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Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to exhibit infinite families of conjugate projective curves in a number field whose complement have the same abelian fundamental group, but are non-homeomorphic. In particular, for any $d \geq 4$ we find Zariski $(\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + 1)$-tuples parametrized by the $d$-roots of unity up to complex conjugation. As a consequence, for any divisor $m$ of $d$, $m \neq 1, 2, 3, 4, 6$, we find arithmetic Zariski $\frac{\phi(m)}{2}$-tuples with coefficients in the corresponding cyclotomic field. These curves have abelian fundamental group and they are distinguished using a linking invariant.

Introduction

Let us consider an algebraic variety $V$ admitting a set of equations whose coefficients belong in a number field, $E \supset \mathbb{Q}$. Consider $\sigma : E \rightarrow E$ a Galois transformation of $E$, then $\sigma$ acts on the defining equations of $V$ to produce another algebraic variety $V^\sigma$ which is classically known as a conjugate variety of $V$. The same concept can be extended to any scheme. Note that the extension of $\sigma$ to $\mathbb{C}$ is not necessarily a homeomorphism and hence $V$ and $V^\sigma$ are not necessarily homeomorphic. In [12], Serre gave the first such example for a smooth surface whose conjugate has a non-isomorphic fundamental group. Abelson [1] also found such examples for smooth projective varieties with isomorphic fundamental groups but different homotopy types. In addition, he also gave examples of conjugate, smooth homotopy equivalent, quasiprojective varieties that are not homeomorphic. Other examples by different authors can be found in [3, 13, 10, 14, 15]. In the previous examples either fundamental groups are non abelian or they had not been explicitly calculated.

In this paper we present examples of conjugate plane algebraic curves, or equivalently conjugate complements of curves, whose fundamental groups are abelian (and hence isomorphic) yet they are not homeomorphic.

In particular, we construct a family of irreducible plane projective curves whose deformation space is parametrized by the roots of unity of any given order $d$ up to complex conjugation. Associated with this equisingular space, another family is constructed whose deformation space is also parametrized by the roots of unity. In this paper we focus on the topology both of their embedding and their complements. We prove that curves from different strata have both different embeddings and
different topological types of their complements. This is in contrast to the simplicity
of the fundamental group of their complements, which is abelian.

Particular examples of birationally equivalent curves, such as the union of smooth
curves with three lines at maximal order flexes have already been considered in the
literature [6], however not necessarily for their topological properties. The topology
of their embedding was also recently studied by T. Shirane in [14], where a splitting
graph is used (a generalization of an invariant introduced in [5]). Shirane also
extended this study to the union of smooth curves and any three non-concurrent
lines in [15]. Some of our computations will apply to these curves as well showing
that their complements are not homeomorphic and their fundamental groups are
still abelian. The invariant we are using is not original of this paper, see [2, 5, 8, 9].
Up to now, this invariant has been considered as an invariant of the pair given by
the projective plane and the curve. In this work, we show that in good conditions
it is an invariant of the complement.

An outline of the paper is as follows: in §1 we give a description of three families
of curves whose equisingular space is non-connected and their connected compo-
ents are parametrized by roots of unity of their degree up to complex conjugation.
Next, §2 is devoted to showing when curves in these families have different em-
beddings by means of a linking invariant (first defined in [5] by the first author
and Florens-Guerville) that is sensitive to the embedding of a curve rather than
just the fundamental group of its complement. The purpose of §3 is to explicitly
describe different members of the different equisingular strata and calculate the
fundamental groups of their complements. Finally, in §4 we extend our techniques
to the family studied by Shirane who showed that curves in different connected
components of the equisingular strata have different embeddings. These families
share a similar behavior, that is, their complements are also non-homeomorphic yet
their fundamental groups are abelian.
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1. Construction of an equisingular family of curves

The purpose of this section is to construct three families of curves whose equi-
singular strata are not connected. Such families have the property that conjugate
curves belong to different connected components.

1.1. The equisingular family $\Sigma^{(d)}$ of irreducible cuspidal curves of type
$(d, d + 1)$.

For any given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $d > 1$ let us denote by $\Sigma^{(d)}$ the realization space of
( irreducible) plane projective curves in $\mathbb{P}^2 = \mathbb{P}^2_\mathbb{C}$ of degree $2d$ having exactly three
singular points whose local topological type is $u^d + v^{d+1} = 0$. Such curves have
genus \( \frac{(d-1)}{2} \) and a classical example is the family $\Sigma^{(2)}$ of tricuspid quartics, whose
fundamental group of the complement is the braid group $\mathbb{B}_3(\mathbb{P}^1)$ on three strings
on the sphere $\mathbb{S}^2$ as was already known to Zariski [18, 19].
Before we go any further note that $\Sigma^{(d)} \subset \mathbb{P}^{Nd}$ for $N_d = \frac{d(d+3)}{2}$ is a smooth quasi-projective variety and that the group $\text{PGL}(3; \mathbb{C})$ of projective automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}^2$ acts on $\Sigma^{(d)}$, maybe not freely. However, since $\text{PGL}(3; \mathbb{C})$ is a connected topological group, the number of connected components of $\Sigma^{(d)}$ and its quotient $\text{PGL}(3; \mathbb{C}) \backslash \Sigma^{(d)}$ coincide. Moreover, since $\Sigma^{(d)}$ is smooth and quasi-projective, this number is also the number of irreducible components of these spaces.

The following are properties of any curve $C \in \Sigma^{(d)}$:

1. Since the singular points are locally irreducible, the curve $C$ is globally irreducible.

2. Let $P_x, P_y, P_z$ denote the singular points of $C$ and $L_z$ the line joining $P_x, P_y$. Since the local intersection number of two curves at a point is at least the product of their multiplicities, we have

$$2d = C \cdot L_z \geq (C \cdot L_z)_{P_x} + (C \cdot L_z)_{P_y} \geq d + d \implies \begin{cases} C \cap L_z = \{P_x, P_y\}, \text{ and} \\ C \cap_{P_x} L_z, C \cap_{P_y} L_z. \end{cases}$$

Hence, $L_z$ is not tangent to $C$ at $P_x$ and $P_y$. Analogously occurs with $L_x$ (resp. $L_y$) the line joining $P_y, P_z$ (resp. $P_x, P_z$). Moreover, since they intersect pairwise at three different points, we deduce that $L_x, L_y, L_z$ are three distinct and non-concurrent lines.

3. By the previous comment, there is a projective transformation $\Phi$ such that $\Phi(L_x) = \{x = 0\}, \Phi(L_y) = \{y = 0\}$, and $\Phi(L_z) = \{z = 0\}$ and thus $\Phi(P_x) = [1 : 0 : 0], \Phi(P_y) = [0 : 1 : 0]$ and $\Phi(P_z) = [0 : 0 : 1]$. Hence, up to a projective change of coordinates, one can assume that $C$ satisfies these properties. Moreover, the projective transformation is not unique, that is, any diagonal projective automorphism can be further applied to $C$.

Let $F(x, y, z) = 0$ be the equation of a curve $C \in \Sigma^{(d)}$ satisfying these properties. Our purpose is to give the conditions the homogeneous polynomial $F$ of degree $2d$ should satisfy. A very useful tool to do this is to consider the Newton polygon of
\[ f(x, y) = F(x, y, 1) = \sum_{0 \leq i + j \leq 2d} a_{ij} x^i y^j, \]

\[ N(f) = \text{ConvexHull}((i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 \mid a_{ij} \neq 0). \]

As a general restriction, since \( F \) is a homogeneous polynomial of degree \( 2d \), \( N(f) \subset T((0, 0), (2d, 0), (0, 2d)) \), the triangle, or the convex hull of the three points. Moreover, since \( C \) has multiplicity \( d \) at \( P_x, P_y, P_z \) and the axes are not tangent, the Newton polygon is the triangle \( T((0, d), (d, d), (0, 0)) \) of Figure 1. The transversality of the axes at the singular points implies that the vertices of this triangle correspond to non-zero coefficients; we assume that the coefficient of \( x^dy^d \) equals 1.

Moreover, since the Zariski tangent cone at the singularities has only one direction, each of the (quasi)-homogeneous polynomials of \( F \) associated with the sides of \( N(f) \) must be a \( d \)-power of type \( z^d(a_1 x + b_1 y)^d = (b_1 z)^d(\lambda x + y)^d, y^d(a_2 y + b_2 x)^d = (a_2 y)^d(z + \mu x)^d, \) and \( x^d(a_1 y + b_1 z)^d = (b_1 z)^d(\gamma y + z)^d \). According to property (3) above one can further assume that \( b_1 = \mu = \gamma = 1 \). Moreover, since the coefficient of \( x^dy^d \) is 1, one has \( a_{11} = b_{11} = 1 \) and hence the polynomial associated with the horizontal edge is \( y^d(x + z)^d \), the one associated with the vertical edge is \( x^d(y + z)^d \) and the one associated with the diagonal edge is \( z^d(y + \zeta x)^d \), where \( \zeta = 1 \) since \( \lambda^d \) is the coefficient of \( x^d z^d \) which is 1 in \( x^d(y + z)^d \). Note that the isomorphism \( [x : y : z] \mapsto [y : x : z] \) transforms \( z^d(y + \zeta x)^d \) in \( z^d(y + \zeta x)^d \) after an appropriate diagonal isomorphism. Hence one obtains the following result.

**Proposition 1.1.** The realization set \( \Sigma(d) \) decomposes in \( \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \) irreducible (and connected) components \( \Sigma_{\zeta}^{(d)} \) parametrized by \( T_{d} := \{ \zeta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \zeta^d = 1, \Im(\zeta) \geq 0 \} \).

**Proof.** As mentioned at the beginning of this section, we will prove the statement for the quotient space \( \text{PGL}(3; \mathbb{C})/\Sigma(d) \), that is, up to projective automorphisms.

The above discussion implies that if \( C \in \Sigma(d) \), then there exists a projective transformation \( \Phi_1 \) sending \( C \) to a curve \( C_1 = \{ F_1(x, y, z) = 0 \} \) (in the same connected component of \( \Sigma(d) \)) such that the polynomials associated with the edges of its Newton polygon are \( (x + z)^d, (y + z)^d, (y + \zeta x)^d, \zeta = 1 \). Moreover, after applying the symmetry with respect to \( z = 0 \) we can assume that \( \Im(\zeta) \geq 0 \).

Assume the existence of another \( \Phi_2 \in \text{PGL}(3; \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( \Phi_2(C) := C_2 = \{ F_2(x, y, z) = 0 \} \), whose associated polynomials to the edges of its Newton polygon are \( (x + z)^d, (y + z)^d, (y + \omega x)^d, \omega = 1, \Im(\omega) \geq 0 \). We want to prove that \( \omega = \zeta \).

This implies the existence of a projective transformation sending \( \{ x + z = 0, y + z = 0, y + \zeta x = 0 \} \) to \( \{ x + z = 0, y + z = 0, y + \omega x = 0 \} \) and globally preserving the lines \( x y z = 0 \). This transformation is a composition of a diagonal transformation and a permutation, and the result follows easily.

To end the proof one needs to show that for each \( \zeta \) a curve \( C \in \Sigma(d) \) exists. Let us consider a polynomial \( F \) whose Newton polygon is as in Figure 1 with the fixed polynomials in the edges of the triangle. This fact does not guarantee the topological type of the points \( P_x, P_y, P_z \). Let us check for \( P_z \). In order to have this topological type it is enough that the homogeneous part of degree \( d \) is coprime with the homogeneous part of degree \( d + 1 \). This is achieved for a generic choice of the coefficients of the inner triangle in Figure 1 for \( d > 2 \); the coefficients inside this inner triangle have no effect in the local type. For a generic choice no other singular points will arise (a confirmation of this fact will come later in this section).

The connectivity for each \( \zeta \) follows easily.
The case \( d = 2 \) is special since the inner triangle mentioned above is empty. In that case, for \( \zeta = -1 \), one can check that \( x^2y^2 + y^2z^2 + x^2z^2 + 2xyz(x + y - z) \) gives an appropriate equation; however, for \( \zeta = 1 \), a non-reduced equation is obtained. \( \square \)

1.2. The equisingular family \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \) of triangular curves associated with \( \Sigma(d) \).

Let \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \) be the realization space of the reducible curves formed by an element of \( \Sigma(d) \) and the three lines joining the singular points, which we refer to as \textit{triangular}. This space coincides with the realization space of curves of degree \( 2d + 3 \) with three singular points having the topological type of \( uv((u + v)^d + v^{d+1}) \). The argument starts by calculating the multiplicity of intersection of a line joining two such singular points with a curve \( C \) in \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \). Since each singular point has multiplicity \( d + 2 \), Bézout’s Theorem implies that the lines are irreducible components of \( C \) and hence \( C = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \cup C \) with \( C \in \Sigma(d) \). Hence combining the previous discussion and Proposition 1.1 one obtains the following.

**Corollary 1.1.** The realization space \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \) decomposes in \( \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \) irreducible (and connected) components \( \tilde{\Sigma}_\zeta(d) \), parametrized by \( \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_d^+ \).

1.3. An equisingular family \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \) of triangular curves birationally equivalent to \( \Sigma(d) \).

Applying the canonical Cremona transformation we obtain a family \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \), the realization space of curves formed by a smooth curve of degree \( d \) and three non-concurrent lines which are tangent at \( d \)-infection points, which will also be referred to as \textit{triangular}.

**Corollary 1.2.** The realization space \( \tilde{\Sigma}(d) \) decomposes in \( \left( \left\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 \right) \) irreducible (and connected) components \( \tilde{\Sigma}_\zeta(d) \) parametrized by \( \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_d^+ \).

This fact was already studied in \([6, 14]\).

2. Zariski tuples of conjugate curves

2.1. A topological invariant of the embedding: the linking number.

We review the results in \([14]\) for completeness. Let \( \tilde{C} = C \cup L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \in \Sigma(d) \) for some \( \zeta \in \mathbb{T}_d^+ \) where \( C \) is the component of degree \( d \) of \( \tilde{C} \). For the sake of simplicity the triangle \( L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3 \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{L} \). As mentioned above, after a suitable projective automorphism we can assume that \( L_1 = \{ x = 0 \} \), \( L_2 = \{ y = 0 \} \), \( L_3 = \{ z = 0 \} \), and \( C = \{ F(x, y, z) = 0 \} \), with

\[
F(x, 0, z) = (x + z)^d, \quad F(0, y, z) = (y + z)^d, \quad F(x, y, 0) = (x + \zeta y)^d.
\]

Let us consider a model \( S_C \) of the \( d \)-cyclic covering of \( \mathbb{P}^2 \) ramified along \( C \),

\[
S_C := \{ [x : y : z : t] \in \mathbb{P}^3 \mid t^d = F(x, y, z) \}.
\]

Any deck transformation of the covering should be identified with elements of \( H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \), or analogously, with \( \text{Hom}(H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C; \mathbb{Z}); \mathbb{C}^*) \). Consider a character \( \xi : H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^* \) such that if \( \mu \) is a meridian of \( C \), then \( \xi(\mu) = \text{exp} \left( \frac{2\pi i}{d} \right) = : \zeta_d \). This character is associated with the monodromy automorphism \( \sigma : S_C \rightarrow S_C, \sigma([x : y : z : t]) := [x : y : z : \zeta dt] \) as follows. If \( \gamma \) is a cycle in \( \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C \) based at \( [x_0 : y_0 : z_0] \) and \( \tilde{\gamma} \) is the lift of \( \gamma \) starting at \( [x_0 : y_0 : z_0 : t_0] \in S_C \), then the end point is \( [x_0 : y_0 : z_0 : \xi(\gamma)t_0] \).
Let us construct a cycle $\gamma$ representing the homology class of a loop based at $[0 : 1 : 0]$ as a composition of paths $\gamma_1 * \gamma_2 * \gamma_3$ with $\gamma_i \subset L_i \setminus C$. Note that $\gamma$ is supported on $L \setminus C$ and it is based at $[0 : 1 : 0]$ with the following trajectory:

$$[0 : 1 : 0] \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} [0 : 0 : 1] \xrightarrow{\gamma_2} [1 : 0 : 0] \xrightarrow{\gamma_3} [0 : 1 : 0].$$

The fact that the contact order is $d$ ensures that the choice of $\gamma$ does not affect the value of the character as will be checked below.

The lift $\bar{\gamma} = \bar{\gamma}_1 * \bar{\gamma}_2 * \bar{\gamma}_3$ of $\gamma$ starting at $[0 : 1 : 0 : 1]$ can be constructed as follows. First the path $\gamma_1$ is lifted, since $C \cap L_1$ has equations $x = t^d - (y + z)^d = 0$, it has $d$ irreducible components, and since $\text{Im}(\gamma) \cap C = \emptyset$, the path $\gamma_1$ lifts to $\bar{\gamma}_1$ in $S_1 = \{x = t - (y + z) = 0\} \subset S_c$. Note that the lift $\bar{\gamma}_1$ ends at $[0 : 0 : 1 : 1]$, which is the only point in $S_1$ and the fiber of $\gamma_1(1) = [0 : 0 : 1]$. Analogously, since $C \cap L_2$ is given by $\{y = t^d - (x + z)^d = 0\}$, the lift $\bar{\gamma}_2$ is supported on $\{y = t - (x + z)^d = 0\} \subset S_c$ and it ends at $[1 : 0 : 0 : 1]$. Finally, the equations of $C \cap L_3$ are $\{z = t^d - (x + \zeta y)^d = 0\}$, and thus $\bar{\gamma}_3$ is contained in $\{z = t - (x + \zeta y) = 0\} \subset S_c$ whose end is $[0 : 1 : 0 : 1]$, i.e., $\zeta(\gamma) = \zeta$, which agrees with the action of the monodromy $\sigma$ on $\gamma$ as discussed above.

Following [4], we will sketch how to obtain a topological invariant from these data. Let $\bar{\xi} : H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{C}; \mathbb{Z}) \to C^*$ be the restriction of a character $\xi$ on $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ obtained as $\xi := \hat{\xi} \circ i_*$, where $i : \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{C} \to \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C$ is the inclusion. Consider $\hat{\gamma}$ a cycle homologous to $\gamma$ in $H_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C; \mathbb{Z})$ whose support is outside $L = L_1 \cup L_2 \cup L_3$.

Then $\hat{\xi}(\gamma) := \hat{\xi}(\hat{\gamma}) = \zeta$ (since the character $\xi$ is trivial on the meridians of the lines) and hence $\hat{\xi}(\gamma)$ is well defined since it does not depend on the representative $\hat{\gamma}$. Then, the value of $\hat{\xi}(\gamma)$ is an oriented topological invariant of $(\mathbb{P}^2, \hat{C}^{\text{ord}}, \hat{\xi})$, where the irreducible components in $\hat{C}$ are ordered. Analogously, the set $\{\hat{\xi}(\gamma)^{\pm 1}\}$ is a topological invariant of $(\mathbb{P}^2, \hat{C}, \hat{\xi})$ when the conditions on orientation and ordering are removed.

2.2. Main results.

For completeness, we include the following result, already proven by T. Shirane, to be refine in Theorem 2.2.

**Theorem 2.1 ([4]).** If $\hat{C}_\zeta, \in \Sigma^{(d)}(\zeta), \zeta_i \in \mathbb{T}_d^+$, then the pairs $(\mathbb{P}^2, \hat{C}_\zeta)$ and $(\mathbb{P}^2, \hat{C}_{\zeta_i})$ are homeomorphic if and only if $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$.

**Proof.** Consider $\xi$ the character defined by $\xi(\mu) = \zeta_d$ for a meridian $\mu$ of $C$ and $\gamma$ the cycle described above. Note that the pair $(\xi(\mu), \{\hat{\xi}(\gamma)^{\pm 1}\})$ becomes $(\zeta_d, \{\zeta_d^{\pm 1}\})$ when applied to the curve $\hat{C}_\zeta$. Since this is an invariant of $(\mathbb{P}^2, \hat{C}_\zeta, \hat{\xi})$ and $\zeta_i \neq \zeta_j$, $\zeta_i, \zeta_j \in \mathbb{T}_d^+$ if and only if $i \neq j$, this proves the only if part.

The if part follows from the fact that two curves in the same equisingular connected component have homeomorphic embeddings. The homeomorphism is obtained via the locally trivial fibration along a compact differentiable path joining both curves in the equisingular stratum of $\Sigma^{(d)}$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 2.2.** Given $\hat{C}_\zeta, \in \Sigma^{(d)}(\zeta), \zeta_i \in \mathbb{T}_d^+$, then $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{C}_\zeta$ and $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{C}_{\zeta_i}$ are homeomorphic if and only if $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$. 
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Proof. Let \( U(\hat{\gamma}) \) be a compact regular neighborhood of \( \hat{\gamma} \) and let \( M_\xi := \partial U(\hat{\gamma}) \) denote its boundary manifold. This is a graph manifold associated with the plumbing graph of a minimal resolution of \( \hat{\gamma} \) (that is, the preimage of \( \hat{\gamma} \) is normal crossing, but that can have self-intersections).

In principle, since \( M_\xi \) is associated with a normal bundle on the regular part of the normal crossing divisor associated with the minimal resolution of \( \hat{\gamma} \), the inclusion \( M_\xi \to \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma} \) induces only an isomorphism \( \pi_1(M_\xi) \to \pi_1^\infty(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma}) \). Nevertheless, a homeomorphism \( \Psi : \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma} \to \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma} \) induces a homeomorphism of Milnor balls around the singular points of the curves and hence an isomorphism \( \Psi^\infty : \pi_1(M_\xi) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma}) \). From the properties of sufficiently large graph manifolds (see \[17\]), this implies the existence of a homeomorphism \( \Psi_M : M_\xi \to M_\xi \) such that \( \Psi_M \circ \pi_1(M_\xi) = \pi_1^\infty \). Since the boundary manifolds \( M_\xi \) come with an extra structure due to the Milnor fibration around the singular points this homeomorphism preserves the graph structure (see \[16\] and also the Appendix in \[11\]). In particular, a meridian \( \mu_\xi \) of \( C_\xi \) must be sent to \( \mu_\xi \), \( \pm \)1-power of a meridian of \( C_\xi \). Moreover, the above cycle \( \gamma_\xi \) must be sent to \( \pm \)1-power of \( \gamma_\xi \). Hence \( \xi_1 = \xi_2 \) if such a homeomorphism exists.

\[ \boxdot \]

Corollary 2.1. If \( \hat{\gamma}_\xi, \xi \in \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \), \( \xi \in T^+_d \), for \( i = 1, 2 \), then \( \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma}_\xi \) and \( \mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma}_\xi \) are homeomorphic if and only if \( \xi_1 = \xi_2 \).

Proof. Recall that \( \hat{\gamma}_\xi \in \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \) is obtained from \( \hat{\gamma}_\xi \in \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \) via a standard Cremona transformation. This birational morphism produces a homeomorphism on their complements. Theorem \[22\] applies and the result follows. \[ \boxdot \]

3. Fundamental Groups of Complements of Curves in \( \Sigma_d^{(d)}, \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \), and \( \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \)

Our goal in this section is to compute the fundamental group for any curve in \( \hat{\gamma} \in \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \) and for any \( d \). We will do this using two different techniques.

3.1. Lower degree cases.

Fundamental groups of complements of curves in \( \Sigma_d^{(d)} \) and \( \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \) or \( \hat{\Sigma}_d^{(d)} \) are only well understood in the simplest cases, \( d = 2, 3 \). Here is a brief account of what is known.

For the case \( d = 2 \), as mentioned above, it is classically known (see \[18\]) that the fundamental group of the complement of the tricuspidal quartic \( C \in \Sigma_2^{(2)} \) is the finite metabelian group of order 12

\[ B_3(S^2) = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 : \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \sigma_1 \sigma_2, \sigma_1^2 \sigma_2^2 = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_3 \times \mathbb{Z}_4. \]

It is an easy exercise to check that the fundamental group of the complement of a curve \( \hat{\gamma} \in \Sigma_2^{(2)} \) (a conic with three tangent lines) is the triangle Artin group \((2, 4, 4)\).

For the case \( d = 3 \), the fundamental group of the complement of a sextic curve with \( 3 \mathbb{Z}_d \) singularities depended on the connected component in \( \Sigma_3^{(3)} \); it belongs (see \[3\])

\[ \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } C \in \Sigma_1^{(3)} \\ \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} & \text{if } C \in \Sigma_3^{(3)} \end{cases}, \]

where \( T_3^+ = \{1, \zeta_3\} \). As for \( \hat{\Sigma}_3^{(3)} \), it is also shown in \[3\] that \( \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus \hat{\gamma}) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \) for \( \hat{\gamma} \in \hat{\Sigma}_3^{(3)} \).
3.2. Fermat curves.

In this section we present a way to calculate fundamental groups via finite coverings ramified along simpler curves. In this case we will use Fermat curves.

Let us consider the Kummer map $\Phi_d : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2$ given by $\Phi_d([x : y : z]) = [x^d : y^d : z^d]$. Note that if $L = \{x + y + z = 0\}$ then $\Phi_d(L)$ is a Fermat curve. The preimage of $L_x = \{y + z = 0\}$ are $d$ tangent lines to $d$-inflexion points, denoted by $L_{x,\tau} = \{y - \tau z = 0\}$, where $\tau^d = -1$. In the same way we consider $L_y = \{x + z = 0\}$, $L_y,\tau = \{z - \tau x = 0\}$ and also $L_z = \{x + y = 0\}$, $L_z,\tau = \{x - \tau y = 0\}$.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let $\tau := (\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3)$ where $\tau_i$ is a $d$-root of $-1$ and consider the following curve constructions:

1. $\hat{C}_{d,\tau,1} := \Phi_d(L_x) \cup L_x,\tau_1 \cup L_y,\tau_2 \cup L_z,\tau_3$ with $\tau := \tau_1\tau_2\tau_3$, $\zeta := \tau^2$,
2. $\hat{C}_{d,\tau,2} := \Phi_d(L_x) \cup L_x,\tau_1 \cup L_x,\tau_2 \cup L_z,\tau_3$ with $\zeta := \tau_1\tau_2^{-1}$ and $\tau_1 \neq \tau_2$,
3. $\hat{C}_{d,\tau,3} := \Phi_d(L_x) \cup L_x,\tau_1 \cup L_x,\tau_2 \cup L_x,\tau_3$ with $\zeta := 1$ and $\tau_1 \neq \tau_3$, for $i \neq j$.

Then $\hat{C}_{d,\tau,i} \in \hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$, $i = 1, 2$, where $\zeta \in T^+_{d}$.

For $i = 3$, $\hat{C}_{d,\tau,3} \in \hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$ the closure of the component $\hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$.

Moreover, one can find a continuous equisingular family of curves $\{\hat{C}_t\}_{t \in (0, c]}$ in $\hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$ such that $\{\hat{C}_t\}_{t \in (0, c]} \to \hat{C}_{d,\tau,3}$ where the triangle degenerates onto an ordinary triple point.

**Proof.** For the family of curves in (1) consider the following change of coordinates:

$$x_1 = \tau x + y + z, \quad y_1 = \tau_3^{-1}(\tau x + y + \tau z), \quad z_1 = \tau_2(\tau x + z + \tau^{-1}y).$$

Let us consider the homogeneous polynomial $F(x, y, z) = x_1^d + y_1^d + z_1^d$. Then

$$F(0, y, z) = (y + z)^d, \quad F(x, 0, z) = (z + x)^d, \quad F(x, y, 0) = (\zeta x + y)^d,$$

and the statement follows.

The proof for the family of curves in construction (2) is analogous considering the following change of coordinates:

$$x_1 = x + y + z, \quad y_1 = \tau_3(x + y), \quad z_1 = \tau_3(\tau_1 x + \tau_2 y).$$

and $F(x, y, z) = x_1^d + y_1^d + z_1^d$. Then

$$F(0, y, z) = (y + z)^d, \quad F(x, 0, z) = (x + z)^d, \quad F(x, y, 0) = (x + \zeta^{-1}y)^d.$$

For construction (3) consider the following family

$$\{ (x - \tau_1 y)(x - \tau_2 y)(x - \tau_3 y - tz)(x^d + y^d + z^d - tz \frac{x^d + y^d}{x - \tau_3 y}) = 0 \}_{t \in (0, c]}. $$

One can check that for $0 < t \leq \varepsilon$ these curves are union of a smooth curve of degree $d$ and three tangent lines at aligned $d$-flexes. The fact that these flexes are aligned only occurs if the curve is in $\hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$. \qed

**Remark 3.1.** Note that construction (1) in Proposition 3.1 produces representatives in $\hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$ for all $\zeta \in T^+_{d}$ in the odd case, whereas construction (2) produces representatives in $\hat{\Sigma}_{\zeta}^{(d)}$ as long as $\zeta \neq 1$. The only representative not produced directly this way is in the component $\hat{\Sigma}_{1}^{(d)}$ for $d$ even.
3.3. Fundamental group computation.

We start with the fundamental group of a simple line arrangement, whose computation can be done using its real affine picture shown in Figure 2, where \( \ell_x = \{ y = 0 \} \), \( \tilde{\ell}_x = \{ y + z = 0 \} \), \( \ell_y = \{ x = 0 \} \), \( \tilde{\ell}_y = \{ x + z = 0 \} \), \( \ell = \{ x + y + z = 0 \} \), and \( \ell_\infty = \{ z = 0 \} \).

![Figure 2. 6-line arrangement](image)

**Proposition 3.2.** The fundamental group \( G \) of the complement of the line arrangement \( \ell_x \cup \ell_y \cup \tilde{\ell}_x \cup \tilde{\ell}_y \cup \ell \cup \ell_\infty \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) has generators \( \gamma_x, \gamma_y, \gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_x, \tilde{\gamma}_y \) and relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(G1)} \quad & [\tilde{\gamma}_x, \tilde{\gamma}_y] = 1, \\
\text{(G2)} \quad & [\gamma_y \cdot \gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_x] = 1, \\
\text{(G3)} \quad & [\tilde{\gamma}_x, \gamma_y, \gamma_\ell] = 1, \\
\text{(G4)} \quad & [\gamma_y, \gamma_x] = 1, \\
\text{(G5)} \quad & [\gamma_x \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y, \gamma_\ell] = 1, \\
\text{(G6)} \quad & [\gamma_\ell \cdot \gamma_x, \tilde{\gamma}_y] = 1.
\end{align*}
\]

Moreover, the orbifold fundamental group \( \tilde{G} \) of the complement of \( \ell \cup \tilde{\ell}_x \cup \tilde{\ell}_y \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \) with orbifold locus of order \( d \) along \( \ell_x \cup \ell_y \cup \ell_\infty \), is obtained as a quotient of \( G \) by the normal subgroup generated by the relations

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{(G7)} \quad & \gamma_x^d = 1, \\
\text{(G8)} \quad & \gamma_y^d = 1, \\
\text{(G9)} \quad & \gamma_\infty^d = 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \gamma_\infty = (\tilde{\gamma}_x \cdot \gamma_\ell \cdot \gamma_x \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_y)^{-1} \).

It is important to stress that the previous presentations have a geometrical meaning which will be relevant in the upcoming calculations. The generators \( \gamma_x, \gamma_\ell \), and \( \tilde{\gamma}_x \) on the dashed vertical line in Figure 2 are meridians around the lines \( \ell_x, \ell, \) and \( \tilde{\ell}_x \) respectively. They are chosen as a geometrical basis on the punctured line and their product \( \tilde{\gamma}_x \cdot \gamma_\ell \cdot \gamma_x \) is the inverse of a meridian around the point at infinity of such a line. The remaining generators \( \gamma_y \), \( \gamma_y \) are meridians around the lines \( \tilde{\ell}_y \) and \( \ell_y \) respectively, on a horizontal line in Figure 2.

namely, \( \gamma_x \) (resp. \( \gamma_y \)) is a meridian of \( \ell_x \) (resp. \( \ell_y \)), \( \tilde{\gamma}_x \) (resp. \( \tilde{\gamma}_y \)) is a meridian of \( \tilde{\ell}_x \) (resp. \( \tilde{\ell}_y \)), and so are \( \gamma_\ell \) with respect to \( \ell \) and \( \gamma_\infty \) with respect to \( \ell_\infty \).

Following the construction given in section 1, one needs to study the Kummer cover

\[ \sigma : \mathbb{P}^2 \to \mathbb{P}^2, \quad [x : y : z] \mapsto [x^d : y^d : z^d]. \]

Note that \( \sigma \) is an abelian cover of order \( d^2 \) ramified along \( \ell_x \cup \ell_y \cup \ell_\infty \) with ramification index \( d \) whose group of deck transformations is \( \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z} \). A possible strategy is to decompose \( \sigma \) in two cyclic covers. The first one \( \sigma_1 : S \to \mathbb{P}^2 \) can be seen as an orbifold covering ramified along the lines \( \ell_y \) and \( \ell_\infty \). The second one \( \sigma_2 : \mathbb{P}^2 \to S \) can be seen as an orbifold covering ramified along the preimages by \( \sigma_1 \) of \( \ell_x \) and \( [1 : 0 : 0] \). If we remove the line arrangement, the covering.
σ₁ is defined by an epimorphism ρ₁ : ̂G → μ_d, ρ(γ_x) := ζ, d-root of unity, and ρ(γ_y) = ρ(γ_x) = ρ(γ_y) = ρ(γ_x) = 1. Let ̂K := ker ρ₁. Note that σ₁⁻¹(γ_y) breaks in d irreducible components, whose meridians are γ_y^j · ̂γ_y · γ_y^−1, 0 ≤ j < d. By Proposition 1.2, we are interested in keeping only one of these lines, say the one associated with ̂γ_y. Let ̂K₁ be the quotient of ̂K obtained by killing the remaining meridians. It is the orbifold fundamental group of the complement in ̂K of the line arrangement with orbifold structure at the preimage of γ_x and [1 : 0 : 0].

Lemma 3.1. The group ̂K₁ is generated by γ_x, γ_ℓ, ̂γ_x, ̂γ_y with relations:

(K1) γ_x^d = 1,
(K2) (γ_x · γ_ℓ · γ_x)^d, ̂γ_y = 1,
(K3) [̂γ_x, ̂γ_y] = 1,
(K4) [(γ_x · γ_ℓ)^d, ̂γ_x] = 1,
(K5) [γ_x, ̂γ_y, γ_ℓ] = 1,
(K6) [γ_ℓ · γ_x, ̂γ_y] = 1,
(K7) [γ_x, (γ_x · γ_ℓ)^−1 · γ_ℓ · (γ_ℓ · γ_x)] = 1, for 0 < j < d.

Proof. We use Reidemeister-Schreier method. For each generator α of ̂G, different from ̂γ_y, the generators are α_j := γ_y^j · α · γ_y^−j, j = 0, 1, ..., d − 1. The element γ_y^d should be also a generator, which can be avoided by (G8) Using (G4) we obtain

\[ γ_{x_1} := γ_y^j · γ_x · γ_y^−j = γ_x, \]

and we keep only γ_x = γ_x as generator from γ_x_0, ..., γ_x_{d−1}. The relation (G7) is kept (all its conjugates provide the same relation). By the definition of ̂K₁, we keep only ̂γ_y = ̂γ_y_0 as ̂γ_y, for 0 < j < d.

The relation (G1) also remains and it is unique. We use relations (G2) and (G3) to eliminate some generators since

\[ γ_ℓ_j = γ_y^j · γ_x · γ_y^−j = (γ_ℓ · γ_x)^−j · γ_ℓ · (γ_ℓ · γ_x)^j, \]
\[ ̂γ_{x_1} = γ_y^j · ̂γ_x · γ_y^−j = (γ_ℓ · ̂γ_x)^−j · γ_ℓ · (γ_ℓ · ̂γ_x)^j, \]

producing the relation

\[ [(γ_ℓ · ̂γ_x)^d, ̂γ_x] = 1. \]

The relations given by (G5) and (G6) are kept and produce for 0 < j < d:

\[ [γ_x, (γ_ℓ · ̂γ_x)^−j · γ_ℓ · (γ_ℓ · ̂γ_x)] = 1. \]

Finally the relation (G9) becomes

\[ (γ_x · γ_ℓ · γ_x)^d · ̂γ_y = 1. \]

The covering ρ₂ is defined by the morphism ρ₂ : ̂K₁ → μ_d such that ρ₂(γ_x) = ζ and the other generators are sent to 1.

Lemma 3.2. The group ̂K := ker ρ₂ is generated by γ_ℓ, ̂γ_y, ̂γ_x₁ := γ_x^i · ̂γ_x · γ_x^i, 0 ≤ i < d with relations:

(K1) [̂γ_y · γ_ℓ]^d, ̂γ_y] = 1,
(K2) [̂γ_x₁, (γ_ℓ · γ_x)^−i · ̂γ_y · (γ_ℓ · γ_x)] = 1 for 0 ≤ i < d,
(K3) \( \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (̂γ_x_1 · (γ_ℓ · γ_x)^−i · γ_ℓ · (γ_ℓ · γ_x)^i) · ̂γ_y = 1, \)
(K4) \[ (γ_y · γ_x)^−i · γ_ℓ · (γ_y · γ_x)^i · ̂γ_x_1]^d, ̂γ_x_1] = 1 for 0 ≤ i < d,
(K5) \[ 1 = [γ_ℓ, (γ_y · γ_x)^i · ̂γ_x · (γ_y · γ_x)^i] · (γ_y · γ_x)^−1 · (γ_ℓ · (γ_y · γ_x)^i+1 · ̂γ_x_{i+1} · (γ_y · γ_x)^−i−1)] · for 0 < j < d and 0 ≤ i < d. \]
Proof. We apply again Reidemeister-Schreier algorithm. We should start with generators $\gamma_{\ell_1} = \gamma_2^i \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma_i^{-1}$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{x_i} = \gamma_2^i \cdot \gamma_2 \gamma^{-1}$, and $\gamma_x^d$. The generator $\gamma_x^d$ drops needed because of (K1). Using (K5) and (K6) we eliminate some generators $\gamma_{\ell} = \gamma_2^i \cdot \gamma \cdot \gamma_i^{-1} = (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot \gamma_i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i$, $\tilde{\gamma}_{y} = \gamma_2^i \cdot \gamma_2 \gamma^{-1} = (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot \gamma_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i$, and obtain the relation

$$[(\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^d, \tilde{\gamma}_y] = 1.$$ 

The generator statement has been proven. The relation (K3) becomes $d$ relations $(0 \leq i < d)$

$$[\tilde{\gamma}_{x_i}, (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i] = 1.$$ 

Using the above commutation relations, the relation (K2) becomes

$$\prod_{j=0}^{d-1} [(\tilde{\gamma}_{x_j}, (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot \gamma_i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i) \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y] = 1.$$ 

The relation (K4) becomes $d$ relations $(0 \leq i < d)$

$$[(\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot \gamma_i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i}] = 1.$$ 

Finally the relations (K7) produce $d(d-1)$ relations for $0 < j < d$ and $0 \leq i < d$:

$$([\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell}]^{-i} \cdot \gamma_i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i})^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-i} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i}] = ([\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell}]^{-i} \cdot \gamma_i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i}]^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-i} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i}]^{i+1},$$

that is,

$$1 = [\gamma_{\ell}, (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-i})^i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i + 1 \cdot \gamma_{x_i+1}] = (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-i})^i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i + 1 \cdot \gamma_{x_i+1}] = 1.$$ 

$$\square$$

3.4. Main results on fundamental groups.

In this section we summarize the main consequences in terms of fundamental groups for the curves in the equisingular stratum $\hat{\Sigma}^{(d)}$.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let $C \in \hat{\Sigma}^{(d)}_\zeta$, $\zeta \neq 1, d > 2$. Its fundamental group is abelian, in particular $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C) = \mathbb{Z}^r$.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the statement for a curve as in Proposition 3.4(2), for which its fundamental group is $K_h$, $0 < h < d$, the quotient of $\hat{K}_1$ obtained by killing $\tilde{\gamma}_{x_j}$, if $j \neq 0, h$. We can assume $h \geq 2$.

The relation (K2) produces $[\tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}, \tilde{\gamma}_y] = [\tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}, (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-h} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^h] = 1$. The relation (K3) becomes

$$\tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^h \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-h} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^d \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}^1 = 1$$

and $\tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}$ can be taken out from the generators. The second relation above is equivalent to the commutator $[(\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^d, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}] = 1$. For (K4) we obtain

$$[(\gamma_{\ell} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0})^d, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}] = 1.$$ 

Let us consider (K5) for $j = 1, 2$:

$$[(\gamma_{\ell} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_i} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-i})^i \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_{\ell})^{-1}] = 1.$$
and
\[ 1 = [\gamma_\ell, (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_\ell)^1 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}^2 \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_{i+1}}^{-2} \cdot (\tilde{\gamma}_y \cdot \gamma_\ell)^{-i-1}]. \]

The above relations for \( i = 0 \) become
\[ 1 = [\gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot \gamma_\ell^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y^{-1}] = [\gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}^2 \cdot \gamma_\ell^{-1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_y^{-1}]. \]

As a consequence \([\gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}] = [\gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_y] \) and the group is abelian. \( \square \)

**Proposition 3.3.** Let \( C \) be a curve as in Proposition 3.3, \( d > 3 \). Its fundamental group is generated by \( \gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \), where \( \gamma_\ell \) is central and \( \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \cdot \gamma_\ell^d = 1 \). The relations \( \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} = \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} = \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \) correspond to the ordinary triple point of intersection of the three lines.

**Proof.** This fundamental group is the quotient of \( \hat{K} \) obtained by killing \( \tilde{\gamma}_y \) and \( \tilde{\gamma}_{x_j} \), \( j \geq 3 \). The generators are \( \gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \) and the relations are:

\begin{enumerate}
  \item [(K1)] \( \prod_{j=0}^{2}(\tilde{\gamma}_{x_j} \cdot \gamma_\ell) \cdot \gamma_\ell^{d-3} = 1 \),
  \item [(K2)] \( [\gamma_\ell \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}^i \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2}] = 1 \) for \( 0 \leq i < 3 \),
  \item [(K3)] \( 1 = [\gamma_\ell, (\gamma_\ell^{i+1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \cdot \gamma_\ell^{-i}) \cdot \gamma_\ell^{-1} \cdot (\gamma_\ell^{i+2} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_{i+1}} \cdot \gamma_\ell^{-i-1})^{-1}] \) for \( 0 < j < d \) and \( 0 \leq i < 2 \),
  \item [(K4)] \( 1 = [\tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}] \),
  \item [(K5)] \( 1 = [\gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}] \).
\end{enumerate}

Let us study the relations \( [K3] \). For \( i = 0 \) and \( j = 1 \) we obtain \( [\gamma_\ell, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}] = 1 \). \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.1.** Let \( C \in \tilde{\Sigma}_1^{(d)}, \ d > 3 \). Its fundamental group is abelian, that is, \( \pi_1(B^2 \setminus C) = \mathbb{Z}^3 \).

**Proof.** Let us consider the family \( \{C_t\}_{t \in [0,1]} \) of Proposition 3.3.

For \( t \) small enough, these curves are equisingular outside a small ball centered at the ordinary triple point of \( C_0 \), see Figure 3. The fundamental group of \( C_t \) is obtained from the group in Proposition 3.3 by replacing the relations \( \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} = \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} = \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0} \cdot \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1} \) by \( [\tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}] = [\tilde{\gamma}_{x_1}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_2}] = [\tilde{\gamma}_{x_2}, \tilde{\gamma}_{x_0}] = 1 \), and the result follows. \( \square \)
4. AN EXTENDED FAMILY OF ARITHMETIC ZARISKI TUPLES AND AN OPEN QUESTION

It is worth mentioning that recently, the equisingular stratum $\Sigma^{(d)}$ has been generalized as follows (see [14] [15]). Fix $d \geq 3$ and consider three ordered tuples of positive integers $a := (a_1, \ldots, a_{n_1})$, $b := (b_1, \ldots, b_{n_2})$, and $c := (c_1, \ldots, c_{n_3})$ such that $d = \sum a = \sum b = \sum c$.

An Artal-Shirane curve (defined as Artal curve in [14]) of type $(d; a, b, c)$ is a union of a smooth curve of degree $d$ and three lines $L_a, L_b, L_c$ such that the smooth curve intersects the line $L_a$ (resp. $L_b$, $L_c$) at $n_1$ (resp. $n_2$, $n_3$) points with intersection multiplicities $a_i$, (resp. $b_j$, $c_k$).

For $s := \gcd(a, b, c) > 1$ Shirane proved that these curves provide Zariski tuples related to $s$-roots of unity. He used an invariant called splitting graph based on the linking invariant described in $\S 2.1$

Moreover, the following generalization of Theorem 2.2 on the homeomorphism type of the complements of such curves can be stated and proven with the same arguments.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let $C_1, C_2$ be two Artal-Shirane curves of the same type, associated with distinct and non-conjugate roots of unity. Then, $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_1$ and $\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_2$ are not homeomorphic.

The interesting fact about these curves lies on the fact that the fundamental groups of their complements is as simple as it can be. This can be obtained as a consequence of our Theorem 3.1 Corollary 3.1 Theorem 4.2 and an equisingular deformation via the following very useful result by Zariski proved in Dimca’s book.

**Theorem 4.2 ([7] Corollary 3.2).** Let $\{C_t\}_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a continuous family of projective plane curves such that $C_0$ is reduced and the family is equisingular for $(0,1]$. Then, there is an epimorphism $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_0) \to \pi_1(\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus C_1)$.

**Corollary 4.1.** Let $C$ be an Artal-Shirane curve of type $(d; a, b, c)$ where either $d > 3$ or $(d; a, b, c) \neq (3; (3), (3), (3))$ (with non-aligned intersection points). Then the fundamental group of $C$ is abelian.

**Proof.** It is clear that we can deform in an equisingular curve such a curve to a curve of type $(d; (d), (d), (d))$ which is in some $\Sigma^{(d)}$: if $d = 3$, then $\zeta \neq 1$. The result is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 Corollary 3.1 and Theorem 4.2.$\square$

Turning out attention back to the original equisingular family of irreducible curves $\Sigma^{(d)}$ the following question remains open.

**Question 4.1.** Given two irreducible curves $C_i \in \Sigma^{(d)}_\zeta$, $i = 1, 2$ where $\zeta_i \in \mathbb{T}^\times_d$, $\zeta_1 \neq \zeta_2$. Are the pairs $(\mathbb{P}^2, C_1)$ and $(\mathbb{P}^2, C_2)$ topologically equivalent? Are their complements homeomorphic, or their fundamental groups isomorphic?
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