SOFC cathodic layers using wet powder spraying technique with self synthesized nanopowders
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Abstract

In this work, a wet powder spraying method has been investigated as a facile low cost route to deposit electrode layer on SOFC electrolyte support. A particular focus has been examining the interfacial stability of the deposited layers, and determining the influence of the thickness of the different layers, as well as the ball milling regime used to produce the electrode inks.

The developed system consist of an yttria stabilized zirconia electrolyte support, a La0.6Sr0.4FeO3 (LSF) cathode, a Sm0.2Ce0.8O1.9 (SDC) barrier layer between the electrolyte and the cathode, and LaNi0.6Fe0.4O3 (LNF) as a contact layer, for a future integration with the SOFC interconnector. The electrolyte supports (300 μm thickness and 9 mm diameter) supports were prepared by uniaxial pressing, while the deposition of thin barrier layers, cathode and contact layer were carried out by manual spray coating.

© 2019 Hydrogen Energy Publications LLC. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Fuel cells are energy conversion devices that directly convert the chemical energy of a fuel into electrical power and heat. Among the fuel cell types, solid oxide fuel cells (SOFC) have attracted much attention due to their advantages such as higher electrical conversion efficiency, long-term stability, fuel flexibility and environmental friendliness [1–5].

The two main configurations of SOFCs are tubular and planar [6–11]. Among the different configurations, this study is focused in planar SOFCs, which have a much simpler manufacturing process and lower fabrication cost than those of their tubular counterparts [12–14]. Regarding planar configuration, two types are preferred: electrode (mainly at anode) supported cells and electrolyte supported cells [3,15,16]. Although electrolyte supported cells may exhibit...
higher ohmic losses, they are more robust and have demonstrated much better stability during the reduction-oxidation processes and thermal cycles [17,18].

The main drawbacks for the industrial implementation of SOFCs are their manufacturing cost and high degradation rate [14,19–21]. The aim is to replace the expensive and complex processing for the cell manufacturing with cheaper, simpler and industrially scalable techniques. Within these techniques, spray coating is a cost effective deposition method for electrode layers, showing good quality and thickness control. In particular, this method present a highly reproducible process for covering planar surfaces [22–25].

In the development of a planar SOFC stack, each repeating unit is composed of an anode, electrolyte, cathode and interconnect [26,27]. Among the typical material choices, yttria stabilized zirconia (YSZ) is the most commonly used electrolyte for SOFCs because of its low cost, high ionic transference number in oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, and good chemical and mechanical properties [28–30]. The mechanical properties of the YSZ allow it to withstand the residual stresses from cell fabrication processes as well as the stresses from the operational conditions. Samarium doped ceria (SDC) or gadolinium doped ceria (GDC) can also be used as electrolytes [31–33], or as a protective barrier between the YSZ electrolyte and commonly used cathode materials, preventing the formation of poorly conducting secondary phases, such as electrolyte and commonly used cathode materials, preventing the formation of poorly conducting secondary phases, such as La₂Zr₂O₇ or Sr₂ZrO₃, which are deleterious for cathode performance [34–38]. Iron containing perovskites such as La₀.₆Sr₀.₄Fe₀.₄O₃ (LSF) or La₀.₆Sr₀.₄Co₀.₂Fe₀.₈O₃ (LSCF) are good candidates as SOFC cathodes showing high mixed conductivity and good catalytic activity for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) [39–42]. The use of cathode contact layers such as LaNi₀.₆Fe₀.₄O₃ (LNF) and LaNi₀.₆Co₀.₄O₃ (LNC) have been demonstrated to improve the electron transfer through the contact interface from interconnect to the cathode layer. In addition, it means that the ORR of the TPB in the cathode receives more electrons from the interconnector, increasing the performance of the cell [43–45].

In this research, the deposition of the cathode side components (SDC as protective layer, LSF as cathode and LNF as contact layer) by wet powder spraying (WPS) deposition has been studied with the aim of optimizing the fabrication process using self-made materials, using a cheap, simple and scalable technique. Furthermore, special attention has been paid to the influence of the ball milling process and the layer thickness in determining the morphology and stability of the layers. The systems were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD) Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDX). Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) measurements were performed in a button cell test rig (NorECs) and a Zanher Zennium workstation, in air at 700 and 800 °C.

**Experimental**

**Synthesis and system fabrication**

All the SOFC component powders were prepared by a glycine nitrate (GN) process. Stoichiometric amounts of the corresponding metal nitrates were dissolved in deionized water. For all compositions, glycine was then added into the nitrate aqueous solution (Glycine-nitrate 1:1) under continuous stirring. The resulting viscous liquid was auto-ignited by heating up to approximately 455 °C and the obtained powders were calcined around 600 °C for 5 h to remove carbon residues. In the case of LSF and LNF, the resulting powders were pelletized and calcined in air at 950 °C for 8 h to obtain pure single phase samples. The properties (composition, morphologies, TEC and conductivities) of the primary materials used in the present study could be consulted in previous works [46].

Various configurations of the symmetrical systems were performed and investigated in this paper, which are described in Table 1. During the first experiments, four cells made out of cathodic and barrier layers, were created with different thicknesses and using inks ball milled at 270 rpm speed. In the second set of samples, with the aim of studying the effect of the ball milling speed in the layers, the cathode powders were ball milled at different speeds maintaining the thicknesses constant. Finally, taking into account previous results, symmetric systems were fabricated adding LNF contact layers.

To prepare the YSZ supports by uniaxial pressing, with 13 mm of diameter and 300 μm of thickness, the synthesized powders were ball milled with zirconia balls in zirconia cylinders for 24 h using 2-propanol as solvent. After being pressed, the supports were sintered at 1425 °C for 2 h. For the deposition of the layers, the starting powders were ground through wet ball milling, by adding the appropriate amount of solvent (2-propanol) and dispersant, for 24 h. The deposition was carried out at room temperature, using an airbrush (Iwata eclipse HP-BCS) at an angle of 90° with respect to the supporting plane. The distance between the nozzle and the support was varied between 2 and 10 cm. SDC, LSF and LNF layers were sintered separately at a temperature of 1150, 950 and 950 °C, respectively, for 2 h. The schematic structure of the electrolyte-supported system is shown in Fig. 1.

**Morphological characterization**

Room-temperature X-ray diffraction (XRD) data were recorded using an integration time of 10 s/0.026° step in the 5 < 2θ < 70° range with a Philips X-Pert PRO X-ray diffractometer equipped with a secondary beam graphite monochromator and Cu-Kα radiation. Full-profile Rietveld refinements [46] were performed using the FullProf program to confirm the structural parameters of the identified phases [47].

Cross sections of the samples were analyzed using SEM/EDX system (JEOL JSM-7000F). Composition analysis was performed using back-scattered electrons (BSE) at 20 kV accelerating voltage and 5 × 10⁻⁹ A of current. Moreover, EDX mapping was carried out using 70 ms/pixel. The data processing was performed using Oxford INCA software. The characteristic emission lines used for the analysis were L₃ for La and Ce, Kα for Ni and Fe, and L₂L₃ for Sr.

**Electrochemical measurements**

Symmetric systems were used to study the electrochemical performance of the compounds using electrochemical
impedance spectroscopy (EIS). The layers, with a geometrical area of 0.51 cm², were deposited onto both sides of the electrolyte. Impedance measurements of symmetric systems were performed in air at 700 and 800 °C. Samples were painted with Au paste to improve current collection and mounted on a button-cell test rig (model Probostat from NorEcs, Norway) for electrochemical testing.

A Zahn Zennium workstation (ZAHNER- elektrik GmbH & Co. KG, Germany) was used to obtain the electrochemical measurements. The frequency was varied between 5 · 10⁵ and 0.01 Hz with an alternating (AC) signal amplitude of 10 mV, at temperatures of 700 and 800 °C. Data were analyzed with the ZPlot 3.5b software, using the equivalent circuit depicted in Fig. 2, where L is an inductance, Rs, RH and RL are resistances and CPEH-CPEL are constant phase elements.

The capacitance and relaxation frequency of each contribution have been calculated according to Eqs. (1) and (2), respectively [48].

\[ C = \frac{(R^1 - Q)^{1/n}}{n} \]  
\[ f_0 = \frac{(RQ)^{-1/n}}{2n} \]  

The ASR was calculated from the ASR = \( R_p \cdot S/2 \) formula, where S is the surface area of the sample and \( R_p \) is the polarization resistance (the sum of the resistance given by the semicircles at high and low frequencies in the niquist plots).

Then, all is divided by 2 to take into account the symmetrical geometry of the half systems.

**Results and discussion**

**Initial structural study of the compounds**

The purity of the samples was analyzed by X-ray diffraction. All the materials (YSZ, SDC, and LSF) prepared through the GN combustion route show the desired final phases. The signal identification for all XRD patterns was in good agreement with the Powder Diffraction File database (PDF). All the samples were pure as can be observed in Fig. 3 for different batches. For the LNF compound, the appearance of extra shoulders in the experimental profile indicated a possible phase segregation to give two perovskite phase with different Ni/Fe ratio, as confirmed by Rietveld fitting analysis.

Fig. 4 shows the micrographs of the electrolytes supports sintered at 1425 °C for 2 h in air. The heating rate from room temperature to 1425 °C was 3 °C/min and an alumina plate was placed on top to add weight to ensure the production of a flat electrolyte support without bending and/or cracking. After sintering, the diameter of the electrolytes was reduced from 13 to 9 mm (30% of contraction). As can be seen in the micrographs, although there were 1 µm pores, it is possible that those pores were not connected, giving the required density to

---

**Table 1 — Details of the investigated samples.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Layer thickness</th>
<th>Ball milling speed (rpm) for 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>1 µm SDC and 1 µm LSF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>3 µm SDC and 3 µm LSF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>10 µm SDC and 10 µm LSF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T30</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>3 µm SDC and 30 µm LSF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Effect of Ball Milling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Layer thickness</th>
<th>Ball milling speed (rpm) for 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T3BM130</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>3 µm SDC and 3 µm LSF</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10BM130</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>10 µm SDC and 10 µm LSF</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3BM270</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>3 µm SDC and 3 µm LSF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T10BM270</td>
<td>SDC-LSF</td>
<td>10 µm SDC and 10 µm LSF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Complete system**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample name</th>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Layer thickness</th>
<th>Ball milling speed (rpm) for 24 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MCT3</td>
<td>SDC-LSF-LSF-LNF</td>
<td>3 µm SDC, 3 µm LSF and 3 µm LNF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCT10</td>
<td>SDC-LSF-LSF-LNF</td>
<td>3 µm SDC, 10 µm LSF and 10 µm LNF</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Fig. 1 — Schematic for an electrolyte supported SOFC design.

---
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avoid the gas leakage through the electrolyte. No pinhole or cracks were observed, indicating a good sintering with grains of about 0.5–2.5 μm.

**Influence of the layer thickness on the microstructure**

In order to determine the effect of the cathode thickness on the interface stability, a series of symmetrical systems were prepared with different cathode thicknesses. Fig. 5 shows the typical cross-section micrographs of the systems a) T1 b) T3 c) T10 and d) T30. The study indicated that the microstructure of the layers were similar, with similar grain size, pore size and overall porosity. One can notice that in the case of sample T1 (Fig. 5a), the interface was not uniform, probably due to an insufficient amount of deposited material to cover the entire surface. In the other cases, although the layers were uniform, in the case of the sample T30 (Fig. 5d) the increase of cathode thickness seemed to detrimentally affect the layer quality, as poor adhesion between the SDC and LSF layers were observed with the appearance of cracks leading to delaminations. As previously reported, the thickness of the layer had an influence on the residual stress distribution, resulting in a poor adhesion between layers [49]. On the other hand, T3 and T10 samples, presented good adhesion and continuous contact between the interfaces.

Taking into account the obtained results, we concluded that the layers with better microstructure were the ones with 3 and 10 μm thickness.

**Influence of the ball milling effect**

With the aim of studying the influence of the ball milling process on the microstructure, different ball milling speeds have been used to prepare the inks. In Fig. 6, the micrographs taken from the cross-section of the systems are shown. Obvious morphological differences between the two different treatments were observed. As can be seen, the systems deposited with previously ball milled ink at 270 rpm for 24 h, T3BM270 and T10BM270, presented more homogeneous layers, better contact and less fissures. In contrast, the results for the T3BM130 and T10BM130 samples show that, the powders were agglomerated and had bigger particle sizes, with broad size distribution, which leads to coarsening at high temperatures.

The particle sizes results are presented in Table 2. As can be seen in the table, although there was no significant change on the LSF powders rate of microstructural refinement, in the case of SDC there was significant particle size decrease with increasing ball milling speed. This decrease in size may be the cause of the enhanced microstructure of T3BM270 and T10BM270 samples.

**Evaluation of a multilayered symmetric system**

Taking into account the studies detailed above, SDC, LSF and LNF layers have been deposited onto the electrolyte support. The thickness of the SDC layer was also reduced by taking into account the fact that it has to be thin to minimize the additional contribution to the ohmic resistance of the system, and that an overly thick buffer layer can cause delamination in the cathode [50,51]. To show the characterization of each layer and determine any segregation of elements, EDX micrographs were examined (Fig. 7). The identified elements were, Ni from LNF in green, Sr from LSF in red and Ce from SDC in blue. From
Fig. 7, it can be seen that there has been no diffusion between layers and that the adherence between the layers was good. Thus, by taking into account the systematic previous studies, it has been possible to avoid the creation of cracks and delaminations that could be seen in the other systems.

Fig. 8 shows the typical electrochemical impedance spectra measured at 700 and 800 °C for the MCT3 and MCT10 samples. The high frequency intercept of the impedance arcs with the x axis corresponds to the ohmic resistance (R_s), which is generally attributed to the electrolyte and the contact resistance [52]. In the present case, R_s were in different ranges due to the electrolyte thickness variability. The Nyquist plots at both temperatures were composed of two semicircles at high frequency (HF) and low frequency (LF) range, which corresponds to R_h and R_L electrode polarization resistances, respectively. The total cathode polarization resistance (R_p) is the sum of both (R_h and R_L). All the results from the simulations are listed in Table 3.

For MIEC perovskite electrodes, the HF arc is usually associated with charge transfer processes and the LF arc is usually attributed to oxygen reduction reaction at the cathode surface (adsorption and/or diffusion) [53]. This is consistent

Fig. 4 – SEM cross-sectional images of a) YSZ electrolyte support, b) higher magnification image and c) surface view.

Fig. 5 – SEM secondary electron cross-sectional images of YSZ-SDC-LSF with the thicknesses of samples a) T1, b) T3, c) T10 and d) T30.
Fig. 6 – SEM cross-section images of YSZ-SDC-LSF systems with different thicknesses and ball milling processes. The samples are a) T3BM270, b) T3BM130, c) T10BM270 and d) T10BM130.

Table 2 – The particle size milled at different speeds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>d (µm)</th>
<th>Before ball milling</th>
<th>Milling speed 130 rpm</th>
<th>Milling speed 270 rpm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SDC</td>
<td>$d_{10}$</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>0.414</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{50}$</td>
<td>1.506</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{90}$</td>
<td>3.582</td>
<td>2.083</td>
<td>1.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSF</td>
<td>$d_{10}$</td>
<td>0.598</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{50}$</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{90}$</td>
<td>4.213</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>0.607</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 – Resistances, capacitances and relaxation frequencies obtained by modelling impedance diagrams of the systems after being optimized.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Contribution no. 1</th>
<th>Contribution no. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω cm²)</td>
<td>$R_{H}$ (Ω cm²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>MCT3</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCT10</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700</td>
<td>MCT3</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MCT10</td>
<td>3.10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7 – EDX mapping of the cross section of the samples a) MCT3 and b) MCT10 after being optimized.
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with the results listed in Table 3, and also with previous LSF systems reported in the literature [54–56]. As expected, the total polarization resistances of the systems decrease when increasing the operating temperature, from 7.53 to 0.66 Ω cm² and from 5.26 to 0.66 Ω cm², for MCT3 and MCT10 samples, respectively. This decrease is a consequence of the higher oxygen diffusion and surface exchange kinetics of the LSF cathode and LNF contact layer, at higher temperatures. It is also evident that increasing the thickness of the cathode layers from 3 to 10 μm reduces the polarization resistance associated to both charge transfer and surface processes. In any case, these systems have adequate polarization resistances, 0.50 and 0.66 Ω cm² at 800 °C for MCT10 and MCT3 samples, respectively, as shown in Fig. 8 in the impedance spectra of the systems.

Conclusions

Uniform and well-adhered coatings of LSF, SDC and LNF have been deposited by wet powder spraying onto an YSZ electrolyte support, with self-synthesized materials. The microstructure of the system has been shown to be unstable at thicknesses greater than 10 μm due to stresses caused by different TECs, while layers below 3 μm thickness lacked homogeneity. The best results have been obtained with the inks ball milled at 270 rpm, avoiding the appearance of coarsening in the layers after sintering. In addition, reducing the thickness of the SDC layer, together with the use of an LNF layer, has produced systems with satisfactory electrochemistry. To evaluate the systems, electrochemical impedance spectroscopy was performed, and a decrease in ASR was achieved with the thicker cathode layer (sample MCT10). The lowest polarization resistances of 0.5 Ω cm² at 800 °C corresponds to a symmetrical system consisting of a 3 μm SDC layer, 10 μm LSF layer and 10 μm LNF layer, with the ink used having been milled at a speed of 270 rpm. Thus the results show that, despite being a very simple and cheap process, the wet powder spraying technique is an effective method for the deposition of the fuel cell layers, through suitable control of the layer thickness and ink milling regimes employed.
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