some atomic layers inside the outer layer. (right) Low-magnification micrograph of the same NT. The scale bar corresponds to 200 nm. (b) EELS spectra taken at the surface and at the center of the NT. The purple arrows highlight the Sr-M$_{2,3}$ edge. (c) ADF intensity profile recorded along the path of the EELS scan line marked by a green arrow in 5a. (d) Results of the EELS scan line showing the integrated intensities of the Sr-M$_{2,3}$, O-K and Co-L$_{2,3}$ edges as a function of the distance. The yellow dotted lines in 5a, 5c and 5d highlight the frontier between the core part of the NT and the outer layer of low crystallinity.

The driving force for rolling and unrolling in misfit-layered nanotubes or nanoscrolls is the material-dependent misfit strain in the structure. To further understand this point, we have studied the formation of nanotubes with different concentrations of NaOH. The nanotubes synthetized with higher concentrations of NaOH (1.5 and 2 M) show a lower crystalline quality (See Figs. S3 and S4 in SI). In particular, the presence of exfoliated layers, stacking...
faults and an outer layer of low-crystallinity are noticeable. Fig. 5a shows an HR-STEM ADF micrograph of a NT synthetized with a NaOH concentration of 2 M. The core part of the nanotube (above the yellow dotted line in Fig. 5a) shows a good crystallinity and the alternation of CoO$_2$ layers can be clearly seen. In this case, the CoO$_2$ interspacing is equal to 0.87 ± 0.02 nm. This shows that, whatever the NaOH concentration, the nanotubes are synthetized in the same SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ phase. The striking difference with nanotubes synthetized at lower NaOH concentration is the presence of an outer layer (below the yellow dotted line in Fig. 5a) of inferior crystallinity and whose thickness is between 10-15 nm. This layer is mostly amorphous, even if some atomic planes can be distinguished (blue arrow in Fig. 5a). To get some insight into the chemical nature of this outer layer, an EELS linescan was performed by going from the center of the nanotube toward the surface. EELS is an unrivaled tool to get a wealth of chemical information at the nanoscale$^{25,42-45}$ and has already been successfully used to reveal the structure of other misfit nanotubes.$^{21,22}$ Fig. 5b shows the EELS spectra taken in the core part and in the outer layer of the NT. In addition of to the C-K edge resulting from carbon surface contamination, the O-K and Co-L$_{2,3}$ edges can be clearly seen revealed at 530 and 780 eV, respectively. In addition, one sharp peak situated at 260 eV and belonging to the Sr-M$_{2,3}$ edge (see purple arrows in Fig. 5b and in the corresponding inset) can be highlighted in the core part of the NT, whereas it is absent in the outer layer. To check this point, the intensities of the Sr-M$_{2,3}$, O-K and Co-L$_{2,3}$ edges were integrated along the whole scan line path and the results are displayed in Fig. 5d. By comparing this with the intensity profile of the ADF image (Fig. 5c), it can be clearly seen that the Sr is completely absent in the outer layer and that only the Co and O remain at the surface. This result is of importance as it shows that the NaOH solution leads to the dissolution and leaching of the SrO layers from the structure. This dissolution of the SrO layers from the lattice was found to be dependent on the reaction conditions. By increasing the concentration of NaOH in the
reaction mixture, we found that more Sr ions are removed from the nanotubes. This explains why the product obtained at high concentration of NaOH (larger than 1.5 M) yields exfoliated nanotubes (see Fig. S3). Removal of the all Sr ions from the lattice (at high pHs) left only CoO$_2$ sheets devoid of the misfit nanostructures. The observation of exfoliation of nanotubes signifies the importance of the misfit stress to keep the nanostructure tightly rolled into nanotubular/nanoscrolled form. In the absence of this driving force, nanotubes could not be obtained.
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**Figure 6.** ((a) and (b)) TEM images of a partially rolled SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ nanotubes synthesized in hydrothermal conditions at 200 °C for 4h.

To understand the growth mechanism of the misfit-layered nanotubes, systematic time dependent reactions were also conducted. Hydrothermal synthesis conducted for 1.5 h (0.75 M NaOH) shows no nanotubes in the product, whereas reaction conducted for 4 hours shows nanotubes and partially rolled nanotubes in the product. Partially rolled nanotubes after 4 h of reaction are shown in Fig. 6. After 12 hours, the formation of the nanotubes is complete and no partially rolled structures are seen (Fig. 2). The observation of the partially-rolled structures at intermediate times suggests that the nanotubes are formed by exfoliation of the bulk layered structure. During the reaction process, one of the two SrO layers in the three
atom thick rocksalt Sr$_2$CoO$_3$ layer is dissolved in the NaOH. Apparently the removal of one SrO layer from the crystal structure perturbs the mechanical and possibly also the electrostatic force balance of the sandwich CoO$_2$-SrCoO$_2$-CoO$_2$ structure. This force imbalance produces a compressive strain on the upper CoO$_2$ layer and tensile strain on the opposite side inducing spontaneous rolling of the layer into a nanoscroll. A schematic representation of the growth mechanism is shown in Fig. 7. This kind of reaction mechanism has also been observed in solid-state thin films in the literature wherein thin films were observed to spontaneously roll into nanotubes upon being released from their substrates.\textsuperscript{46} Spontaneous rolling of sheets into nanotubes in asymmetric environment is known also in solution based synthesis process, like in the case of H$_2$Ti$_3$O$_7$\textsuperscript{47} and K$_4$Nb$_6$O$_{17}$\textsuperscript{48} nanotubes. However, in the present case along with crystal asymmetry created by removal of SrO layer, misfit stress between adjacent layers further promotes the rolling of the nanostructures. Most importantly, the misfit stress helps to keep the nanotubes tightly rolled in the solution phase. Furthermore, Co-O bond in Sr$_2$CoO$_3$ experiences more tensile stress compared to Co-O bond in Ca$_2$CoO$_3$ due to the longer bond length of Co-O in former case. Due to this larger misfit stress, spontaneous formation of nanotubes of Sr$_2$CoO$_3$-CoO$_2$ is more favorable (yield is ~70%) than the nanotubes from Ca$_2$CoO$_3$-CoO$_2$ (yield ~20-30%).
Figure 7. Schematic representation of formation of a nanoscroll by leaching of SrO in the presence of NaOH.

CONCLUSIONS

Strontium-based misfit oxide nanotubes with alternating SrCoO$_2$ and CoO$_2$ layers were synthesized and characterized with electron microscopy and first-principles modeling. Hydrothermal synthesis of bulk Sr$_2$CoO$_3$-CoO$_2$ under basic conditions is employed to yield the nanotubes. Dissolution of SrO from the bulk phase is confirmed by aberration corrected high-resolution (scanning) transmission electron microscopy and electron energy-loss spectroscopy. The resulting structure is found to consist of sandwich layers of SrCoO$_2$ (may
be viewed as a collection of puckered, quasi-1D \((\text{CoO}_2)^{2-}\) units that are coordinated with \(\text{Sr}^{2+}\) ions) between the \(\text{CoO}_2\) layers. Unlike bulk \(\text{Sr}_3\text{Co}_4\text{O}_9\) \((\text{Sr}_2\text{CoO}_3-\text{CoO}_2)\), which is a metallic, \(\text{SrCoO}_2-\text{CoO}_2\) is predicted to be semiconducting in nature with ferrimagnetic ground state. Thorough examination of the synthesis parameters have shown how to control the quality of these nanotubes which is crucial for a potential scale-up of their production. We anticipate that these low-dimensional misfit nanotubes could exhibit superior thermoelectric properties, relative to their bulk 3D counterparts, with potential applications for energy harvesting technologies.
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