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Abstract

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) incorporation into mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) is gaining more attention due to the combined advantages of high separation performance and easy processability. Nanoparticles (NPs) of CO₂-philic MOF UiO-66 (Zr-BDC) were synthesized with high surface area and ca. 50 nm particle size (and also for comparison with 100 and 200 nm sizes). They were incorporated into three 6FDA-based co-polyimides (namely 6FDA-BisP, 6FDA-ODA, and 6FDA-DAM), forming MMMs with loadings in the 4 – 23 wt.% range. The NPs and MMMs were characterized accordingly by XRD, BET, SEM, TEM, FTIR, and TGA. CO₂ and CH₄ isotherms on the NPs were measured by a static volumetric method at the pressure up to 10 bar. Fractional free volume (FFV) was calculated using solid density, measured by pycnometer. Gas separation performance was evaluated using a feed composition of 50%:50% CO₂:CH₄ binary mixture at 35 °C and a pressure difference of 2 bar. The presence of UiO-66 NPs in the continuous 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA co-polyimides improved both CO₂ permeability and CO₂/CH₄ selectivity by 50 – 180% and 70 - 220%, respectively. In the case of 6FDA-DAM MMMs, the CO₂ permeability was significantly improved by 92%, while maintaining the CO₂/CH₄ selectivity. The best results in terms of CO₂/CH₄ selectivity were 41.9 for 6FDA-BisP (17 wt.% filler loading, 108 Barrer of CO₂), 57.0 for 6FDA-ODA (7 wt.% filler loading, 43.3 Barrer of CO₂) and 32.0 for 6FDA-DAM (8 wt.% filler loading, 1728 Barrer of CO₂). The study confirmed the UiO-66 NPs incorporation into these co-polyimides has brought the improvement of the dense membranes, without jeopardizing their positive attributes.
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1. Introduction

The number of investigations on metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) has grown rapidly in the past few years due to their promising applications in gas storage and separation. The potential application varies from the eminent purpose of natural gas sweetening and CO₂ post-combustion capture to the in-house air purification. MOFs can be classified by their three-dimensional crystalline frameworks with permanent porosity, formed with metal-based clusters linked by organic ligands [1]. The infinite possibilities of metal and linker selections in the synthesis of MOFs give researchers a variety of coordination geometry choices, i.e., tetrahedral, pyramidal or bi-pyramidal, trigonal or octahedron [2]. This design flexibility allows the MOFs to be attuned to their intended purposes. Additionally, their inherent properties are remarkable advantages, such as high CO₂ uptakes (e.g. HKUST-1 of 7.32 [3] and 10.71 mmol·g⁻¹ [4], MIL-53 of 10.02 mmol·g⁻¹ [4], MIL-100 of 9.98 mmol·g⁻¹ [5], MIL-101 of 7.20 mmol·g⁻¹ [6]), open porous framework structures with large accessible pore volumes, tuneable pore affinity and most importantly their relatively high chemical and thermal stabilities. Several intensive reviews on MOFs for CO₂ separation [7–10] have been made available, and several others [1,2,11] comprehensively discussed on the MOF synthesis. The incorporation of these MOFs dispersed into the polymer continuous-phase as mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) has been reported using both low flux (e.g., PSF [12], PVAc [13] and PBI [14]) and high flux (e.g., rubbery PDMS [15] and glassy 6FDA-DAM [16,17]) polymers.
Figure 1: (a) Representation of iso-reticular UiO-66 framework with its Zr₆O₆ cuboctahedron polyhedral (dark grey) with octahedron (green ball) and tetrahedron (yellow ball) free volumes. The structure was drawn using Diamond 3.2 with CIF obtained from CDCC open database [18]. Chemical structures of 6FDA co-polyimides presented are (b) 6FDA-BisP, (c) 6FDA-ODA and (d) 6FDA-DAM.

Scientific attention towards the relatively new class of highly crystalline zirconium-based MOFs, especially UiO-66 (UiO: University of Oslo) grows rapidly. UiO-66 is based on a Zr₆O₆(OH)₄ octahedron, forming 12-fold lattices connected by the organic linker, 1,4-benzene-dicarboxylate (BDC) (Figure 1a) [18]. This zirconium terephthalate has high surface area, of experimental values 850 – 1300 m²·g⁻¹ [12,19–21], and the theoretically accessible surface of 1021 m²·g⁻¹ [22]. The microporous framework composes of centric octahedral cages (ca. 11 Å) each connects with eight corner tetrahedral cages (ca. 8 Å) by means of trigonal windows (ca. 6 Å). The crystal face-centered-cubic contributes to its high stability towards heat (reported between 430 and 540 ºC [23,24]), pressure [25], water [25,26], common solvents [25], even strong acid (HCl) and base (NaOH) [24]. The UiO-66 also possess low heat adsorption with the increase of CO₂ and CH₄ loading, due to its bulky and non-polar aromatic ring which sterically hinders the highly adsorptive metal cluster to adsorb the heat [22,27]. This is another added-value feature which is very desirable for thermal stability and lower cost regeneration.
Khdhayyer et al. [28] have recently published their findings regarding the incorporation of UiO-66 into the highly permeable polymer of intrinsic microporosity (PIM-1). The CO$_2$ permeability was increased to 7610 Barrer, obtaining a 60% improvement with 23 wt.% of UiO-66 loading. The CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity, however, decreased with loading more than 9 wt.%. Castarlenas et al. [12] reported H$_2$/CH$_4$ and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation with UiO-66 MMMs, where the H$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity improved by 6.5% in polysulfone Udel® 3500-P and 7.7% in polyimide Matrimid® with 32 wt.% loading. Remarkable H$_2$ permeability improvements of 475% and 148% were recorded for the stated MMMs, respectively. They also reported a 3-fold CO$_2$ permeability enhancement in the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ mixed gas separation, while the selectivity increased by 21% and 31%, respectively for Udel® 3500-P (32 wt.% UiO-66) and Matrimid® (16 wt.% UiO-66). Nik et al. [19] optimized 6FDA-ODA gas separation performance by incorporating 25 wt.% of the MOF. They improved the CO$_2$ permeability by 3.5 folds while maintaining the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity. Anjum et al. [21] also obtained an enhancement in membrane CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation performance when embedding 30 wt.% UiO-66 in polyimide Matrimid®. Shen et al. [29] utilized polyether block amide (PEBAX MH 1657) for their CO$_2$/N$_2$ binary gas MMM and achieved the best selectivity with 7.5 wt.% UiO-66 loading. The selectivity and CO$_2$ permeability were improved by 31% and 73%, respectively. Higher loading addition, unfortunately, decreased the CO$_2$/N$_2$ selectivity, even to a lower performance than that of the base polymer. Several publications have been made on UiO-66 MMMs for different applications, such as pervaporation [26], nanofiltration [30] and reverse osmosis [31].

We aimed to enhance CO$_2$/CH$_4$ gas separation of low and high fluxes 6FDA-polyimides, by making MMMs with different loadings of MOF UiO-66 nanoparticles. The nanoparticles, 50, 100 and 200 nm in size, were incorporated into three types of 6FDA based copolyimides.
with different aromatic diamine moieties, namely 6FDA-BisP, 6FDA-ODA and 6FDA-DAM. The chemical structures of the glassy polyimides are presented in Figure 1b – 1d.

2. Experimental

1.1. UiO-66 syntheses

The synthesis of the UiO-66 nanoparticles (ca. 50 nm in size) was conducted accordingly to the literature [32], at 1 to 1 molar ratio of zirconium (IV) chloride (ZrCl₄, ≥99.5% trace metal basis, Sigma-Aldrich) to benzene-1,4-dicarboxylic acid (BDC, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) in N,N-dimethylformamide (DMF, ≥99.9%, Sigma-Aldrich) with a small addition of water. Commonly, 1.71 mmol (0.40 g) of ZrCl₄ was dissolved in 100 mL of DMF at room temperature by sonication, before the addition of equimolar BDC (0.28 g) and 6.84 mmol (0.13 mL) of distilled water. Valenzano et al. [24] also reported a similar strategy to control the MOF particle size, instead of using other additives such as acetic acid, hydrochloric acid and formic acid [23,29,33]. The same amount of ZrCl₄ and BDC were also used to prepare UiO-66 of ca. 100 nm [26] in 100 mL of DMF, with an addition of 3.0 mL of acetic acid (≥99.8, Sigma Aldrich), instead of water. Whereas for UiO-66 of ca. 200 nm, 5 mmol (1.16 g) of ZrCl₄ was dissolved in 150 mL of DMF, followed by the addition of 5 mmol (0.83 g) of BDC, without any additive [34]. The solution was later transferred into a stainless steel Teflon-lined autoclave for a solvothermal process in a pre-heated oven at 120 °C for 24 h. After cooling to room temperature, the colloidal suspension was centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 15 min. The precipitated MOF was rinsed with 25 mL of fresh DMF for three times, followed by washing with the same amount of methanol three times. For each washing step, the suspension was subjected to sonication for 2 – 3 min to re-disperse the possible agglomerated nanoparticles and to allow for a solvent exchange. The MOF was activated by thermal treatment in a muffled furnace at 300 °C for 3 h, with heating rates of 15 °C·min⁻¹.
1.2. 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA syntheses

We followed a classic two-step polymerization method by condensation, where one-to-one stoichiometry amount of dianhydride and diamine monomers were reacted in a polar aprotic solvent under N₂ atmosphere to produce poly(amic) acid (PAA) solution. A PAA solution of 10 wt.% polymer concentration was made by first dissolving the diamine, followed by addition of the dianhydride. The obtained PAA was thermally annealed at 70 – 250 ºC for 6FDA-BisP and 70 – 300 ºC for 6FDA-ODA. The first annealing step was conducted at 70 ºC overnight, followed by a gradual temperature increment (50 ºC/h) before maintaining at the highest temperature for 2 hours before cooling. The synthesis of 6FDA-ODA was conducted using 9 mmol (4.0 g) of 4,4’-(hexafluoroisopropylidene) diphthalic anhydride (6FDA, 99%, Sigma-Aldrich), 9 mmol (1.8 g) of 4,4'-oxydianiline (ODA, 97%, Sigma-Aldrich) in 58 g of DMF. Additional detail procedures for the polymer synthesis can be found elsewhere [35,36].

Whereas for 6FDA-BisP, 10 mmol (4.5 g) of 6FDA and 10 mmol (3.5 g) of 4,4’-(1,4-phenylenediisopropylidene) bisaniline (BisP, ≥99%, Mitsui, Japan) were mixed in 72 g of N-methyl-2-pyrrolidinone (NMP, ≥99%, Merck). The dianhydride was dried prior to the synthesis by vacuum drying at 160 ºC for 6 – 7 h to discard moisture in the monomer, while the diamine was used as received. Finally, 6FDA-DAM (Mw = 418,000) was purchased from Akron Polymer Systems, Inc, and dried overnight at 100 ºC before use.

1.3. Membrane fabrication

Pure polymer membranes and MMMs were both fabricated by dissolving the corresponding amount of polyimide in chloroform, making a dope solution of 10 wt.%. For the MMMs, a pre-weighed amount of the UiO-66 nanoparticles was first re-dispersed in the
chloroform under sonication for 2 h. To create a better filler-polymer interaction and thus produce an MMM with an optimal filler dispersion and lower agglomeration [37,38], a 10 – 15% of the total amount of polyimide was added under a rigorous magnetic-stirrer mixing for priming step. The remaining polyimide was added after 4 – 5 h of the priming step and the particle loading was calculated.

\[
\text{Particle loading, wt. } \% = \frac{\text{Mass of filler} (g)}{\text{Mass of filler} (g) + \text{mass of polymer} (g)} \times 100\% \quad \text{Eq. 1}
\]

The final solution was poured into a casting petri dish on a leveled surface to produce flat sheet membranes. The dense membranes were made under a controlled solvent evaporation rate overnight at room temperature, followed by a heat treatment at 180 °C for 24 h to remove the remaining trapped solvent.

1.4. Characterizations

Scanning electron microscope (SEM), JEOL JSM 6400 operating at 20 kV was utilized to characterize the morphology of the UiO-66 crystals and the membranes. The MMMs cross-section was prepared by a freeze-fracturing method in liquid N\textsubscript{2}. For an easier freeze-fracturing step, the membranes were first soaked in aqueous ethanol prior to immersion in the liquid N\textsubscript{2}. UiO-66 nanoparticles were also imaged by transmission electron microscopy (TEM) using an FEI Tecnai T20 operated at 200 kV. The MOF crystals were first re-dispersed in ethanol and sonicated for a few minutes, and a couple of drops of the suspended particle solution were placed onto a holey carbon grid for the measurement.

N\textsubscript{2} sorption isotherms were determined using a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 porosity analyzer at -196 °C. The specific surface area was calculated using the BET method \(P/P_0 = 0.08 – 0.16\). CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} isotherms were obtained using an ASAP 2050 (Micromeritics),
assessing Temkin and Freundlich adsorption in the 100 – 1000 kPa range, at 25 ºC. The samples were degassed for both methods at 100 ºC for 8 h prior to testing.

X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of the nanoparticles and membranes were obtained using a PANanalytical Empyrean multipurpose diffractometer (40 kV, 20 mA) with a Cu-Kα (λ = 0.1542 nm) anode from 2θ of 2.5° to 40° with a 0.03° step·s⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was conducted using a Mettler Toledo TGA/SDTA 851e in the air flow of 40 mL(STP)·min⁻¹ up to 750 ºC at a ramping of 10 ºC·min⁻¹. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) was conducted on a ca. 10 mg sample using a Mettler Toledo DSC822e system, measured in two cycles up to 450 ºC at the temperature ramping of 20 ºC·min⁻¹. ATR-FTIR was performed using a Bruker Vertex 70 spectrometer, equipped with a DTGS detector. The measurements were conducted from 600 to 4000 cm⁻¹ at a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. Both XRD and ATR-FTIR were also carried out on several MMMs to determine the MOF-polyimide chemical structure interactions.

The fractional free volume of the membranes was calculated from:

\[ FFV = \frac{V - V_o}{V} = 1 - \rho V_o \]  
\[ V_o = 1.288 \times V_{vdw} \]

Where \( V = 1/\rho \) is the specific volume, and \( V_o \) is the occupied volume of the polymer at -273 ºC (Eq. 2), estimated at 1.288 times the van der Waals volume (\( V_{vdw} \)) (Eq. 3), as recently published by Horn [39]. The van der Waals volume was calculated based on the revised Bondi’s group contribution method by Park and Paul [40]. The density measurement was conducted using a pycnometer (Picnomatic Thermo) at 20 ºC ± 0.01 ºC where ca. 100 mg of
sample was placed in the analysis cell and degassed using helium as a dry gas by a series of pressurization cycles from 2 to 20 bar.

1.5. Gas separation performance

The membranes were tested with a 50:50 (v:v) CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ binary mixture, with a feed pressure of ca. 3 bar and the sweep gas was regulated by a mass-controller (Alicat Scientific, MC-5CCM-D) to maintain a pressure difference of 2 bar at 35 ºC. A 50 cm$^3$ (STP)·min$^{-1}$ feed entered the permeation module with He as sweep gas (at 0.5 cm$^3$ (STP)·min$^{-1}$ for 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA membranes, and at 1 cm$^3$ (STP)·min$^{-1}$ for 6FDA-DAM membranes). The membranes were sealed with a Viton® O-ring in a stainless steel permeation module equipped with a microporous disk, 316LSS of 20 µm nominal pore size (Mott Corp.) as a support in the controlled temperature oven. The permeation set up was described in an earlier publication [41]. The permeate compositions were analyzed online by an Agilent 3000A micro-GC equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD).

Gas permeation through a polymer is defined by the solution-diffusion theory due to the pressure difference and concentration gradient [42]. The permeability is described as the penetrated gas flux, normalized by the membrane thickness and the partial pressure drop across the membrane, and presented in Barrer (1 Barrer = 10$^{-10}$ cm$^3$(STP)·cm·cm$^{-2}$·s$^{-1}$·cm·Hg$^{-1}$ (Eq. 4). The separation factor ($\alpha$) of two competing gasses was calculated using Eq. 5, considering the mole fraction ($x$) of gas $i$ and $j$ in both feed and permeate streams.

\[ \text{Permeability, } P_{gas} = \frac{\text{Flux}_{gas} \ (\text{cm}^3\text{(STP)} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \times \text{Thickness} \ (\text{cm})}{\Delta P_{gas} \ (\text{cm} \cdot \text{Hg})} \]  
\[ \alpha_{i/j} = \frac{x_{i \, \text{perm.}}}{x_{i \, \text{feed}}} / \frac{x_{j \, \text{perm.}}}{x_{j \, \text{feed}}} \]
3. Results and discussion

1.1. Filler characterization

UiO-66 nanoparticles with size ca. 50 nm and BET surface area of $951 \pm 14 \text{ m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$, close to the accessible theoretical surface of $1021 \text{ m}^2\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ [22], were synthesized. Figure 2a (inserted) shows the XRD pattern of the UiO-66 in good agreement with the literature [24]. Figure 2a corresponds to the TGA characterization, where the negligible weight loss below 100 °C is suggested to be an initial solvent loss, while the latter drop until 300 °C is attributed to the dehydration of the $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_4(\text{OH})_4$ nodes to $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_6$ [33]. The second drop up to 500 °C is related to the decomposition of organic linkers before the oxidation of the zirconium into $\text{ZrO}_2$ [24,27]. The formula of the framework between 300 and 500 °C is $\text{Zr}_6\text{O}_6\text{BDC}_x$. The amount of BDC ligand, $x$, present in the MOF was estimated from the subsequent weight loss, as presented by Katz et al. [33] in their simulation and experimental work on UiO-66 and its derivatives. In fact, the MOF showed a mass loss of 42.4 % close to the 43.3% simulated mass loss corresponding to the 4-ligand UiO-66. Figure 2b shows the crystal structure of UiO-66 containing 4 ligands, with colored spheres representing the tetrahedral (yellow) and octahedral (olive green) void regions in the framework.

FTIR spectra of the pure UiO-66 also exhibited a very small O-H stretching peak at the wavelength of 3650 – 3700 cm$^{-1}$ (Figure S1), which indicated missing anionic BDC linkers, thus explaining the 0.9% lower mass loss in our UiO-66 compared to 4 ligands simulated for UiO-66 [33]. Unlike some UiO-66 synthesized following the conventional route using stronger acidic modulators, especially hydrochloric acid, the produced vacant sites were higher and sometimes resided by the stronger catalyst anion (chloride anion) to a certain extent [33]. Nevertheless, both FTIR and TGA findings complimented each other and suggested the presence of missing organic linkers in our UiO-66.
Figure 2: (a) The TGA profile of the UiO-66 nanoparticles and inserted, their XRD pattern with regards to the reference by Valenzano et al. [24]; and (b) the illustration of the UiO-66 crystalline structure based on a CIF on open-database CCDC [18], (Zr polyhedra, black; C, grey; O, red; and H, black).

Figures S2 and S3 display TEM and SEM images of the UiO-66 nanoparticles, respectively, after being activated at 300 °C. The nanoparticles (ca. 50 nm) can be observed agglomerated to a certain degree, which presumably occurred during the drying process. However, individual particles are clearly visible and can be re-dispersed in highly polar solvents (i.e., ethanol) or low polarity solvents (i.e., chloroform).

Figure 3a shows the N\textsubscript{2} adsorption-desorption obtained from the BET analysis. N\textsubscript{2} adsorption analysis showed a classic type I isotherm, with the N\textsubscript{2} adsorbed a quantity of ca. 430 cm\textsuperscript{3}·g\textsuperscript{-1} at $P/P_0 = 0.9$ and -196 °C, comparable to the recently published data [33,43]. However, a hysteresis loop can be observed after $P/P_0 = 0.75$ due to possible capillary condensation in between the small particles. Several researchers have reported a much lower N\textsubscript{2} adsorption between 250 and 350 cm\textsuperscript{3} (STP)·g\textsuperscript{-1} [23,34,44]. The N\textsubscript{2} adsorption behavior in the MOFs is a localized adsorption, due to N\textsubscript{2} quadrupole moment interaction with the MOF.
monolayer polar sites; thus a lower N$_2$ uptake value indicates a lower cation distribution in the MOF.

The CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ adsorption capacities of the MOF display values of 1.13 and 0.28 mmol·g$^{-1}$ (at 1 bar, 25 °C), respectively (Figure 3b). Yang et al. [22] showed that the UiO-66 internal tetrahedral and octahedral free volumes adsorb CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ preferentially where the CO$_2$ had higher adsorption in the tetrahedral cages due to the CO$_2$ higher affinity with the framework wall. The interaction between the pore affinity and the adsorbates greatly determines the capacity of the adsorption. Table S1 indicates that the UiO-66 prepared here possess lower CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ adsorption capacities than those reported under the analogous conditions [27,34,44]. The differences are believed to be contributed by the use of different activation methods, where lower activation temperature and chemical activation produced higher adsorption UiO-66. Wu et al. [45] described that each hydroxylated UiO-66 framework consists of eight O-coordinated Zr ion (six of that bond together forming the Zr$_6$O$_4$(OH)$_4$ metal cluster) and losses two H$_2$O molecules upon full activation at high temperature (~250 °C), reducing the Zr-O coordination to seven (de-hydroxylated). They demonstrated that the hydroxylated UiO-66 adsorbed 56% more CO$_2$ comparing to the de-hydroxylated UiO-66 (CO$_2$ = 1.60 mmol·g$^{-1}$). The use of synthesis modulators, i.e., acetic acid [27,45–47], benzoic acid [43,47], hydrochloric acid [48] or no modulator [19,34,44] also differed the gas adsorption properties, as the amount of missing organic linkers is influenced by the presence of the stronger anions [48]. Additionally, without modulation, Schaate et al. [47] presented a disordered UiO-66 phase with lower surface area comparing to those synthesized with the addition of acetic acid.
1.2. Membrane characterization

Thermal stabilities of MOF UiO-66 and the fabricated MMMs were characterized by TGA (Figure S4). The decomposition temperature ($T_d$) was obtained by the minimum of the first derivative drops and presented in Table 1. UiO-66 was stable up to 544 °C, in good agreement with reported values [25,50]. For 6FDA-BisP ($T_{d, \text{pure}} = 528 \degree C$), the $T_d$ reduced gradually up to 10 °C with 21 wt.% loading. A similar trend was observed for 6FDA-ODA ($T_{d, \text{pure}} = 545 \degree C$), with a reduced temperature of 13 °C with the highest loading. However, for 6FDA-DAM ($T_{d, \text{pure}} = 523 \degree C$), there were no remarkable differences between all the MMMs.

Glass transition temperatures ($T_g$) were determined for the pure polymer membrane and the MMMs by DSC, to investigate the influence of UiO-66 addition on the polymer chain flexibility. The $T_g$ values of all neat membranes: 6FDA-ODA, 303 °C [19,51] and 6FDA-DAM, 396 °C [52,53] (Table 1) were in great agreement with previously published results. Nevertheless, 6FDA-BisP, with a $T_g$ of 383 °C, was for the first time tested in this work. Concerning MMMs, the $T_g$ increase was less substantial at lower loadings. However, more significant increments were observed at higher loadings. 6FDA-BisP showed a temperature increase of 14 °C, whereas 9 °C increment for both 6FDA-ODA and 6FDA-DAM, for their
highest loading MMMs. The $T_g$ increase after the inclusion of the filler is usually caused by the rigidification of the polymer chain, which limits the chain movement [54]. This disruption is believed to be caused by the interface interaction created between the polymer and the inorganic moieties of the MOF. Similar observations were reported on 6FDA based co-polyimides with other MOFs, such as Noria-Co’BU [53] and ZIF-11 [55].

Table 1. The $T_d$ (decomposition temperature) and $T_g$ (glass transition temperature) values of the neat polymer membranes and their respective MMMs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Particle loading (wt.%)</th>
<th>$T_d$ (ºC)</th>
<th>$T_g$ (ºC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6FDA-BisP</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>528</td>
<td>383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>518</td>
<td>397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6FDA-ODA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>540</td>
<td>311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6FDA-DAM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>526</td>
<td>395</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>524</td>
<td>405</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additionally, we conducted FTIR analysis on the samples to determine the possible chemical interaction between the MOF and the polymer matrix. Figure 4a – 4c shows the FTIR spectra obtained. The absorbance of UiO-66 is described by the strong out-of-phase carboxylic –CO– peak at 1393 cm$^{-1}$, and –COO– stretching (in-of-phases) at 1570 cm$^{-1}$, indicating its strong reaction with the zirconium. The longitudinal and transverse mode of Zr-O$_2$ is presented by the marked (asterisk) triplet peaks at 730, 680 and 550 cm$^{-1}$ [20,43]. The 550 cm$^{-1}$ peak is not shown here. Other common absorbances are at 1018 cm$^{-1}$ for C=C aromatic stretching and the multi-peaks between 750 and 690 cm$^{-1}$ for the di-substituted benzene ring. The FTIR absorbance for all the membranes indicated that there was no
significant shift in the key polyimide functional group signals; symmetric –C=O stretching at 1720 cm\(^{-1}\), the imide –C-N– at 1373 cm\(^{-1}\) and also the most significant =COC= stretching at 1238 cm\(^{-1}\) in the diamine polyimide moiety of 6FDA-ODA.

Figure 4: FTIR spectra of UiO-66, 6FDA-polyimides and their respective MMMs: (a) 6FDA-BisP, (b) 6FDA-ODA, and (c) 6FDA-DAM. The asterisk marks represent the longitudinal and transverse mode of Zr-O\(_2\). FTIR spectrum of UiO-66 is represented for proper comparison. (d) XRD patterns of as-synthesized UiO-66 and their respective MMMs.

Figure 4c shows the XRD patterns for all MMMs, revealing that the crystalline phase of the UiO-66 was preserved in the membranes. These patterns are consistent with the aforementioned UiO-66 X-ray reference [24], indicated by dotted lines are some of the most critical peaks at 7.4º, 8.5º, 12.0º, corresponding to (111), (002) and (022) planes, respectively.
Figure 5: SEM images of the cross-sections of the mixed matrix membranes containing UiO-66 at different loadings; 6FDA-BisP with (a) 6 wt.% and (b) 14 wt.%; 6FDA-ODA at (c) 4 wt.% and (d) 17 wt.%; 6FDA-DAM with (e) 4 wt.% and (f) 14 wt.%.

The average thicknesses of the MMMs were about 30 – 60 µm for 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA and 80 – 110 µm for the 6FDA-DAM. Thinner 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA MMMs were prepared because of their lower gas permeabilities. Thus a thicker membrane required a longer stabilization period in the permeation test, comparing to the 6FDA-DAM. SEM images (Figure 5) show an excellent interface interaction between the MOF and the polymeric matrix. However, the maximum size of the particle agglomerates increased with the increment in MOF loading, from ca. 0.28 µm at the lowest loading (6 wt.%) to ca. 1.3 µm at the highest loading (21 wt.%) for 6FDA-BisP. And from ca. 0.21 µm for the lowest (4 wt.%) to 0.60 µm for the highest (21 wt.%) loading 6FDA-DAM MMMs. The agglomeration, however, was more prominent in 6FDA-ODA MMMs where the agglomerate sizes ranged up from 0.5 to 1.5 µm, between the lowest (4 wt.%) and highest (23 wt.%) UiO-66 loadings. Gas permeabilities in MMMs occur in all the three following phases: continuous
polymeric phase, the nanoparticles and the polymer-NPs interface. In the presence of NPs agglomeration, gas permeabilities may increase due to the formation of undesirable by-pass channels, connecting the voids between nanoparticles and may also reduce the membrane selectivity [10,56]. The findings with regards to our MMMs are presented in the next section.

The presence of a high number of hydrogen bond donor and acceptor in both filler and polymer structures is believed to function as an interface compatibilizer by forming hydrogen bondings. In addition to that, the presence of other functional groups which are hydrogen bond acceptor and/or donor, such as –CF₃ (acceptor), –C=O (donor and/or acceptor), –CO– (acceptor) and –HCN– (donor and/or acceptor) in the 6FDA-polyimides, further enhanced the intermolecular hydrogen bond. The polyimide with a higher density of H-bond promoting groups in the diamine moieties (ODA > Bisp > DAM) was anticipated to have a lesser particle agglomeration, as the promoting groups may increase the MOF-polymer interaction, thus reduces the MOF-MOF interaction which leads to the agglomeration. However, the behavior was not observed, and it may be attributed to a more favorable polymer-polymer H-bonding and presumably the charge-transfer complex (CTC) phenomena in the polyimides. The CTC [57] is a type of intra- and intermolecular bond prominently occurs in aromatic polyimide membranes due to these electron acceptor/donor groups. The CTC phenomena in polyimide were exploited by many researchers in achieving higher gas-selective membranes by thermal treatment, benefiting from CTC dependence on temperature [58,59].

1.3. Gas transport properties

1.3.1. Mixed gas permeability and selectivity

Our used-as-purchased 6FDA-DAM possesses higher molecular weight ($M_w = 418,000$ g·mol⁻¹; FFV = 0.24; density = 1.26 g·cm⁻³) comparing to the synthesized 6FDA-DAM previously tested ($M_w = 81,000$ g·mol⁻¹; FFV = 0.19; density = 1.35 g·cm⁻³) [60]. The higher
M_w 6FDA-DAM gave rise to P_{CO_2} = 997 Barrer and $a_{CO_2/CH_4} = 29.2$, while that of the lower M_w showed P_{CO_2} = 681 Barrer and $a_{CO_2/CH_4} = 21.4$ [60], measured with CO_2/CH_4 equimolar feed at a pressure difference of 2 bar. The difference in the molecular weight, even though has the lesser effect on gas permeability comparing to the free volume, evidently contributed to the higher gas separation performance. Xu et al. [52] investigated the influence of 6FDA-DAM molecular weight for hollow fiber gas separation and presented a similar behavior. Other observations were also reported in different polymers such as polyimide Matrimid® [37]. The differences in polymer physical properties certainly influence the gas separation performance. Table 2 shows several studies on these polyimides, comparing their gas separation performances to those of our samples.

Variation in the fabrication and treatment procedures (e.g., drying temperature) of the bare membranes also affected the gas separation performance. Nik et al. [19] reported a two-fold higher CO_2/CH_4 selectivity for 6FDA-ODA ($a_{CO_2/CH_4} = 41.7$) to our neat membrane ($a_{CO_2/CH_4} = 20.1$), and this may be attributed to their higher annealing temperature, which usually produces a denser membrane with a lower gas permeability and higher separation factor [61].
Table 2. CO$_2$/CH$_4$ separation with pure 6FDA-polyimides with different physical properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Membrane</th>
<th>Physical properties</th>
<th>Drying temp. (ºC)</th>
<th>Pressure difference (bar)</th>
<th>Binary gas separation performance 50:50 (vol:vol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T$_g$</td>
<td>Density</td>
<td>FFV</td>
<td>PCO$_2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(ºC)</td>
<td>(ºC)</td>
<td></td>
<td>CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6FDA-Bisp</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1.266</td>
<td>0.248</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[this work*]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6FDA-ODA</td>
<td>303</td>
<td>1.435</td>
<td>0.161</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[this work]</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>1.348</td>
<td>0.221</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[19]</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>1.455</td>
<td>0.169</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[51]</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[62]</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6FDA-DAM</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>1.259</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[this work]</td>
<td>395</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[52]</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[53]</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[60]</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>1.334</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[63]</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>1.353</td>
<td>0.181</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[64]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Reported from single gas measurement; a Ideal selectivity; *First of this polymer for gas separation

For the three studied polymers, Table S2 and Figure 6 display the gas separation performance of the bare polymer membranes and their respective UiO-66 MMMs. Gas permeability and selectivity increased accordingly to the increase of UiO-66 loading. 6FDA-BisP MMM with 17 wt.% UiO-66 (PCO$_2$ = 108 Barrer; $\alpha_{CO_2/CH_4}$ = 41.9) performed the best, improved by 217% and 52%, respectively, the bare 6FDA-BisP performance (PCO$_2$ = 33.9 Barrer; $\alpha_{CO_2/CH_4}$ = 27.5). However, the further addition of UiO-66 up to 21 wt.% decreased the selectivity to 24.6 with PCO$_2$ = 155 Barrer. Similar observation was found for 6FDA-ODA MMMs, where the best performing MMM was that at 17 wt.% UiO-66 loading (PCO$_2$ = 43.3 Barrer; $\alpha_{CO_2/CH_4}$ = 57.0) with 67% and 177% increments for CO$_2$ permeability and CO$_2$/CH$_4$
selectivity, respectively, comparing to the bare 6FDA-ODA (P_{CO2} = 25.9 Barrer; \( \alpha_{CO2/CH4} = 20.6 \)). Furthermore, the membrane showed the highest CO\(_2\)/CH\(_4\) selectivity amongst the membranes prepared in this work. However, the further addition of UiO-66 to 23 wt.% did not improve the selectivity, even though the CO\(_2\) permeability enhanced by 66% as compared to the 17 wt.% UiO-66 loading.

6FDA-DAM MMMs showed no significant increase in the selectivity, while the CO\(_2\) permeability increased almost to 100% with 14 wt.% UiO-66 loading (P_{CO2} = 1912 Barrer; \( \alpha_{CO2/CH4} = 30.9 \)) compared to the bare 6FDA-DAM (P_{CO2} = 997 Barrer; \( \alpha_{CO2/CH4} = 29.2 \)). Further addition of UiO-66 up to 21 wt.% increased the CO\(_2\) permeability to 2359 Barrer with a 56% decrease in CO\(_2\)/CH\(_4\) selectivity.
Figure 6: CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ permeabilities and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of (a) 6FDA-BisP, (b) 6FDA-ODA and (c) 6FDA-DAM MMMs containing UiO-66 as filler, tested at 35 ºC, a pressure difference of 2 bar with an equimolar binary mixture of CO$_2$ and CH$_4$. Standards deviations were calculated based on the results of at least 2-3 different membranes, and error bars are represented accordingly.
These results suggest that the incorporation of UiO-66 nanoparticles improved both gas diffusivity and adsorption of the MMMs. Gas diffusion was enhanced through the filler-polymer interface regions [65] and the increase of the polymer free volumes [10,66,67]. The influence of FFV is discussed in the next section. The CO$_2$ adsorption improved significantly in all samples, owing to the CO$_2$-philic properties of the UiO-66 [23], in agreement with the above shown adsorption results. These findings are consistent with the previously discussed adsorption results favoring CO$_2$ over CH$_4$, and the reported higher adsorption enthalpy for CO$_2$ (–26.2 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) compared to CH$_4$ (–16.4 kJ·mol$^{-1}$) on UiO-66 [22]. It has been suggested a stronger energetic interaction between CO$_2$ and the UiO-66 particle surface to occur at zero coverage, supported by the fact that CO$_2$ has a high quadrupole moment, thus causing it to adsorb stronger on UiO-66 than CH$_4$. Indeed, it has been reported that UiO-66 exhibits an intrinsic CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of 5.5 – 6.9 [22,24], measured at the temperature of 25 – 50 ºC, with a 50/50 equimolar feed. Our as-synthesized UiO-66 possess a lower selectivity of 4.1, calculated with single gas at 1 bar (from data corresponding to Figure 3b).

Considering the low CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity and its triangular window of 6 Å as the point of gas entry, UiO-66 at a higher-than-optimum loading in polymers provided a less obstructive pathway to both CO$_2$ (3.3 Å) and CH$_4$ (3.8 Å) across the MMMs.

The optimum loadings for our 6FDA-based MMM systems were in between 14 – 17 wt.% and further addition up to 21 – 23 wt.% decreased the separation selectivity by 41 – 62%. Zornoza et al. [10] described how at higher loadings the polymer matrix is possibly unable to completely surround the filler particles thus producing interfacial voids, and consequently increasing the filler agglomeration. The tremendous increase in gas permeability, simultaneously reducing gas selectivity, is due to non-selective by-pass channels between the agglomerated particles [10,56] and the interfacial voids [68].
Similar observations were recently reported for \( \text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4 \) gas pair in Matrimid\textsuperscript{®} at 16 wt.\%, polysulfone at 24 wt.% [12], and even at relatively low loadings especially in the highly permeable polymers, such as PIM-1 (\( \alpha_{\text{bare polymer}} = 16.7; \alpha_{9\text{wt.\%}} = 16.0 \)) [28]. Polymer PEBA [29] produced its highest \( \text{CO}_2/\text{N}_2 \) selectivity only with 7.5 wt.% of UIO-66 loading.

Nonetheless, we further investigated the relationship between the degree of filler agglomeration and the gas separation performances by preparing additional MMMs using 6FDA-ODA with larger UIO-66 nanoparticles, at 17 and 23 wt.% loadings. The XRD patterns (Figure S5) and SEM images (Figure S6) of the ca. 100 and 200 nm nanoparticles synthesized accordingly to Xu and Chung [26] and Cao \textit{et al.} [34] are presented, with their respective MMMs cross sections (Figures S7). It can be observed that UIO-66 ca. 100 nm were agglomerated to a higher degree than the ca. 50 nm nanoparticles. However, the ca. 200 nm nanoparticles agglomerated more prominently and produced poorer MOF-polymer interfaces. The gas performance of both MMMs with ca. 17 wt.% and ca. 23 wt.% loadings showed non-idealistic separation performances [68,69], as illustrated in Figure S8. The incorporation of ca. 17 wt.% larger UIO-66 reduced the ideally enhanced 6FDA-ODA using ca. 50 nm nanoparticles, (\( \alpha_{\text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4, 17\text{wt.\%}} = 57.0 \)) to the ‘leaking phenomenon’ (represented by the formation of non-selective interface voids due to the poor filler-polymer interaction [70]), indicated by \( \text{CO}_2/\text{CH}_4 \) selectivity reductions in the 85 – 95% range. A similar ‘leaking phenomenon’ was observed with the ca. 23 wt.% loading MMMs, where the selectivities were reduced by 50 – 75\%, compared to the MMMs with the smallest UIO-66.

1.3.2. FFV vs. gas permeability

Figure S9 shows the \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{CH}_4 \) permeabilities of the membranes together with their respective calculated FFVs. The studied 6FDA dianhydride-polyimide showed a high free volume between 0.16 and 0.25 (6FDA-BisP = 0.248, 6FDA-ODA = 0.163 and 6FDA-DAM
= 0.238), relatively to the other dianhydride-derived polyimides (i.e. 0.12 – 0.17 for BDPA and BTDA dianhydrides, and 0.11 – 0.19 for PMDA) [71]. FFV values were similar to those previously reported [19,63], and in the higher range of the free volume values (0.1 – 0.3) of most polymers [40,67]. Gas separation of the small kinetic diameter molecules (CO$_2$, 3.3 Å; CH$_4$, 3.8 Å) in this 6FDA-polyimide membranes were governed by the diffusion mechanism. The results corresponded to the relationship of the molecule kinetic diameters with the diffusion coefficient, where the smaller molecules have higher diffusion coefficients.

In 6FDA-BisP, the increment of the FFV was observed to be the highest at 85% with 21 wt.% UiO-66 loading, and contributed to the ±3.5-fold CO$_2$ permeability rise. The 17 wt.% UiO-66 MMM demonstrated the best membrane performance, having an addition of >60% FFV and produced the highest CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity of 41.9. For 6FDA-DAM, a 40% increase of FFV was observed with 14 wt.% UiO-66 loading while maintaining the selectivity of 31.0. A higher FFV enhancement for 6FDA-ODA with 17 wt.% loading was achieved (FFV of 0.364), and the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity was improved to 57.0 comparing to the bare polymer (20.6). The relationship of gas permeability coefficient with FFV is presented in Figure 7, the straight lines obtained from Park and Paul correlation [40]. Both 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-DAM behaved accordingly to the correlation. However, 6FDA-ODA did not follow the expected trend.
Figure 7: Gas permeability obtained against 1/FFV in comparison with CO₂ and CH₄ permeability coefficient correlations to 1/FFV by Park and Paul [40]. MMMs values were estimated using their corresponding reduced density.

In relation to the SEM images of MOF-polyimide interfaces in Figure 5, we suggested that a higher selective free volume was created in all polymer, while maintaining the ideal morphology of an MMM [70], except for MMMs with the highest loadings. At the highest loading, morphology with non-selective interface voids may have formed, as suggested from the gas selectivity reductions.

1.3.3. Performance comparisons with upper bound

Figure 8 shows the performances of all three studied 6FDA-based polyimide membranes and their MMMs with the CO₂/CH₄ 1991 and 2008 Robeson upper bounds [72,73]. As depicted, 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA bare membranes resided below the upper bound. However, their respective MMMs with UiO-66 at 17 wt.% showed an improvement surpassed the 1991 upper-bound. Additional filler loading did not further improve the
CO₂/CH₄ selectivity of both membranes. The bare polymer 6FDA-DAM, however, resided above the 2008 upper-bound and further improved inward in the targeted region due to the remarkable CO₂ permeability increase, while maintaining the CO₂/CH₄ selectivity with 14 wt.% UiO-66 loading. These findings show that the UiO-66 has a good potential in MMMs for gas separation applications.

Figure 8: Separation performances of the three types of 6FDA-polyimide MMMs containing UiO-66, measured with an equimolar feed of CO₂ and CH₄ at 35 °C, at a pressure difference of 2 bar, against 1991 [72] and 2008 [73] Robeson upper bounds.

4. Conclusion

We report the successful synthesis of high surface area Zr-based MOF UiO-66, with a uniform particle size of ca. 50 nm, appropriate crystallinity, and excellent thermal stability, as well as the fabrication of UiO-66 mixed matrix membranes with three 6FDA-based co-polyimides. Upon obtaining excellent MOF-polymer interaction with ca. 50 nm UiO-66 (and less agglomeration than using 100 and 200 nm particles), the presence of the MOF
contributed to the increase of the membrane free volumes. The gas separation performances showed significant CO₂ permeability and CO₂/CH₄ selectivity improvements. 6FDA-BisP (pristine performances of αCO₂/CH₄ = 27.5 ± 4.4, P_{CO₂} = 33.9 ± 9.2 Barrer) were improved by 52% and 217%, while increments of 177% and 67% were obtained for 6FDA-ODA (pristine performances of αCO₂/CH₄ = 20.6 ± 2.0, P_{CO₂} = 25.9 ± 3.0 Barrer), respectively, for selectivity and CO₂ permeability. In the case of 6FDA-DAM (pristine performances of αCO₂/CH₄ = 29.2 ± 3.1, P_{CO₂} = 997 ± 48 Barrer), CO₂ permeability also increased by 92% while maintaining the CO₂/CH₄ selectivity. This work demonstrated that UiO-66 has the requisite advantages for fabricating mixed matrix membranes with high performance, thus making it a promising candidate for the future CO₂ capture membrane-based technologies.
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Highlights

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) incorporation into mixed matrix membranes (MMMs) is gaining more attention due to the combined advantages of high separation performance and easy processability. Nanoparticles (NPs) of CO$_2$-philic zirconium MOF UiO-66 (Zr-BDC) were synthesized with high surface area and ca. 50 nm particle size (and also for comparison with 100 and 200 nm sizes). They were incorporated into three 6FDA-based co-polyimides (namely 6FDA-BisP, 6FDA-ODA, and 6FDA-DAM), forming MMMs with loadings between 4 – 23 wt. %. The NPs and MMMs were characterized accordingly by XRD, BET, SEM, TEM, FTIR, and TGA. CO$_2$ and CH$_4$ isotherms on the NPs were measured by a static volumetric method at the pressure up to 10 bar. Fractional free volume (FFV) was calculated using solid density, measured by pycnometer. Gas separation performance was evaluated using a feed composition of 50%:50% CO$_2$:CH$_4$ binary mixture at 35 °C and a pressure difference of 2 bar. The presence of UiO-66 NPs in the continuous 6FDA-BisP and 6FDA-ODA co-polyimides improved both CO$_2$ permeability and CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity by 50 – 180% and 70 - 220%, respectively. In the case of 6FDA-DAM MMMs, the CO$_2$ permeability was significantly improved by 92%, while maintaining the CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity. The best results in terms of CO$_2$/CH$_4$ selectivity were 41.9 for 6FDA-BisP (17 wt.% filler loading, 108 Barrer of CO$_2$), 57.0 for 6FDA-ODA (7 wt.% filler loading, 43.3 Barrer of CO$_2$) and 32.0 for 6FDA-DAM (8 wt.% filler loading, 1728 Barrer of CO$_2$). The study confirmed the UiO-66 NPs incorporation into these co-polyimides has brought the positive improvement of the dense membranes, without jeopardizing their positive attributes.
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