

# 1 **Assessment of Arsenic and Heavy Metals**

## 2 **Pollution in Chhattisgarh, India**

3 **Ankit Yadav<sup>1</sup>, Parvin Kumar Sahu<sup>1</sup>, Khageshwar Singh Patel<sup>2\*</sup>, Lesia**  
4 **Lata<sup>3</sup>, Huber Milosh<sup>4</sup>, Warren T. Corns<sup>5</sup>, Jasmina Allen<sup>5</sup>, Pablo Martín-**  
5 **Ramos<sup>6</sup>**

6 <sup>1</sup> School of Studies in Environmental Science, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla  
7 University, Raipur, India

8 <sup>2</sup> School of Studies in Chemistry, Pt. Ravishankar Shukla University, Raipur,  
9 India

10 <sup>3</sup> Department of Soil Science, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin,  
11 Poland

12 <sup>4</sup> Department of Geology, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Lublin, Poland

13 <sup>5</sup> PS Analytical Ltd, Arthur House, Unit 11 Cray fields Industrial Estate,  
14 Orpington, Kent, BR5 3HP, UK

15 <sup>6</sup> Department of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, EPS, Instituto de  
16 Investigación en Ciencias Ambientales (IUCA), University of Zaragoza,  
17 Carretera de Cuarte, s/n, 22071 Huesca, Spain

18 Corresponding author: E-mail: [khageshwarsinghatel@gmail.com](mailto:khageshwarsinghatel@gmail.com)

### 19 **ABSTRACT**

20 Ambagarh *tehsil*, in Rajnandgaon, central India, is a heavily polluted area. In  
21 this work, contamination with As and other toxic elements (Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and  
22 Pb) has been monitored in water, soil, plant leaves and animal stools. Mean  
23 concentrations of total As in surface water, groundwater, surface soil, plant leaf  
24 and animal stool samples of  $0.031 \pm 0.005 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ ,  $0.360 \pm 0.050 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{mL}^{-1}$ ,  
25  $192 \pm 28 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ ,  $5.6 \pm 1.4 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$  and  $51 \pm 7 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$ , respectively, were found.  
26 The speciation, sources and toxicities of the As and other metals are discussed,  
27 together with some associated health hazards, exemplified in domestic animals  
28 exposed to the contaminated water and food.

## 29 INTRODUCTION

30 Arsenic is a carcinogenic environmental and occupational pollutant, known to  
31 be very hazardous to health (Hong et. al., 2014). Arsenic pollution is prevalent  
32 in numerous countries, including Mexico, Chile, Argentina, India, Taiwan,  
33 Bangladesh and Myanmar (Eisler, 2004), and studies on contamination with  
34 arsenic and other toxic metals has been widely reported in the literature  
35 (Bandaru et. al., 2016; Belluck et. al., 2003; Bhattacharya et. al. 2010;  
36 Chaurasia, 2012; Karimi and Alavi, 2016; Lambrou et. al., 2012; Loeppert,  
37 2010; Middleton et. al., 2017; Moreno-Jiménez et. al., 2012; Rahman and  
38 Hasegawa, 2011; Roychowdhury, 2008).

39 In the case of central India, arsenic contamination has been reported at  
40 hazardous levels over an area of 3000 km<sup>2</sup> in Rajnandgaon district  
41 (Chhattisgarh), and it has been shown to cause serious health hazards due to  
42 polluted water and food consumption (Pandey et. al., 2002; Patel et. al., 2005;  
43 Pandey et. al., 2007). As regards the sources of contamination, in the case of  
44 arsenic it appears to be mainly geogenic (Acharrya et.al 2001 and 2005; Pandey  
45 et. al 2002), while heavy metals pollution would be originated by the coal-based  
46 thermal power plants and integrated iron and steel industries in the area (Tiwari  
47 et al. 2015; Sajal & Towari 2014).

48 In this work, contamination with several toxic elements (As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn  
49 and Pb) has been investigated in which is believed to be the most contaminated  
50 site in this region (Ambagarh *tehsil*) by monitoring the concentrations of these

51 pollutants in water, soil, plants and animals. In the latter, since excess metals  
52 are excreted out through urine and stool, stool samples have been used as bio-  
53 indicators (Sawidis et. al., 2011; Gupta, 2013).

## 54 **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

### 55 **Study Area**

56 Samples of surface water (SW), groundwater (GW) and surface soil (SS) were  
57 collected from 20 locations in 13 villages in the Ambagarh *tehsil*, namely  
58 Murethitola, Netamtola, Kaudikasa, Sonsaitola, Joratarai, Thailitola, Jadutola,  
59 Arajkund, Bihari Khurd, Sangali, Mangatola, Dhaditola and Meregaoon (**Figure**  
60 **1**). Plant and animal samples were collected only from the most contaminated  
61 location, after the water and soil pollution screening: Koudikasa village.

### 62 **Sampling procedure**

63 The selected environmental samples (water, soil, plant leaves and animal stools)  
64 were collected in February 2017 using well-established methodologies  
65 (references). Details of the sampling locations and number of samples are  
66 provided in Table X.

67 Surface water was collected from tanks and canals, and the groundwater  
68 samples were taken from hand pumps in twice-cleaned 1 L polyethylene flasks  
69 (Nielsen & Nielsen 2006). The surface soil samples ( $\approx$ 1 kg, from a depth of 0–  
70 10 cm) were collected from the agricultural fields and stored in polyethylene  
71 bags.

72 Leaves from 45 plants commonly used for animal feeding in this area (detailed  
73 in **Table 3**, with 3 replicates per type of plant; ABCD g/sample) were manually  
74 collected from Koudikasa village and placed in polyethylene bags. They were  
75 thoroughly washed with deionized water, and dried in a glassroom for one week.  
76 Early morning stools samples from various animals (cow, buffalo, goat and  
77 sheep; number of samples/type of animal; ABCD g/sample) were collected in a  
78 polyethylene bags and dried in the sunlight in similar way. Solid samples from  
79 both plants and animals were further dried in a hot air oven at 50 °C for 24 h,  
80 they were crushed, and particles < 0.1 mm were sieved out.

### 81 **Analyses**

82 Water parameters (viz. pH, dissolved oxygen (DO), reduction potential (RP),  
83 electrical conductivity (EC) and total dissolved solid (TDS)) were measured at  
84 the spot using HANNA Instruments (Woonsocket, RI, USA) sensors. Total  
85 dissolved solid (TDS) were determined by evaporation of the water samples,  
86 previously filtered through a glass fiber filter, and by drying until constant  
87 weight, according to method 2540 D (APHA 2005).

88 For the monitoring of metals contents, the acid extraction procedure was used,  
89 according to method ABCD (reference): 0.25 g of solid sample were digested  
90 with aqua regia (3.0 mL HCl and 1.0 mL HNO<sub>3</sub>) in a P/T MARS microwave  
91 oven (CEM, Matthews, NC, USA). The total Arsenic content was determined  
92 by hydride generation-atomic absorption spectrophotometry (HG-AAS) with a  
93 ABCD (Manufacturer, Location) apparatus. Other HMs (Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and  
94 Pb) were analysed by graphite furnace-spectrophotometry (GF-AAS) and

95 inductively coupled plasma–atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) with a  
96 ABCD (Manufacturer, Location) and a ABCD (Manufacturer, Location),  
97 respectively. Four reference materials were used to check the quality of data:  
98 BCR 143 (sewage sludge), LGC 6138 (coal carbonisation site soil), NIST 1633b  
99 (coal fly ash) and NIST-1515, USA (apple leaf).

100 For arsenic speciation measurements, ABCD method (reference) was followed:  
101 5.0 mL of 0.5 mol/L H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> were added to 0.2 g of sample in centrifuge tubes,  
102 which were shaken overnight so that the acid and the sample reacted completely,  
103 ensuring good As extraction. The next day, the samples were taken off the  
104 shaker and placed in the centrifuge for 10 min at ABCD rpm. The extract was  
105 filtered using 0.45 µm PTFE disc filters. 2.0 mL aliquots were taken from each  
106 sample and were then diluted to 10 mL with sodium phosphate buffer (filtered),  
107 which was the mobile phase for HPLC. High-Performance Liquid  
108 Chromatography–Hydride Generation-Atomic Fluorescence Spectrometry  
109 (HPLC-HG-AFS) was used to detect the levels of As(III), As(V),  
110 monomethylarsonic acid (MMA) and dimethylarsinic acid (DMA) present in  
111 the samples, according to the procedure described in [REFERENCE].

112 Apropos of quality control/quality assurance, standard calibration curves were  
113 prepared for the analysis of As and other elements ~~in the water samples using~~  
114 the multielement standard solution (Sigma-Aldrich) for analysis. ~~The relevant~~  
115 ~~reference material was used for analysis of the elements in the solid samples.~~

116 Average values of three analyses are presented. The confidence limit at 95%  
117 probability ( $p = 0.05$ ) was used to denote the variability of the dataset.

## 118 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### 119 **Pollutant contents in water**

120 The concentrations of As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb in surface water (SW) and  
121 groundwater (GW) are summarized in **Table 1**. The SW featured pH, DO, RP,  
122 EC and TDS values of  $7.7 \pm 0.3$ ,  $6.4 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ,  $523 \pm 23 \text{ mV}$ ,  $619 \pm 15 \mu\text{S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$   
123 and  $780 \pm 25 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ , respectively. Slightly different physical characteristics  
124 were observed for GW, with pH, DO, RP, EC and TDS values of  $7.2 \pm 0.1$ ,  
125  $6.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ,  $475 \pm 23 \text{ mV}$ ,  $853 \pm 20 \mu\text{S} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $1171 \pm 30 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ,  
126 respectively. The concentrations of As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb in the SW  
127 samples were in the 0.017–0.048, 0.015–0.43, 0.089–0.165, 0.021–0.062,  
128 0.033–0.110 and 0.003–0.006  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  range, with mean values of  $0.031 \pm 0.004$ ,  
129  $0.055 \pm 0.039$ ,  $0.132 \pm 0.009$ ,  $0.036 \pm 0.004$ ,  $0.080 \pm 0.010$  and  $0.011 \pm 0.005 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ ,  
130 respectively. Higher concentrations of arsenic and heavy metals were found  
131 in the GW samples, probably due to mineralization from bedrock, with values  
132 in the range of 0.187–0.582, 0.019–0.090, 0.156–0.310, 0.029–0.071, 0.080–  
133 0.142 and 0.008–0.031  $\text{mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  for As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb, respectively.  
134 Mean values of  $0.360 \pm 0.50$ ,  $0.033 \pm 0.006$ ,  $0.238 \pm 0.018$ ,  $0.047 \pm 0.005$ ,  
135  $0.114 \pm 0.007$  and  $0.015 \pm 0.002 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  were found for As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and  
136 Pb. It is worth noting that the concentrations of As and Pb found in these water  
137 samples were significantly higher than the recommended limit of  $10 \mu\text{g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  in

138 drinking water (WHO, 2017). Moreover, As concentration in the water of the  
139 examined area was also higher than the values reported for other regions of the  
140 country (Chaurasia, 2012) and would be among the highest reported in the world  
141 (Mukherjee, A., Sengupta, M. K., Hossain, M. A., Ahamed, S., Das, B., Nayak,  
142 B., ... & Chakraborti, D. (2006). Arsenic contamination in groundwater: a global  
143 perspective with emphasis on the Asian scenario. *Journal of Health, Population  
144 and Nutrition*, 142-163) (Ahoulé, D.G., Lalanne, F., Mendret, J. et al. *Water Air  
145 Soil Pollut* (2015) 226: 302. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11270-015-2558-4>).  
146 According to the thorough bibliographic survey presented in the review paper  
147 by Shankar *et al.* (Shiv Shankar, Uma Shanker, and Shikha, “Arsenic  
148 Contamination of Groundwater: A Review of Sources, Prevalence, Health  
149 Risks, and Strategies for Mitigation,” *The Scientific World Journal*, vol. 2014,  
150 Article ID 304524, 18 pages, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/304524>),  
151 Ambagarh *tehsil* would suffer one of the most severe cases of As contamination  
152 of groundwater across the globe (although even higher concentrations have been  
153 reported for the aquifers of Noakhali in Bangladesh, Rupandehi in Nepal, Ron  
154 Phibun in Thailand, and Muzaffargarh in Pakistan).

#### 155 **Pollutant contents in surface soil**

156 The concentrations of As and other metals in the surface soil samples are shown  
157 in **Table 2**. The As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb contents were in the following  
158 intervals: 58–302, 45–146, 659–986, 40–105, 57–114 and 17–52 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>,  
159 respectively, with average values of 192±28, 99±13, 795±44, 59±8, 80±4 and

160 31±3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. As and Mn were found in the soil at remarkably  
161 high concentrations (>value and >value mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively [reference]),  
162 whereas other metals (Cr, Cu, Zn and Pb) were present at moderate levels (range  
163 in mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> [reference]). In particular, the As concentration detected in the soil  
164 was at least an order of magnitude higher than the acceptable background levels  
165 of 5.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, and among the highest reported the literature in India  
166 (Shrivastava, A., Ghosh, D., Dash, A. et al. Curr Pollution Rep (2015) 1: 35.  
167 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40726-015-0004-2>) and in other regions of the world  
168 (Belluck et. al.,-2003; Gillispie, E.C., Sowers, T.D., Duckworth, O.W. et al.  
169 Curr Pollution Rep (2015) 1: 1. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40726-015-0001-5>),  
170 only exceeded by some locations in Greece (up to 513 mg/kg; Casentini B, Hug  
171 S, Nikolaidis N. Arsenic accumulation in irrigated agricultural soils in Northern  
172 Greece. Sci Total Environ. 2011;409(22):4802–10) and some heavily polluted  
173 industrial areas in the USA (>1000 mg/kg; Smith, Euan Robert George, R.  
174 Naidu, and A. M. Alston. Arsenic in the soil environment. Diss. Academic  
175 Press, 1998) and in China (e.g., in Linfen area).

#### 176 **Pollutant contents in plant samples**

177 As and other HMs contents in plant leaves are presented in **Table 3**. The  
178 concentrations varied from 0.3 to 27 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (As), from 3.3 to 25 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Cr),  
179 from 18 to 159 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Mn), from 9.1 to 62 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Cu), from 29 to 238  
180 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Zn), and from 0.8 to 8.0 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (Pb). Mean concentration values of  
181 5.6±1.4, 11±2, 89±10, 27±4, 86±12 and 3.7±0.6 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> were detected for As,



182 Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb, respectively. The maximum accumulation of As, Cr,  
183 Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb in the leaves corresponded to leaves from *Vigna*  
184 *unguiculata*, *Diospyros melanoxylon*, *Mangifera indica*, *Hibiscus sabdariffa*,  
185 *Moringa oleifera* and *Zingiber officinale*, respectively, suggesting their  
186 applicability as bio-indicators for aforementioned elements.

187 The enrichment factors with respect to the soil mean values were in the  
188 following ranges: 0.001–0.130 (As), 0.055–0.417 (Cr), 0.020–0.178 (Mn),  
189 0.108–0.738 (Cu), 0.309–2.53 (Zn) and 0.027–0.267 (Pb). Mean enrichment  
190 factor were found to be:  $0.027 \pm 0.007$ ,  $0.099 \pm 0.011$ ,  $0.320 \pm 0.045$ ,  $0.913 \pm 0.132$   
191 and  $0.124 \pm 0.021$  for As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb, respectively. It is worth noting  
192 that the enrichment factor for As was the lowest one (i.e., enrichment factors for  
193 Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb were found to be 7.1, 3.7, 11.9, 33.8 and 4.6 times higher  
194 than that of As), while that for Zn was the highest. In this regard, leaves from  
195 several plants (*Abelmoschus esculentus*, *Acacia concinna*, *Aegle marmelos*,  
196 *Amaranthus spinosus*, *Dioscorea bulbifera*, *Hibiscus sabdariffa*, *Lablab*  
197 *purpureus*, *Moringa oleifera*, *Psidium guvava*, *Solanum lycopersicon*, *Tectona*  
198 *grandis*, *Trigonella foenum-graecum* and *Vigna radiate*) were identified as  
199 potential Zn hyperaccumulators (**Table 3**).

200 Apropos of As concentration in the plant leaves collected, it was much higher  
201 than values reported in other regions of India and the world (Bandaru et. al.,  
202 2016; Bhattacharya et. al. 2010; Karimi and Alavi, 2016; Lambrou et. al., 2012;  
203 Loeppert, 2010; Rahman and Hasegawa, 2011; Roychowdhury, 2008).

#### 204 **Pollutant contents in animal faecal samples**

205 The concentrations of As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb in stool samples was found to  
206 be in the following ranges: 41–60, 33–50, 212–301, 840–1220, 429–630 and  
207 39–84 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, with mean values of 51±7, 39±6, 254±34,  
208 1003±120, 529±71 and 63±14 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (see **Table 4**). The highest  
209 concentration of toxic elements (As, Cu and Pb) was found in the goat stool  
210 samples. The elements were noticeably enriched in the faecal samples as  
211 compared to their average contents in the leaves (5.6, 11.4, 89, 27, 86 and 3.7  
212 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb, respectively), at least by a factor of 9.1,  
213 3.4, 2.8, 37.6, 5.9 and 16.8, respectively. Among them, two metals (Cu and Pb)  
214 was extremely enriched (>10-fold) in the stools; other elements (As and Zn)  
215 were moderately enriched (>5-fold); and Cr and Mn were poorly enriched (>2  
216 - <5-fold) in the faecal samples [reference].

#### 217 **Arsenic speciation**

218 The concentration of the different arsenic species found in the soil and stool  
219 samples are shown in **Table 5**. In general, inorganic As(III) and As(V) are more  
220 toxic than the organic As to the environment. In all samples, the concentration  
221 of As(V) was found to be the dominant species, ranging from 92.2 to 99.2%.  
222 The percentage concentration of As(III) in the soil and the stool samples of cow  
223 and buffalo was relatively low, ranging between 1.2 and 4.8%. Similarly, low  
224 concentrations of dimethyl arsenic (DMA) in the stool samples (except in the  
225 goat sample) were found, ranging from 2.9 to 7.2%. In all stool samples,

226 monomethyl arsenic (MMA) was detected in trace quantities, ranging from 0.6  
227 to 1.6%. No organic species (i.e., MMA and DMA) were detected in the soil  
228 samples.

### 229 **Correlation coefficients**

230 The correlation coefficients ( $r^2$ ), presented in **Table 6**, were computed using the  
231 mean values of the elements (As, Cr, Mn, Cu, Zn and Pb) in the SW, GW, soil,  
232 leaves and stool samples. They showed high correlations among themselves ( $r^2$   
233 = 0.91-0.99), except for Zn, which showed moderate correlations ( $r^2$  = 0.42–  
234 0.71). This suggests that they may share a common origin.

### 235 **Toxicities**

236 Arsenic contents in all samples were several times higher than the permissible  
237 limit (0.1 mg/kg) (FAO/WHO, 2010). Similarly, leaves showed heavy metals  
238 contents higher than the permissible limits in food (20 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for Zn, 2.3  
239 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for Cr, and 0.3 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> for Pb, according to FAO/WHO, 2010). In  
240 particular, extremely high contents of As, Cr, Mn and Cu were detected in  
241 mango leaves (**Table 3**). High Pb contents (>7.0 mg/kg) were accumulated in  
242 the leaves from *Aegle marmelos*, *Cynodon dactylon*, *Curcuma longa*,  
243 *Foeniculum vulgare* and *Hibiscus sabdariffa* (**Table 3**). Similarly, Cr, Mn, Cu  
244 and Zn were accumulated in the leaves from *Amaranthus spinosus*, *Diospyros*  
245 *melanoxylon* and *Shorea robusta*; *Amorphophallus paeoniifolius* and *Mangifera*  
246 *indica*; *Abelmoschus esculentus* and *Hibiscus sabdariffa*; and *Acacia concinna*

247 and *Moringa oleifera*, respectively, at concentrations beyond their respective  
248 tolerance limits of 20, 150, 50 and 215 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup> (**Table 3**).

249 Aforementioned As levels pose a serious health hazard, provided that the  
250 consumption of water and food polluted with As may result in chronic  
251 arsenicosis. This multisystem disorder leads to increased risks of skin cancer  
252 with altered functions of organs, and usually manifests in skin lesions such as  
253 hyperkeratosis and pigmentation changes, in humans and animals (Kapaj et. al.  
254 2006).

## 255 **Conclusions**

256 The environment of Ambagarh *tehsil*, in Rajnandgaon, India, is heavily polluted  
257 with As and other toxic metals (Cr, Cu and Pb) at hazardous levels, as evidenced  
258 by surface water, groundwater, soil surface, plant and animal samples collected  
259 in the area. Contents higher than those reported in other areas of India and in  
260 other regions of the world were detected. Arsenic was found to exist mostly in  
261 the inorganic As(V) form. On the basis of As and HMs enrichment factors,  
262 leaves from *Vigna unguiculata*, *Dalbergia melanoxylon*, *Mangifera indica*,  
263 *Hibiscus sabdariffa*, *Moringa oleifera* and *Zingiber officinale* were found to be  
264 the most dangerous for cattle feeding. Pollution in water and plants was  
265 reflected in the contamination of faecal samples of cattle with high levels of As  
266 (>50 mg·kg<sup>-1</sup>).

267 **The authors declare no competing financial interests.**

## 268 **Acknowledgements**

269 The University Grant Commission, New Delhi, India is acknowledged for  
270 its financial support through grant no. F.18-1/2011(BSR)2016.

## 271 **References**

272 Bandaru, V., Daughtry, C.S., Codling, E.E., Hansen, D.J., White-Hansen, S.,  
273 Green, C.E. (2016). "Evaluating leaf and canopy reflectance of stressed rice  
274 plants to monitor arsenic contamination". *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public*  
275 *Health* 13, 606. doi:10.3390/ijerph13060606

276 Belluck, D. A., Benjamin, S. L., Baveye, P., Sampson, J., Johnson, B. (2003).  
277 "Widespread arsenic contamination of soils in residential areas and public  
278 spaces: an emerging regulatory or medical crisis". *Inter. J. Toxicol.* 22, 109-  
279 128. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10915810305087>

280 Bhattacharya, P., Samal, A.C., Majumdar, J., Santra, S.C. (2010). "Arsenic  
281 contamination in rice, wheat, pulses, and vegetables: A study in an arsenic  
282 affected area of west Bengal, India". *Water Air Soil Pollut.* 213, 3-13.  
283 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11270-010-0361-9>

284 Chaurasia, N., Mishra, A., Pandey, S.K. (2012). "Finger print of arsenic  
285 contaminated water in India-A review". *J. Forensic Res.* 3, 1-4.  
286 <http://dx.doi.org/10.4172/2157-7145.1000172>

287 Eisler, R. (2004). "Arsenic hazards to humans, plants, and animals from gold  
288 mining". *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 180, 133-65. DOI: 10.1007/0-  
289 387-21729-0\_3.

290 FAO/WHO, Joint FAO/WHO (2011). "Food Standards programme Codex  
291 committee on contaminants in foods Food". CF/5 INF/1, pp. 1–89.

292 Gupta, V. (2013). "Mammalian faces as bio-indicator of heavy metal  
293 contamination in Bikaner zoological garden, Rajasthan, India". *Res. J.*  
294 *Animal, Veterinary and Fishery Sci.* 1, 10-15.

295 Hong, Y.S., Song, K. H., Chung, J. Y. (2014). "Health Effects Of Chronic  
296 Arsenic Exposure". *J. Prev. Med. Public Health.* 47, 245-52. Doi:  
297 10.3961/Jpmph.14.035

298 Kapaj, S., Peterson, H., Liber, K., Bhattacharya, P. (2006). "Human health  
299 effects from chronic arsenic poisoning—A review". *J. Environ. Sci. Health.*  
300 Part A. 41, 2399-2428. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10934520600873571>

301 Karimi, N., Alavi, M. (2016). "Arsenic contamination and accumulation in soil,  
302 groundwater and wild plant species from Qorveh County", Iran. *Biharean*  
303 *Biol.* 10, 69-73.

304 Lambrou, A., Baccarelli, A., Wright R.O., Weisskopf, M., Bollati, V.,  
305 Amarasiriwardena, C., Vokonas, P., Schwartz, J. (2012). "Arsenic  
306 exposure and DNA methylation among elderly men". *Epidem.* 23, 668-676.  
307 doi: 10.1097/EDE.0b013e31825afb0b

308 Loepfert, R. (2010). “Arsenic in rice—the challenges of agricultural  
309 sustainability and food security. Book: arsenic in Geosphere and Human  
310 Diseases; Arsenic 2010: Proceedings of the Third International Congress  
311 on Arsenic in the Environment”, Edt: by Jean, J.S., Bundschuh, J. &  
312 Bhattacharya, P. pp. 565-566 10.1201/b10548-65.

313 Mandal, P. (2017). “An insight of environmental contamination of arsenic on  
314 animal health”. *Emerging Conta.* 3, 17-22.  
315 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emcon.2017.01.004>

316 Middleton, D. R. S., Watts, M. J., Beriro, D. J., Hamilton, E. M., Leonardi, G.  
317 S., Fletcher, T., Close, R. M., Poly, D. A. (2017). “Arsenic in residential  
318 soil and household dust in Cornwall, south west England: potential human  
319 exposure and the influence of historical mining”. *Environ. Sci.* 19, 517-527.  
320 doi: 10.1039/C6EM00690F

321 Moreno-Jiménez, E., Esteban, E., Peñalosa, J. M. (2012). “The fate of arsenic  
322 in soil-plant systems”. *Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* 2012;215:pp1-37.  
323 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4614-1463-6\_1.

324 Pandey, P.K., Yadav, S., Nair, S., Bhui, A. (2002). “Arsenic contamination of  
325 the environment: A new perspective from central-east India”. *Environ.*  
326 *Intern.* 28, 235-245. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120\(02\)00022-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00022-3).

327 Pandey, P.K., Yadav, S., Pandey, M. (2007). “Human arsenic poisoning issues  
328 in central-east Indian locations: biomarkers and biochemical monitoring”.  
329 *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health.* 4, 15-22. PMID:17431310

- 330 Patel, K.S., Shrivastava, K., Brandt, R., Jakubowski, N., Corns, W., Hoffmann, P.  
331 (2005). "Arsenic contamination in water, soil, sediment and rice of central  
332 India". *Environ. Geochem. Health*. 27, 131-145. doi:10.1007/s10653-005-  
333 0120-9.
- 334 Rahman, M.A., Hasegawa, H. (2011). "High levels of inorganic arsenic in rice  
335 in areas where arsenic-contaminated water is used for irrigation and  
336 cooking". *Sci. Total Environ*. 409, 4645–4655. doi: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.  
337 2011.07. 068.
- 338 Roychowdhury, T. (2008). "Impact of sedimentary arsenic through irrigated  
339 groundwater on soil, plant, crops and human continuum from Bengal delta:  
340 special reference to raw and cooked rice". *Food Chem Toxicol*. 46, 2856-  
341 2864. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2008.05.019.
- 342 Sawidis, T., Breuste, J., Mitrovic, M., Pavlovic, P., Tsigaridas, K. (2011).  
343 "Trees as bioindicator of heavy metal pollution in three European cities".  
344 *Environ. Pollut*. 159, 3560-70. doi: 10.1016/j.envpol.2011.08.008