Accepted Manuscript

Los Batanes (Biescas, Spain), a roost site for horseshoe bats in the Pyrenees during the late Pleistocene

Julia Galán, Carmen Núñez-Lahuerta, Víctor Sauqué, Raquel Rabal-Garcés, Gloria Cuenca-Bescós, Juan Manuel López-García

PII: S1040-6182(16)31600-7

DOI: 10.1016/j.quaint.2018.04.015

Reference: JQI 7376

To appear in: Quaternary International

Received Date: 22 December 2016

Revised Date: 28 March 2018

Accepted Date: 8 April 2018

Please cite this article as: Galán, J., Núñez-Lahuerta, C., Sauqué, Ví., Rabal-Garcés, R., Cuenca-Bescós, G., López-García, J.M., Los Batanes (Biescas, Spain), a roost site for horseshoe bats in the Pyrenees during the late Pleistocene, *Quaternary International* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.quaint.2018.04.015.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 Title: Los Batanes (Biescas, Spain), a roost site for horseshoe bats in the Pyrenees during

- 2 the late Pleistocene
- 3 Authors:

Julia Galán^{1,2}, Carmen Núñez-Lahuerta^{1,2}, Víctor Sauqué^{1,2}, Raquel Rabal-Garcés^{1,2}, Gloria
Cuenca-Bescós^{1,2}, Juan Manuel López-García^{2,3}.

- 6 Affiliations:
- 7 **1.** University of Zaragoza, Department of Earth Science, Área of Palaeontology, 12 Pedro Cerbuna
- 8 Street, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain
- 9 2. Aragosaurus-IUCA, University of Zaragoza, 12 Pedro Cerbuna Street, 50009 Zaragoza, Spain
- **3.** IPHES, University of Rovira i Virgil, Sescelades Campus, building W3, 43007 Tarragona, Spain.

11 Corresponding author:

- 12 Julia Galán, e-mail: galanj@unizar.es, phone: 976843160
- 13 Abstract

Los Batanes C4 is a cave-site in the Spanish Pyrenees, the minimum sediment calendar age was 14 determined to be 15,234 ± 223 cal BP by radiocarbon dating. The cave opens on the northern 15 bank of an eastern tributary of the River Gállego, at an altitude of 1,025 m. The small vertebrates 16 17 recorded are mainly bats, in order of abundance Rhinolophus euryale, R. ferrumequinum, Myotis sp. and *Miniopterus schreibersii*. The association suggests that at the time of the accumulation the 18 19 climate was reasonably similar to the current climate; we situate it within a period of local retreat of the ice-cover in the Gállego Valley during the Lateglacial. This is the highest record of these 20 species of *Rhinolophus* in the Iberian Quaternary, showing that the *R. euryale* altitudinal range was 21 similar to its extant range during the favourable periods of the Lateglacial. This could indicate that 22 23 this taxon was relatively quick in spreading into higher regions whenever climate conditions allowed it. 24

25 Key words

26 Rhinolophus euryale, Rhinolophus ferrumequinum, Lateglacial, Pyrenean site, palaeoenvironment

1 **1. INTRODUCTION**

2 Los Batanes Cave C4 (Biescas, northern Spain, Fig. 1a) is a small karstic cavity (Fig. 1b) located 3 in the Tendeñera Range, on the southern side of the Pyrenees, at an altitude slightly above 1,000 m.a.s.l. (metres above sea level). This is one of the Pyrenean cave-localities with late Pleistocene 4 5 sedimentary fill that have been prospected by the Aragosaurus Group — a research group on 6 Mesozoic and Quaternary vertebrate palaeontology from the University of Zaragoza (UNIZAR) — 7 in recent years. The field-work at this locality forms part of an overall prospection plan whose main goal is to expand what is known of the Pleistocene faunas on the Spanish side of the Pyrenees, 8 9 which is still very scarce when compared to the French side (Clot and Evin, 1986; Núñez-Lahuerta et al., 2017; Sauqué et al., 2015; Sauqué et al., 2017; among others). The research is part of the 10 project 'Recovery of palaeontological remains, cataloguing and dating of the Pleistocene-Holocene 11 deposits of Huesca Pyrenees caves', which is headed by Dr. Raquel Rabal-Garcés and Dr. Victor 12 Saugué-Latas (Saugué et al., 2015) and focuses mainly on completing the Quaternary karst-filling 13 14 record.

A palaeontological excavation was performed at Los Batanes after the discovery of several large-15 mammal fossil remains belonging to the extinct Pyrenean wild goat Capra pyrenaica pyrenaica 16 (commonly named "bucardo") within the cave (Sauqué et al., 2017). A mixed team with participants 17 18 from the Aragosaurus Group together with the Speleological Centre of Aragón (CEA) and the Pyrenean Institute of Ecology (IPE) took part in a field campaign at the site in August 2015 19 (Sauqué et al., 2015). When the sediment extracted had been adequately processed (washed and 20 sieved), a notable assemblage of small-vertebrate remains was found, with a predominance of 21 22 bats. Subsequently, the chiropteran assemblage from Los Batanes was studied in order to identify 23 the species recorded and to provide new data on the late Pleistocene bat distribution in the region of the Spanish Pyrenees. 24

1.1. Horseshoe bats in the Aragonese Pyrenees and nearby areas. Background.

Horseshoe bats belong to the family Rhinolophidae, formed by a single genus, *Rhinolophus*, of which four species currently inhabit the Iberian Peninsula: *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*,

Rhinolophus euryale, Rhinolophus mehelyi and *Rhinolophus hipposideros.* These are small- to medium-sized bats that feed mainly on insects, and the group is characterized by a complex horseshoe-shaped nasal appendage which gives them their common name. This external structure constitutes an adaptation to a very derived system of echolocation, which consists of the emission of calls through the nose; these calls are directed and focused by the multiple skin folds forming the nasal appendage (Dietz et al., 2009; Palomo et al., 2007; among others).

7 Despite the great variety of habitats and roosts available for bats in Aragonese territory, largely consisting of Mesozoic and Tertiary limestone terrains, the studies of bats in this area are not very 8 9 abundant when compared to other regions in Spain (work on extant populations from the last two decades: Alcalde et al., 2008; Bafaluy-Zoriguel, 1997, 1999, 2000; Garin et al., 2003; Woutersen 10 and Bafaluy-Zoriguel, 2001; among others). Three Rhinolophus species are currently present in 11 the Aragonese Pyrenees, with a discontinuous pattern of distribution: the greater horseshoe bat R. 12 ferrumequinum, the Mediterranean horseshoe bat R. euryale and the lesser horseshoe bat R. 13 14 hipposideros (Alcalde et al., 2008). The studied record of fossil and subfossil horseshoe bats in the Iberian Pyrenees, the pre-Pyrenees and nearby areas is not very abundant either. In the upper 15 Pleistocene and prior to the LGM (Last Glacial Maximum), all three species are cited at Gabasa 1 16 Cave (Huesca, Mousterian period) (Blasco-Sancho, 1995), and R. ferrumequinum at Olopte Cave 17 18 (Girona, Mousterian period) (López-García, 2011). From the post-LGM record, R. euryale-mehelyi 19 and R. ferrumequinum are cited at Colomera Cave (Lleida, Holocene, 6-6.2 ka) (Oms et al., 2008); 20 R. ferrumequinum also appears at Marizulo (Guipuzkoa, Holocene, 5.2 ka) (Altuna, 1972), and Rhinolophus sp. is recorded at Laminak II (Biscay, Holocene, 10.3 ka) (Pemán, 1994). 21

22 1.2. Objectives

The present work has the following objectives. The first aim is to characterize the fossil bat assemblage in terms of its taxonomic composition and diversity, the relative abundance of bats in comparison with other groups of small vertebrates in the cave, and the origin of the accumulation. The second aim is to ascertain the palaeoenvironmental framework at the time of the accumulation on the basis of the ecological data for the species of bats that form the assemblage. The final

- objective is to provide new data on the ancient distribution of the bat species identified, which in
 this case will also contribute to filling the gap in our knowledge about this group of mammals in the
 palaeontological sites of the Iberian Pyrenees and pre-Pyrenees.
- 4

5 2. KARSTIC FISSURE-FILL AND CAVE SEDIMENTS FROM LOS BATANES: GEOGRAPHICAL 6 AND GEOLOGICAL LOCATION AND CHRONOLOGICAL CORRELATION

Los Batanes cave (X: 720.080; Y: 4726.585) is a karstic cavity that developed in late Cretaceous 7 (Maastrichtian) limestones (Ríos-Aragües et al., 1987; Gil-Peña et al., 1996) (Fig. 2). It is located 8 9 on the eastern side of the upper Gállego Valley, which drains a large part of the central-southern Pyrenees and has a complex drainage area composed of various main and secondary valleys 10 11 (Palacios et al., 2015). The entrance to the cave opens at an altitude of 1,025 m.a.s.l., on the northern bank of an eastern tributary to the River Gállego. It is a small-sized cave basically 12 consisting of a descending, narrow pressure tube of about 1-2 m width, which appears not to be 13 being used as a bat roost at present (personal observation). 14

15 The excavation took place at the bottom of the tube, where it becomes slightly broader and where 16 there is a body of sediment of still unknown depth, sealed under a calcite crust (Fig. 1b). The sedimentary deposits mainly consist of a clay matrix of mixed origin (both autochthonous 17 decalcification clays and allochthonous mud from outside), autochthonous carbonate clasts from 18 19 the walls of the cave, and allochthonous clasts that probably came from the erosion of a nearby moraine, such as the granite clasts that ultimately originated in the Panticosa batholith (Fig. 2). The 20 fossil remains were recovered from the first 0.5 m of the sedimentary pack, beneath the calcite 21 crust. A bone belonging to Capra pyrenaica pyrenaica embedded in this crust was radiocarbon-22 dated, giving an age of 13.507-13.061 years cal BC with the probability of 95.4% (2s) (15.234 ± 23 24 223 cal BP). Analysis were made at Poznan Radiocarbon Laboratory (lab. ref. Poz-75800) and 25 calibrated with the OxCal v4.2.4 program (Bronk Ramsey and Lee, 2013).

The radiocarbon date allowed us to place the accumulation of our fossil assemblage chronologically at the end of the upper Pleistocene, in the cold Marine Isotopic Stage (MIS) 2. It

was probably produced in a period of transition between the LGM (Last Glacial Maximum) and the 1 2 beginning of the Holocene (Hughes et al., 2013). At that time the climate underwent a warming trend that was nonetheless interrupted by various cold, generally dry pulses (which in western 3 Mediterranean areas basically correspond to the Older Dryas, the intra-Allerød Cold Period and the 4 Younger Dryas; Fletcher et al., 2010; Palacios et al., 2015). These climatic and environmental 5 6 changes in the final part of the upper Pleistocene had consequences for faunal associations: in 7 many localities, the late Pleistocene-Holocene transition is marked by the substitution of some taxa 8 by others and by an increase in woodland habitats to the detriment of open landscapes (referring 9 to palynological studies: e.g. Carrión et al., 2010; Dorado et al., 2002; Fernández et al., 2007; Gil García et al., 2002; referring to small-vertebrate associations: e.g. Cuenca-Bescós et al., 2009; 10 Cuenca-Bescós et al., 2010; López-García et al., 2015). As regards the palaeoclimatic framework 11 in the Spanish Pyrenees, specifically in the Gállego Valley, all the evidence points to a maximum 12 ice extent largely predating the global LGM (García-Ruiz et al., 2003). According to Palacios et al. 13 14 (2015), at least two episodes of glacial re-advance can be detected in the Gállego Valley during the Lateglacial period (Guiter et al., 2003): before approximately 17 ka, and again at approximately 15 14-11.7 ka. Palacios et al. (2015) hypothesize that these can be related to the Oldest Dryas, and 16 17 the Older or Younger Dryas respectively (Fig. 2).

18

19 3. MATERIAL AND METHODS

20 3.1. Fieldwork and fossil sorting

The excavation area was located deep in the cave, at a distance of 40 m length and -12 m height from the cave entrance (Fig. 1b). Access and digging at such a distance from the cave's opening required speleological expertise. The extracted sediment was processed at the laboratories of the IPE and UNIZAR. The clay matrix was removed by washing the sediment through two superimposed sieves of 5 and 0.5 mm mesh-size respectively. Then, the bones of the small vertebrates were picked out from the remaining sediment (consisting of clasts larger than 0.5 mm) at the laboratory.

The fossil material studied here mainly consists of disarticulated bones from bats, both cranial and
 postcranial. The recovered material is deposited at the Natural Science Museum of Zaragoza
 (Canudo, 2018).

4 **3.2.** Identification and description of the bat remains

5 The bat remains were described and measured and determined to species level whenever 6 possible. The teeth were measured by taking photos of the specimens in occlusal view using an 7 Olympus SZ61 binocular stereo microscope with an attached camera (LC20 model); for larger bones a caliper (Mitutoyo CD-8" CX Digimatic Caliper, Japan) with a theoretical precision of 0.01 8 9 mm was employed. We followed the taxonomic criteria established by Dupuis (1986), Felten et al. (1973), Jenrich et al. (2012), Menu and Popelard (1987), Răduleț (2003) and Sevilla (1988) among 10 others. We undertook comparative anatomy with extant specimens of horseshoe bats from 11 Southwestern European populations belonging to the following institutions: the International Centre 12 for Water and Environment (CIAMA) at La Alfranca (Zaragoza), the National Museum of Natural 13 14 Sciences of Madrid (MNCN), the Archaeosciences Laboratory of Lisbon (LARC), the National Museum of Natural History of Paris (MNHN), the Doñana Biological Station (EBD) and the 15 Smithsonian Institution, National Museum of Natural History, Division of Mammals. In 16 17 characterizing the fossil assemblage, we followed the taphonomic criteria established by Andrews 18 (1990), Bennàsar (2010), Fernandez-Jalvo (2016), Kowalski (1995) and López-García and Sevilla 19 (2012), among others. The classification according to age groups based on tooth wear follows the same criteria as Popov and Ivanova (2002). 20

21 3.3. Abbreviations

Naming the teeth: i (incisor), c (canine), p (premolar), m (molar); teeth from the upper series are named in capital letters while teeth from the lower series are named in lowercase letters (i.e. M1 is the first upper molar; p3 is the third lower premolar). Measuring the teeth: L (anteroposterior length), W (lateromesial width), L1 (greater length of upper molars, distance from the parastyle to the metastyle), L2 (medium length of upper molars, measuring the constriction of the protoconal basin), w1 (width of lower molars trigonid, distance from the metaconid to the protoconid) and w2

(width of lower molars talonid, distance from the entoconid to the hypoconid). Naming and 1 2 describing the cranial and postcranial skeleton: Cr (cranial), PCr (postcranial), mx (maxilla), md 3 (mandible), bu (tympanic bulla), at (atlas), vert (vertebra) sca (scapula), clav (clavicle), p ring (pectoral ring), hu (humerus), ra (radius), metac (metacarpus), pelv (pelvic bone), fe (femur), ti 4 5 (tibia), R (right), L (left), fr (fragment), b (body), mr (mandibular ramus), pep (proximal epiphysis), 6 dep (distal epiphysis), diap (diaphysis). Other abbreviations: NR (number of remains, all the 7 recovered bone and dental fragments), NISP (number of identified specimens, all the remains assigned to a certain skeletal element), MNI (minimum number of individuals), Ri (relative 8 9 abundance of the elements in the sample), Ni (minimum number of elements in the sample), Ei (number of elements in an individual). 10

11

12 **4. RESULTS**

The fossil bat assemblage consists of 434 recovered bone and dental fragments: i.e. NR=434. Of these, 164 specimens have been assigned to a certain skeletal element and taxon: i.e. NISP=164 (Table 1). It is mainly made up of bones from two species of horseshoe bats: the Mediterranean horseshoe bat *R. euryale*, MNI=12, and the greater horseshoe bat *R. ferrumequinum*, MNI=2 (Table 1). Four more specimens have been assigned to the Vespertilionidae family (Table 1).

18 **4.1. Taxon assignation**

19 4.1.1. Rhinolophidae

20 The assignation of most of the specimens from Los Batanes to the genus Rhinolophus is 21 unequivocal. Regarding the cranial skeleton, as the premaxilla is only attached to the maxilla via a 22 restricted caudal joint, this bone is detached in fossil specimens (Fig. 3a) and usually absent, so 23 the most anterior alveolus shown by the upper jaw is the canine one. P4 and M1 have well-24 developed heels, as has M2 to a lesser extent (Fig. 3a), and C is robust, trapezoidal and presents a concave lingual side of the crown (Fig. 3b) (Sevilla, 1988). The mandibular ramus is rectangular 25 in external view with an almost horizontal mandibular notch (Fig. 3a); the lower molars are 26 nyctalodont (Menu and Sige, 1971) and present a narrow, regular cingulum (Fig. 3c), which is also 27

characteristic of this genus. The dental formula of *Rhinolophus* is 1.1.2.3/2.1.3.3 (Sevilla, 1988;
 Palomo et al., 2007). The tympanic bulla has a characteristic conical spiral shape.

3 As regards the postcranial skeleton, a distinctive structure of horseshoe bats is the pectoral ring (Fig. 3d) consisting of various fused bones: the sternal body, the first pair of ribs, and the first 4 pectoral vertebra (Fomin and Lovachev, 2000). The scapula is leaf-shaped with a pointed inferior 5 6 angle (Fig. 3f). The proximal epiphysis of the humerus is diagnostic in having a trochin almost as 7 big as the trochiter with a humeral head that is small and round (Dupuis, 1986) (Fig. 3e); the distal 8 epiphysis is diagnostic in having a pen-shaped styloid process, a broad epitrochlea (Dupuis, 1986; 9 Felten et al., 1973), and dorsally projected condyles (Fig. 4b). The radius is strong, and by contrast with most vespertilionid bats (except *Miniopterus*) the ulna insertion is in the middle zone of the 10 diaphysis instead of close to the proximal epiphysis. The proximal epiphysis of the radius of 11 horseshoe bats differs from that of Miniopterus in having an open flexor fossa and a rounded 12 proximal tip (Dodelin, 2002). The pelvis presents a characteristic oval, anteroposteriorly elongated 13 14 small obturator foramen and a wide ischiopubic ramus. The femur has a flattened head and a pronounced, curved medial ridge, well differentiated from the lesser trochanter; the distal condyles 15 are tiny and a broad groove separates them from one another (Fig. 3g). 16

17 Rhinolophus euryale (Mediterranean horseshoe bat, Table 1, Table 2, Fig. 3). The remains belong to a medium-sized form of horseshoe bat, of which two species inhabit the Iberian 18 Peninsula: R. mehelyi and R. euryale, the former slightly larger than the latter (Diezt et al., 2009; 19 20 Palomo et al., 2007). We compared the molar measurements of our assemblage (Table 3) with 21 those of extant horseshoe bats from Southwestern European populations (Fig. 5), which allowed 22 us to assign the cranial remains to the species *R. euryale* (Fig. 3a,b,c). The distal epiphyses of the 23 humeri present a straight styloid process with convex edges by contrast with that of R. mehelyi, 24 which is quadrangular; both morphology and size of the distal epiphysis (Fig. 3e, Table 3) supports the assignation to *R. euryale* (Dupuis, 1986; Felten et al., 1973). 25

R. euryale currently inhabits the Mediterranean region of Europe, as well as some parts of northern
 Africa and the near East (Dietz et al., 2009). Given its preference for roosting in caves, this species

is linked to karstic areas; for nursery colonies it generally chooses roosts at an altitude of less than 800 m.a.s.l. and therefore with a milder, more favourable climate (Dietz et al., 2009). It has been recorded in present-day Spain at a maximum altitude of 1,360 m.a.s.l. (Palomo et al., 2007), but in the Pyrenees the altitudinal range for nursery roosts of this species is 509-792 m.a.s.l. (Alcalde et al., 2008). Although the species has been associated with forests, recent studies have indicated that the significant factor in the choice of foraging areas by these bats is the existence of edge habitats (Goiti et al., 2003; Goiti et al., 2008).

8 *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* (Greater horseshoe bat, Table 1, Table 2, Fig. 4a,b).

9 This taxon is only rarely represented in the association. The large size of the remains (Table 3) 10 allows them to be assigned unequivocally to this species, as it is the largest extant horseshoe bat 11 in Europe (Dietz et al., 2009; Palomo et al., 2007; Sevilla, 1988); the molar measurements confirm 12 the assignation of the cranial remains to *R. ferrumequinum* (Fig. 4a and 5). This taxon presents the 13 dental formula of the genus, although p3 is very tiny and appears labially displaced outside the 14 tooth row (Sevilla, 1988; Topal, 1979) (Fig. 4a).

R. ferrumequinum has a broader distribution throughout Europe and Asia than *R. euryale*, although 15 16 the epicentre of its distribution is also the Mediterranean basin; in central Europe it only occupies environments with a mild climate (Dietz et al., 2009). The greater horseshoe bat generally inhabits 17 temperate plains and Mediterranean mountains (Dietz et al., 2009); its altitudinal range in Aragon 18 has been recorded as 149-1,597 m.a.s.l. (Alcalde et al., 2008). It chooses its roosts in places with 19 a presence of mixed habitats for foraging: deciduous forest alternating with meadows and 20 21 shrubberies (Dietz et al., 2009). In the south of Europe, it is generally associated with medium-22 sized horseshoe bats, together with M. schreibersii, M. emarginatus and M. capaccinii (Dietz et al., 23 2009).

24 *Rhinolophus* sp. (Horseshoe bat specimens, Table 1, Table 2).

25 Some recovered material is too fragmented or deteriorated to be assigned unequivocally to either 26 of the two horseshoe bats identified in the association, or it comprises bones which could not be

compared to previous descriptions in the literature or to extant specimens for comparative
 anatomy.

3 4.1.2. Vespertilionidae

4 *Miniopterus schreibersii* (Schreibers' bent-winged bat, Table 1, Table 2, Fig. 4e).

5 The size of the scapula corresponds to a medium-sized bat. The general morphology is different 6 from that of horseshoe bats, having a long straight lateral border which forms an angle of 7 approximately 60° with the spine. The coracoid process projects medially (unlike in *Myotis* and 8 *Plecotus*); the external edge of the supraspinal fossa at the junction of the spine and the superior 9 scapular border is rounded (instead of angulated as in other vespertilionids); and the superior 10 border shows a wide, triangular lamella projecting ventrally. For all these reasons we assign the 11 remnant to *Miniopterus*; there is no other species of this genus currently living in Iberia.

M. schreibersii today inhabits the Mediterranean region of Europe, as well as some parts of northern Africa and the near East (Dietz et al., 2009). Its habitat preferences include all the Mediterranean landscapes, and it shows a strong preference for roosting in caves (Dietz et al., 2009; Palomo et al., 2007). Its altitudinal range is quite wide in Spain at present; it has been recorded at heights as great as 1,599 m.a.s.l. (Alcalde et al., 2008).

17 *Myotis* sp. (Small mouse-eared bat specimens, Table 1, Table 2, Fig. 4d).

18 The size of the distal epiphyses of both humeri (Table 3) matches with the smallest forms of Vespertilionidae. The morphology of the proximal edge between the trochlea and the condyle (Fig. 19 4d) is straight or slightly concave as in *Myotis* spp. by contrast with *Plecotus* spp., which presents a 20 convex edge (Dupuis, 1986; Felten et al., 1973). Possible taxa to which the remains might be 21 22 assigned are M. nattereri, M. capaccinii, M. emarginatus, M. daubentonii (although data in the previous literature indicate slightly larger distal epiphyses for this species), *M. mystacinus* (data in 23 the literature indicate slightly smaller distal epiphyses) (Dupuis, 1986; Felten et al., 1973) and M. 24 alcathoe (Dietz et al., 2009). 25

The above-mentioned species of small-sized *Myotis* present a notable variety of extant distribution and habitat preferences. Although most of them inhabit all of Europe, the species *M. capaccinii* and

M. emarginatus are mainly restricted to the Mediterranean area of influence (Dietz et al., 2009), the
former being a markedly thermophilic taxon (Palomo et al., 2007). As regards their foraging areas,
they mostly prefer more or less closed forests (*M. daubentonii, M. mystacinus, M. alcathoe, M. emarginatus*), although some also employ open landscapes (*M. nattereri*) or are conditioned by
other factors such as the presence of water bodies (*M. daubentonii, M. capaccinii*) (Palomo et al., 2007; Dietz et al., 2009).

7 4.2. Characterization of the taphocenosis

8 The composition of the whole small-vertebrate assemblage is mainly dominated by bats, but other 9 groups of animals are also represented here (NR=49): rodents (*Apodemus* sp. MNI=1; *Eliomys* 10 *quercinus*, MNI=1), shrews (*Crocidura russula*, MNI=1) and birds (MNI=8).

The majority of the small bone remains have a fair coloration from white to beige, but just under a 11 fifth of the total specimens have darker, orange-brown tones or present small areas with black 12 staining (Fig. 6a,b,c). Almost half of the sample shows strong surface weathering, generally linked 13 14 to a fairer surface colour, whereas a small amount of the remains (15%) shows dissolution marks 15 on the surface, and some specimens show both types of alteration (Fig. 6d,e,f). The Rhinolophus sample presents a notable differential loss of skeletal elements. Considering the relative 16 17 abundance of elements (Ri = Ni/(MNI x Ei)x100; Andrews, 1990; Bennàsar, 2010), the best-18 preserved elements are the humeri and radii, the only bones with a survival percentage above 50% 19 (Table 4). The composition of the sample in terms of age groups based on tooth wear is as follows: a minimum of five individuals of *R. euryale* were subadults (the teeth show no wear), whereas at 20 least two individuals were adults whose teeth show rounded cusps in one case, and dentine fields 21 22 in the other; the only mandible of *R. ferrum equinum* preserved belonged to an old adult individual 23 as dentine fields are observed in the molar (Fig. 6g,h,i). No teeth are preserved for the remaining seven individuals, but all the limb bones preserved are completely fused, suggesting that they 24 belonged to individuals old enough to fly (Adams, 2008; Dietz et al., 2007, 2009). 25

26

27 5. DISCUSSION

5.1. Origin of the accumulation

Two hypotheses are considered here: (1) that the accumulation of the bat remains was due to the action of predators and the subsequent transport of their discards deep into the cave by a water flow, or (2) that the accumulation was produced by bats roosting in the cave followed by an *in situ* death.

6 The varying degrees of coloration and weathering shown by the fossil bones point to different time-7 spans of subaerial exposure and burial, which is a common phenomenon even among the bones of a single skeleton once it is accumulated (Fernandez-Jalvo, 2016). The dissolution marks 8 9 observed in some specimens may be caused either by digestion or by the environmental moisture of a water flow. The elements with the highest survival percentage in the assemblage are humeri 10 and radii, the two largest and strongest bones in the bat skeleton. The differential lack of skeletal 11 elements in the recovered assemblage may be explained as the effect of transport within the cave-12 system or of predation. However, bats are rarely hunted by birds, carnivorous mammals or lizards 13 14 and cases of predation on horseshoe bats are even scarcer as this group is apparently more efficient at eluding predators (Dietz et al., 2009; Kowalsky, 1995). The global composition expected 15 for a small-vertebrate assemblage produced by predatory accumulation is a small number of bats 16 represented in comparison with other small mammals such as rodents or with small birds, which is 17 18 contrary to the small-vertebrate assemblage from Los Batanes. If the bats died in the cave, the 19 dominance of bats in the assemblage could be explained by the continuous roosting of R. euryale in the site, and the occasional presence of the other taxa. In the light of the great predominance of 20 bats within the assemblage, the most probable origin of the accumulation is the death of the 21 22 animals that formed a colony within the cave. Most of the Mediterranean horseshoe bats recorded 23 were subadult specimens, but with no obvious infants within the association it cannot be determined whether it was a nursery colony. The relatively high location of the cave points instead 24 to a hibernating colony, which in these species of horseshoe bats usually involves a small cluster 25 of individuals (Alcalde et al., 2008; Palomo et al., 2007). 26

27 **5.2.** Palaeoenvironmental framework

The two species of horseshoe bats recorded in Los Batanes currently inhabit the Pyrenees of Aragon although they have a discontinuous distribution (Alcalde et al., 2008). The bat species that constitute the fossil assemblage studied here are typically associated with each other when forming colonies in caves nowadays (Dietz et al., 2009; Palomo et al., 2007).

The bat association from Los Batanes Cave consists of a majority of Mediterranean-related 5 6 species with foraging strategies generally linked to the presence of forest habitat or at least patchy 7 arboreal cover. The other small mammals recorded in the site are today widespread throughout the Iberian Peninsula with relatively wide altitudinal ranges, and they currently show habitat 8 9 preferences for both arboreal cover (Apodemus sp. and E. quercinus) and open landscapes (C. russula) (López-Garcia, 2011; Palomo et al., 2007). Based on the association, and having the 10 altitude of the site into account, the most plausible scenario for the period of accumulation was a 11 temperate, mild climate and a landscape with a notable presence of forest but a generally mixed 12 structure, where hedge habitats were prominent. In the light of the radiocarbon date obtained for 13 14 Los Batanes assemblage (an age of about 15.5-15 ka BP) and according to the two ice readvances detected in the Gállego Valley during the Lateglacial (one at about 17 ka BP, the other at 15 14-11.7 ka BP, Palacios et al., 2015), it is reasonable to conclude that at the time the accumulation 16 took place, the Gállego Valley was in a period of local ice-cover retreat soon after the first local re-17 18 advance of the Lateglacial. Finally, the recorded bat association suggests that the climate 19 conditions in the area by that time were at least as mild as they are today.

20 5.3. Palaeobiogeography

The two *Rhinolophus* species found in Los Batanes were already present in Spain in the late Pliocene (Agustí et al., 2011) and have a regular but scanty record throughout the Iberian Quaternary (*e.g.* Sevilla, 1988; Galán et al., 2016a; Galán et al., 2016b), including some localities in the Pyrenees and the pre-Pyrenees (Altuna, 1972; Blanco-Sancho, 1995; López-García, 2011; Oms et al., 2008; Pemán, 1994). However, none of the localities in the literature with a record of the two *Rhinolophus* species in the Pyrenees and the pre-Pyrenees is at such a great altitude as Los Batanes Cave. This new record provides reliable evidence that the distribution of *R. euryale*

and *R. ferrumequinum* reached altitudes as high as 1,025 m in the Iberian Pyrenees during the 1 2 Lateglacial. In the case of the former species this is guite close to its extant altitudinal maximum in 3 Spain (1,360 m.a.s.l. according to Palomo et al., 2007). The fact that the altitudinal range of R. euryale was at least as great then as it is today can be interpreted as an indicator of the relative 4 speed of this taxon in spreading into higher regions whenever climate conditions allowed it, even 5 6 during a period of time such as the Lateglacial which was mainly characterized by climate 7 instability and alternations of local ice-cover retreats and re-advances (García-Ruiz et al., 2003; 8 Palacios et al., 2015).

9

10 6. CONCLUSIONS

The late Pleistocene bat assemblage from the site of Los Batanes provides the highest Quaternary 11 record of *R. euryale* and *R. ferrumequinum* in the Spanish Pyrenees and pre-Pyrenees, which is 12 very close to present altitudinal limit of the first species. The clear Mediterranean affinity presented 13 14 by the bat association together with the altitude of the site suggests than even in a period characterized by climatic instability as it was the Lateglacial, local climate conditions could be as 15 mild as they are nowadays during the moments of ice-cover retreat. Finally, the presence of R. 16 17 euryale in a site with this location and chronology could be an indicator of the relatively high speed 18 of this taxon in spreading into higher regions whenever climate conditions allowed it.

Further investigations into Quaternary mountain localities will improve the resolution of the bat fossil record and increase our understanding of the effect of climate fluctuations on the distribution of these horseshoe bat species.

22

23 7. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the CEA (Speleological Centre of Aragon), particularly the speleologists Laureno Gómez and Mario Gisbert for their help regarding the speleological requirements during the excavation of the cave, and Mario Gisbert for the topography provided. We would also like to thank the IPE (Pyrenean Institute of Ecology), in particular Ricardo García González, who is in

charge of the vertebrate collection. R. Glasgow corrected the English of the manuscript. The 1 2 anonymous revision has greatly improved the guality of the work. The IEA (Institute of Aragonese 3 Studies) partially subsidized the palaeontological activities, awarding us the grant "Ayudas a la investigación del IEA, 2014". The Government of Aragon granted us permission to develop the 4 project "Recovery of palaeontological remains, cataloguing and dating of the Pleistocene-Holocene 5 6 deposits of Huesca Pyrenees caves". J. Galán and C. Núñez-Lahuerta are the recipients of Ph.D. 7 fellowships from the DGA (Government of Aragon), co-financed by the FSE (European Social 8 Fund) of the European Union.

9 8. REFERENCES

Adams, R.A., 2008. Morphogenesis in bat wings: linking development, evolution and ecology.
Cells, Tissues, Organs 187 (1), 13-23.

12 Agustí, J., Santos-Cubedo, A., Furió, M., De Marfá, R., Blain, H.-A., Oms, O., Sevilla, P., 2011.

The late Neogene-early Quaternary small vertebrate succession from the Almenara-Casablanca
karst complex (Castellón, Eastern Spain): Chronologic and paleoclimatic context. Quaternary
international 243 (1), 183-191.

Alcalde, J.T., Trujillo, D., Artázcoz, A., Agirre-Mendi, P.T., 2008. Distribución y estado de
conservación de los quirópteros en Aragón. Graellsia 64 (1), 3-16.

Altuna, J., 1972. Fauna de mamíferos de los yacimientos prehistóricos de Guipúzcoa. Munibe 24,
19 1-464.

Andrews, P., 1990. Owls, caves and fossils. Predation, preservation and accumulation of small mammal bones in caves, with an analysis of the Pleistocene Cave faunas from Westbury-sub-Mendip, Somerset, UK. University of Chicago Press, London, p. 231.

Bafaluy-Zoriguel, J.J., 1997. Informe sobre la importancia del Canal de Aragón y Cataluña para los
quirópteros y medidas recomendadas para la conservación de los refugios. ANSAR. Monzón.
Informe inédito.

Bafaluy-Zoriguel, J.J., 1999. Los murciélagos en el área del Bajo Cinca. (Huesca, Zaragoza,
Lleida). CINGA, Anuari de l'Institut d'Estudis del Baix Cinca 3, 83-100.

- Bafaluy-Zoriguel, J.J., 2000. Mortandad de murciélagos por atropello en carreteras del sur de la
 provincia de Huesca. Galemys 12, 15-23.
- Bennàsar, M.L., 2010. Tafonomía de micromamíferos del Pleistoceno Inferior de la Sierra de
 Atapuerca (Burgos): Sima del Elefante y Gran Dolina. Ph.D. Thesis, Departament d'Història i
 Història de l'art, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, Tarragona, Spain, p. 646.
- Blasco-Sancho, M.F., 1995. Hombres, fieras y presas: estudio arqueozoológico y tafonómico del
 yacimiento del paleolítico medio de la Cueva de Gabasa 1 (Huesca). Ph.D. Thesis, Universidad de
 Zaragoza, Spain.
- 9 Canudo, J. I. (2017). The Collection of Type Fossils of the Natural Science Museum of the
 10 University of Zaragoza (Spain). Geoheritage, 1-8.
- 11 Carrión, J.S., Fernández, S., González-Sampériz, P., Gil-Romera, G., Badal, E., Carrión-Marco,
- Y., López-Merino, L., López-Sáez, J.A., Fierro, E., Burjachs, F., 2010. Expected trends and
 surprises in the Lateglacial and Holocene vegetation history of the Iberian Peninsula and Balearic
 Islands. Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology 162 (3), 458-475.
- Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X.,
 Hostetler, S.W., McCabe, A.M. 2009. The Last Glacial Maximum. Science 325, 710-714.
- Clot, A., Evin, J. 1986. Gisements naturels Pléistocenes et Holocènes des cavités des Pyrénées
 Occidentales Françaises : Inventaire et datages 14C. Munibe 38, 185-194.
- 19 Cuenca-Bescós, G., Straus, L.G., Gónzalez-Morales, M.R., García-Pimienta, J.C., 2009. The 20 reconstruction of past environments through small mammals: from the Mousterian to the Bronze
- Age in El Mirón Cave (Cantabria, Spain). Journal of Archaeological Science 36 (4), 947-955.
- 22 Cuenca-Bescós, G., Straus, L.G., García-Pimienta, J.C., Morales, M.G., and López-García, J.M.,
- 23 2010. Late Quaternary small mammal turnover in the Cantabrian Region: The extinction of *Pliomys* 24 *lenki* (Rodentia, Mammalia). Quaternary International 212 (2), 129-136.
- 25 Dietz, C., Dietz, I., Siemers, B.M., 2007. Growth of horseshoe bats (Chiroptera: Rhinolophidae) in
- temperate continental conditions and the influence of climate. Mammalian Biology-Zeitschrift für
- 27 Säugetierkunde 72 (3), 129-144.

1	Dietz, C., von Helversen, O., Nill, D., 2009. L'encyclopédie des chauves-souris d'Europe et
2	d'Afrique du Nord : biologie, caractéristiques, protection. Delachaux et Niestlé, Paris, p. 400.
3	Dorado, M., Valdeolmillos, A., Ruiz-Zapata, B., Gil-García, M.J., Bustamante, I., 2002. Climatic
4	changes since the Lateglacial/Holocene transition in La Mancha Plain (South-central Iberian
5	Peninsula, Spain) and their incidence on Las Tablas de Daimiel marshlands. Quaternary
6	International 93, 73-84.
7	Dupuis, I., 1986. Les Chiroptères du Quaternaire en France. Mémoire de Maîtrise de l'Université
8	de Paris I, Paris, p. 561.
9	Felten, H., Helfricht, A., Storch, G., 1973. Die Bestimmung der europäischen Fledermäuse nach
10	der distalen Epiphyse des Humerus. Senckenbergiana Biologica 54 (4/6), 291-297.
11	Fernández, S., Fuentes, N., Carrión, J.S., González-Sampériz, P., Montoya, E., Gil, G., Vega-
12	Toscano, G., Riquelme, J.A., 2007. The Holocene and Upper Pleistocene pollen sequence of
13	Carihuela Cave, southern Spain. Geobios 40, 75-90.
14	Fernandez-Jalvo, Y., Andrews, P., 2016. Atlas of taphonomic identifications: 1001+ images of
15	fossil and recent mammal bone modification. Springer, p. 359.
16	Fletcher,W.J., Sánchez-Goñi, M.F., Peyron, O., Dormoy, I., 2010. Abrupt climate changes of the
17	last deglaciation detected in a Western Mediterranean forest record. Climate of the Past 6, 245-
18	264.
19	Fomin, S.V., Lobachev, V.S., 2000. Specific structural features of the pectoral arch and
20	phylogenetic relations in some mammalian taxa. Doklady Biological Sciences 375 (1), 633-635.
21	Galán, J., Cuenca-Bescós, G., López-García, J. M., Sauqué, V., Núnez-Lahuerta, C., 2016a.
22	Fossil bats from the Late Pleistocene site of the Aguilón P7 Cave (Zaragoza, Spain). Comptes
23	Rendus Palevol 15 (5), 501-514.
24	Galán, J., Cuenca-Bescós, G., López-García, J.M., 2016b. The fossil bat assemblage of Sima del
25	Elefante Lower Red Unit (Atapuerca, Spain): First results and contribution to the
26	palaeoenvironmental approach to the site. Comptes Rendus Paleovil 15 (6), 647-657.

1	García-Ruiz,	J.M.,	, Valero-0	Garcés,	B.L.,	Martí-	Bono	, C.,	González	-Sampériz,	Ρ.,	200	3.
2	Asynchroneity	∕ of r	maximum	glacier	advanc	es in	the	central	Spanish	Pyrenees.	Jour	nal	of
3	Quaternary so	cience	18 (1), 61	-72.									

Garin, I., García-Mudarra, J.L., Aihartza, J.R., Goiti, U., Juste, J., 2003. Presence of *Plecotus macrobullaris* (Chiroptera: Vespertilionidae) in the Pyrenees. Acta Chiropterologica 5 (2), 243-250.
Gil-García, M.J., Dorado, M., Valdeolmillos, A. y Ruiz Zapata, B., 2002. Late-glacial and Holocene

- palaeoclimatic record from Sierra de Cebollera (northern Iberian Range, Spain). Quaternary
 International, 93, 13-18.
- 9 Gil-Peña, I., Montes-Santiago, M.J., Malagón-Luesma, J., Barnolas-Cortinas, A., 1996. Mapa
 10 geológico de la Hoja nº 177 (Sabiñánigo). Mapa Geológico de España E. 1:50.000. Segunda Serie
 11 (MAGNA), Primera edición. IGME.
- Goiti, U., Aihartza, J.R., Garin, I., Zabala, J. 2003. Influence of habitat on the foraging behavior of
 the Mediterranean horseshoe bat, *Rhinolophus euryale*. Acta Chiropterologica 5 (1), 75-84.
- Goiti, U., Garin, I., Almenar, D., Salsamendi, E., Aihartza, J.R. (2008) Foraging by Mediterranean horseshoe bats (*Rhinolophus euryale*) in relation to prey distribution and edge habitat. Journal of Mammalogy 89 (2), 493-502.
- Guiter, F., Andrieu-Ponel, V., de Beaulieu, J.L., Cheddadi, R., Calvez, M., Ponel, P., Reille, M.,
 Keller, T., Goeury, C., 2003. The last climatic cycles in Western Europe: a comparison between
 long continuous lacustrine sequences from France and other terrestrial records. Quaternary
 International 111 (1), 59-74.
- Hughes, P.D., Gibbard, P.L., Ehlers, J., 2013. Timing of glaciation during the last glacial cycle:
 evaluating the concept of a global 'Last Glacial Maximum' (LGM). Earth-Science Reviews 125,
 171-198.
- Jenrich, J., Löhr P.-W., Müller, F., Vierhaus, H., 2012. Fledermäuse. Bildbestimmungsschlüssel
 anhand von Schädelmerkmalen. Beiträge zur Naturkunde in Osthessen, 48 (Supplement 1), 102
 p.
- 27 Kowalski, K., 1995. Taphonomy of bats (Chiroptera). Geobios 18, 251-256.

- 1 López-García, J.M., 2011. Los micromamíferos del Pleistoceno superior de la Península Ibérica.
- 2 Evolución de la diversidad taxonómica y cambios paleoambientales y paleoclimáticos.
 3 Saarbrucken: Editorial Académica Española, 364 p.
- 4 López-García, J.M., Sevilla, P., 2012. La contribución de los fósiles a la reconstrucción de las
 5 dinámicas de población de murciélagos. Barbastella 5 (1), 12-18.
- Menu, H., Popelard, J.-B., 1987. Utilisation des caracteres dentaires pour la determination des
 Vespertilionines de l'Ouest Europeen. Le Rhinolophe 4, 1-88.
- 8 Menu, H., Sigé, B., 1971. Nyctalodontie et myotodontie, importants caractères de grades évolutifs
- 9 chez les chiroptères entomophages. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences du Paris 272,
- 10 1735-1738.
- 11 Núñez-Lahuerta, C., Galán, J., Sauqué, V., Rabal-Garcés, R., Cuenca-Bescós, G., 2017. Avian
- remains from new Upper Pleistocene and Holocene sites from the Spanish Pyrenees. Quaternary
 International, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.06.007.
- Oms, F.X., Bargalló, A., Chaler, M., Fontanals, M., García, M.S., López-García, J.M., Morales, J.I.,
 Nievas, T., Rodríguez, A., Serra, J., Solé, A., Vergès, J.M., 2008. La Cova Colomera (Sant Esteve
 de la Sarga, Lleida), una cueva-redil en el Prepirineo de Lérida. Primeros resultados y
 perspectivas de futuro. Final Proceedings, IV Congreso del Neolítico Peninsular, Alacant, Spain,
 230-237.
- Palacios, D., de Andrés, N., López-Moreno, J.I., García-Ruiz, J.M., 2015. Late Pleistocene
 deglaciation in the upper Gállego Valley, central Pyrenees. Quaternary Research 83 (3), 397-414.
- Palomo, L.J., Gisbert, J., Blanco, J.C., 2007. Atlas y Libro Rojo de los Mamíferos Terrestres de
 España. Dirección General para la Biodiversidad-SECEM-SECEMU, Madrid.
- Pemán, E., 1994. Los Micromamíferos de Laminak II (Berriatua, Bizkaia). Kobie.
 Paleoantropología (21), 225-233.
- Popov, V.V., Ivanova, T.I., 2002. Comparative craniometrical analysis and distributional patterns of
 medium-sized horseshoe bats (Chiroptera: Rhinolophidae) in Bulgaria. FOLIA ZOOLOGICA
 PRAHA 51(3), 187-200.

- Răduleţ, N., 2003. Contributions to the morphological study of the coxal bone of 11 bat species
 (Mammalia: Chiroptera) from Romania, Travaux du Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle "Grigore
 Antipa", 45, 373-380.
- 4 Ramsey, C.B., Lee, S., 2013. Recent and planned developments of the program OxCal.
 5 Radiocarbon, 55 (2-3), 720-730.
- Ríos-Aragües, L.M., Galera-Fernández, J.M., Barettino-Fraile, D., Barnolas, A., 1987. Mapa
 geológico de la Hoja nº 145 (Sallent). Mapa Geológico de España E. 1:50.000. Segunda Serie
 (MAGNA), Primera edición. IGME.
- 9 Sauqué, V., Rabal-Garcés, R., García-González, R., Gisbert, M., 2015. Bucardos y osos fósiles del
 10 Pirineo: recuperación de restos paleontológicos, catalogación y datación de los yacimientos del
 11 Pleistoceno-Holoceno de las cavidades el Pirineo oscense. Lucas Mallada. Revista de Ciencias
 12 17, 247-289.
- Sauqué, V., García-González, R., Rabal-Garcés, R., Galán, J., Nuñez-Lahuerta, C., Gisbert, M.,
 Cuenca-Bescós, G., 2017. Los Batanes (Huesca, Spain): a trap for Pyrenean wild goat during the
 Late Pleistocene (Spain). Quaternary International, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quaint.2017.09.011
 Sanchez-Goñi, M.F., Harrison, S.P., 2010. Millennial-scale climate variability and vegetation
 changes during the Last Glacial: concepts and terminology. Quaternary Science Reviews 29,
 2823-2827.
- Sevilla, P., 1988. Estudio Paleontológico de los Quirópteros del Cuaternario español.
 Paleontologia i Evolució 22, 113-233.
- Topál, G., 1979. Fossil bats of the *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* group in Hungary (Mammalia:
 Chiroptera). Fragmenta Mineralogica et Palaeontologica 9, 61-101.
- Woutersen, K., Bafaluy-Zoriguel, J.J., 2001. Murciélagos del Alto Aragón. Kees Woutersen
 Publicaciones, Huesca, p. 144.
- 25

Figure 1. Los Batanes site; a: geographical location of the cave; b: scheme of the morphology of
 Los Batanes Cave (elevation view), with the location of the fossil bone remains (topographical map
 provided by the CEA member M. Gisbert).

Figure 2. Geological map of the Gállego Valley; 1: granites; 2: Devonian; 3: Cretaceous; 4:
Palaeogene; 5: Quaternary; MIE: local Maximum Ice Extent (predating global LGM); star: Los
Batanes Cave. Modified from Ríos-Aragües et al., 1987; Gil-Peña et al., 1996; Palacios et al.,
2015.

Figure 3. *Rhinolophus euryale* fossil specimens from Los Batanes Cave; a: mx with P4-M3 (L) 2017/1144 in occlusal (top) and labial (bottom) view; b: C (L) 2017/1145 in labial (top left), lingual (top right) and occlusal (bottom) view, c: md with p4-m3 (L) 2017/1146 in occlusal (left) and labial (right) view; d: p ring 2017/1147 in anterior (top) and posterior (bottom) view; e: hu (L) 2017/1149 in external (top) and internal (bottom) view; f: sca (L) 2017/1148 in external (top), dorsal (second top), internal (second bottom) and ventral (bottom) view; g: fe (R) 2017/1150 in posterior (top) and anterior (bottom) view.

Figure 4. *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum* fossil specimens from Los Batanes Cave; a: md with m2 (R) 2017/1151 in occlusal (left) and labial (right) view; b: dep hu (L) 2017/1152 in external (left) and internal (right) view. cf. *Miniopterus schreibersii* fossil specimen from Los Batanes Cave; c: sca (L) 2017/1153 in ventral (left), internal (second left), in dorsal (second right), and in external (right) view. *Myotis* sp. fossil specimens from Los Batanes Cave; d: dep hu (R) 2017/1154 in external (left) and internal (right) view.

Figure 5. Dot plots comparing the anteroposterior lengths (L) of upper and lower molars of the *Rhinolophus* specimens from Los Batanes and the extant specimens in the collections (see paragraph 3.2.). The circles and squares represent the mean value for each group, the lines represent the standard deviation, in mm. Anatomical abbreviations in paragraph 3.3.

Figure 6. Main taphonomic remarks. Bone coloration; a: orange-brown mandible of *R. euryale*; b: beige mandible of *R. euryale*; c: white mandible of *R. euryale*. Bone surface alterations; d: nonaltered bone and enamel in a *R. euryale* mandible; e: bone surface affected by weathering, but not

the enamel, in a *R. euryale* mandible; f: surface weathering and dissolution marks in a distal epiphysis of *R. euryale* humerus. Tooth-wearing; g: subadult specimen of *R. euryale*, the m2 shows no wear; h: adult specimen of *R. euryale*, the m2 shows notable wear on the occlusal surface; i: adult specimen of *R. ferrumequinum*, the m2 shows notable wear on the occlusal surface.

Table 1. Presence in terms of NISP (number of identified specimens) and MNI (minimum number
of individuals) of each identified bat taxon.

Table 2. List of the recovered material for each bat taxon; Elem: element; Lat (laterality), n: number
of assigned specimens. Anatomical abbreviations in paragraph 3.3.

10 Table 3. Biometric characterization of the teeth and humeri of Los Batanes fossil bat assemblage,

Elem: element, Meas: measurement, n: number of measured specimens; Min: minimum value of the sample (mm); Max: maximum value of the sample (mm); M: mean value (mm); SE: standard error (mm); SD: standard deviation (mm). Anatomical abbreviations in paragraph 3.3.

Table 4. Relative abundance of the main skeletal elements recovered at Los Batanes and assigned to genus *Rhinolophus*; Elem: element; Ri: relative abundance of the elements in the sample; Ni: minimum number of elements in the sample; Ei: number of elements in an individual. Anatomical abbreviations in paragraph 3.3.

E	1							
	lement			Ri	Element			Ri
	(Cr)	Ni	Ei	(%)	(PCr)	Ni	Ei	(%)
	bu	9	2	32.1	clav	9	2	32.1
	mx	2	2	7.1	p ring	3	1	25.0
	md	9	2	32.1	esc	5	2	17.9
	teeth	9	16	4.0	pelv	1	2	3.6
					metcp (ii-v)	26	8	23.2
					hu	17	2	60.7
					ra	20	2	71.4
					fe	10	2	35.7
					ti	5	2	17.9
						1		

	Elem	Lat	n	Таха	Elem	Lat	n
	b	R	7		don ro	R	4
	bu	L	2		dep ra	L	2
	2014	R	1		pep ra	L	6
	mx	L	1		diap ra	-	3
	С	R	1		ti	R	3
	C	L	1	R. euryale	pep ti	L	1
	M1	R	1		fe	R	5
	IVI I	L	2		non fo	R	3
	P4	L	1		pep fe	L	2
	md	R	3		dep fe	R	1
	md	L	4		md	R	1
	and the	R	1		m1	R	1
	md b	L	2		hu	R	1
	<i>(</i>)	R	2			R	1
	fr md	L	1	R.	dep hu	L	2
		R	1	ferrumequinum	pep hu	L	1
R. euryale	С	L	2			R	2
-	p4	R	1		pep ra	L	1
	at	-	1		diap ra		2
	p ring	-	3		ti	L	1
		R	2		fr pelv		1
	esc	L	3		fr pep ra	L	1
		R	3		рер	R	6
	hu	L	4		metcp II	L	1
	pep hu	L	2	Rhinolophus sp.	рер	R	4
	dep hu	L	5		metcp IV	L	5
	dep +				-		
	diap hu	L	1		pep	R	7
	diap hu	-	1		metcp V	L	3
		L	1	M. schreibersii	sca	L	1
	ra	R	1	Myotis sp.	hu	R	2
	pep +	R	3	Chinemtone indet	fr clav		9
	diap ra	L	5	Chiroptera indet.	vert		12

Taxon	NIPS	MNI
Rhinolophus euryale	99	12
Rhinolophus ferrumequinum	13	2
Rhinolophus sp.	28	-
cf. Miniopterus schreibersii	1	1
<i>Myotis</i> sp.	2	2
Chiroptera indet.	21	-
TOTAL	164	17

- Course of the second

Taxon	Elem	Meas	n	Min	Max	М	SE	SD
	P4	W	3	1.13	1.19	1.16	0.02	0.03
	P4	L	3	1.20	1.67	1.37	0.15	0.27
	0	W	2	1.02	1.08	1.05	0.03	0.04
	С	L	2	1.44	1.49	1.46	0.02	0.03
		W	1			1.83		
	M1	L1	1			1.63		
		L2	1			1.17		
		W	4	1.64	1.75	1.71	0.02	0.05
	M2	L1	4	1.45	1.48	1.46	0.01	0.01
		L2	4	1.01	1.12	1.06	0.02	0.05
		W	2	0.17	1.50	0.84	0.67	0.94
euryale	M3	L1	2	1.11	1.15	1.13	0.02	0.03
ourguio		L2	2	0.74	0.81	0.78	0.04	0.05
		w1	8	0.77	1.06	0.92	0.03	0.09
	m1	w2	8	0.85	1.08	0.95	0.02	0.07
		I	8	1.50	1.68	1.64	0.02	0.06
	m2	w1	9	0.84	1.12	0.98	0.03	0.09
		w2	9	0.90	1.11	1.00	0.02	0.07
		I	9	1.53	1.59	1.55	0.01	0.02
		w1	6	0.82	1.01	0.93	0.03	0.08
	m3	w2	6	0.67	0.83	0.76	0.03	0.06
		I	6	1.24	1.44	1.37	0.03	0.07
	hu	dep W	10	4.35	4.61	4.44	0.03	0.09
		w1	1			1.47		
	m1	w2	1			1.56		
			1			2.02		
errume- uinum		w1	1			1.49		
	m2	w2	1 1			1.62 2.02		
	hu	dep	3	4.94	5.11	5.04	0.05	0.09
		W dep				5.04	0.05	0.09
otis sp.	hu	W	2	2.36	2.44			













