	Multiple founder events explain the genetic diversity and structure of the model allopolyploid grass <i>Brachypodium hybridum</i> in the Iberian Peninsula hotspot		
1.5	Valeriia <mark>Shiposha<sup>1,2,§</sup>, Isabel Marques<sup>1,†,§</sup>, Diana López-Alvarez<sup>1</sup>, Antonio J. Manzaneda<sup>3</sup>, Pilar Hernandez<sup>4</sup>, Marina <mark>Olonova</mark><sup>2</sup> and Pilar <mark>Catalán<sup>1,2,5,*</sup></mark></mark>		1.00
1.10	<sup>1</sup> Departamento de Ciencias Agrarias y del Medio Natural, Escuela Politécnica Superior de Huesca, Universidad de Zaragoza, Ctra. Cuarte km 1, 22071 Huesca, Spain, <sup>2</sup> Department of Botany, Institute of Biology, Tomsk State University, Lenin Av. 36, Tomsk 634050, Russia, <sup>3</sup> Departamento de Biología Animal, Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Universidad de Jaén, Paraje Las Lagunillas s/n, 23071-Jaén, Spain, <sup>4</sup> Instituto de Agricultura Sostenible (IAS-CSIC), Alameda del Obispo s/n, 14004 Córdoba, Spain en d <sup>5</sup> Composed de Biomérica Piedrofe Composed (DEL UNIZAD), Unided Acariado el CSIC, Composed		1.65
1.15	<i>E-50059, Spain †Present address: cE3c - Centre for Ecology Evolution and Environmental Changes, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal</i> <i>*For correspondence. E-mail: pcatalan@unizar.es</i> <i>Both authors contributed equally to this work.</i>		1.70
	Received: 24 January 2019 Returned for revision: 16 May 2019	Editorial decision: 4 October 2019 Accepted: 16 October 2019	1.75
1.20 1.25	<ul> <li>Background and Aims It is accepted that contempt but very few cases have been documented using multipl knowledge, we have investigated the multiple origins, g <i>Brachypodium hybridum</i> with respect to its progenitor di genome). For this, our primary focus is the Iberian Penins</li> <li>Methods We analysed 342 <i>B. hybridum</i> individuals two plastid loci. The <i>B. hybridum</i> genetic profiles were</li> </ul>	prary allopolyploid species have originated recurrently, e natural formations of the same species. To extend our enetic variation and structure of the allotetraploid grass ploid species <i>B. distachyon</i> (D genome) and <i>B. stacei</i> (S ula, an evolutionary hotspot for the genus <i>Brachypodium</i> . from 36 populations using ten nuclear SSR loci and compared with those previously reported for <i>B. stacei</i>	1.80
1.30	<ul> <li>and <i>B. distachyon.</i> In addition, phylogenetic analysis of the plastid data was performed for a reduced subset of individuals.</li> <li>Key Results The nuclear simple sequence repeat (SSR) genetic analysis detected medium to high genetic diversity, with a strong south-to-north genetic structure cline, and a high selfing rate in <i>B. hybridum.</i> Comparative genetic analysis showed a close relatedness of current <i>B. hybridum</i> D allelic profiles with those of <i>B. distachyon</i>, but a lack of similarity with those of <i>B. stacei</i>, suggesting another <i>B. stacei</i> source for the <i>B. hybridum</i> S alleles. Plastid analysis detected three different bidirectional allopolyploidization events: two involved distinct <i>B. distachyon</i>-like ancestors and one involved a <i>B. stacei</i>-like ancestor. The south-eastern Iberian Peninsula <i>B. hybridum</i> populations were less diverse and may have derived from at least one hybridization event.</li> <li>Conclusions The genetic and evolutionary evidence supports the plausible <i>in situ</i> origin of the south-eastern and unknown</li> </ul>		1.85
1.35			1.90
1.40	<i>B. stacei</i> ancestors. The untapped multiple origins and genetic variation detected in these <i>B. hybridum</i> populations opens the way to future evolutionary analysis of allopolyploid formation and genomic dominance and expression in the <i>B. hybridum–B. distachyon–B. stacei</i> grass model complex.		1.95
	<b>Key words:</b> Allopolyploidy, <i>Brachypodium hybridum–B. distachyon–B. stacei</i> , genetic structure and diversity cline, Iberian hotspot, multiple origins, population genetics.		1.100
1.45	INTRODUCTION	machanisms of downsizing genomes, such as massive zero.	
1.50	In the plant kingdom polyploid species almost equal the number of current diploid species (Barker <i>et al.</i> , 2016; Doyle and Sherman-Broyles, 2017; Margues <i>et al.</i> , 2018). Polyploidy	losses and large genomic and chromosomal rearrangements (te Beest <i>et al.</i> , 2012; Marques <i>et al.</i> , 2016). Recent polyploids emerged as the result of new whole-genome duplication events that were informed to have occurred in the Oligonome Missage	1.105

1.50is considered the primary driver of diversity in several fam-ilies (Soltis *et al.*, 2016; Van de Peer *et al.*, 2017). This is a recurrent phenomenon that has lasted since the origin of the angiosperms, whose proto-ancestors experienced one or more whole-genome duplication events (Jiao et al., 2011). Some 1.55 palaeopolyploids returned to a diploid state through various 1.56

that were inferred to have occurred in the Oligocene-Miocene or in the Quaternary, producing meso- and neopolyploids, respectively (Stebbins 1985; Soltis et al., 2016).

2 Although recent studies are revealing a higher than expected frequency of autopolyploidy events in angiosperms 1.110 (Spoelhof et al., 2017; Doyle and Sherman-Broyles, 2017; Baduel et al., 2018), the large majority are allopolyploid species 1.112 that originated after hybridization of diploid or lower-ploidy progenitor species (Soltis *et al.*, 2016; Doyle and Sherman-Broyles, 2017). The effective reproductive isolation of the allopolyploid from its progenitor species has been recognized as

2.5 the main factor driving rapid speciation (te Beest *et al.*, 2012), thus avoiding gene flow through repeated backcrossing and introgression. Nevertheless, the origin of most allopolyploids is still unknown except for the intensively studied cultivated plants (e.g. *Brassica, Gossypium, Triticum*) and some wild ex 2.10 perimental species (e.g. *Arabidopsis, Senecio, Tragopogon*)

(Soltis *et al.*, 2016). The 'multiple origins' evolutionary scenario of allopolyploids (Doyle and Sherman-Broyles, 2017) remains largely unexplored. Only a few studies have reported on large population samplings of both extant progenitor species and their derived allopolyploids (Soltis *et al.*, 2016).

3 *Brachypodium* has emerged as a model system for temperate cereals and bioenergy grasses (Vogel *et al.*, 2010; Mur *et al.*, 2011; Catalán *et al.*, 2014; Scholthof *et al.*, 2018). In contrast to other model plants, the annual *B. distachyon* has a rich combination of desirable attributes such as a short life cycle with

2.20 combination of desirable attributes such as a short life cycle with simple growth requirements, is highly homozygous and can be easily transformed (Scholthof *et al.*, 2018). *Brachypodium distachyon* (x = 5, 2n = 10; genome size 0.631 pg/2C 272 Mbp), which has the first fully sequenced Pooideae genome (refer-

- 2.25 ence genome: accession Bd21; Vogel *et al.*, 2010), has remarkable similarity to the genome composition of other temperate grasses. Also, contrary to other grasses where crop domestication has created a genetic bottleneck compared with wild ancestors (Buckler *et al.*, 2001), *Brachypodium* was never do-
- 2.30 mesticated. It has retained its maximum genetic variability in wild populations, which can be used to decipher gene functions for improving agronomic traits and for comparative ecological and evolutionary studies (Gordon *et al.*, 2017; Scholthof *et al.*, 2018). Nuclear SNPs from resequenced *B. distachyon* lines
- 2.35 (Gordon *et al.*, 2017) and genotyping-by-sequencing data
  AQ1 (Tyler *et al.*, 2016) together with whole-plastome analyses (Sancho *et al.*, 2018) have detected two main diverged lineages in *B. distachyon*, a mostly Extremely Delayed Flowering (EDF+) clade and a mostly Spanish (S+)-Turkish (T+) clade.
  2.40 Interestingly, these clades are not primarily connected with
- geography, but with flowering time phenotypic traits, although counterbalanced by introgression between them (Tyler *et al.*, 2016; Sancho *et al.*, 2018).

4 Besides the diploid *B. distachyon*, reference genomes of 2.45 the diploid *B. stacei* (x = 10, 2n = 20; 0.564 pg/2C 234 Mbp) and of their derived allotetraploid *B. hybridum* (x = 5 + 10, 2n = 30; 1.265 pg/2C 509 Mbp) are also available (Scholthof *et al.*, 2018). Despite having twice the number of chromosomes, the genome size of *B. stacei* is roughly similar to that of *B. distachyon* due

- 2.50 to the small sizes of the *B. stacei* chromosomes compared with those of *B. distachyon*, whereas the genome size of *B. hybridum* corresponds to the sum of the two progenitor genomes (Catalán *et al.*, 2012). Phylogenetic analyses estimated that *B. hybridum* could have arisen ~1 Ma (Catalan *et al.*, 2012), almost con-
- 2.55 temporarily with its progenitor *B. distachyon* species (Sancho *et al.*, 2018). Genetic studies based on barcoding nuclear loci indicated that the *B. distachyon*-type (D) and *B. stacei*-type (S) subgenomes of *B. hybridum* were overall highly intact compared with the studied genomes of current progenitor species,
- 2.60 whereas the maternally inherited plastid markers showed that

*B. hybridum* originated from bidirectional crosses (Lopez-Alvarez *et al.*, 2012). Artificial crosses have corroborated these findings through the creation of a synthetic fertile allotetraploid, which phenotypically resembles *B. hybridum* after the hybridization of *B. distachyon* and *B. stacei* species (Dinh Thi *et al.*, 2.65 2016).

5 Traditional population genetic studies based on nuclear microsatellites, as well as genotyping-by-sequencing and plastome data have identified the Iberian Peninsula as an important source of genetic variation either in B. stacei (Shiposha 2.70 et al., 2016) or in B. distachyon (Tyler et al., 2016; Margues et al., 2017; Sancho et al., 2018). Remarkably, the genetic diversity and origins of B. hybridum, the stable allotetraploid hybrid species, have been scarcely studied, although it is considered an invasive species outside its native circum-Mediterranean 2.75 range (Bakker et al., 2009; López et al., 2012). A main drawback for the investigation of the multiple origins hypothesis of allopolyploids has been the lack of sample numbers (Soltis AQ2 et al., 2016). Therefore, in this study we have analysed a large number of populations of B. hybridum and of its progenitor spe-2.80cies (B. stacei and B. distachyon) across the Iberian Peninsula using nuclear and plastid data. We have followed two strategies to analyse both the genetic and phylogeographical patterns of the Iberian B. hybridum populations and the multiple origins of their individuals. For population genetics and phylogeography 2.85 we have considered each individual as a single evolutionary and dispersal unit, and have thus analysed together its decoupled S and D subgenomic allelic loci. However, for the investigation of their multiple origins we have analysed separately their decoupled S and D phenotypes, together with those of Iberian 2.90 populations of the B. stacei and B. distachyon progenitor species. Specifically, we aimed to answer the following questions: (1) Is the genetic diversity of *B. hybridum* geographically structured in the Iberian Peninsula? (2) How many founder events have contributed to it? (3) Can we track the parental origin of 2.95 the populations of B. hybridum? and (4) Does the centre of genetic diversity of *B. hybridum* coincide with the genetic diversity centres of the progenitor species or has a shift occurred?

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.100

#### Population sampling, DNA extraction and nSSR amplification

A total of 342 individuals of Brachvpodium hybridum were 2.105 collected across 36 populations covering the whole distribution range of this species within the Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 1). Sampling sizes, locations and geographical coordinates of each population sampled are given in Supplementary Data Table S1. Because B. hybridum can be morphologically confused 2.110 with the parental species B. distachyon (and less frequently B. stacei), the identities of the samples were first confirmed through DAPI-stained chromosomes, coupled with barcoding markers (López-Alvarez et al., 2012). Fresh leaves were collected from each individual, dried in silica gel and stored at 2.115 -20 °C until DNA was extracted. Total genomic DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Samples of *B. hybridum* were genotyped at ten polymorphic nuclear simple sequence repeats (SSRs) developed for Turkish populations of 2.120

3.110



FIG. 1. Geographical distribution of the allotetraploid *B. hybridum* and the diploid *B. stacei* and *B. distachyon* progenitor species used in genetic and evolutionary analyses of the allopolyploid model complex. The circles and squares indicate population samples used in the SSR and plastid DNA sequence analyses, respectively, as shown in the chart (see also Supplementary Data Tables S1 and S4). Six individuals analysed for SSR data were also used in the plastid analysis [ALFR, CALA, CIMB, LEPE (Bhyb30\_1; Bhyb30\_2), LLIG]. 3.95

B. distachyon (ALB006, ALB022, ALB040, ALB050, ALB086, ALB087, ALB139, ALB165, ALB181 and ALB311; Vogel et al., 2009) and applied previously to Iberian populations of 3.40 B. distachyon (Margues et al., 2017) and B. stacei (Shiposha et al., 2016). The SSR amplifications in B. hybridum were carried out as described in Shiposha et al. (2016). Multiplexed PCR products were genotyped on an Applied Biosystems 3130XL Genetic Analyzer using 2 µL of amplified DNA, 3.45 12  $\mu$ L of Hi-Di formamide and 0.4  $\mu$ L of GeneScan-500 (LIZ) size standard (Applied Biosystems). Allele sizes were determined using Peak Scanner version 1.0 (Life Technologies) and revised manually, deleting extraneous peaks and discarding low sizing quality peaks across all the samples. Because of the con-3.50 firmed allotetraploidy and disomic inheritance of B. hybridum (Catalán et al., 2012; Díaz-Pérez et al., 2018), the scored SSR alleles were assigned to the parental B. stacei-type (S subgenome) and B. distachyon-type (D subgenome) genotypes of the sampled individuals by decoupling each locus into two 3.55 subgenomic loci, following the procedures indicated in Catalán et al. (2006). Two of the ten loci (ALB87, ALB181) showed overlapping allelic sizes in both parents and in B. hybridum and were encoded as a single locus each. One locus (ALB139) 3.59 showed single genetic dosage from one of the subgenomes (D), 3.60

3.35

and seven loci (ALB006, ALB22, ALB40, ALB50, ALB86, ALB165, ALNB311) showed alleles from the two subgenomes. Individual genotypes from a total of 17 loci were encoded as 3.100 for conventional diploids in *B. hybridum* (Supplementary Data Table S2). Single diploid genotypes from 181 individuals of *B. stacei* (19 populations; Shiposha *et al.*, 2016) and 148 individuals of *B. distachyon* (16 populations; two from the current study, 14 from Marques *et al.*, 2017) were also incorporated 3.105 into this study (Supplementary Data Table S3).

### Genetic diversity in Brachypodium hybridum

We calculated genetic diversity and structure of the *B. hybridum* populations using 14 SSR loci. Genetic variation, based on total number of alleles  $(N_a)$ , allelic richness  $(A_R)$ , observed within-population Nei's heterozygosity  $(H_o)$ , expected within-population Nei's heterozygosity  $(H_s)$ , expected Nei's 3.115 heterozygosity within the total population  $(H_T)$ , Nei's measure of genetic differentiation  $(G_{st})$ , and inbreeding coefficient  $(F_{IS})$  per locus and population, was estimated using FSTAT 2.9.3.2 (Goudet, 2001). We also estimated  $F_{IS}$  using the Bayesian procedure implemented in INEst 2.0 (Chybicki and Burczyk, 3.120)

4

2009), which is robust for the presence of null alleles. Posterior distribution was based on 300 000 steps, sampling every 100 steps and discarding the first 30 000 steps as burn-in. In order to understand the importance of inbreeding in our dataset we

- 4.5 compared the full model (nfb) with the model including only null alleles (nb). We chose the best model based on the deviance information criterion (DIC; Chybicki *et al.*, 2011).
- 4.10 Genetic relationships, population structure and differentiation in *Brachypodium hybridum*
- We used Populations 1.2 (Langella *et al.*, 2000) to calculate Nei's genetic distance ( $D_a$ ; Nei and Chesser, 1983) among individuals and to construct an unrooted neighbour-joining (NJ) tree with 1000 bootstrap replicates. We also constructed a principal components analysis (PCoA) in GenAlEx6 (Peakall and Smouse, 2006) to detect the genetic relatedness among individuals based on Nei's genetic distance. To understand the
- 4.20 genetic structure of *B. hybridum* in the sampled area, we used the Bayesian program Structure v.2.3.4 (Pritchard *et al.*, 2000).
- AQ4 Analyses were performed from K=1 to the number of genetic groups detected in the previous NJ and PCoA searches plus 2 (K=19), with ten repetitions per K. We ran models assuming an-
- 4.25 cestral admixture and correlated allele frequencies with 50 000 burn-in steps, followed by run lengths of 300 000 iterations for each *K*. We selected the optimum *K* using StructureSelector (Li and Liu, 2018), which, besides the commonly used Ln Pr(*X*|*K*) and  $\Delta K$  statistics (Evanno *et al.*, 2005), also uses four alterna-
- 4.30 tive statistics (medmedk, medmeak, maxmedk and maxmeak) to infer the optimal *K* (Li and Liu, 2018). The results of the replicates at the best-fit *K* were post-processed using CLUMPP 1.1.2 (Jakobsson and Rosenberg, 2007).
- We used standard and hierarchical analysis of molecular
   4.35 variance (AMOVA) to quantify the partitioning of genetic variance within and among the following hierarchal levels: among all populations and between several geographical groups that also showed genetic differentiation in the NJ and PCoA analyses. In each analysis, we quantified variance among groups, among locations within groups and within sampling locations
- 4.40 among locations within groups and within sampling locations. We ran each AMOVA with 10 000 permutations at 0.95 significance levels in Arlequin 3.11 (Excoffier *et al.*, 2005). The relationships between population pairwise Nei's  $D_a$  genetic distances and linear geographical distances (isolation by dis-
- 4.45 tance) were examined using a Mantel test (Mantel, 1967) implemented in Arlequin 3.11 (Excoffier *et al.*, 2005) with 10 000 permutations.
- 4.50 Genetic relationships in the *B. stacei–B. distachyon–B. hybridum* complex
- 4.55 In order to decipher the evolutionary history of the Iberian Peninsula diploid progenitors *B. stacei* and *B. distachyon* and allotetraploid *B. hybridum* populations, we analysed the genetic structure and phylogeny of the allotetraploid and diploid individuals using homologous nuclear SSR alleles. Microsatellite alleles from a total of 18 decoupled SSR loci (ALB006S, ALB006D, ALB022A, ALB022B, ALB040S,

4.60

ALB040D, ALB050S, ALB050D, ALB086S, ALB086D, ALB087A, ALB139S, ALB139D, ALB165S, ALB165D, ALB181A, ALB311S, ALB311D) were used to encode S and D diploid-like genotypes from 322 individuals of B. hybridum (34 populations, current study), and single diploid genotypes 4.65 from the 181 B. stacei and 148 B. distachyon individuals (Supplementary Data Table S3). The SSR alleles were then recorded by their presence/absence into a binary data matrix consisting of 973 B. stacei and B. distachyon individual phenotypes and B. hybridum S and D subgenomic phenotypes and 4.70 98 alleles after discarding a few outlier samples showing unexpected allelic patterns of unclear origin (Supplementary Data Table S3). The genetic relationships among the diploid B. stacei and B. distachyon individuals and the diploidized S and D subgenomes of the allotetraploid B. hybridum individ-4.75 uals were visualized using a multivariate PCO analysis with AQ5 pairwise Nei and Li genetic distances in NTSYSPC v. 2.11a (Rohlf, 2002). The genetic structure of the complex was investigated using Structure v.2.3.4, searching for K = 1-15 potential genetic groups identified in the PCoA analysis and imposing 4.80 the non-admixture ancestry model and the non-correlated allele frequency model. Each search consisted of an initial burn-in of 50 000 Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) steps followed AQ6 by 500 000 MCMC additional steps, running ten replicates for each K. The number of genetic groups was estimated using 4.85 Structure Harvester (v. 0.9.94) (Earl and vonHoldt, 2012), which identifies the optimal K based on both Ln Pr(X|K) and  $\Delta K$  (Evanno *et al.*, 2005) statistics. The phylogeny was reconstructed using a maximum likelihood (ML) search for binary 4.90 data in IQTREE (Nguyen et al., 2014), imposing the best-fit nucleotide substitution model GTE2 + FO + G4 that was automatically selected by the ModelFinder option of the program according to the Bayesian information criterion (BIC), and the automated computation of 20 ML starting trees from 98 alternative randomized maximum parsimony trees, searching for 4.95 best-scoring ML trees and estimating branch support for the best tree from 1000 bootstrap (BS) replicates using the ultrafast bootstrap option implemented in the software. This unrooted tree was artificially rooted according to the strongly supported 4.100 B. stacei + B. hybridum S (stacei-like) and B. distachyon + B. hybridum D (distachyon-like) divergences observed in the outgroup-rooted plastid trnLF-ndhF tree of the B. stacei-B. dis tachyon-B. hybridum complex (see below) and in nuclear and plastid phylogenies of the three species of the complex (López-Alvarez et al., 2012). A second IQ-TREE search run with the 4.105 same parameters plus the ascertainment bias correction model for non-constant data (Nguyen et al., 2014) did not provide a better resolution than the previous search and is not discussed further. 4.110

#### Phylogeny of the B. stacei-B. distachyon-B. hybridum complex

We aimed to corroborate the different S and D genetic lineages detected with the SSR markers within *B. hybridum*, with respect to those of its progenitor species, and to reconstruct their phylogeny. For this, we used the plastid trnLF and ndhF regions, which had proved to be useful to discriminate between *B. stacei* and *B. distachyon* and the S and D subgenomes of

5

B. hybridum, as well as to identify divergent clades among them (López-Alvarez et al., 2012; Díaz-Pérez et al., 2018). A subset of samples from the three studied species were used in the DNA sequencing analyses, together with a representation of other DNA sequences sampled across the Iberian Peninsula and other geographical ranges retrieved from our previous work (Díaz-Pérez et al., 2018; Sancho et al., 2018; Fig. 1, Supplementary Data Table S4). The procedures for DNA amplification and sequencing, data processing and alignments of the trnLF and ndhF loci were described previously (López-Alvarez et al., 2012; Díaz-Pérez et al., 2018). For some B. distachyon and B. hybridum accessions sequence information on the two loci was retrieved from whole-plastome data (Sancho et al., 2018; A07 Catalán et al., unpubl. res.). The new trnLF and ndhF sequences have been deposited in GenBank (accession numbers xxx, xxxx) (Supplementary Data Table S4). The aligned trnLF and ndhF data matrices were used to build an ML plastid tree with IQ-TREE. For this we used the same parameters stated above, but for DNA sequence data, and imposed the TPM2u + F + G4nucleotide substitution model chosen by the program based on the BIC. Three close outgroup grasses (Oryza sativa, Melica ciliata, Glyceria fluitans) were incorporated into the analysis,

and O. sativa was used to root the tree. Separate phylogenetic analyses of the two loci gave topologies congruent with that re-5.25 covered for the concatenated trnLF + ndhF haploid data matrix; only results from the latter analysis will be explained further.

#### RESULTS

#### Genetic diversity in B. hybridum

5.5

5.10

5.15

5.20

5.30

Brachypodium stacei, B. distachyon and B. hybridum individuals showed overlapping allelic sizes for locus ALB22A, whereas some B. hybridum individuals showed additional alleles from a 5.35 second, likely duplicated, locus, ALB22B, and their respective genotypes were encoded as for diploids (Supplementary Data Tables S2 and S3). Three of the 17 loci that showed nullisomic alleles in several B. hybridum individuals (ALB22B, ALB50S, ALB86S) were discarded from population genetic analysis of 5.40 this species, although they were used for comparative genetic and evolutionary analysis of the allotetraploid and its progenitor species. The 14 loci showed high variability between populations, with the number of alleles varying from 34 (ALB006D) to 68 (ALB181) (Supplementary Data Table S5). Null allele 5.45 frequencies calculated with INEst were always very low, with a maximum value of 0.026 in locus ALB86S. Allelic richness per locus was always very low, varying from 0.944 (ALB006D) to 1.889 (ALB181). Five of the ten studied loci had observed heterozygosity and  $F_{\rm IS}$  values of 0 and 1, respectively (ALB86S, ALB86D, ALB165S, ALB311S, ALB311D). One locus showed 5.50 an exceptionally high  $H_0$  value of 0.361 (ALB50D). The expected heterozygosity for loci varied from 0.006 (ALB311S) to 0.251 (ALB50D), being generally higher in the subgenomic loci derived from *B. distachyon* (Supplementary Data Table S3). 5.55 The estimated divergence of populations per locus  $(G_{st})$  varied from 0.700 (ALB181) to 0.983 (ALB86S).  $F_{IS}$  had a minimum value of -0.439 for locus ALB050D, although values were even higher when  $F_{1S}$  was calculated in INEst (Supplementary Data 5.59 Table S5). Our results from Bayesian analyses implemented in 5.60

INEst revealed that only inbreeding contributed to the excessive homozygosity. This model (DICnfb: 17395.576) was preferred over the model that included only null alleles (DICnb: 20094.100) based on the DIC criterion. In assessing Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium, we found that only one locus showed 5.65 a significant deviation after Bonferroni correction (ALB006D: P < 0.05, Supplementary Data Table S5).

Within populations, observed heterozygosity varied between 0 and 0.214 in the north-eastern (NE) population (Supplementary Data Table S1). The mean expected heterozy- 5.70 gosity varied between 0 and 0.107 in the same populations. The total number of alleles per population ranged from 13 and 23 and the maximum value of allelic richness was also found in **CUBL** The  $F_{\rm IS}$  values varied between 1 (fixed homozygosis) and some negative values (Supplementary Data Table S1). The 5,75 rate of self-fertilization estimated for B. hybridum varied considerably between populations in that some plants, but not all, were highly selfing (Supplementary Data Table S1). Due to the high level of homozygosis (fixed alleles) observed in some populations, of the 342 individuals of B. hybridum genotyped 5.80 only 120 (35%) exhibited a unique multilocus genotype. The percentage of unique genotypes varied between 12% and 100% (Supplementary Data Table S1).

5.85

Population genetic differentiation and structure in Brachypodium hybridum

The PCoA separated all populations into five main groups, 5.90 clustering all south-eastern (SE) populations in the left extreme of axis 1 and all north-western (NW) and NE populations on the right side of this same axis (Fig. 2A). The south-western (SW) populations were fragmented into different groups; one group was spatially closer to the NE and 5.95 NW populations, which included the populations of ALGA, ALGE, FARO, LEPE and MONF, and the second group, which clustered close to all SE populations, included ALCA and CABR (Fig. 2A). The very low variance was explained by the two axes since axis 1 only accumulated 17.26% and axis 5.100 2 only 11.51%. In accordance with the PCoA results, the NJ tree also separated all SE Iberian Peninsula populations (plus SW ALCA and CABR) from all NE and NW populations and from the remaining SW populations of ALGA, ALGE, FARO, LEPE and MONF (Fig. 2B). The BS values of branches were 5.105 always very low (<43%; Fig. 2B).

All Structure statistics [Ln Pr(X|K),  $\Delta K$ , medmedk, medmeak, maxmedk and maxmeak] found K = 2 as the optimal number of genetic clusters in the sampling area of B. hybridum followed by K = 4 (Fig. 2C) and K = 14 (Supplementary Data Fig. S1). 5.110 At K = 2, all SE populations plus two SW populations (ALCA and CABR) were separated from all of the remaining populations. However, at K = 4 a homogeneous genetic group of northern Iberian NE + NW populations separated from three genetic groups in southern Iberia that included populations 5.115 from both the SW and the SE (Fig. 2C). At K = 14, populations matched the previous PCoA and NJ results, showing the segregation of two NW, four NE, two SW and seven SE distinct population groups (Supplementary Data Fig. S1). There was no evidence of genetic admixture between clusters, except for the





6

introgressed individuals of population ESPU with the MORA and RUID genetic group for K = 14 (Supplementary Data Fig. S1).

Genetic differentiation was significantly high across all 36 7.5 populations (AMOVA  $F_{ST} = 0.871$ , P < 0.00001). Overall, 83.1 and 12.9 % of the genetic variation was attributed to variation among and within populations, respectively (Supplementary Data Table S6). A hierarchical AMOVA performed between the four geographical regions found the highest percentage 7.10 of variance among populations within groups (61.3 %). The hierarchical AMOVA between the K = 2 populations defined by Structure showed the highest partition of variance among populations within groups (P < 0.00001, Supplementary Data Table S6; 62.4 %). We found no correlation between genetic 7.15 distance and geographical distance, as indicated by a Mantel test (r = 0.011, P = 0.105).

7.20 Genetic relationships of *B. hybridum* and its progenitor species

The analysis of B. stacei, B. distachyon and B. hybridum (S and D) phenotypes based on SSR alleles (Supplementary Data Table S3) showed evidence of close genetic relatedness between the B. hybridum S and D subgenomic phenotypes and 7.25 some phenotypes from the sampled Iberian progenitor species. The bidimensional PCO plot showed a clear separation of the B. stacei and B. distachyon phenotypes at the respective positive and negative sides of axis C1, which accumulated 32.19 % of variance (Fig. 3A). The B. stacei phenotypes clus-AO12 7.30 tered close to each other at the positive extreme of C1. In contrast, the B. distachyon phenotypes spread through the left half of the plot, showing clusters of southern and northern Iberian population phenotypes in the middle and the left of the plot, respectively. The B. hybridum S and D phenotypes showed 7.35 subgenomic clusterings congruent with those of their progenitors' clusters along axes C1 and C2 (15.06 % of the variance) (Fig. 3A). Interestingly, the plot distribution of the geographical B. hybridum D phenotypes matched partially with the geographical B. distachyon phenotypes. Specifically, B. hybridum 7.40 D from SE and SW Iberia were close to B. distachyon from southern Iberia, and B. hybridum D from NE and NW Iberia were close to B. distachyon from northern Iberia. By contrast, the B. hybridum S phenotypes from the SE (and some SW) and from NE + NW Iberia were not close to the sampled Iberian 7.45 B. stacei phenotypes, except for a few SE Iberia B. hybridum S phenotypes (Fig. 3A). A tridimensional PCO plot showed the predominant distribution of B. stacei plus B. hybridum S phenotypes and of B. distachyon plus B. hybridum D phenotypes in the respective right and left sides of the SSR space defined by 7.50 axes C1, C2 and C3 (10.82 % of variance) (Supplementary

Data Fig. S2). The structure analysis selected K = 2 as the best genetic grouping based on  $\Delta K$ , followed by K = 5 and K = 7, whereas the latter groups were selected as optimal groups based on

7.55 Ln Pr(X|K) values (Fig. 3B). At K = 2 the *B. hybridum* S subgenomic phenotypes were grouped with the *B. stacei* group and the D subgenomic phenotypes with the *B. distachyon* group, confirming the hybrid allotetraploid nature of all the studied *B. hybridum* samples. At K = 5 the *B. stacei* phenotypes formed

7.60

a group and the B. distachyon phenotypes were segregated into three genetic groups, one from the north and two from the south (S1-ALM, GRAZ; S2-YELMO, HORNOS). Notably, (1) all the B. hybridum D phenotypes from the NE, NW and SW regions were identified with the B. distachyon S2 group, whereas none 7.65 of their respective S phenotypes corresponded to the B. stacei group, clustering instead into a fifth genetic group, and (2) all B. hybridum D phenotypes from the SE also corresponded to the *B. distachyon* S2 group, whereas the respective *B. hybridum* S phenotypes from ALCA and CABR aligned with the same 7.70 B. distachyon S2 group and the others with the B. distachyon S1 group (Fig. 3B). K = 7 identified a single and unique genetic group of phenotypes for *B. stacei* and three genetic groups (N, S1, S2) for B. distachyon. Regarding B. hybridum, the S phenotypes from the NE, NW and SW formed a fifth group, the D 7.75 phenotypes from the NE a sixth group, and the D phenotypes from the NW, SW and most SE a seventh group. Brachypodium hybridum SE individuals from ALCA and CABR had both S and D phenotypes assigned to the B. distachyon S2 group. In addition, SE individuals from YELMO, TISC and HINO S 7.80 phenotypes were assigned to the B. distachyon S1 group, D phenotypes were assigned to the B. distachyon S2 group, and in the remaining populations individuals with S phenotypes were assigned to the *B. distachyon* S1 group (Fig. 3B).

The ML SSR tree was congruent with the PCO and struc- 7.85 ture analysis results and showed the divergence of the main B. stacei + S and B. distachyon + D clades, although a major split also separated a clade of largely divergent B. distachyon S Iberian phenotypic lineages (ALME, GRAZ) from the rest. Noticeably, within the B. stacei + S clade the B. hybridum S lin- 7.90 eages from the SE diverged before the B. stacei lineages, whereas those from the NW, NE and SW diverged after B. stacei (Fig. 4). By contrast, within the B. distachvon + D clade the B. hybridum D lineages from the NW diverged earlier than the *B. distachyon* NW lineages, whereas the D lineages from the NE, SW and 7.95 SE were relatively closely related to the B. distachyon NE lineages. Finally, some D lineages from the SE were very close (JAEN) to the B. distachyon S lineages (Fig. 4). Surprisingly, both B. hybridum D and S phenotypes from the SE (ALCA and CABR) were nested within the B. distachyon + D clade, though 7.100 they fell into different subclades. Branch support was high to moderate for the more ancestral lineages of the B. stacei + S and B. distachyon + D clades and low for most recently evolved lineages within both groups (Fig. 4).

7.105

Evolutionary relationships of *B. hybridum* and its progenitor species

The ML plastid tree showed a main split for the highly 7.110 supported *B. stacei* (S) and *B. distachyon* (D) clades, with both clades showing *B. hybridum* plastotypes nested within (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Data Fig. S3). Most of the analysed *B. hybridum* individuals fell within the S clade. A few individuals fell within the D clade, indicating their respective 7.115 maternal S and D plastid inheritances. Interestingly, two types of *B. hybridum* D plastotypes could be identified in each of the two highly supported *B. distachyon* diverging lineages. One type corresponded to a clade of southern Iberian plastotypes 7.120



FIG. 3. (A) PCoA plot of the B. hybridum-B. stacei-B. distachyon complex. The percentage of variance associated with each axis is given on the axis label in parentheses. Colours and symbols of species and genomes and of geographical areas of populations are as follows: B. stacei: Iberian Peninsula SE + Balearic islands 8.55 8.115 (red dots), Iberian Peninsula S<sub>4</sub>+ Canary Islands (red squares); B. distachyon: Iberian Peninsula S (blue dots), Iberian Peninsula NW (blue upper triangle), Iberian AQ13 Peninsula NE (blue lower triangles); B. hybridum S subgenome: Iberian Peninsula SE (yellow dots), Iberian Peninsula SW (yellow squares), Iberian Peninsula NW (yellow upper triangles), Iberian Peninsula NE (yellow lower triangles); B. hybridum D subgenome: Iberian Peninsula SE (purple dots), Iberian Peninsula SW (purple squares), Iberian Peninsula NW (purple upper triangles), Iberian Peninsula NE (purple lower triangles). Note that populations with the same PCoA AQ14 coordinates overlap. (B) Genetic structure analysis of the B. hybridum-B. stacei-B. distachyon complex. The plots show the percentage of membership of the 8.59 individual SSR profiles in the assigned genetic groups at K = 2, K = 5 and K = 7. The SSR phenotypes from the *B. hybridum* individuals were separated into the 8.60 8.120 respective S (B. stacei-type) and D (B. distachyon-type) subgenomes. Population codes correspond to those indicated in Supplementary Data Table S3. Major geographical areas are labelled below the graph.



FIG. 4. ML SSR phylogenetic tree of the B. hybridum-B. stacei-B. distachyon complex based on 98 alleles. The tree is rooted at the B. stacei/B. distachyon AQ15 midpoint split. Branches of zero length and monophyletic populations are collapsed for clarity. Bootstrap support values are high to moderate only for the main AQ21 lineages of each major clade. Population and individual codes correspond to those indicated in Supplementary Data Table S3. p.p., pro parte.

9.100

DISCUSSION

Genetic variation of Iberian Peninsula B. hybridum populations is highly structured and follows a south-to-north cline

9.105

Bdis53). The second type corresponded to a polyphyletic group of SE Iberian and Moroccan plastotypes [D2 plastotypes Population genetic analysis of allopolyploid species through (99 % BS): Bhyb54, Bhyb123-1, Bhyb130-1, Bhyb162-1] the separate genotyping of their homologous subgenomic loci that fell into different subclades of a more recent and large permits a more precise evaluation of individuals and populaclade of circum-Mediterranean B. distachyon plastotypes tions as well as an accurate reconstruction of their evolutionary 9.110(Fig. 5 and Supplementary Data Fig. S3). By contrast, only history (Catalán et al., 2006). The allotetraploid B. hybridum one clear type of B. hybridum S plastotype could be identified constitutes an exceptional case study due to the possession of within the S clade (100 % BS); although they showed polylargely divergent parental S and D genomes (López-Alvarez phyletic relationships to the studied B. stacei plastotypes none et al., 2012; Catalán et al., 2014; Díaz-Pérez et al., 2018) and of these divergences were well supported. One of the polya marked subgenomic integrity (Giraldo et al., 2012; López- 9.115 phyletic B. hybridum D2 plastotypes (Bhyb54) was nested Alvarez et al., 2012). Most of the S and D loci showed high within the B. distachyon EDF+ group, whereas the others were levels of homozygosity and five of them were fixed in one or nested within the B. distachyon T+S+ group (Sancho et al., the other subgenome, though  $H_e$  was slightly higher in the D loci (Supplementary Data Table S5), indicating a more diverse 2018), although their support was low to moderate (Fig. 5 and Supplementary Data Fig. S3). genetic background inherited from the parental *B. distachyon* 9.120

[D1 plastotypes (84 % BS): Bhyb26-CIMB, Bhyb118-5,

Bhyb30-2-LEPE] that were resolved as sister to a clade of early-diverging Moroccan B. distachyon plastotypes (Bdis52, 9

9.40

9.45

9.50

9.55

9.59



FIG. 5. ML plastid phylogenetic tree of the *B. hybridum–B. stacei–B. distachyon* complex based on trnLF and ndhF DNA sequences. Phylogram showing the main S (*B. stacei-*type) and D (*B. distachyon-*type) *Brachypodium* clades and the three main origins of the *B. hybridum* S, D1 and D2 plastotypes. Collapsed branches correspond to accessions showing the same plastotype. Bootstrap support is indicated by numbers at the branches. Colour codes: *B. stacei* red, *B. distachyon* blue, *B. hybridum* S plastotypes olive, *B. hybridum* D1 plastotypes green, *B. hybridum* D2 plastotypes purple. Individual codes correspond to those indicated in Supplementary Data Table S4 and their respective geographical suffixes to regions of origin: NE, NW, SE and SW (Iberian Peninsula NE, NW, SE and SW areas), AFG (Afghanistan), BAL (Balearic Islands), CAN (Canary Islands), COR (Corsica), FRA (France, Pyrenees), IRA (Iraq), MOR (Morocco), SLO (Slovenia), TUR (Turkey).

genomes. These results agree with the extremely high levels of homozygosis found in the diploid progenitor *B. stacei* (Shiposha *et al.*, 2016) and *B. distachyon* (Marques *et al.*, 2017). Taken altogether, these results lend support to our hypothesis that the current allelic SSR composition of the individual *B. hybridum* S and D subgenomes could be very close to that acquired after

10.55 S and D subgenomes could be very close to that acquired after the hybridization and genome-doubling events. The high levels of inbreeding attributed to *B. hybridum* (Supplementary Data Table S1) corroborate that the allotetraploid, like its two progenitor species (Shiposha *et al.*, 2016; Marques *et al.*, 2017) is

10.59 genitor species (Shiposha *et al.*, 2016; Marques *et al.*, 2017) is have a selfing plant. Although *B. hybridum* shows open flowers, the *et al.* 

rate of outcrossing detected in laboratory and greenhouse experiments (Vogel *et al.*, 2009) or through the analysis of nucleotide diversity in weediness genes (Bakker *et al.*, 2009) was very low. Altogether the evidence supports the predominant selfing nature of the species.

Despite the importance of *B. hybridum* as a model grass for allopolyploidy (Catalán *et al.*, 2014; Gordon *et al.*, 2017) and the availability of its reference genome (ABR113 line; Scholthof *et al.*, 2018), to date only two studies, in Tunisia and California, have revealed the genetic variation of its populations (Bakker *et al.*, 2009; Neji *et al.*, 2015). Our survey conducted in the 10.120 Iberian Peninsula, one of the native hotspots of genetic diversity for B. hybridum (López-Alvarez et al., 2012), detected considerable genetic variation across the 36 searched populations, although most populations are highly homogeneous and exhibit

- 11.5 few distinct genotypes (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Data Table S1). The level of heterozygosis is very low in most populations from the SE and the SW; homozygosis is fixed in 11 of them. However, in these regions  $N_{i}$  is the highest, indicating that the individuals within the populations are genetically diverse, even 11.10 though they do not cross-hybridize (Supplementary Data Table
- S1). Mixed, but not genetically admixed, B. hybridum populations occur in southern Iberia, probably caused by multiple founder events or multiple introductions coupled with a low outcrossing rate due to selfing. These findings are corrobor-
- 11.15 ated by our multiple-origins analysis of B. hybridum, which shows a high genetic diversity of S and D phenotypic profiles in *B. hybridum* individuals from the SE and SW compared with the rest (Supplementary Data Fig. 3A, B). It is also confirmed by our plastid tree, where B. hybridum individuals from these
- 11.20 regions show any of the two (SW: S, D1) or three (SE: S, D1, D2) plastotypes compared with the single plastotype found in all individuals from the other regions (NE, NW: S) (Fig. 5). Bakker et al. (2009) also observed a lack of outcrossing among different B. hybridum genotypes in California after several dec-
- 11.25AQ17 ades of invasions; however, in native southern Iberian Peninsula selfing may have lasted several thousand years (Catalán et al., 2012, 2016). By contrast, the highest levels of heterozygosis were found in the NE Iberian populations of *B. hybridum* (e.g. MENA and CALA,  $H_{a} = 0.240$ ; Supplementary Data Table S1), 11.30 which have fewer alleles than the SE populations, indicating that sporadic crosses were more frequent in this northern re-

gion, resulting in more admixed populations. Genetic relationships and the overall SSR genetic variation

in *B. hybridum* are highly structured in the Iberian Peninsula, 11.35 showing a clear-cut separation of southern and northern populations in all the PCoA, NJ and Structure analyses (Fig. 2). Noticeably, genetic substructuring is much higher in the south than in the north. Thus, whereas most of the NE and NW individuals and populations are genetically similar to each other, 11.40 individuals and populations from the SE are more divergent, showing at least three subclusters of populations [1 (ALIS, CIB, JAEN); 2 (HINO, HORN, NEVA, TISC, YELM); 3 (BAZA, CUBI, DURC, ESPU, MORA, PALO, RUID, SORB)] that are more separated in the PCoA genetic space than the NE 11.45 and NW clusters (Fig. 2). Similar conclusions were obtained from the NJ tree with better supported branches for the three SE lineages than for the NE and NW lineages, and sister relationships of SW1/NE and SW2/SE2 (Fig. 2). It is also coincident with the Structure plots that identify two main genetic 11.50 northern + SW1 and SE + SW2 groups for K = 2 (Fig. 2), four (NW, NE, SE2 + SW2 and SE1 + SE3 + SW1) for K = 4 and a large substructuring of small groupings coincident with the PCoA subclusters (Fig. 2) for K = 14 (Supplementary Data Fig. S1B). The strong geographically structured genetic dif-11.55 ferentiation observed for *B. hybridum* in the Iberian Peninsula is comparable to the strong structure of invader B. hybridum populations detected in California (Bakker et al., 2009). The absence of significant isolation by distance in the Iberian B. hybridum populations agrees with similar findings from Neji

11.59 11.60 et al. (2015). Nonetheless, in our case the non-significant isolation by distance is a consequence of the high genetic divergence observed between spatially close population clusters in the SE (Figs 1 and 2).

A descendant cline of genetic diversity from south to north 11.65 and a parallel strong geographical genetic structure has been observed in several Iberian plants and animals (Gómez and Lunt, 2007; Pérez-Collazos et al., 2009; Nieto Feliner, 2011). This has been associated with the abundance of warmer glacial refugia in SE Iberia during the Last Glacial Maximum 11.70 (LGM) compared with the less abundant or cooler refugia in the north (Pérez-Collazos et al., 2009). Nonetheless, detailed phylogeographical and palaeoecological studies have identified new LGM refugia in other Iberian regions (Nieto Feliner, 2011), such as those from the four main quadrants (SE, SW, 11.75 NE, NW) plus central Iberia for the model dicot Arabidopsis thaliana (Picó et al., 2008). The suitability of these refugia for plants depends on the ecological adaptability of each species and its dispersal capabilities. Thus, for the mesic Mediterranean diploid progenitor species B. distachyon, NE Iberia probably 11.80 constituted a glacial refugium (Marques et al., 2017). For the warm Mediterranean diploid progenitor species B. stacei, two close SE Iberian ranges (coastal, inland) have been identified as potential glacial shelters (Shiposha et al., 2016). Brachypodium hybridum shows environmental niche preferences intermediate 11.85 between those of its progenitor species, but closer to those of B. stacei (López-Alvarez et al., 2015). Our population genetic study supports the existence of continuous or temporarily larger refugia in the SE Iberian Peninsula for the allotetraploid. The existence of complex B. hybridum mixed populations 11.90 with distinct genotypes is likely a consequence of the ease of long-distance seed dispersal and the subsequent colonization and establishment of this annual species (Vogel et al., 2009; Catalán et al., 2016). However, the predominance of mixed B. hybridum populations in the southern Iberian Peninsula 11.95 could have also resulted from more favourable climatic conditions in the past, which in time contributed to the increased genetic differentiation in the south when compared with the north.

## 11.100

#### Multiple origins of B. hybridum in the Iberian Peninsula

The recurrent origin of allopolyploid plants is a known phenomenon that has been extensively documented in some native and introduced angiosperms. In most cases the polyploid 11.105 has undergone profound genomic rearrangements (Soltis et al., 2016), whereas in other, usually young, allopolyploid cases, the genomes have remained almost intact (e.g. Triticum aestivum; IWGSC, 2018), although subgenomic expression changes occurred almost immediately after the allopolyploidization event 11.110 (Zhang et al., 2014). The hypothesis of the multiple origins of an allopolyploid constitutes a paradox as it is questionable whether different hybridizations from distinct parental genotypes of the same progenitor species would lead to the same allopolyploid species (Doyle and Sherman-Broyles, 2017). 11.115 With a few exceptions where it has been observed that evolution repeats itself both in natural and in synthetic plants (Soltis et al., 2012), no other recurrently formed allopolyploids have been extensively studied in nature. Our genetic and evolutionary

study of the model allopolyploid grass *B. hybridum* has demonstrated that the species was formed at least three different times in nature (Figs 4 and 5) and that more origins probably could be uncovered due to the polyphyletic nature of the D2 and S

- 12.5 plastotype groups (Fig. 5). Furthermore, our data together with our previous findings show that all of the currently known *B. hybridum* D plastotypes are present only in the western Mediterranean area (Figs 1 and 5), whereas the *B. hybridum* S plastotypes are distributed along the Iberian Peninsula and
- 12.10 elsewhere in the circum-Mediterranean region (López-Alvarez et al., 2012). Our population genetics and phylogenetic analyses support the western Mediterranean origin of at least two B. hybridum D1 and D2 plastotypes whose plastomes were likely inherited from ancestors close to current Moroccan and
- 12.15 Iberian *B. distachyon* lines (Fig. 5) and the existence of at least a third *B. hybridum* S plastotype whose plastome could have been acquired from a widespread ancestor close to current Mediterranean *B. stacei* lines (Fig. 5). The earlier divergence of the *B. distachyon* Moroccan clade indicates that the *B. hybridum*
- 12.20 D1 plastotypes are more ancestral than the *B. hybridum* D2 and S plastotypes (Fig. 5), corroborating earlier findings that the western Mediterranean region has one of the highest accumulations of plant genetic variation in the northern hemisphere, throughout recent Cenozoic evolutionary time (Jacob and 12.25 Blattner, 2006).

Our plastid findings have been confirmed by the combined analysis of progenitor and allotetraploid species using nuclear SSR data. Our PCoA analysis shows the multilocus SSR D profiles of some of the *B. hybridum* D genotypes from SE Iberian

- 12.30 clustering with the profiles of the *B. distachyon* SE Iberian genotypes, whereas other *B. hybridum* D genotypes profiles from SE Iberia cluster with *B. distachyon* genotypes from northern Iberia (Fig. 3). The Structure analysis also shows the clustering of these *B. hybridum* D profiles with the *B. distachyon* S2
- 12.35 and S2–S1 profiles for K = 5 and K = 7, respectively, but there is not a close connection with the *B. distachyon* northern Iberian profiles (Fig. 3).

The comparative analysis of the *B. hybridum* S and D lineages and progenitor *B. stacei* and *B. distachyon* SSR profiles

12.40 suggests other potential multiple origins of the allotetraploid. One of the most noticeable findings is that, in contrast to the close genetic relatedness found between the geographical *B. hybridum* D profiles and their corresponding *B. distachyon* profiles, there are no close relationships between the

- 12.45 *B. hybridum* S profiles and *B. stacei*. Both the PCoA and the Structure analyses reveal the isolation and uniqueness of the highly homogeneous *B. stacei* genetic group, which is shared by geographically spread populations from southern Iberia and the Balearic and Canary Islands, but is absent in almost all
- 12.50 the studied Iberian *B. hybridum* individuals (Figs 1, 4 and 5). Interestingly, the ML SSR phylogenetic tree shows an earlier divergence of *B. hybridum* S lineages from SE Iberia, before the *B. stacei* splits, followed by a more recent divergence of *B. hybridum* S lineages from NE, NW and SW Iberia (Fig.
- 12.55 4). This is corroborated by their respective separations in the PCoA and the Structure K = 5 and K = 7 (Fig. 3) plots. Our data suggest that at least two alternative hybridizations may have occurred regarding the contribution of the progenitor *B. stacei* 12.59 genome. The first, more ancestral hybridization resulted in the
- 12.60

SE Iberian S subgenomes, and a second, more recent hybridization originated the NE, NW and SW Iberian S subgenomes.

Frequent seed-mediated long-distance dispersals have been inferred for the widely studied B. distachyon and B. hybridum annuals (Vogel et al., 2009; Catalán et al., 2016). This has been 12.65 confirmed for B. hybridum, a successful colonizer of non-native continents (e.g. North America; Bakker et al., 2009; Catalán et al., 2012, 2016). Our plastid analysis has proved the existence of mixed *B. hybridum* populations in southern Iberia that contain individuals with different hybrid origins [e.g. LEPE and 12.70 Bhyb118 (Almeria, Cabo de Gata) with D1 and S plastotypes; Figs 1 and 5]. Nonetheless, the strong genetic relationships observed between the SE Iberian B. hybridum D genotypes with the endemic SE Iberian and Moroccan (Fig. 5) B. distachyon genotypes would favour the hypothesis of a local origin of 12.75 their B. hybridum ancestor in the southern Iberian Peninsulawestern Mediterranean area. This requires an assumption of a potential extinction of an old (or as yet unsampled) B. stacei lineage that apparently has only survived in the allopolyploid hybrid descendants. The evolutionary fate of the newer 12.80 B. stacei lineage that gave rise to the NE, NW and SW Iberian B. hybridum S genotypes (Fig. 5) is less clear. One possibility is that the multiple ancestors of the current NE, NW and SW Iberian B. hybridum individuals originated in northern Iberia from crosses of B. distachyon parents similar to current nor-12.85 thern Iberian B. distachyon genotypes with a potentially extinct (or as yet unsampled) recent B. stacei lineage. However, this scenario could not be elucidated with the current data.

Genotypic diversity is considered to be the substrate of 12.90 phenotypic diversity. Yet the impact of merging distinct parental genotypes in the allopolyploid outcome can have dramatically opposite speciation consequences. Bidirectional crosses or even the same directional cross of progenitor species have originated different allotetraploids in Aegilops (Meimberg et al., 2009). For Tragopogon, recurrent crosses in either direction have created 12.95 the same allotetraploid species (Soltis et al., 2012). Our study of B. hybridum aligns with the latter case. Our large nuclear and plastid barcoding analysis of wild circum-Mediterranean B. stacei, B. distachyon and B. hybridum accessions demonstrate that most of the studied B. hybridum individuals were 12.100 derived from the cross of a maternal B. stacei and a paternal B. distachyon parent, although some were the result of a reciprocal cross (López-Alvarez et al., 2012). Moreover, comparative phenotypic analyses of the same set of morphological traits of B. hybridum and its progenitor B. distachyon and B. stacei 12.105 parents, spanning their respective native Mediterranean regions, reveals key phenotypic differences within each of the diploids, but not within the allotetraploid (López-Alvarez et al., 2017). Nonetheless, phenotypic analyses separate B. hybridum AQ18 individuals collected in southern and northern Spain, with 12.110 the former being slightly taller and having more culm nodes and a larger caryopsis than the latter (López-Alvarez et al., 2017). These features reflect the distinct genetic composition of the geographical B. hybridum groups detected in this study. Despite the geographical limitations of our study, our analysis 12.115 has demonstrated the occurrence of at least three natural multiple origins of the allopolyploid B. hybridum. The three different genotypes are currently present in the Iberian Peninsula, and one of these plausible hybridization and genome-doubling

12

events took part in the western Mediterranean region. The detection of a large genetic variation within the Iberian Peninsula *B. hybridum* populations has untapped an invaluable source of diverse germ-plasm for future analysis of allopolyploid forma-

13.5 tion and genomic expression in the *B. hybridum–B. distachyon –B. stacei* grass model complex.

## SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

13.10 Supplementary data are available online at https://academic. oup.com/aob and consist of the following.

Table S1: sampled populations of *B. hybridum* sorted by geographical area.

- 13.15 Table S2: genotypes of the 342 studied *B. hybridum* individuals from 36 Iberian populations encoded for 17 SSR loci.
  - Table S3: SSR phenotypes of 973 individuals of *B. hybridum*, *B. stacei* and *B. distachyon* encoded for 98 binary alleles.

Table S4: *B. hybridum*, *B. distachyon* and *B. stacei* accessions used in the plastid phylogenetic analysis of the multiple

13.20 stors used in the plastic phytogenetic analysis of the multiple origins of *B. hybridum* plastotypes using plastid trnLF and ndhF DNA sequences.

Table S5: characteristics of the SSR markers used in the population genetic study of Iberian Peninsula *B. hybridum* populations.

Table S6: analysis of molecular variance for 36 populations of *B. hybridum*.

Figure S1: population structure of *B. hybridum* in the Iberian Peninsula at K = 14, following the best assignments retrieved by Structure.

13.30 Figure S2: tridimensional PCoA plot of the *B. hybridum–B.* stacei–B. distachyon complex.

Figure S3: ML plastid phylogenetic tree of the *B. hybridu m*–*B. stacei*–*B. distachyon* complex based on trnLF and ndhF DNA sequences.

13.35

13.25

#### FUNDING

- 13.40 This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitivity (Mineco) CGL2016-79790-P and University of Zaragoza UZ2016\_TEC02 grant projects. V.S. was funded by a Russian Ministry of Science and Higher Education fellowship and grant RFBR no. 18-34-00901. P.C. and I.M. were partially funded by a European Social Fund and Aragón Government Bioflora grant.
- 13.45

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- AQ19 We thank Karen Scholthof for proofreading this manuscript, 13.50 Maria Luisa López-Herranz and Ruben Sancho for laboratory and plastome assembling assistance, respectively, and John Vogel and the Department of Energy-Joint Genome Institute (DOE-JGI, USA) for facilitating access to some of the *Brachypodium hybridum* genome data.
- 13.55

### LITERATURE CITED

Baduel P, Bray S, Vallejo-Marin M, Kolár F, Yant L. 2018. The "Polyploid13.5913.60of genome duplications. Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution 6: 117.

- Bakker EG, Montgomery B, Nguyen T, et al. 2009. Strong population structure characterizes weediness gene evolution in the invasive grass species *Brachypodium distachyon. Molecular Ecology* 18: 2588–2601.
- Barker MS, Arrigo N, Baniaga AE, Li Z, Levin DA. 2016. On the relative abundance of autopolyploids and allopolyploids. *New Phytologist* 210: 391–398.
- te Beest M, Le Roux JJ, Richardson DM, *et al.* 2012. The more the better? The role of polyploidy in facilitating plant invasions. *Annals of Botany* 109: 19–45.
- Buckler ES, IVThornsberry JM, Kresovich S. 2001. Molecular diversity, structure and domestication of grasses. *Genetic Resources* 77: 213–218.
- Catalán P, Segarra-Moragues JG, Palop-Esteban M, Moreno C, Gonzalez-13.70 Candelas F. 2006. A Bayesian approach for discriminating among alternative inheritance hypotheses in plant polyploids: the allotetraploid origin of genus *Borderea* (Discoreaceae). *Genetics* 172: 1939–1953.
- Catalán P, Müller J, Hasterok R, et al. 2012. Evolution and taxonomic split of the model grass *Brachypodium distachyon*. Annals of Botany 109: 385–405.
- 385–405. 13.75 Catalán P, Chalhoub B, Chochois V, *et al.* 2014. Update on the genomics and basic biology of *Brachypodium*. *Trends in Plant Science* 19: 414–418.
- Catalán P, López-Alvarez D, Díaz-Pérez A, Sancho R, López-Herranz ML. 2016. Phylogeny and evolution of the genus *Brachypodium*. In: Vogel J, ed. *Genetics and genomics of Brachypodium*. New York: Springer, 9–38.
- Chybicki IJ, Burczyk J. 2009. Simultaneous estimation of null alleles and inbreeding coefficients. *Journal of Heredity* 100: 106–13.
- Chybicki IJ, Oleksa A, Burczyk J. 2011. Increased inbreeding and strong kinship structure in *Taxus baccata* estimated from both AFLP and SSR data. *Heredity* 107: 589–600.
- Díaz-Pérez A, López-Álvarez D, Sancho R, Catalán P. 2018. Reconstructing the biogeography of species' genomes in the highly reticulate allopolyploid-rich model grass genus *Brachypodium* using minimum evolution, coalescence and maximum likelihood approaches. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* **127**: 256–271.
- Dinh Thi VH, Coriton O, Le Clainche I, et al. 2016. Recreating stable Brachypodium hybridum allotetraploids by uniting the divergent genomes of B. distachyon and B. stacei. PLoS ONE 11: e0167171.
- **Doyle J, Sherman-Broyles S. 2017.** Double trouble: taxonomy and definitions of polyploidy. *New Phytologist* **213**: 487–493.
- Earl DA, vonHoldt BM. 2012. STRUCTURE HARVESTER: a website and program for visualizing STRUCTURE output and implementing the Evanno method. *Conservation Genetic Resources* 4: 359–361.
- Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular* 13.95 *Ecology* 14: 2611–2620.
- Excoffier L, Laval G, Schneider S. 2005. Arlequin (version 3.0): an integrated software package for population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* 1: 47–50.
- Giraldo P, Rodriguez-Quijano M, Vazquez JF, Carrillo JM, Benavente E. 2012. Validation of microsatellite markers for cytotype discrimination in 13.100 the model grass *Brachypodium distachyon. Genome* 55: 523–537.
- Gómez A, Lunt DH. 2007. Refugia within refugia: patterns of phylogeographic concordance in the Iberian Peninsula. In: Weiss S, Ferrand N, eds. *Phylogeography of southern European refugia*. Dordrecht: Springer, 155–188.
- Gordon SP, Contreras-Moreira B, Daniel Woods D, et al. 2017. Extensive 13.105 gene content variation in the *Brachypodium distachyon* pan-genome correlates with phenotypic variation. *Nature Communications* 8: 2184.
- **Goudet J. 2001.** *FSTAT, version 2.9. 3. A program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices.* Lausanne: Lausanne University.
- **IWGSC. 2018.** Shifting the limits in wheat research and breeding using a fully annotated reference genome. *Science* **361**: eaar7191. 13.110
- Jacob SS, Blattner FR. 2006. A chloroplast genealogy of *Hordeum* (Poaceae): long-term persisting haplotypes, incomplete lineage sorting, regional extinction, and the consequences for phylogenetic inference. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 23: 1602–1612.
- Jakobsson M, Rosenberg NA. 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in 13.115 analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics* 23: 1801–1806.
- Jiao Y, Wickett NJ, Ayyampalayam S, et al. 2011. Ancestral polyploidy in seed plants and angiosperms. *Nature* 473: 97–100.
- Langella O. 2000. Populations 1.2: population genetic software, individuals or population distance, phylogenetic trees. http://bioinformatics. org/~tryphon/populations/. 13.120

- Shiposha et al. Multiple origins of the model allotetraploid grass Brachypodium hybridum
- Li YL, Liu JX. 2018. StructureSelector: a web-based software to select and visualize the optimal number of clusters using multiple methods. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 18: 176–177.
- López-Alvarez D, López-Herranz ML, Betekhtin A, Catalán P. 2012. A DNA barcoding method to discriminate between the model plant *Brachypodium distachyon* and its close relatives *B. stacei* and *B. hybridum*
- (Poaceae). PLoS ONE 7: e51058.
  López-Alvarez D, Manzaneda AJ, Rey PJ, et al. 2015. Environmental niche variation and evolutionary diversification of the Brachypodium distachyon grass complex species in their native circum-Mediterranean range. American Journal of Botany 102: 1–16.
- 14.10 Madlung A, Wendel JF. 2013. Genetic and epigenetic aspects of polyploid evolution in plants. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 140: 270–285.
  - evolution in plants. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research* 140: 270–285.
     Mantel N. 1967. The detection of disease clustering and a generalized regression approach. *Cancer Research* 27: 209–220.
- Marques I, Montgomery SA, Barker MS, et al. 2016. Transcriptome-derived evidence supports recent polyploidization and a major phylogeographic division in *Trithuria submersa* (Hydatellaceae, Nymphaeales). New Phytologist 210: 310–323.
  - Marques I, Shiposha V, López-Álvarez D, et al. 2017. Environmental isolation explains Iberian genetic diversity in the highly homozygous model grass Brachypodium distachyon. BMC Evolutionary Biology 17: 139.
- Marques I, Loureiro J, Draper D, Castro M, Castro S. 2018. How much do we know about the frequency of hybridisation and polyploidy in the Mediterranean region? *Plant Biology* 20 Suppl 1: 21–37.
  - Meimberg H, Rice KJ, Milan NF, Njoku CC, McKay JK. 2009. Multiple origins promote the ecological amplitude of allopolyploid *Aegilops* (Poaceae). *American Journal of Botany* **96**: 1262–1273.
- Mur LAJ, Allainguillaume J, Catalán P, et al. 2011. Exploiting the<br/>Brachypodium tool box in cereal and grass research. New Phytologist 191:<br/>334–347.
  - Nei M, Chesser RK. 1983. Estimation of fixation indices and gene diversities. Annals of Human Genetics 47: 253–259.
  - Neji M, Geuna F, Taamalli W, et al. 2015. Assessment of genetic diversity and population structure of Tunisian populations of Brachypodium hybridum by SSR markers. Flora, Morphology, Distribution, Functional Ecology of Plants 216: 42–49.
  - Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. 2014. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **32**: 268–274.
  - Nieto Feliner G. 2011. Southern European glacial refugia: a tale of tales. Taxon 60: 365–372.
- 14.35 **Paterson AH, Wendel JF. 2015.** Unraveling the fabric of polyploidy. *Nature Biotechnology* **33**: 491–493.
  - Peakall R, Smouse PE. 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for teaching and research. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 6: 288–295.

- Van de Peer Y, Mizrachi E, Marchal K. 2017. The evolutionary significance of polyploidy. *Nature Review Genetics* 18: 411–424.
- Pérez-Collazos E, Sánchez-Gómez P, Jiménez JF, Catalán P. 2009. The phylogeographical history of the Iberian steppe plant *Ferula loscosii* (Apiaceae): a test of the abundant-centre hypothesis. *Molecular Ecology* 18: 848–861.
- Picó FX, Méndez-Vigo B, Martínez-Zapater JM, Alonso- Blanco C. 2008. Natural genetic variation of *Arabidopsis thaliana* is geographically structured in the Iberian Peninsula. *Genetics* 180: 1009–1021.
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155: 945–959.
- **Rohlf FJ. 2002.** *NTSYS-pc: numerical taxonomy and multivariate analysis* 14.70 *system, version 2.11a.* New York: Applied Biostatistics.
- Sancho R, Cantalapiedra CP, López-Álvarez D, et al. 2018. Comparative plastome genomics and phylogenomics of *Brachypodium*: flowering time signatures, introgression and recombination in recently diverged ecotypes. *New Phytologist* 218: 1631–1644.
- Scholthof KB, Irigoyen S, Catalán P, Mandadi KK. 2018. Brachypodium: a 14.75 monocot grass model genus for plant biology. Plant Cell 30: 1673–1694.
- Shiposha V, Catalán P, Olonova M, Marques I. 2016. Genetic structure and diversity of the selfing model grass *Brachypodium stacei* (Poaceae) in Western Mediterranean: out of the Iberian Peninsula and into the islands. *PeerJ* 4: e2407.
- Soltis DE, Buggs R, Barbazuk B, *et al.* 2012. The early stages of polyploidy: rapid and repeated evolution in *Tragopogon*. In: Soltis PS, Soltis DE, eds. *Polyploidy and genome evolution*. Berlin: Springer, 271–292.
- Soltis DE, Visger CJ, Marchant DB, Soltis PS. 2016. Polyploidy: pitfalls and paths to a paradigm. *American Journal of Botany* 103: 1146–1166.
- Soreng RJ, Peterson PM, Romaschenko K, et al. 2015. A worldwide phylogenetic classification of the Poaceae (Gramineae). Journal of Systematics and Evolution 53: 117–137.

Spoelhof JP, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2017. Pure polyploidy: closing the gaps in autopolyploid research. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* 55: 340–352.

- Stebbins GL. 1985. Polyploidy, hybridization, and the invasion of new habitats. *Annals Missouri Botanical Garden* 72: 824–832.
- 14.90
   Tyler L, Fangel JU, Fagerström AD, Steinwand MA, Raab TK, Willats WGT.
   2014. Selection and phenotypic characterization of a core collection of *Brachypodium distachyon* inbred lines. *BMC Plant Biology* 14: 25,
- Vogel JP, Tuna M, Budak H, Huo NX, Gu YQ, Steinwand MA. 2009. Development of SSR markers and analysis of diversity in Turkish populations of *Brachypodium distachyon*. BMC Plant Biology 9: 88.
- Vogel JP, Garvin DF, Mockler TC, et al. 2010. Genome sequencing and analysis of the model grass Brachypodium distachyon. Nature 463: 763–768.
- Zhang H, Zhu B, Qi B, et al. 2014. Evolution of the BBAA component of bread wheat during its history at the allohexaploid level. *Plant Cell* 26: 2761–2776.

14.100

14.65

14.40

14.30

14

14.5

14.105

14.115

14.50

14.59 14.60